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## PREFACE.

The Carnegie Institution of Washington has undertaken the republication of the leading classics of International Law and the present volume, edited by the distinguished publicist Professor John Westlake, is the second of a comprehensive series.

The grounds for including Ayala's treatise De Jure et Officiis Bellicis et Disciplina Militari are sufficiently set forth by Professor Westlake in his introduction. The original text is exceedingly difficult to procure and has not hitherto been translated into English. The photographic reproduction places the work within the hands of scholars of any and all countries, and the faithful and literary translation by Mr. John Pawley Bate makes its mastery an easy matter to the English-speaking world.

One reason for republishing the classics of International Law is the difficulty of procuring the texts in convenient form for scientific study; the libraries in the United States have been searched with the result that few of the earlier works were to be found. Another reason is that some of the works selected for republication have never been translated into English. The American publicist is therefore at a disadvantage in consulting works of admitted authority, and when found they are, as it were, sealed books to all but trained Latinists. The specialist is thus forced to rely upon summary statements and references to them to be found in treatises on International Law, or is driven to examine them in European Libraries, often a difficult task, while the general reader is practically barred from the stores of knowledge locked up in the earlier works on the Law of Nations. The same difficulty exists in Latin America, Japan, and in a lesser degree in many European countries.

Eminent publicists, European and American, who have been consulted as to the usefulness of the plan to republish the Classics, have indorsed the project and have pledged their personal cooperation. The works to be included in the series have not only
been approved but suggested by them, so that the undertaking is international in scope, in selection, and in execution.

The underlying principle of selection has been to reissue those works which can be said to have contributed either to the origin or to the growth of International Law and the term classic has been used in the broad rather than in the narrow sense, so that no work will be omitted which can be said to have contributed to the origin or growth of the Law of Nations. The masterpieces of Grotius will naturally be the central point in the series, but the works of his leading predecessors and successors will likewise be included. The text of each author will be reproduced photographically, so as to lay the source before the reader without the mistakes which might creep into a newly printed text. In the case of the early authors the photographed text will be accompanied by a revised text whenever that course shall seem desirable. An Introduction will be prefixed to each work, giving the necessary biographical details and stating the importance of the text and its place in International Law; tables of errata will be added, and notes deemed necessary to clear up doubts and ambiguities or to correct mistakes in the text will be supplied. Variations in successive editions of the text published in the author's lifetime will be noted, but little or nothing in the nature of historical commentary will be furnished.

Each work will be accompanied by an English version made expressly for the series by a competent translator.

It is hoped that the series will enable general readers as well as specialists to trace International Law from its faint and unconscious beginnings to its present ample proportions and to forecast with some degree of certainty its future development into that law which Mirabeau tells us will one day rule the world.

James Brown Scott, General Editor.

[^0]> 母ேோ


BALTHASAR AYALA I.C.ET SENATOR
REGIVS MACHLINIENSIS.
FeLIX IngenIo, rethor griVIs, arte dIsertVs LIugVIsqVe, et CLarVive IVre perltVs erat: RegIVs In beLLo IVdeX, desCrlpsIt, it iLLa beLLICa, qVie wighLI, IVra MLanCe dabat: $p_{r} I n C L_{p} I b V_{s i} V_{e}$ VIrIs grat $V_{s}$, de Corat $V_{s}$ homoro offlClo, servs oCCldtt ante dies.


 $\vdots \mathrm{D}=1$.







VE O. Dh

A

## BALTHAZARIS AYALAE

I. C. et Exercitus Regii apud Belgas Supremi Juridici De Jure et Officiis Bellicis et Disciplina Militari Libri III

EDITED BY<br>John Westlake, LL. D., Cambridge, K. C.<br>Late Whewell Professor of International Law in the University of Cambridge<br>Hon. D. C. L. Oxford, Hon. LL. D. Edinburgh<br>Late Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration at the Hague<br>Late President of the Institute of International Law<br>Honorary President of the Institute of International Law

VOLUME ONE


WASHINGTON, D. C.
Published by the Carnegie Institution of Washington 1912


Lift of Wim. W. Monow

BOALT RAI.I.

LAS MBRARY

## 291

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

## Volume I.

## pages

I. Preface, by General Editor.................................................. $3 a-4 a$

3. Epistola Dedicatoria, Praefatio de Jure Belli, Enumeration Capitum,
and de Jure et Officiis Bellicis et Disciplina Militari Libri III.... I-226
4. Note on Corrections of the Text and Errors in the Marginal
References..............................................................................

The text here reproduced was photographed from a copy of the original edition of 1582 , belonging to the library at All Souls College, Oxford, and loaned to the University Press for the purpose of photographing.

The portrait of Ayala given in this volume has been reproduced by photography from one found in a volume of portraits of Belgian authors, published at Antwerp in 1608, with the title Illustrium Galliae Belgicae Scriptorium Scones et Elogia: ex Musaeo Alberti Mira Canonici Antwerp.

## Volume II.

I. Translator's Note on Corrections of the Text, Errors in the Marginal References and Mode of Citation adopted in the Translation; and classified List of Authorities. $.7 a-10 a$

2. Translation of Epistola Dedicatoria, Praefatio de Jure Belli, Enum
ratio Capitum, and de Jure et Officiis Bellicis et Disciplina
Militari Libri III ..... 3-246
3. Index of Citations ..... 247-250

## INTRODUCTION.

## I.

Ayala; and Book I, Chapter 1.

In a celebrated passage of the prolegomena or preface to his great work, §37, Grotius mentions a number of books which he had seen, some by theologians and some by doctors of law, treating specially on the jus belli, an expression not adequately translatable in any short English form. Besides the laws of war, that is, the rules according to which it ought to be carried on, it includes all that relates to the place of war in a philosophical system of human life and conduct. And these predecessors he condemns, all of them because they had said so little on a very wide subject, most of them also because they had mixed up in disorderly confusion things belonging to natural, divine, state or canon law, or to the received law of nations (jus gentium). He goes on in § $3^{8}$ to name three others who had attempted to supply what was most lacking in the first mentioned, the light thrown by history; but of these he at once dismisses Faber as not having enunciated propositions to which his citations might apply, while the other two, Balthazar Ayala and Albericus Gentilis, had referred the examples cited by them to certain propositions laid down by them. Grotius then admits his indebtedness to the industry of Gentilis, though he leaves readers to form their own judgment on the doctrinal use to which Gentilis put his collections. Of Ayala he only says-and, as we shall see, incorrectly-that he did not touch the causes for which a war is called just or unjust. If these notices do not amount to praise, at least they show that Grotius agreed with general opinion in marking Ayala and Gentilis as his two chief predecessors in what is now called international law. And in any comparison of their merits Ayala claims our sympathy and
our excuse for his shortcomings, as being the first in time. He died in 1584, the year before the publication of Gentilis's first book, de Legationibus libri tres. How early they both were in relation to the development of thought on their subject will be seen from the fact that it was not till $16 \mathrm{~m}_{12}$, after the deaths of both, that Suarez, the greatest of Grotius's predecessors in the philosophy of law, published his Tractatus de Legibus et de Deo Legislatore.

Balthazar de Ayala was born a subject of the Emperor Charles the Fifth, at Antwerp in 1548. His father, Don Diego de Ayala, was a native of Burgos in Spain, and had obtained the rights of citizenship at Antwerp in 1531-1532. His mother was the daughter of Charles de Renialme, a licentiate in law and an Alderman (échevin) of the same city in 1531, 1532 and 1534. Thus Balthazar had ties with each of the principal parts of the vast dominions of Charles and his son Philip, but he was essentially a Netherlander (Belge). He had arrived at years of discretion when in the disturbances of 1566 the Netherlands began to be convulsed by the struggle for civil and religious liberty, and at years of manhood when in 1572 the insurrection was placed on a firm footing through the seizure of the port of Brill by the Sea-Beggars (gueux de la mer). But neither Balthazar nor any of his family appears at all to have doubted which side they should take. His brother Gregory was a member of the Council of Brabant. His brother Philip was Spanish ambassador at the court of Henry the Fourth of France, and afterwards held an important place in the financial administration of the Netherlands under the Archduke Albert. His first cousin, Gabriel de Ayala, doctor of medicine of the University of Louvain, became the public medical officer (médécin pensionnaire) of the city of Brussels, and published a volume of epigrams in Latin verse. A relation, the Canon de Ayala, was a correspondent of Cardinal Granvelle and was employed by the Netherland bishops as their agent at Rome. Balthazar himself became a licentiate of law in the University of Louvain, and we may conjecture that he spent some time there in the acquisition of the learning of which his book is full.

The Netherlands military service was distinct from the Spanish, as might be expected from the fact that Charles the Fifth and Philip the Second held the Netherlands-that is, the Burgundian inheritance completed by the acquisitions made by Charles on the defeat of the Schmalkaldic League-in a merely personal union with the crown of Spain. In 1553 Charles created for the military forces of the Netherlands two great officers, the auditor and the captain of justice. The character of the former office may be learnt from the commission of its first holder, Doctor Stratius, in which it is said that " in order that we may be the better able to keep our said army in good discipline and justice, we have found it necessary to commission some scholarly person (personnaige de lettres), learned and experienced in the matter of justice, to be with our captain-general of our said army, and under him to execute the office of auditor of the camp and give him good advice and counsel in what shall concern justice ". The term captain-general was combined with that of lieutenant-governor to form the title of the king's representative in the Netherlands, as in Ayala's dedication of this book we find it applied to the Prince of Parma in its Latin form of imperator. Thus the auditor, as military judge and judicial adviser of the chief of the army, held a position similar to that of the English judge-advo-cate-general, and in one respect with more extensive attributes. For while the rule in the Netherlands was that soldiers were tried for common crimes, not depending on the quality of a soldier, by the jurisdictions to which they were subject in their civil capacity, an exception was made for foreign soldiers and their servants who, if they did not fall under the special jurisdiction existing for persons attached to the court, were tried for all crimes by the military judge. Under this system the employment of Spanish troops in the Netherlands must have made a considerable addition to the duties of the auditor.*

To this military auditorship Ayala was appointed at the age of thirty-two, doubtless in consequence at once of family interest and of the reputation earned by his studies. The following

[^1]minute of his commission, preserved in the state archives of the kingdom of Belgium, is dated at Mons, 27th May 1580 :

Philip etc. to all who shall see these presents sends greeting. Since in order to guard, preserve and defend our countries on this side [the Netherlands, as distinguished from Spain, Naples etc.] from the enterprises and invasions of the Prince of Orange and other heretics his adherents, and to recover the countries, towns and places which they occupy unjustly and against all right, divine and human, we are now preparing a camp, and an army of men of war both horse and foot, under our very dear and well beloved good nephew the Prince of Parma and Piacenza, lieutenant-governor and captain-general of these countries;

And since in order that we may be so much the better able to keep that army in good discipline and justice, we have found it very requisite and necessary to commission some scholarly person, learned and experienced in the matter of justice, to execute in it the office of judge-advocategeneral of the camp [It will be noticed that this paragraph has become common form];

We make it known that, considering this and desiring to provide for the advancement of the said justice and the maintenance of good military discipline, and fully confiding in the sense, scholarship (literature), loyalty, diligence and experience of our well beloved Master Baltasar d'Ayala, licentiate in the laws, we have, by the determination of our said good nephew the Prince of Parma etc. retained, commissioned and ordered, and do by these presents retain, commission and order him to the said situation and office of judge-advocate-general of our said camp and army, giving him full power and authority and special commandment to execute and perform that office, to give advice and counsel to our aforesaid good nephew the Prince of Parma and others whom it may concern in matters concerning justice, as he shall find to be according to right and reason and conformable to our proclamations and ordinances issued and to be issued thereanent, and for the rest to do well and duly all that a good and loyal judge-advocate-general of the said camp can and ought to do, and that is competent and belongs to the matter;

At such wages and emoluments as by our further letters patent shall be taxed and ordered on that behalf.

The minute then goes on to direct Ayala to take the appropriate oath, which is to include a denial of all bribery for obtaining the said office, always excepting the accustomed fees of the Sieur de Hauteville, state and privy councillor, who on receiving the said oath is to induct Ayala into his office.

By a further order, dated at Mons, ist August 1580 , there are appointed for Ayala, by the month of thirty days, a hundred florins for himself and sixteen each for a clerk, two serjeants and an executioner, for the whole of which hundred and sixty-four florins Ayala is to give a receipt together with an attestation of his having had the said officers in his service.

Three years later Ayala received an accession of power and dignity. By an order dated at Tournai, 20th January 1583 , the Prince of Parma appointed him, on the death of Jehan aux Truyes, a member of the great council and master of requests in ordinary, his oath of office to be sworn before the president of that council. But by another order, dated at Tournai, 4 th April 1583 , reciting that the service of His Majesty, on which in his character of auditor-general Ayala was then employed near the person of the prince, did not permit his going to take the oath at Namur-to which place the great council had been transferred from its usual seat at Mechlin, in consequence of the occupation of the latter city by the forces of the prince of Orange-he is permitted to swear it before the president of the privy council, and is confirmed in the receipt of the salary of his new offices notwithstanding his not being resident at Namur to perform the duties of a member of the great council.

It would indeed seem that the auditor-general had his place so fixed at the side of the captain-general that he required a special command to absent himself, in order to perform elsewhere even duties appertaining to that office. Thus we find an order of the prince directing all officials to assist Ayala in the execution of a command which he had received to accompany the Count of Reulx to Louvain, Aerschot, Liège, Maestricht and other places, in order to enquire into the disorders committed by the troops there in garrison, particularly the outrages which they had done to the commissioners of musters, and to correct and chastise those whom he should find guilty. Thus Ayala's public avocations must not only have been engrossing, but also, as he followed the headquarters of the army from place to place, must have kept him at a distance from libraries. In the time which intervened between his appointment and the dedication of his book, which is dated from the camp before Tournai, 31st October 1581, he can
scarcely have done more than put in order the notes which he had collected before his appointment, and the three years which remained of his life did not allow him to render any further service to legal literature. He died, Nys tells us, " at Alost, ist September 1584, according to the authorities, but in August, if we follow the text of his successor's commission, which is dated the 20th of that month. He left a natural daughter, Barbe, who married Henry Zoes, professor of law at Louvain, one of the good Belgian jurists of the period, who died in 1627 ." *

The impression at once derived from reading the work of Ayala is that it is not a treatise of which the system and the parts have been logically thought out, and of which the conclusions are supported by the authorities cited, but a mass of authorities and examples collected from all ages and sources, arranged under the heads supplied by their tenour, and accompanied by the author's determinations on those heads. The learning is first and the thought second. This however does not seem to be attributable to any deficiency of the author, except in the sense that Ayala certainly had not an original mind. His thought ran on the lines which had been handed down for many ages, and demanded no rearrangement of the traditional sign-posts by which its course was marked out. It must be believed that his authorities had been collected during the years which preceded his appointment as judge-advocate-general, in view of a work to be carefully prepared, and that when the press of official business interfered with careful preparation he deemed it better to throw his materials together into such form as he could speedily give them, than to delay, and perhaps risk altogether, the publication of much that was especially appropriate to the time. The want of final polish in arrangement is thus easily accounted for and condoned, but the nature of the author's mind stands out not the less clearly.

The question of Ayala's character is no less interesting than that of his mind. Can we trace what it was in the times in which he lived that most excited his grief or displeasure, and to which

[^2]he most earnestly desired to bring some remedy? The answer to this question may be learnt from the dedication and the preface, which, though no doubt the last parts of the book to be written, disclose for that reason the thoughts of which the abiding force dwelt with the writer when the details of his subject had been dismissed. Of Ayala's dedication to the Prince of Parma the key-note is the necessity of maintaining ancient institutions, and of his preface the key-note is the necessity of maintaining justice. These, and not reason or humanity, were his dominant considerations. His spirit was conservative and stern. Against innovation he would make a stand. In accordance with this bent we find that the first of the three books into which his work is divided begins with the statement that, as the Romans were in all things careful to study law, they followed that habit in declaring war no less than in the things of peace, and that their constant success in war was the result of it. He then devotes the opening chapter to the existence of the Roman fecials as a body to which the form of commencing war was entrusted, the fixed law which they observed with regard to that and to the other ceremonies relating to war, and the Roman respect for such law.

## II. <br> Book I, Chapter 2.

We will now notice the most remarkable among the further contents of the work here offered to the reader, in the order of the text.

It will be well to premise that the three books into which it is divided were evidently intended to bear titles corresponding to the divisions implied in the general title-De Jure Belli, De Officiis Bellicis, and De Disciplina Militari. In each book each chapter except the first has a title of its own, while to the first there is prefixed, in the case of the second and third books, the special title of the book, De Officiis Bellicis or De Disciplina Militari. In the case of the first book the title which occupies the corresponding position is not, as it should have been by analogy, De Jure Belli, but that which logically belongs to it as expressing the substance of the chapter-De Ratione Belli Indicendi aliisque Caerimoniis Bellicis quae antiquo jure feciali proditae fuerunt. There is thus some confusion, which may have been made either by Ayala in putting his papers together, or by the printer, who may have been led to omit De Jure Belli as the title of the first book because that form does not appear separately in the general title of the work.

It must also be premised that the division of each chapter into sections, quoted here as $\S \S$, is made by figures in the margin which are not placed accurately opposite breaks in the context, or even opposite the ends of sentences. Their length is variable, and their origin is difficult to account for.

In the second chapter of the first book we are told at the outset that in general peace is preferable even to a just war with the devastation which accompanies it, but that a righteous war is better than a dishonourable peace. That a war may be called just, it must be declared and waged by the authority and command of the sovereign prince. A private person can not wage
it because he must resort to the courts of law for the defence of his rights; but immediately, as if perceiving the inappropriateness of that reason to a case where the opponent is not amenable to a court of law, Ayala adds that a private person taking any steps of the nature of war without the command of the prince is guilty of laesa majestas by the lex Julia, the ground of which is the lex regia, by which the people has transferred to the prince all sovereignty over itself and all power. If, however, the cause is great and necessary, the prince absent, and delay dangerous, war may be waged even without his order, especially for defence, the right of which is allowed to every one by the law of nature, which includes in that permission not only vengeance but even expelling the enemy from his own land if with less we can not be safe from him. In such cases there will be a just war and the laws of war will have place, because effects follow their causes, and booty will become the property of the captors.

But even the prince ought not to wage war without a just and necessary cause, and ought not to be influenced by any lust for doing harm, for cruel revenge, or for domination: § io. The principal objects of just war are the defence of one's self and of one's friends and allies, the recovery of what has been unjustly taken away by violence, the vindication of injuries received, and, if the injury has been done- by private persons without public authority, their surrender for punishment. The refusal of passage through another's territory will justify war, for passage without doing harm (transitus innoxius) is free by the law of human society. That the passage meant is that of a military expedition against an enemy is shown by the example cited, that of the war of the Israelites against the Amorites who had refused them a passage to the promised land: § II. Nothing more clearly marks the rudimentary conception of neutrality entertained in the time of the renaissance than the assertion of this right, which indeed was still axiomatic to Grotius. But the most just of all causes of war is given by rebels or seceders (rebelles et imperio deficientes), for they commit an injury against God, from whom all power is derived: $\S$ § 12, I3. Yet since a rebel can not properly be called an enemy, an armed contention with such is less properly called a war than an execution, and does not require the
authority of the prince, but the order of a judge having jurisdiction will be sufficient: § 14. This, however, is only true so far as regards the measures taken for defence or for the enforcement of jurisdiction. The further rights of war can only be exercised against rebels when the authority of the prince has made the contest a true war, but even then the acts of the rebels, like those of pirates and robbers, will not be covered by the laws of war, and therefore they will not acquire the property of the things which they capture and persons captured by them will not become slaves: § 15 .

It will be seen at once that here we are concerned with the question which in consequence of the Netherlands insurrection must have bulked largest in Ayala's thoughts. Accordingly he is not content till he has submitted it to a further examination. Ulpian had said that the parties in civil dissensions were not enemies to one another, so that the laws of war relating to capture and to postliminy should be in force between them, and that therefore enslavement was not the consequence of capture on either side. And the "ordinances of the kingdom of Spain," an authority which must have touched Ayala more nearly, ordered the sevenfold restitution of things captured in the course of civil dissensions. These rules, he explains, as is shown by Ulpian's use of the word "parties," hold when citizens are at variance only with citizens, but those who contend against the majesty of the state and aim at its destruction are deemed to be enemies.

So Cicero considered that the Sempronian law, which made a vote of the people necessary for the capital punishment of a Roman citizen, did not prohibit him from putting Cethegus and Lentulus, the fellow conspirators of Catiline, to death. And so also the Romans habitually visited their subject allies, when insurgent, with the extreme penalties of war: § r6. Indeed the law of Solon, condemning to banishment Athenians who took neither part on the occasion of a popular outbreak, had much to recommend it, and in Ayala's own time the modest patience of so many of the Netherlands people greatly aided the rebels: § 17 .

But although the exercise of private judgment between contending parties is admissible in a democracy or an aristocracy
when there are rival governments (scisso imperio), in a monarchy every good and wise subject will rank himself on the side of the prince who lawfully enjoys the supreme power: § ig. For as soon as it has been determined that the commonwealth shall be administered by one person, to whom by the lex regia the people have granted all rule and power over themselves, and who is the common parent of all, there is the same duty to defend him that there is to defend the country: $\S 20$. Armed resistance to him is parricidal and can never be justified: § 21. The prince and the commonwealth are so connected that no one can be the enemy of one without being the enemy of the other: § 22. Rebellion against the prince is comparable to heresy, and a person disobedient to him is called infidelis, the double meaning of which term-faithless and infidel-is justified by the question of St. Jerome, "how can a man be faithful in the matter of God (in substantia Dei) who could not keep his faith to his lord in the flesh?" To obey kings is ever the general pact of human society, and while good kings are sent by God, bad ones must be endured and their misdeeds referred to his judgment: § 23. It follows that although a usurper (tyrannus) who has seized the throne by illegal violence may be lawfully killed by any one if the usurpation can not otherwise be ended, it is not lawful for a private person to kill, or for the people to reject or to revolt against, one who is the prince by right of succession or election, however cruelly or unjustly he may act: $\S 24$.

It must be noticed with reference to this passage that on 15 th June 1580 the prince of Parma had issued the edict which set a price on the head of the prince of Orange, and that on 26th July 1581 the Dutch states-general had declared Philip to have forfeited the sovereignty. Ayala must have written with these recent facts expressly in view. He proceeds to declare that all rule and power over the people are concentrated in the person of the prince not only by the lex regia but by the ordinance of God: §26. But the pope, to whom both the spiritual and the temporal swords have been committed on earth, may depose kings, while popular power is worse than that of any single tyrant: $\S 27$.

From the amplifications on state government into which the mention of war against rebels has led him Ayala returns to the
just causes of war. It can not be waged against infidels merely because they are such, for God, who makes his sun to rise on the just and on the unjust, has not given the lordship over things to the faithful alone but to all creatures endowed with reason: § 28. Nor can the justice of war against infidels as such be deduced from their refusal to obey the emperor, for although it is a common opinion that the emperor is the lord of all the world, yet the most learned men, whose teaching is summed up by Covarruvias and Ferdinand Vasquez, have proved the contrary: § 29.

Here it will be noted that Ayala's Spanish origin and sympathies keep him on the side of the protest which Spain, France and England, the homes of independent nationality, maintained throughout the middle ages against the German and Italian doctrine of a world-emperor. The boundary of the authority which the prelates of the Church, even the pope himself, have received, is to be drawn between those who have never accepted Christianity, with regard to whom St. Paul asked, "what have I to do with them that are without?" and those who have once submitted to the Christian faith but have fallen from it, against whom as heretics war can be justly made: § 29. Against infidels, however, war can be made for the same causes as against any one else, also when they hinder the Christian faith by blasphemy or false argument (pravis persuasionibus) ; or when they hinder the preaching of that faith, and so do an injustice to Christians, who have the right of preaching the gospel throughout the world: § 30.

The causes of war which have been reviewed, Ayala next says, are to be very carefully weighed by princes and kings, but if it happens that these are led into war by greed the soldiers will not be guilty, for they must obey their prince: $\S \S 30,3 \mathrm{I}$. But in $\S 32$ this is qualified by the reservation, "unless it is clearly established that the war is unjust." The question thus raised was an old one, and our author cites in his support Saint Augustine, who begins with a pronouncement in the sense of the wide one first made by Ayala, and proceeds to base it on grounds which are scarcely satisfactory. He points out that in peace the soldier is the subject even of a sacrilegious king, and that in war the commands given him are either certainly not contrary to the law of

God or at least not certainly contrary to it, so that there is a chance (fortasse) that the king may be guilty by reason of the commands and the soldier innocent by reason of his servient position. It is remarkable that Ayala does not go further into the authorities, for they are interesting. In feudal times the duty of allegiance to a lord had reinforced that drawn from simple civil subjection. In the treatise entitled Feudorum Consuetudines, which is commonly printed as an appendix to the Corpus Juris, we read (lib. 2, tit. 28) that according to some the vassal must always aid his lord, without any distinction of case, but that according to Obertus de Orto and Gerardus Campagistus, whose opinion the author of the treatise adopts, this in an unreasonable war is only true for the lord's defence, and the vassal will not lose his fief for refusing to aid the lord in an attack. Having regard to the disputable reasonableness of most wars, and to the possibility of representing an attack as a really defensive measure, we can hardly doubt that the feudal liability, even as interpreted by Obertus and Gerardus, would have a practical tendency in favour of the claim of a pugnacious lord. We find therefore without surprise that Thomas Aquinas does not so much as notice the existence of a question, but says unreservedly that " a private person who uses the sword under the authority of the prince or judge does not take the sword, but uses a sword placed in his hands by another": Summa Totius Theologia; secunda pars, quaestio $X L$, art. I; utrum bellare sit semper peccatum.

But the received opinion of the sixteenth century did not go quite so far, for Nys quotes Franciscus de Victoria as laying down that subjects must not take arms in a manifestly unjust war, but that if the notables of the nation, consulted by the king, pronounce in favour of the justice of the war, the classes below them may in good conscience conform themselves to their decision.* Indeed the increasing employment of hired soldiers, whose voluntary engagement would deprive them of the excuse either of feudal allegiance or of the duty of a simple subject, could scarcely fail to inspire moralists with a hesitation which is perhaps reflected by Ayala. Certainly, a little later, Suarez holds mercenaries to

[^3]be strictly bound to enquire into the justice of the cause for which they fight. $\dagger$

At this point Ayala tells us that all he has so far said about just causes of war relates rather to right and equity, and to the duty of a good man, than to the legal effects of war; and he makes a sudden transit to the other sense of justus, namely lawful by reason of fulfilling certain legal requirements, as in the case of lawful marriage, lawful age, and so forth. In that sense it is possible for a war to be justum on both sides, and for a war declared between persons capable of being enemies and not brigands to entitle each side, however plainly unjust, to the rights of war, such as postliminy and the enslavement of prisoners. This was rightly maintained by Alciatus and Fulgosius, in opposition to the received opinion based on the justice of the cause, which can not exist on both sides: $\S \S 33-35$. Here then at last Ayala is alive to the difference between the provinces of the legist and the moralist in what concerns war. Had he recognised it earlier in his work, he might have discussed more clearly the duty of a soldier in an unjust war. But the time was not yet come, either for him or for so much greater a man as was Aquinas, to think adequately on a question involving the rights and duties of conscience.

The short remainder of the second chapter is devoted to the question of the expenses of war. The prince ought primarily to bear them out of any revenues assigned to him by the people, but if these are insufficient the whole kingdom must bear the burden, and it can not be limited: $\S 37$. The soldier ought to be paid: $\S 38$. And, serving for pay, he has no claim for his losses in the war to be made good, as he would have were he not paid. But if he has been summoned to fight in an unjust war, he will have no right of action either for his pay or for his losses, because ex causa turpi nulla datur actio: § 40. Surely Ayala did not mean that a prince or a commonwealth might plead in court the injustice of his or its own war, as an answer to an action arising out of it? It would rather seem that the veil obscuring the confines of morality and law, which had been raised for a moment, had
fallen again, and that Ayala had no clear view of the question which he had raised.

Thus closes Ayala's second chapter, in which without much arrangement he has disburdened his mind of the general matter which he most desired to impress on his contemporaries, and has left himself free to enter on the topics of detail which follow. It should have shielded him from the imputation of neglecting the just causes of war, by making which Grotius showed that even his prodigious memory was not infallible.

## III.

## Book I, Chapters 3-5.

The third chapter of the first book is devoted to Single Combat. The admission of that subject into a book on war is a scholastic feature, belonging rather to the time when war, as a public national contest, had not been so far differentiated from fighting in general as even Ayala had differentiated it in what we have already passed under review. He condemns single combat on the usual grounds, except as between soldiers on the opposite sides of a regular war, by the order or with the consent of the general ( $\S 7,8,9$ ), or when the princes on both sides agree to refer their differences to the combat of two or more selected champions (§§ ro, II), even in which case, however, Ayala deems it an imprudent mode of seeking a decision, because, as Livy says, a settlement which promises to be lasting is reached only when the defeated party has contended with all his might (§ § 12, 13). Either the notion, if Ayala really entertained it, that these exceptional cases could occur in the days of Philip the Second and the Dutch Republic, or the apparently serious mention of them without such a notion being entertained, further shows the merely scholastic character of the chapter.

In the fourth chapter Ayala discusses reprisals. He condemns them so far as affecting the person of any but the guilty party, basing himself on the Deuteronomic precept, " the fathers shall not be put to death for the children, neither shall the children be put to death for the fathers: every man shall be put to death for his own sin": §3. And on the principle quoted from Ulpian in the Digest-lib. 9, t. 2, 1. 13-that no one is lord over his own limbs-dominus membrorum suorum nemo videtur: § 6. From that principle Ayala concludes that not even under a law or statute, to which every one is presumed to have consented, can any but the guilty party be affected by corporal penalties, though he may be so by pecuniary ones. Of course the penance imposed
by Saint Ambrose on the Emperor Theodosius is cited : §7; and the biblical examples to the contrary are pronounced to be beyond human imitation: § 4 .

The right of reprisals on property exists because human law, for the good of the commonwealth and the better repression of offences, may punish by fine, confiscation, diminution of honour and the like, even those who have committed no offence; and so it has often been enacted both in civil and in canon law, especially for the sons and descendants of those who have sinned against divine or human majesty: $\S 5$. Hence a prince may authorise reprisals on property against a people who will neither redress the injuries done by those whom they harbour, nor give up the guilty to punishment. The practice rests on the same foundations, divine, natural and civil, as war, and the authority of a sovereign who has the right of declaring war is necessary for such reprisals: $\S \S 2$, io. The authority of a judge is not sufficient, and they no longer take place between fellow subjects, whatever may once have been the case at Athens or elsewhere : § io. When reprisals on property have been duly authorised, although the innocent can not be personally attacked but only their goods taken, they may be accidentally killed, because otherwise the reprisals could not be executed. It is just as in war, neither the innocent nor women and children can be killed of set purpose, yet they may be so by accident, as when a city is besieged or bombarded, because war could not otherwise be carried on: $\S 9$.

The fifth chapter of the first book is devoted by Ayala to capture in war and to postliminy. It is characteristic of the looseness of his style that, although the principles on which he considers the lawfulness of capture to depend are glanced at in § § I and 2, they are fully explained only when he comes in § i6 to the enslavement of prisoners. His view is that in the primitive age, the golden age as the heathen called it, all men were born free and were deemed to be peers and equals, and all things were enjoyed in common. This state of things he refers to jus naturale, which he says did not enact that men should be free, but slavery and property were unknown, and its resulting silence about them left a loophole for the later creation of those institutions. Evidently then the ambiguous term jus in Ayala's jus naturale does not
mean law, but what might be called a right, in the sense of an enjoyment which no one questioned. He goes on to say that in later times, when, because of increasing wickedness, such a state of things was found inconvenient, natural right reason, than which nothing is more divine, dictated the institution of property, and of war with capture and enslavement as the rights of war, which thus by universal consent became the jus gentium. That term, in which jus appears as law, Ayala uses in its classical sense of the law in which the people of all nations are agreed and which they apply in their respective communities-a fact, or supposed fact, which carries with it as a consequence the application of the same law to the mutual relations of those communities, in other words its operation as what we call the law of nations.

The law of nations is thus accounted for as positive law, but to what extent is it a righteous law? So far as concerns the laws of war Ayala teaches that they are not wanting in an equity based on the repression of injustice--§ § 1,16 -and that they do not exist in favour of brigands, pirates, or any one who is not a lawful enemy: § i8. If the remark had been made that even within those limits the laws of war may operate in favour of the unjust party, we do not know what Ayala's answer would have been, but probably he would have said that the just must at least be armed with the means of repression, although the decrees of Providence may sometimes deny them that effect. And one limitation of the rights given by the general laws of war is furnished by the ancient and laudable custom-for Ayala does not put it higher than thisthat in a war between Christians those who are captured on either side do not become slaves but are kept as freemen for ransom. Even that limitation does not enure to the benefit of Christians who fight for Saracens or infidels, or render them any kind of aid, only although enslaved by capture they must not be sold to any but Christians: § 19.

The law that the property in captured things passes to the captor applies only to movables, for captured immovables, such as land, become the public property of the victor commonwealth: §3. And even with regard to the enemy's movables, it is only those that are acquired without a battle that belong to whosoever has first gained possession of them : §8. The losing owners of
the booty acquired in battle are indeed deprived of their property in it, but its disposition is subject to the prince's pleasure, unless the proportional shares to be retained by him and to be assigned to the others concerned are fixed by law, as the Spanish ordinances fix them for land war, leaving to the prince's liberality what he will allow to the others out of captures made in naval war of which he bears the cost: § 9. It is apparently because of this difference that Ayala mentions those ordinances as assimilating captured ships of war to land rather than to movables in general: §3. Certain examples relating to booty and founded on reason are cited with an evident intention of acting on them in the performance of the author's duties. Such are that those who guard the baggage are to share equally with those who fight: $\S 6$; that the troops are not to throw themselves on the booty till the victory has been secured: § i i ; that those who have not done their duty shall lose their shares: $\S 13$; that fraud in the division of the spoil may be punished by fines in addition to the loss of the shares: § 12 ; and that the troops may be ordered to sell the spoil which they are allowed to acquire lest the army should be hampered and seduced from duty by it: § i4. It is also laid down that things entrusted to the care of a sacred institution but not belonging to it, res sacro commendatae, are not immune from capture if enemy's property: $\S 15$.

In §§20 to 24, Ayala deals with various questions about the recovery of personal conditions by postliminy, and then introduces some observations of which the leading purport appears to be the treatment of prisoners. In § 25 we learn that women and children are subject to capture and enslavement but must not be put to death, and that enemies found in our country at the outbreak of war may be made prisoners but can no longer be enslaved as formerly they were. Also that canon law forbids touching priests, monks, lay brothers, travellers, merchants and country people, but that the prohibition has been repealed by desuetude, except, in Ayala's opinion, for ecclesiastics so far as they do not meddle with affairs of war. No legal relief can be given against a bargain which a captive has made for his ransom, because the fear of death or servitude which was its motive was not inspired as a means of extortion, but was a lawful fear arising out of his
situation: § 26. In § 27 we learn that prisoners and the fixing and enjoyment of their ransom are now generally left to their captors, except in the case of grandees whose ransom exceeds ten thousand crowns. These by the ancient custom of Spain, France, and England, belong to the prince. They must be admitted to ransom unless there is reason to fear from them a renewal of the war, for to spare them in that case would be an unjust mercy, as Saint Ambrose says. Thus the Roman generals at the end of a triumph put to death the leading captives, who had sown the seeds of discord and were the authors of the war. But no killing or harsh treatment of captives by private authority is allowed, any more than by civil law it is allowed in the case of slaves; and a Spanish ordinance is to that effect in the case of captives made in a war between Christians, though not in a war between peoples of different religions: $\S 28$.

We now come to various points about ransoms: $\S \S 29$ to 32 ; and then return to postliminy. The ancient rule that on its being recovered from the enemy land returns by postliminy to its former owners is followed by the Spanish ordinances, subject to a proviso for the case of governments, kingdoms, countries, and other major proprietary rights, that usucapion does not run for them even from the date of their recovery; but minor proprietary rights will be acquired by prescription against the former owner, not being under age, in four years from the date of their recovery. And if a subject loses his own castle by his own fault, and retakes it by his own exertions, still the king will be entitled to claim it on its recovery: §33. It is easily seen what a field for the application of these provisions must have been afforded by the constant border warfare with the Moors, of which a frequent incident would be the taking of Christian property by the latter and its retaking by the former owner or by an adventurer.

In § 35 Ayala mentions and rejects a number of general statements and distinctions made on postliminy by various writers. His own position, as may be collected from $\S \S 34$ to 38 , is that the first question to be asked is whether a corporeal thing captured by a lawful enemy, not by a pirate or robber, is one of those which are capable of postliminy, such as land, ships, riding or baggage animals, and men, whether free or slave. If it is not such, of
which arms are an example, because they can not be lost by a living man except through his fault, it is at once the captor's booty. If it is such, the next question is whether the enemy has secured at least a temporary possession of it, that is, in the common phrase, whether it has been brought intra praesidia. If this has not happened before the thing is recovered, the property in it has not been displaced, and the recoverer must restore it to its owner, whether he recovered it by force of arms, by purchase, or in any other way, except that if he recovered it by purchase the owner must repay him its price. If, however, the thing has been brought intra praesidia, its property has passed to the captor by the laws of war, but on the recovery of the thing the property will return to the former owner by postliminy. And if the recoverer was a fraudulent party to the capture, he will be liable to the former owner not only for the restitution of the thing recovered but also for what he might have saved from capture. In § 39 Ayala notes the Spanish ordinance which applied the question whether booty had been brought intra praesidia to captures made by pirates as well as to those made by an enemy, and can only account for it by supposing that "its object may have been to stimulate the troops in the pursuit of pirates and robbers, especially since it is legitimate to make provision for the public good even at the cost of private persons, as Tacitus says that every great example has some injustice to individuals which is compensated by the public utility." In $\S 40$ Ayala expresses a rather hesitating opinion that a thing first stolen, then captured by an enemy and lastly recovered, need not be restored to its former owner, the law of war which changes the property prevailing over the civil rule that the vice of theft, which clings to the thing stolen, is not purged until the thing has returned to the owner's power; but he is clear that equity prohibits suit against the possessor in such a case unless the value can not be recovered from the thief or his heir.

The closing $\S \S$ of the chapter, 4 I to 43 , deal with the questions whether incorporeal rights can be captured in war and transferred to the conqueror, and, if so, whether they are subject to postliminy. On the first question Ayala decides in the affirmative as to the incorporeal rights of a conquered community,
because such rights are the creditor's property, and the property of a conquered community passes to the conqueror together with the persons forming the community. He can give laws to them, and one who is in another's power can have nothing in his own power. Therefore when Alexander had conquered Thebes he was competent to receive from the Thessalians the hundred talents which they had borrowed from the Thebans, and if he had done so, the Thebans, when their city was restored by Cassander, would have had no claim against the Thessalians.

On the second question Ayala admits that there may be postliminy of an incorporeal right, and that, since Alexander had merely assumed to remit the debt to the Thessalians, the restored Thebans were entitled by postliminy to exact it from them, and would have been so in whatever legal form the remission might have been made. In that case there would have been law against law, and the nature of the law of postliminy is to prevail over the law of capture. If there had been payment to Alexander there would have been law against fact, and "the law of postliminy does not restore those things which have been removed by lawful fact, for law has no supremacy over fact." But the incorporeal rights of a private person pass to his captor only when he is made a slave, who can have nothing of his own, and now that between Christians a prisoner does not become a slave his incorporeal rights adhere inseparably to him.

The question is quite differently regarded by Grotius. There is, says that jurist, no postliminy of a debt, and the absolute right which Alexander acquired by the conquest of Thebes made his remission of their debt to the Thessalians as effectual in law as their payment of it to him would have been. Moreover there was no restoration of the Thebans, for their city had been dissolved by the conquest, and the Thebes which Cassander set up again was a new entity. De Jure Belli ac Pacis; 1. 3, c. 9, § 9.

## IV.

Book I, Chapters 6-9.
The sixth chapter is devoted to the subject of keeping faith with an enemy, and is a tolerably full and, with one important exception, a good discussion of that subject, with the connected one of the law and ethics as to keeping promises made in civil life, whether with or without the sanction of an oath. The exception is that Ayala repudiates any duty of keeping faith with those whom he regards as rebels. He has pointed out that pirates and robbers, as being the common enemies of mankind, can not claim the performance of the engagements which they may have it in their power to extort: §6. This he extends to rebels, as also not being lawful enemies: §7. And, "as a consequence," conventions which have been extorted by a usurping force (per tyrannidem) are not binding. In their case there has been no consent, which is required in all contracts, for consent is vitiated by unlawful force. It is doubted by most whether a prince is ever bound by a contract with his subjects, but certainly if they have wrung the contract from him by insurrection, which is in itself a breach of their faith to him, they can not claim faith from him. Nor can it be expected that those who have violated both divine and human law, by taking arms against their common parent who - has received his power from God, will themselves keep their word.

Again, subjects can not give the law to their prince; therefore any promise by him to them is a voluntary one, which can never be binding. The common doctrine of the canonists, that the pope's hands are never bound, is based on these principles. And if a prince is injured by a contract he has the same right as one under age to be relieved from it: § 8. The rights of the crown are inseparable from the crown, and as the prince can not lose his sovereign power by a voluntary abdication, or alienate any part of his kingdom, so he can not alienate any of those rights, nor
can they be lost by the longest term of prescription. The prince is only a usufructuary, or at most holds his crown as a husband holds his wife's dowry: § 9. Bodin, seeing the insecurity of any convention made by insurgents with their prince, advised them not to be parties to such a convention, but to get some foreign prince to contract for them; but Ayala advises them rather to return to their duty and seek the clemency of their prince: § i i.

It is an interesting problem how historical characters such as Charles I. of England, and many of their supporters no less, who were not on the whole bad men, can have been morally blind on that side of conscience which relates to political compacts with subjects. The arguments which have been quoted in some detail are interesting as showing the question as they saw it. The base of their position was the belief in a divine external order which, where it is entertained, makes impossible secure political compromises affecting that order. The common humanity on which the duty of keeping faith must be founded is not felt, by those who identify themselves with a divine will to which they attribute institutions, to exist between them and their opponents.

Except on the point which has been examined Ayala's standard of faith was high. Faith must be kept towards a lawful enemy by a private person as well as by the state or its representatives, and Regulus did no more than his duty: § 2. Engagements must be interpreted without quibbling on their letter: § 4. Giving hostages does not permit a treaty to be broken, as if they were a substitute for faith, the erroneous doctrine by which Bodin defended the conduct of Francis I. If the French authorities whose consent was necessary refused it to the treaty of Madrid, Francis ought to have saved his personal faith by returning to captivity: §5. But even towards a lawful enemy faith is not to be kept if that which was promised would offend God or be contrary to good morals: § § I3, 14. All our actions ought to be referred to the ground principles of justice; first, that no one be injured; secondly, that the common utility be served: § 15 . Breach of a treaty by one party exempts the other, at his discretion, from the duty of observing the same treaty, unless the broken clause is such that it is not likely the contracting parties attached much importance to it; but it does not authorise the breach of another
treaty: § § $17,20,22$. The rules of faith are the same for truces as for treaties of peace or alliance: § 18 . But it is usual and right, though not a matter of law, that a slight breach of a truce which will shortly expire should not be treated as ending it: § 19 . The perfidious character of an enemy, though often shown, does not warrant a breach of faith towards him: § 2 I

The seventh chapter begins with a distinction of treaties into three kinds-those which end a war by the complete surrender of the enemy, who thenceforward enjoys only such existence as the conqueror allows him-those which end a war on terms, possibly including the enemy's recognition of the conqueror's superiority (ut is populus alterius populi majestatem conservet)-and those which are concluded in time of peace, possibly including a similar recognition by one party. But no use is made of the classification. A general's commission, even when conferring plenipotentiary power on him, does not enable him to conclude a peace, unless that power is expressly given: §5. Truces for long terms, equivalent to peace, fall under this rule, but not short truces: § 6. It will be remembered that in Ayala's time the Ottoman empire concluded peace with non-Moslem powers only for terms of years. In extreme necessity the prince may conclude a treaty not only abandoning private property of his subjects which is in the enemy's hands, but even taking other property from his subjects and giving it to the enemy, for the common utility is to be preferred to that of individuals: § 7. It would rather seem, though it is not clear, that Ayala did not consider that subjects were entitled to compensation from the prince or the public treasury for property so taken. When a prince dies who has concluded treaties in the name of the commonwealth, they subsist both against and in favour of his successor, whether taking as heir, by a statute or by election; unless where things annexed to the crown have been alienated without the necessary consent of the people or of the estates (citra populivel ordinum consensum, qui ad hoc requiritur), or where the dignity and authority of the prince ( majestas principis) have been impaired, which could not have been validly done to the prejudice even of the deceased: § io. The last reservation can not have been intended to apply to treaties with foreign powers, since Ayala, as we have seen, ad-
mitted that a treaty either of his second or of his third kind might include an admission of the superiority of one party. The purport clearly is that no perpetually binding close can be given to a civil war by an agreement limiting the prince's prerogatives, in accordance with what Ayala has taught in the preceding chapter about its not being a duty to keep faith with rebels.

The eighth is a commonplace chapter on lawful stratagem and fraud in war, in which no principles are laid down by which cases may be distinguished.

The ninth chapter is on the rights of ambassadors, and contains nothing remarkable except Ayala's refusal of such rights to rebels and to subjects who serve an enemy with treasonable intent. On that ground he considers that the imperialists were within their right in putting to death the subjects of Charles V. who were commissioned by the king of France as ambassadors to the Grand Turk, but he does not notice that, whatever their personal condition, they could have no rights against the emperor as ambassadors from an enemy to a third power: $\S 5$. The man of God who was eaten by a lion for disobeying the divine order not to accept meat or drink from Jeroboam, whose sin he had been sent to denounce, is brought in as a warning to princes not to treat with rebels and schismatics: § 6 .

## V. <br> Books II and III.

The second and third books contain little or nothing that belongs to international law. Ayala's object in them seems to have been to bring together all the maxims of policy or prudence which he could find relating to war, whether apprehended, being waged, or leaving questions behind it-whether again such maxims were generally accepted or were debated. This must not be understood only of maxims belonging to philosophy, statecraft, or administrative science; even strategy and tactics are not wholly outside Ayala's purview, although he says comparatively little about them, probably because in the then condition of military art little of a general character had been said about them. The merest technicalities of the military or naval profession are all that he avoids. The authorities and examples are mostly taken from classical or biblical antiquity, and next in frequency come those of Spain, as where the extreme severity of the Spanish ordinances for the case of the surrender of a castle is commented on: book III, chapter $18, \S \S 2,5$. Of course the difference of circumstances and institutions would render a large part of what Ayala has thus collected inapplicable to the Low Countries in the sixteenth century, but we shall probably not be wrong in thinking that he, as a special devotee of learning, was haunted by a notion, due to the adoption of Roman law in the German or Holy Roman empire, that more practical use might be made of his vast collection than it would now occur to any one to think possible. An instance may be seen in book III, chapter $7, \S 27$, where he argues that the privileges of Roman soldiers still belong to them, against what he admits to be the general opinion, but claiming to have the most learned on his side. A notable instance of an opinion ventured by Ayala on his own authority, not supported by any citation of a writer but only by examples, is that a man of some position (nobilis) may act as a spy not only without discredit but even with glory, when his motive is zeal for the public good ; though he admits that to do so for pay would be discreditable to him: book III, c. I $3, \S 6$.
J. Westlake.
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Iudib cap.s Siterate de I/rä̈litis habent:Vbicunque ingreßi力, funt, finearcu $\begin{aligned} & \text { fagitta, } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { abfque foute } \sigma \text { os }\end{aligned}$ gladio, Detes corum pugnauit procis, Or vicit: „, O nons fuit , qui infullaret populo isti : nif\%" quando rece §ut a cultu Domini Dei fui. 2uo-,", tiefcunque autem prater ipfum Deum fnum,", alterum coluerunt, datifunt in pradam, fo in " gladium, \&ं in opprobrium. Porro cüminci-", zuili $\&$ togata Jocietate, leges confitutiones ${ }_{6}$, fapirs renouari, of ad veterum infitutarefecari expediat,(qua de caufa etiamveteres illi Romani cenfores creauere, qui cenfendis ciwium moribus, qui facilè negligentia corrumpuntur, antiquum decus, , or morum integritatemrom

## DEDICATORIA.

tem retinebant: ) tum profectio omsium maxt. m' in bello sd fiericonuenit, co quid apero of ab/ciffocastigationis genere, defciplina militarisindeget. Quia, vt inguit Val. Max.vires armis conftant, que wbi femel a recto itinere defcinerunt, oppreffura funt, niflopprimantur. Et certe santum in difciplina milstari pafitum eft, vi ca/ola retentavel neglecta, maxima clades illaca vel accepta,plurimi exercitus cafivel. Seruats, amplifimadenique imperia partavel collapfa fint:vt in huisus aperis progreff latius oftendemus. Ceterum cùmbelligloria longè maxima apud Romanos fuerit, qui funmconditarisós fui parentem $\mathcal{M}$ artem ferebant, add eamór foladis cuplina militari(quodpracipuum decus or fabilimentum Romani imperỳvocaz $V$ aler. Max.)peruenerint,eademós Labente passlatim magis ac magis pracipites ixerint, danec tandem vna cuin virtute maiorum, of amperium or gloriam amitterent: opereprecium me facturumexiflimaui, (iper quos viros, quibus artibus, quogenere dif ciplina, tantam in rebus bellicis gloriam meruerint (quatenus fudio iur is ơ bistoriarum lectione con (equi potui) proponerem. Ex quibus certè lsquebit, eadem ingenza hominum, que nunc funt, of olim fuiffe: cofdem quogre cafus of euentus rerum, quiimblies contingunt, \& alm eveni $\int$ fe. vnde
ä non

EPISTOLA son difficile erit ei, qui antiqua diligenser expendertt, or perpenfoiudiciocxaminauerit, e retroact is (quodpropriè /apienis viriofficium 255) prafentiaiudicare futura pro(picere, \& ex veterum exemplis, propter cafuum fimilitane dinem, conuenicntia remedia malis adbibere: quibus neglectis, in eundeon lapidem fapiits impingimus. Neque enim, vt Ariflidesdicebat, in bello tam armis resmagne geruntur, quàm prudentia o conglio. Itaque Xerxes, cuius exercitü © e lerra, $\delta$ marevix caperepoterat, renius $T$ bemiftocis industria fuperasss fuit. B Romanis, nequi maltitudo, neguevires maiores, quám amplißimes regnis, $\dot{\sim}$ ferocifimus Barbar is fuerunt: fed principes eosfecit feueritas inftisutorkm, 合 ordo militia. Vnde cagamemnon non optabat, vt stiacis fimileshaberet decem; fedvi Neftor cs © Vliffos: quor ura con filio \& prudentia non dubitsbat quinobreui Troiaperitura effet. of Salluftius du quidenumagnum inter mortales ceytamen fuiffe fcribit, vine corpor is, an virtute animi, res magis militarts procederet: $\int \mathrm{fed}$ poftea periculo atgue negotiis compertume effe, in bello plus imums ingenium paffe. Lxcullum verà Acadequcf. Ccribit Cicero, cüm e eftt Romsad cMithridalb, 2 . tucum bellum profeitus, ycimilitar is plani rudes,legendisrcbus geft tos,induffria of ingenio, inntuin

## DEDICATORIR.

contum imperatosem in onni zenere belliex.
 regespoft Alexandrum maximi omnibus, quos ipfelegil $\int$ ec, ducibes praferretur. Quareprudéter Alphonfus Aragonum rex, tozatusplus nearmis, anlibris debcret, refpondit. Ex libris
 felfus, fe doctrinse omnia debere. Quo /pcilat quod Iustinian. imperator ait, milistarem rem in 1.1. C. de tamlegibus in tutocollocatame effe quàmleges sufnin.cod. armorumsprefidio feruat.us, \& barum allerum alterius auxilio / emper eguife. Nam firectè iudicare volumus, non magis leges fine armis, guàm armalinelegibus of difciplina rempub. vecunque fiorentijsimam vliomodo tucri poffust. Que cimmita fint, Serenif. Princeps, bunc qualemcznque laborem meum T. Celf. son ingratum fore confilo; murbus certè exiguem, ir Splendori iwo indignum: fed ad prefentem rerum /iatum, sifi fallor opportunum. in quo recen eindo , graues fortaffentminm, \& fexeroscon/oresmonsios velim, eivesquod 2. Fabius Max. 2. Fabzo Max. filio confuli dicebat, aliter in medio ardore belle, alicer in pace uranguillaarbitrandums effe. multa enim gue in pacis oziovirdex difciplinsperfegustur, ino ter turbas oo gladios vensam merentur. T. verò Cel, Serenijs. Princeps, bocquiequidest

$$
\breve{a} s \quad v \in d \beta u
$$

> prefatro
> vel fudiy vellabor is, dedicaui: tum quodmea intereffe putarem, eam nonignorare quid agerem, o qua fudia iractiarem, dum a publicas functionibus vacarem: tum quòd militaria hac neminimagis convenire arbitraver, quame tibitanio Imperatori, \& di/ciplinn militaris amantijimo. Cuius actiones Deus optimus maximus dirigat, eundémque nobis quàm diutifimè ferset incolumem. E caftris ante Torsuacum, pridie Kal. 2 NO нerrb. IS 8 I.
 PREFATIODEIVRE BELLI.


Vlchrè a Pyndaro pleriq; diçum exiftimāt, bellum tyrannum effe, \& legem omnium reginam. nihil enimlegibus, quæ diuinitus nobis date funt, tam contrarium, quàm vi agere; quod proprium eft belluarum. \& quemadmodum aquilx vnguis acumen, \& leoni dentium afperitas : fic homini rationem (quam rectè I. C. animam legis effe voluerunt) datam effe: qua fola conte̋dere \& fe tueridebet. Vnde quamuis rempub.fineiufticiageri

## DEIVRE BELII.

non poffe, nihilq́q; taminimicum quàm iniufticiam ciuitati, a Lalio (quem Cicero de repub. difputantem contra Philum inducit) abüdè fatisdifce ptatum fit: tamenbellum fine iniuria gerinō poffe, adhuc hodie multorū mentibus infedit. tot enim mala, tam magna, tam horréda, tamfrua, ex bellis, etiam quxiufta dicuntur, \& quidem neceffariò oriri : vt qui illa omnia ad iufticiæ normam redigere conetur, nihil aliud agat, quadm fi cum ratione infaniat. Etenim prorfus inutilem effe iufticiam : \& id ius effe in bello, quod ei, qui plus poreft, vtile eft: $\mathbb{L}^{2}$ pro iure vires effe: hoc potiffimum argumento probare nitebātur veteres, injuftum fcilicet effe, \& contra naturam, ve homines hominibus dominantibus feruiant : quod belli iure inductum fuit: quam tamen iniufticiam nifi fequarur imperiofa ciuitas, cuius magna eft refp. non eam poffe prouinciis imperare. Sed certè tollenda eft hxc opinio, funt enim \& belli, ficut \& pacis iura : \& in eos, qui equum pati non poffunt, nec rationecohiberi, vis haudiniufta eft. Iniquitase-
 ftinus, iuftabella ingerit gerenda \{apiéeti,

PR REATIO
imo neceffaria. Itaque Dauid vir Deo acceptiffimus,aliiǵ; virifanctiffimi, bella c.moli.23.g. gefferunt ${ }^{2}$ \& Deus ipfe precepit I fraëlitis, vt Amorræis, alisq́ue populis bella
Dout.2. inferrent. Dolebit ergo fapiens, iufti belli fibiexftitiffe neceffitatem : fufcipiet tamen, communi reipub. vtilitati confulens potius quàm priuatorum : ne cōtra praceptumillud Platonis, dum partem aliquam reipub.tuerivult, totum corpus deferat. Nec protinus iniuftum ceniendum eft, quòd iufto bello victi, in feruitutem olim redigerentur:imo id iuftiffmumeffe, ex co defendi poreft, quòd reipub.conueniat, vt punianturij,quiiniuftum bellum gerunt. fed \& quod talibus hominibus fit vtilis fervitus, dici poteft: quia \{cilicet improbis aufertur iniuriarū licêtia, \& domiti fe melius habiturifunt, quàm indomiti fe habuerūt. quales Afixe incolas effe, Agefilaus dicere folet, bonos Plut, an apop. fcilicet, fiferuirét, malos, filibertate fruerentur. Qux ratio vt firmaretur, Lælius apud Ciceronem de republ. difputans, fubdidit veluti a natura fumptú nobile exemplum, \& dixit: Cur igitur deus homini, animus corporiimperat, ratiolibidini, ceterisq́ue vitiofis animi partibus.

## DEIYREBELII.

quod D. Auguftino mirè probatur. Sed de ciuut. Dej \& ex procepto Dei Moyfes, Madianitis "b.19.cap.7. pralio viatis, predam omnem tam ho-nu.31. minum, quàm pecorū inter fuos druifit. \& Apoftolus monet feruos, vt obediant ad Ephef. 6 . dominis fuis, \& ex animo feruiant. Recta itaq; ratio natura fapiétibus infita, coërcendam humanam improbitatem, bello, captiuitate, \& fervitute fuafit. de quo alio loco plura dicemus. Porrò cadem ratio iufticix, qux bellis gerendis normam prefcribit, dat etiam fuas leges militibus\& exercitui,quibusdifciplina militaris ftabilitur: qua femel polluta, vt Papyrij dictatoris verba apud Liuiū re- lb.8. ferantur figna : neque conueniatur ad edictum, nec difcernaturinterdiu, no\&e; æquo, iniquoloco; iniufluimperatoris pugnent, \& non figna, non ordines fer„ uent, latrocinij modo cæca \&fortuita,


#### Abstract

PREFATIO DB IVRE BELEI. pro folenni \& facra militia erit. Vtenim in fidibus \& cantu, ex diftinctis fonis, \& diffimillimarū vocum moderatione, cōcors tamen concentus efficitur \& congruens : fic ex fummis, \& mediis, \& infimis interiectis ordinibus, moderatum ratione $\&$ difciplina exercitú (quod de ciuitate dicebat Scipio, quem Cicero de republ. difputantem inducit) confenfu diffimillimorum concinere dicendū eft. \& qua harmonia a Muficisdicitur in cätu, ea eft in exercitu concordia, arctiffimum \& optimú difciplin $x$ militaris vin. culum : quæ certè fine iufticia effe non poteft: quxad quamcunque rem gerendam eft neceffaria:\& cuius,vtait Cicero, tanta vis eft,vt necilli quidem, qui maleficio \& fcelere pafcütur, poffint fine vlla particula iufticix viuere. Et hxc quidem dixiffe fufficiat, vt huius operis rationem redderemus,\& iis fatisfaccremus, qui iura belli (quibus reip. falus contmetur) tanquam naturx \& paci euangelicæ contraria, damnare funt aufi.


LIBRI

## 

 LIBRI PRIMI CAPITA.DE satione belliindicendi, aliisque carimoniis bellicss. quz antıquo iure feriali prodita fuere, Cap.1. De bello iufto, \& iuftis belli cautis. Cap.2. De duello feu fingulari certamine, Cap. 3. De pignerationibus, quas vulgò reprefalias vocät. Cap. . $^{\text {. }}$ De bello captis, \& iure pôliminij. Cap.s.
De fide hofti Seruanda. Cap. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
De forderibus \& induciis.
De infidiis \& fraude hoftili, Cap.7. Cap.8. De iuse legatorum.

## Libyi focundi capitio.

$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{E}}$ officis bellicis.
Cap, 1 . Cap.2.
Vinm non plures exercizui prafici dsbere, \& cum poteftatelibera: cundemq́ue continuum: bec eum quem prius offerderis ignominiáuc affeceris. CapozVtrum lenitare \& beneuolentia a an yeso fematitate \& feuitia plus proficiet imperator:
Temporum rationem precipuc̀ in bello babendâ. Cap.S.
Contentióas \& lentas de rebus bellicis deliberationes ad. modum noxias elle, praefertim vbi facto magis quàm confulto opus eft.

Cap.6.
Dum res adhuc funt integra, ne minimumquidem regi vel rcip. de majeftate fua concedendum ede : \& errare cos qui arrogantiam hoflium; modentia \& patientia vinci poffe exiftimant.

Cap. ${ }^{-}$
An prafter bellum domicxcipere, an verò in hoflilemagrum inferre.

Cap.o.
An prefter initio pralii nagno clamore \& cōcitato curfua in hoftes pergere, an verò loco manere \& hoftium imperum excipere.
rap.9.
Non effe confilij inuicem infenfor civilibus diffentionibus hoftes fola difcordia frecum inuadere. Cap. 10.
Necefiratem pugnandi magno Rudio imponendameffe militibus \& hoftibus remattendam.

Cap.zre
In viftoria potiffimum de pace cogirandum. Cap.r2. Deniet is holibus, qua potifimum ratione perpetua pare quieti obrinariportury

## INDEX CAPITVM.

Libri tertÿ capita.
E difciplina militari.
Cap. 1. Deoficio legati, tribuni, \& aliorum quimilitibus prefunt.
De metatoribus, fiue menforibus.
De milatibus, \& qui militare pollunt.
Cap,z.

De facramento militari.
Cap. $3 \cdot$

De miffioue.
Cap.4.

De priuilegiis militum.
Cap. $\mathrm{s}^{\circ}$
Cap.6.
De iudicis militaribus. Cap. 7.

De ponis milttum. Cap.8.

De contumacibus \& ducum diCto non parentibus, cosque non protegentibus.

Cap.10.
De emanforibus.
Cap.11.
De defertoribus.
Cap. 12.
De transfugis 8 proditoribus.
Cap.13.
De feditiofis.
Cap.145
De iis qui in acie loro cedunt aut viCtifededuat. De iis qui arma alienant, yel amittunt.
De is qui excubias deferüt, vel minus sefteagunt. Cap.17.
De eo quiarcem, veloppidum, cuius prafidio impofitus
eft, amittit, vel hoftibus dedit.
Cap.18.
De furtis \& aliis quiburdam delictis militaribus.
Cap.19.
De prarmiis militums.

## Tres hi lubri utiles funt ad conferaasdam boctempore calamisofo difciplinam milisarem, nee habent aliquid quod fidei Catholice contrarretur.Stc cenfeo 16.Nouemb.ry81. <br> Mathias Lambrecht faciæ Theol. Licent. \& Regius in alma vniuerfo Duacen, Catechifmi profeffor.
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 <br> <br> DEIVREET} OFFICIIS BELLICIS, ETDISCIPLINA MILITARI, LIBERPRIMVS.

DERATIONE BELLIINDICENDI, ALIISQVECARIMONIISBELIICIS, qua antiquo iure feciali prodita fuerunt.

I Ius feciale.
2 collegium fecialiurrs.
3 officium fecialiam.
4 Clarigatio.
$s$ Paterpatratus.
$\sigma$ Tybarenorum fides.
7 Verbaiuris fecialis.
8 Iniuffu populi fadus iniri non poteras.
9 Sp Poftumus bostibus dedisus.
10 Deditionis formula.
II Mancinus Numantinis deditus.
Capytprimym.

omanos bellificut \&pacis iura perpenfo iudicio femper æftimaffe, exeorū hiftoriis licet cognofcere : ita vt mirum videri non debeat, tan felices eis femper contigiffe bellorŭ exitus : quippe qui arma

De jvre et off. bell. nunquam nifi iuftis de caufis fumprea Antiquitat. rint, vt refert Dionyfius Halicarnaff. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Roma.bb.2. vfque adeò vt cùm legati Campanorū (quos Samnites aduerfo pralio fregerant, \& ad petendum Romxauxilium coëgerant) in fenatum introducti, multis verbisdocuiffent, quam vtilis foret Rom. rebus Cäpanæ focietatisacceffio, petentesamicitiam in perpetuũ,in profens auxilium : nihil tamen aliud impetrarunt à fenatu, quinon temerè fe bellis alienis immifcere volebat, quàm vt legati mitterenturad Samnites,precatü nequa vis Campanis fieret.ad quę princepslegationisà Cāpanis miffus, quéadmodum in mädatis acceperat; $Q u a n-$ doquidem, inquit, noftra tueriaduerfus vim atque iniuriam iufta vi nonvultis, veftra certè defendetis. itaq́;populum Campanum, vrbéq̆ue Capuam, agros, diuina, humanaq́ue omnia in veftram P. C. populiq́ue Rom. ditionem dedimus.quicquid deinde patiemur dedititij veftri paffuri. Tum in fenatu iam fiblib.7. desagivifa, vtrefert Liuius, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ deditos nö prodi, bellumq́ue aduerfus Samnites fufceptū eft, quod fine iufto titulo, Romanià Campanis in fe nunquam vertiffent. vltimum bellum Punicū fufciperetur, diu multumq́uctãtares agitata eft:atq; inter M. Porcium Catonem, \& Scipionem Naficam fenatus principes(quorū alterfapientiflimus vir in ciuitate habebatur, alter optimusviretiam à fenatu iudicatus erat) variis fententiis certatū. Cato fuadebat vt bellum indiceretur Carthaginiēfibus, qui exercitum fpecie contra Maffaniffam regem;reipfa, cótra Romanos cöparatum in finibus habebant, Nafica cōtradicente, ex cuius fententia legatos mitti Carthaginem placuit, quif pecularentur quidagerent, ca ftigato corum fenatu, quòd contra foedusexcrcitum, \& nauales materias haberent. Sed Gifgo Amilcaris filius, homo feditiofus, ita fenatum bellum aduerfus Romanos fuadendo concitauit, vt legati fuga fibi confulere coacti fint. cumque tunc Cato non diutius bellum differendum cenferet, Nafica nondum iuftam fibi beilandi caufam videri, \& nihil temerè faciendum dicebat. fed Catonisfententia peruicit,\& cum Carthaginienfibus, quòd contra foedus naues haberent, \&extra fines exercitum A 2 eduxif.

De ivre et off. bili. eduxiffent, quodq́ue Maffaniffx focio a Flor.lb. 49 arma intulflent, bellum geftum ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Ac belli quidem $x$ quitas, vt inquit Cice-
boffc ib.ı. ro ${ }^{\text {b }}$, lanctiffimè ${ }^{*}$ feciali populi Roma- I ni iure perferipta erat . ex quo intelligi poteft, nullum bellum fuiffe iuRam, nifi quod aut rebus repetitis gereretur, aut denüciatum antèeffet, aut indictum.quodius Ancus Martius rex, abantiqua gête Æquicolis defcripfit . a quo bellicx carimonix, vt non gererétur folùm, fed etiam indicerentur bella aliquo ritu, primùm Romanis, vti Li-
c lib,1. uius' teftatur,funt proditę:licet alij hoc Numx Pompilio tribuant, a quofeptima facrarum legum parte, collegium
d Dionyfius Halicar. an-
thyuit. Rom, 4b.2.
fecialium * inftitutum fuiffe afferunt d. 2 Officium * verò fccialiŭ (cuius fumma femper apud Romanos fuit auctoritas) in fumma erat:dare operam ne Romani vlli foederatæ ciuitati, iniuftumbellum inferrét; \& de bellis,foederibus, pactionibus, $\&$ iniuriis,fociis,aliisq́ue confoederatis gétibusillatis cognofcere.quòd fiqua prior, contra foederiscöditiones, aliquid commififfet, legatos mittere,\& verbis primùm ius fuum repetere,\& res fibidari clara voce pofcere (quec clarigatio

Liber primus.
3

4gatio ${ }^{*}$ dieta) his verbis : Iouem ego te, ttem facio,fi ego impiè iniufteq́ue, illas res dedier populo Romano, mihiq́ue expofco, tunc patrix compotem nun. quam finas effe. Quòd fi dedignaretur facere poftulata, renüciata prius focietate \& amicitia (fi qua fortè erat) pofz triginta demum dieş, qui folēnes fuêre, bellum indicere, captạ́;, \& hoftuli mododeuaftata, belli iure repetere. Fecialibus certè prohibentibus,aut non probantibus, neque confuli, neque fenatui, neq; populo, arma in hoftes fumere li5 cebat. Maximus* verò erat inter feciales, quem Patrempatratum appellabät. is autem erat, qui liberos haberet, viuo adhuc parrefuo ${ }^{3}$, qué fecialis, verbena caput \& frontem cingens, indicédi belli, aut percutiendi foederis, principem faciebar. Cxterum iciendum eft non fuiffe neceffe, ipfi regi, cum quo forte belligerandum erar, bellum indici, fed fatis erat, ad prefidium aliquod nunciare. vt feciales confulti, primùm cùm Philippo, \& iterum cùm Antiocho bellumindicendum effet, decreuere. Belloautem legitimè indiCto, fublatis cōmertiis, militi arma capere, \& copias

De ivre et off. bell. cogerelicebat, ad hoftesducere, excurfiones facere, predas agere, fines populari, eosq́ueferro,igniq́; deuaftare, frumenta fuccidere, adificia incendere, atque omnia hoftiliter agere. Tybarenos * tamé adeo iuftos fuifle memorix pro- 6 ditum eft, ve non prius cum hofte congrederentur, quàm diem, locum, \& decertãdi horam, ex fide per feciales hofti denunciarent. quod \& à veteribusillis Romanis, nōnunquam factum fuiffelegimus . Formulam verò, \&ritumbelli a Libin. Et indicédi,antiquiffmum refert Liuius ${ }^{2}$.

 car. AntiRo. cognofcebant, \& cóperto crimine, fonma, ibi.2, tes comprehenfor lafis dedebant. iudicabant item de legatoruminiuriis, qui iure gentium fanctifuêre: atque ita, fià quouis populo, aut natione violati forent, vt hi qui iura gentium temerè violaffent, dederentur, per feciales cauebab $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ ivit.D. tur. idq̆; etiam iure noftro cautum eft ${ }^{\text {b }}$. dekgatiomib. Dabant quoqueoperam feciales, vt feruarentur cöditiones foederis, pacem faciebant, \& fi parum legitimè facta videbatur,irritam reddebant. Non aliter tamen foedera cum fociis, aut hoftibus
fancire,

Liber primvz. 4 fancire, aut pactiones concipere poterant, quàm fícófulis,aut prox roris auctoritate, intercedente S. C.iuffuq́; populi, permiffum foret, denique fi quid imperatores, velduces contra iurifiurådi religionem peccaffent, feciales cognofee7 bant, atque expiabant. Verba ${ }^{*}$ autem , iuris fecialis hæc crant : Belli, pacis, foe" derum, indutiarum, oratorum, feciales iudices funto ${ }^{2}$. Et quidem auctores for - a Cicter. ib, 2 deris, minus rite initi, peripros feciales delegib. hoftibus dedebätur, vt hac ratione, populus religione folueretur.Iniuflu * verò populi \& citra Senatus auctoritaté, nihil fanciri poterat, quod populum teneret, \& fine fecialibus, carimoniaq́ue alia folemni, foedus fieri non poterat. Vnde T. Veturius, \& Sp. Poftumius 9 conff. ${ }^{*}$ quia, cùm malè pugnatum apud Caudiü effer, legionibus Romanis fub iugum miffis, pacem cum Samnitibus iniuflu populi,fenatusq́ue fecerant, vnà cum T. Numicio \& Q. Æmilio Trib. pleb. quòd eorū quoq; auctoritate pax Caudina facta erat, dediti funt hoftibus, vt pax Samnitum repudiaretur. atque huius deditionis ipfe Poftumius, quidedebatur, fuafor $\&$ auctor fuit ${ }^{b}$.

A 4 Cuius

De ivre et off. bell.
Cuius verba, vt refert Liuius, hæcfuerüt: Dedamur per feciales, nudi vincti-, ${ }_{2}$ q́ue, exoluamus religione populum, $\mathrm{fi}^{2}$, qua obligauimus : ne quid diuini, hu- " manive obftet, quo minus iuftū pium- „, q́ue de integro ineatur bellum. Dedi- " tionis vero per feciales factex formula", hac fuit *: Quandoquidem hice homi- io nes iniuffu populi Romani, quiritum " foedusictum iri fpopóderunt, atque ob" cam rem noxam nocuerunt : ob eam ", ré, quò populus Romanus fcelereim- ", pio fit folutus, hofce homines vobisde-, do . Similiter multis annis poft ${ }^{*}$ C. II Mancinus Numantinis, quibufcumina cicrro of- iuffu populi \& citra fenatus auctorita-
 cap. 18.

## DE BELLOIVSTOETIVSTIS BELLICAVSIS.

I Conferuanda iurabelli.
2 Duogenera decertandi.
3 Bella fufcipienda,vt in pace vitutur.
4 Exbellis etiam iuffis multa mala oriri.
5 Bellum bonestam turpi paci preferendum.
6 Iusta bella iure Gentium, Canonum, or diuino perme! [a,

## Liber primys.

5
7 In iustobello requirisur auctoritas Prin-
8 Spud Romanos belli pacióǵs arbitriam penes populum fuit..-
9 2uandoque iniulJu priftipis bellum geri poffe.
10 In panam rebellionit ip $\int$ o uure inciditur.
in luftabellicaufa.
12 Rebellio.
13 Iniuriafactaprincipp; cĕfetur faclaDea.
14 Alind rebellis; alisud bostis.
is Iurabellian conueniant rebellibus.
If Ciuilis difentio.
17 Lex Solonus.
18 Inbello non /emper tuturnon alieri parti feadiungeres.
10 Mediavia periculofa.
20 Principis.auctoritas $\int$ emper $\int$ equenda cötra rebelles.
21 रuulla iufla caufarebellandi.
22 2uidrefpub. vel patria.
23 Rebelliograuißimum crimen.
24 Tyrannus occidi potefl.
2s Legitimus princepssyrannus dicinonpoteft.
26 Lex Regia.
27 Pontifexex caufa poteft regem regnoprihare.
A 528 Belm

De ivre et off. bell.
28 Bellumaduerfus infideles an, or quate'nus suftum.
29 Imperator non eft totius müdi dominus.
30 Hareticis iusfum bellum infertur.
31 Militi non nocet iniufla belli caufa.
32 Militi Chriftiano fub imperatore pagano permiffum militare.
33 Quatenus regi infideli parendum.
34 Iustum bellum etiam fine iufta caufa.
35 Anvtrimquebellum dics poßut uflum.
36 Pecunia nertues belli.
37 Cuius impenfis bellam geri debeat.
38 Tralanus fifcum lieni comparabat.
39 CMos Gallorum.
40 Damnair bello accepta an refarciri de: beant.

Capyt secvndym.
TN repub.bene conftituta maximè cö-
a offcib.s. 1 feruanda* effe iura belli docet Cicer a 1 in quo $\&$ fufcipiendo, $\&$ gerendo, $\&$ deponendo ius vt plurimum valet \& fi-
${ }^{\text {b cicer }}$ ib. 2 des ${ }^{\text {b }}$.quò fpectát illa, qux de iure feciali
delegibus. diximus. Nam cùm fint duo*genera de- 2 certandi, vt idemait : vnum per difcepsationem, alterum per vim: cumq́; illud proprium fit hominis, hoc belluarum; confugiendum eftad pofterius,fivti nó

Liber primvs. 6 licet fuperiore. Quod etiāindicaffe videntur poëtæ, cùm Chironem centaurum (cuius fuperiorem partē hominis, inferiorem verò equi formä referre fingunt) Achillis prdagogum cöftitutum tradunt: vt oftenderent, bonum principem non folùm prudentia \& confilio, aliisq́ue animi dotibus preditum, fed etiam viribus, \& armis inftructum effe debere. in eos enim quixquum patinō poffunt, neq; ratione cohiberi, vis haud iniufta eft. Sed vt Scipio dicere folet, imperator itidé vt medicus, ad malum curandum vltimo demum loco ferrum 3 adhibere debet ${ }^{3}$. Quare fufcipienda ${ }^{\text {a pluatin } A \text { - }}$ quidem bella funt, ob eam caufam vt fi- poph. ne iniuria in pace viuatur, \& ita vt nihil aliud nifi pax quefita videatur ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Quò $b$ c, woli, 23 fpectat memorabilis illa Martiani Cx- guef.r., faris fententia: Donec liceretin paceviuere, nö debere principé arma fumere: 4 cùm tot tantaq́uc malaex bellis *, etiam quaiufta dicuntur, oriantur. quorum , multas \& multiplices clades, diras \& ", duras neceifitates, fi, vedignü eft, eloqui „ velim, quanquam nequaquam ficut res \# poftulat, poflim;quis erit prolixę difputationis

De yube et off, bell. tationis modus? Sed fapiens, inquiunt, $\ddot{37}$ iufta bella gefturus eft:quafi nó, fífe ho- 22 minem meminit, multo magis dolebit ${ }_{22}$ iuftorum neceffitates fibi exftitiffe bel- \% lorum. quia nifi iufta effent,gerendanō ${ }_{22}$ effent, ac per hoc fapienti nulla bella ef. ${ }_{22}$ fent. iniquitas enim partis aduerfæ iufta " bella ingerit gerenda Capiéti, imo \& ne- „ ceffaria, qux iniquitas homini vtique,$\Rightarrow$ doléda, quia hominum eft, etfi nulla ex, ea bellandi neceffitas nafceretur, Hxci- \% taque mala tam magna, tam horrenda,, tam fæua quifquis cum dolore confide- $\%$ rat, miferiam neceffe eft fateatur . quif- , quisautem vel patitur ea fine animido-» lore,vel cogitat, multo vbique miferius ${ }_{2}$ ideo fe putat beatum, quia \& humanü, perditfenfum:hęcille.Sunt enim,vtin- \% quit Liuius, quxdä belli iura,qux vtfacere, ita pati fas eft : vt fata exuri, dirui teCta,predas hominum pecorumq́; agi: qux certè mifera,licetnon femper indigna, patienti funt. Paci itaque,quę nihil fit habitura infidiarū , aut turpitudinis ${ }_{\lambda}$ ${ }^{20} 0$ ffic.i.b.s. vt ait Cicero ${ }^{\text {a }}$, femper erit cöfulendum. b Phidpp. 13 Cererum, quâuis, vt idemait ${ }^{\text {b }}$, dulcefit nomen pacis, \& ipfa res tumiucunda, tum falutaris. nam neq; priuatosfocos, neque neque publicas leges, neque libertatis iura chara habere poteft, qué difcordix, quem crades ciuium, quem bellum pu5 blicum delectat: bellum tamen ${ }^{*}$ honeftú, ex Demofthenis fententia,turpi paci femper eft praferendum. Et quidem 6 iufta* be!la funt iure gentium indicia $\mathrm{a}_{\text {: }} \mathrm{a}$. ex boc \& tum iure canonumb ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ : tum etiam iure wre. If. de diuino permiffa c. nā\& Deusipfe prx- befo. mfīic. cepit Ifraelitis, vt Amorrxis, aliisq́á; po. pulis bellum inferrent ${ }^{d}$. vnde D.Au. , guft.e Noli,inquit,exiftimare neminem ", Deo placere, qui armis bellicis mini, ftrat. nam Dauid Deo acceptiffimus,\& ,, Centurio ille, cuius fides diuino teftimonio extollitur, \& alij fanctiviri operam militix dederunt. Vtautem bellum dicatur iuftum, debet imprimis* auctoritate, \& mandato fummi principis, penes quem efl belli pacisq́; arbitrıum,in- $f$ f. quid cul-


 enim ius principis, qui fine iuris aucto- b. deco wotod ritate, manu regia ius fibidicit ${ }^{3}$. Sed nec vili, infcio, \& inconfulto principe, h l. insc. C. quorumlibet armorum mouendorum fws wfor. prin .
 tis te-

De ivre et off. bell. tis tenetur,qui iniuffu principis bellum gefferit, delectúmve habuerit,aut exera E.3. D.ad citum comparauerita ${ }^{2}$ Itaque Cato cenlegerm iml. febat reuocandum exercitum, \& Cx§arem hoftibus dedendum, quòd iniuffu b plutar.in populi bellũ in Gallia gereretb. Apud Cat. Vticen.
© I wol.Cafar Romanos* enim penes populum, cuius 8 erat fummum imperium, belli pacisq́ue arbitriū fuit, vt ex multis Liuij locis fatis conflat. Itaq́ue patres decreuere, inc Linum 1.4. quit Liuius ${ }^{\text {c }}$, vt tribuni militum de bello indicendo Veiétibus, primo quoque die ad populum ferrent. item Prxneftinisex S. C. populi iuffu,bellum indictū dLizin $i l$. eft $\mathrm{d}: \&$ ex auctoritate parrum populus 16. Palxpolitanis bellum fieri iuffit : item
 ${ }_{f}^{18} \mathrm{~L}$ inimim. ${ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{I} \text { i.I. D. de }}$ conffit: Princ. lus omne imperium in fe , poteftatemq́ue, principilege Regia cötulerits, hoc belli, pacisq̆; arbitrium eft apud folum principem : quod ita penes ipfum refidet,\& maieftati principis cohæret, vtab h Late bo co diuelli non poffit h. \& hoc ius reges dim, dercqub. femper habuiffe, indicat quoque hiftoria facra ${ }^{\text {. Nonnunquam tamen }}$, vtputa 9
i Reg. R.c.s. ex magna \& neceffaria caufa, \&fi princeps fitabfens, fitǵue periculū in mora, etiam iniuffu principis bellum geri poterit:
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 terit ${ }^{2}$ : prxfertim ex caufa defenfionis, belijßime. in quæ iure naturæ cuilibet conceditur ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. fime.D. quod Quo cafu non folùm licebit propellere viaus clam. iniuriam, fed etiam vlcifci ${ }^{c}, \&$ hoftes fedibus fuis pellere, fi aliter ab iis tuti effe non poffumus. neque cnim dicédus eft quis moderamen inculpatæ tutelæ excedere, quiid facit, fine quo periculum euitare non poteft: vt multis oftendit Marian.Socin. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Nepos, quiexiftimat lic. vn. quäd. iftud bellum dici iuftum, etiā quoad ef- ind. $\mathrm{fe}_{\text {e }}^{\text {vm.: }}$ fectum, \& iuri belli locum effe (effectus enim ex caufis colligiture.) ideoq́; capta in hoc bello, fieri capientium : $\&$ hæc multò magis obtinere, ficü rebellibus resfit: quorum conatus non folùm quilibet poteft,fed etiam tenetur cohibere, nō exfpectato iuflu principis, fifit peri- fe.no in iniffo. culum in mora.Si enim is, quinon propellit à focio iniuriam, cùm poteft, tam eft in vitio, quàm is quifacit $f$ : quid de iniuria, qux principifit, dicendum eft? 10 præfertim ${ }^{*}$ cùm ij, qui quid contraprincipis maieftaté, \& reipub. quietem ma- dervilitar. i L.trasfu: chinantur, ipfo iure in pœnam rebellio- gam. D. de fint ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$, vel captiui haberi ${ }^{i}$ : vnde multò ${ }^{\text {qui }}$ fumi re:
 eftạue $\operatorname{sn}$, conf. 137

De ivre et off. belle eftque inter cetera, quæ in Romana hiftoria inuenire lice, preclarum in hanc fententiam exemplum de L. Pinario, Ennx in Sicilia profecto prafidij:qui cùm ciuium rebellionem, $\&$ defectioné ad Carthaginienfes prafentiret,\& liberum nó effer legatos ad Côfulem Marcellum, quäuis haud procul effer, mittere, ex improuifo magna crde facta, ciues omnes oppreffit. quo facinore Ænna retenta eft; eiuf́que factum Marcellus non improbauit, \& predam Ennēfum a tiens ub, militibus conceffita. Iraq́ue Cicero lau34. dat factum Octauij Cæfaris,qui non exfpectato fenatus decreto, bellum contra Antonium fua fonte fufceperat.decernendi enim tempus, vt illeait,nondum venerat ; belli autem gerendi tempus fi pretermififfet, videbat repub. oppreffa, bciex.Pbil. 8 nihildecerni poffe ${ }^{b}$. \& fenatus quoque bellum priuato confilio à Cæfarefucceptum, auctoritate publica comprobauitc. Sic quoq, Scipio Nafica maximam laudem meruit, qui priuata auctoritate, fe omnibus bonis ducem prębens, Tib. Gracchū cumfcelerata factione oppreffit d.Neceffe eft enim, vt inquit Cicero, ${ }_{t}$ bell, ciew, i,, . in in tanta perturbatione rerum,temporibus

Liber primus. 9 bus potius parere,quàm moribus.\&, vt idem ait, femper in pace, confuetudini; in bello, vtilitatiparendum eft.in quam fententiãalio loco plura à nobis dicentur*. Pręterea bellŭ non nifi exiufta \& *1.2.cap.s. neceffaria caufa gerēdum eft a: \& abeffe a c. noli. 23 . debet omnis nocendi cupiditas, vlcif- qui. cendi crudelitas, 8 dominandilibido ${ }^{5}$. be quit cutquò fpectant illa quæ iure feciali apud ${ }^{\text {ramm.23.q. }}$ Romanos fuêre prodita. Neque folum peccat, quiex iniufta caufa bellum gerit : fed etiam opinione humana paffim receptum eft, eum fere femper fuccumbere. Nam, vtinquit Propertius, Frangit, of attollitvires in milite caus $f$ a. Que nif iusta fubeff, excutit armapudor. cc. inf: queat.z. Iuftæ verò belli ${ }^{*}$ caufx pręcipux funt II hx : Defenfio proprii imperii), perfona- quen, 2, rum, amicorum, fociorum, $\&$ rerum ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$. lmm metibilim. ad defenfionem enim ipfa lex naturalis fool. etiam priuatis auctoritate proftar ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$. [u-d $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{ve}$ vim. ftum ergobellum, vt C. PontiusSam- D.de iuf. ©
 \& pia arma, quibus fpes relinquitur. Subeft quoque iufta $\begin{aligned} & \text { L.Aqul. } \\ & \text { ec. } \\ & \text { lup }\end{aligned}$ belli caufa, cùm geriturad recuperan- c. Infum, das res, qux per vim \& iniuftè ab hofti- D. Augupiro. busdetinentur ${ }^{c}$ : velvt iniurix, vel dāni quaffio.

De ivre et off. belr. illatiauctores dedantur ad fupplicium, fi fortè non publico, red priuato cöfilio a c.r.de in iniuriaillata fuerit ${ }^{2}$. quod eriā iure fe- ciali cautum fuiffe fuperius oftēdimus. Iraque Dauid, mortuo Saule, bellum geffit cum Isbofeth, filio Saulis,quioccupare nitebatur regnum Ifrael, quod Dominus per Samuelem prophetâtra-
b Reg.2.c. 2 diderat Dauidi b. \& Romulus Albanis bellū intulit, quòd dictator eorū Cluilius, nollet res raptas reftituere, neque licaufa, vindicta iniurix iniquiffimè illatx d. Sic legimus Dauidem regem intuliffe bellum regi Ammonitarum,propter derifos legatos fuose. Et quidem ratione iniurię illatr, diceturetiam bellum iuftum, fi quis prohibeatur tranfire peralicuius prouinciam. modò träfíus innoxius futurus fit : qui iure humanx f. .vt. 23. focietatis patere debet.f.Itaquelfraëlitę guaft.2. bellã intulerunt regibus Amorręorum, propternon conceffum tranfitü innoxium, in terrä iffisa Deo permiffam 3. Iuftiffimam * deniq; belli caufam habet 12 princeps, qui armis perfequitur rebelles, \& ab imperio deficientesh. grauisenim iniuria infertur Dco, \& principi, cum
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 cùm eius imperio fubditi refiftunt. non eft enim poteftas, nifia Deo: \& quirefiftit poteftati, ve inquit Paulus ${ }^{2}$, Dei a adroman. ordinationi refiftit : \& iniuria * facta ${ }^{\text {cap. } 13 .}$ principi, cêfetur tacta Deo:qui Samueli, a quo populus alium regem petebar, dixit : Non teabiccerunt, led me, ne regnemfuper $\cos ^{\text {b }}$. Dauidautem bellum b Ref.r.c. 3 . geffit cum Seba filio Bochri, qui popu - reg.z. c. lum follicitauit, vt a Dauide ad ipfum deficeret $c$. Sed cùm rebellis ${ }^{*}$ propriée dexexelles. 1, hno hoftis dici non poifit, (nam aliud ef far D.dicta. fubditis rebellibus armis certetur, non figinficat.
 tionemiurifdictionis, \& perfecutionem finut.folatat.
 auctoritate fummi principis, fed fufficiet eevenalic. eius qui iuriddictioné habet ${ }^{f}$. Hinciura flutuerec. is belli ${ }^{*}$, captiuitatis, \& poftliminij, qux gl. pustimi-
 ferebellibus cōuenire, confequeés vide- hod. ho: icer. tur: ficur nec piratis \& latronibus (qui ${ }_{\text {mumpt }}^{1 \text { L. pumi }}$ hoftium numero non cōtinentur) con - ratis. ueniüt ${ }^{\text {h }}$. quod ita intelligi debet, vt ipfi ${ }^{\text {caprumi. Lia }}$ jure belli agere non poffint : ideoǵ do- latromb. D .
 quod hoftibustãtùm tributumeft ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$. in minyy.

De inte et off. berl. ipfos verò iure belli fxuire, multoq́ue magis quàm in hoftes, licet: funtenim odio digni maiore, \& nō debet effe melioris cōditionis rebcllis \& latro, quàm
a Argumêto l.3.9.8.D. de donat. ins. ter vip.est $v x$. b Phulpg.S. verbis rogandum, fed cogendumarmis effe recedere ab obfidione Mutinx, colonix populi Rom. \& oppugnatione Brutiimperatoris, confulis defignati, $\&$ ciuis optimi. non enim cum Annibale rem effe hofte reipub. ad quem fenatus olim legatos miferat, vt à Sagunto recederer; fed cum ciue. Neque intereffe dicebat, vtrùm vrbem Romanā Antonius oppugnaret,an coloniam populi Rom. prefidij caula collocatam. \& alibi idem
 10. legitimus \& iuftus holtis ${ }^{2}$. Quò fpectare videtur quod $\llcorner$ Cicero fentit, non effe mitrendos legatos ad Antonium, nec efle recedereabobidi \& Murna, Ciceroad Plancum fribens ${ }^{\text {'dicit, }}$ cum Antonianis, à quibus Brutus Mutinæ obfidebatur, pacem fieri nō debere: vocans eos foedifimos latrones, qui aut armis pofitis pacē petere deberent: aut, fi pugnantes eam poftularent, vicioria pacem, non pactione pariendam effe. Pratcreacùm à parte principis fint omnia illa, quæ ad iuftum bellum funt neceffaria: nempe belligerēdi ius, \& iufta
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belli cauta; à parte verò rebellium, neq; belligerędiius,quodfoli principicompetit, vt fuperius oftédimus, neque iufta belliczufa:cùm nulla fit caufa rebellan- b 1. tsanfinu. di, vt moxdicemus: fequitur bellum, quod cum rebellibus princeps gerit, iufiffimumeffe: ideoq́ue iuri belhlocum
 occidi poflunt ${ }^{\text {a }}$, vel capriferui haberib, vnde pars l.2.6.vlt. prodx cedent ${ }^{c}$. effectus enim ex caufis colligitur ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Quod ita verum puto, $f$ aufpiciis fummi principis bellum geratur, alioqui veró, quamuis defenfio cuiliber permiffa fit, \& quifque iurifdictionem fuam exercere pofit, tamen iura belli non aliter locum habebunt, quàm fi auctoritate, \& nominefummi principis bellum geratur e alias enim bellum dici non poteft. Bellum verò quod rebelles gerunt, iniuftiffimum effe cöftat: ideoq́ue iure belli agere non poffunt,\& captiab ipfis non fiunt ferui $\varepsilon_{\text {. }}$ nam ceffante caufa ceffat effectus ${ }^{\prime} ; 8$ nemo ex dolo fuo lucrari, aut aliis nocere debeth. Non obftat quod Vlpianus refpó16 dit $^{2}$, in ciulibus diffentionibus ${ }^{*}$, qui in alterutram parté difceffiffent, vice ho-

2L3. forls.
D.adl. Cor. defici. li.iro. detores, D. H e re mitrar. gam. D. de arq. rer. domz. c Glof eb Bat.ex. qui funt rebel. con. $1377^{\circ}$ c.mlfig. D. de doun. e Earr, in i. hosfes. D. de captict Inwo. is c. olim. x . de refit. fpel. inc. m or E i:frad de ureiura. fd. l. pofliтиінит б.a piratis. D. de raptius d. 1.18 lntronibus. D. de tef/am. g c. cunn cof. fante, de appellat. Ladigere. D. de inr patro. h l.dolü.c. deperest cums. rei vend. int.figuis inginmam. $\$$. in ciminthes. D. decaptiu.

De ivre et off. bell. ftium non effé : eorüfcilicet, inter quos iura captiuitatis \& poflliminij vigent: ideoq́ue captos hincinde non fieriferuos, \& quòd cōftitutionibus regni Hi fpanix, in ciuilibus diffentionibus captaiubētur cum feptuplo reffituia ${ }^{2}$. Nam hxc locum habent, cùm ciues interfefe diffentiunt, \&\& tumulcuantur : non autê cùm quid contra maieftatem imperij machinátur, \& in exitium reipub.contendunt ${ }^{b}$. tunc enim hoftium numero haberiincipiunt ${ }^{\text {c. Itaq́ue }}$ Vlpianus vfus eft vocabulo partis. partes autem dici ait Cicero ${ }^{\text {d }}$, cùm fciffa in duas partes repub.ciues inter fe contendunt;quæetiam factiones dicuntur à Cæfare e .bellum verò , quod Antonus inferretreipub. non partiū certamen,feddefectionem à populo Romano vocari debere. Hinc quoq; Cicero fdiffentit à Cxfare, qui propterlegem Semproniam, quæ iniuffu populide capite ciuis Rom. iudicari vetebat, non cêfebat mortis pornam irrogandam Cethego \& Lentulo, catilinarix coniurationis fociis, ad qux docet Cicerolegem Semproniam,quæ de ciuibus Romanis côftitutaeft,adeos, qui effentreipub.hoftes, qui certè ciues effe
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effenullo modo poffent, nō pertincre. Sic certè bello cum Latinis, Campanis, Fidenatibus, Hernicis, Æquis, Herrufcis,aliisq́ue populı Romani fociis squos $^{\text {qu }}$ fubditos, non participesimperij, fuiffe
 nyf. Hal.c deficiéribus, \& rebellātibus, wal. Liv, 1. legimusvictos oĩa hoftilia paffos fuiffe, \& capta predę ceffiffe,atq; captos in feruituréredactos:imo multoduriuscum iis actū fuiffe, quàm cum aliis hoftrous. cenfebant enim maximè ita rebellantes in officio polfe contineri, fi id actum efo fet, ne poffent rebellare. quamdiu enim malis relinqueretur malefaciēdi facultas,adfore \& voluntatem. Atque ita Ca pua, qux per deditionem populi Rom. facta fuerad ${ }^{\text {d }}$, cum ad Pœonos defecifiet, d Lisimur li.? expugnata à Romanis, lxx. pondoauri, $\&$ argenti tria millia ex preda ad quxftores delata funt; 1 xx. principes fenatus interfecti:trecenti ferme nobiles Campani in carcerem conditi, variis cafibus interierunt: multitudo alia Campanorum venundata: ager omnis \& tecta pu . blica populi Romanifacta funt ${ }^{c}$. Simi- c Lusimur .36 liter cum Fidenatibus, qux erat colonaa populi Romani, rebellantibusactumt. f limime li. 4

$$
\text { B } 4 \text { Enna }
$$

De inre et off. beil. Ænna quaque, in Sicilia,vtfuperius diximus, qua prafidio Romanorum tenebatur,cùm ad defectionem fpectaret, a Lixisedb. cum magna crde ciuium direpta fuit a. eodem modo poftquam Galliain prouinciam efferredacta, cum Gallis rebellibus actum fuiffe paffim inuenias apud Cxfarem. Porrò in diffentionibus* $\mathrm{ci}-17$ uilibus non inutilis futura videtur lex Solonis : qux, inter alias antiquiffimas, Athenis axibus ligneis incifa erat : qua cauit,vt qui exorta feditione populari, \& fciffa in duas partes ciuitate, neutrife adiunxiffer, hic domo,patria,fortunisq́; careret, exul, extorrisq́; effet. Quod\& Phauorinus philofophus inter fratres quoq; \& amicos difidentes fieri oportere cenfuit : vt vtriufque partis amici, fi ad concordiam componēdam parum auctoritatis habuerint, tum alter in alteram partem difcedat, 8 hac ratione viam fibiad vtriufque concordiam mu-
 cap.z. temporibus vtilifimam fore cenfeo, ad hoc, vt exorta aliqua factione malorū, protinus omnes boni communibus viribus cos opprimant, nequefe vnà cum repub.opprimi patiātur:vt,prohdolor!

Liber primvs. 13 cum magno reipubl. Chriftianx detrimento, \& huius Belgij euerfione paffim fieri videmus.dum enim boni nimia fua modeftia, \& patientia, malis conatibus feditioforum ciuium concedēdo, fe pacem habituros confidunt, duriffimum fibi feruitutis iugum inijciüt: quod poftea fruftra excutere conantur. \& fit, ve cùm bonorum iacturam aut vitæ periculum pro repub. adire recufant, neceffariò cum republ. pereant. omne enim malum nafcens,vt inquit Cic.facilè opprimitur, inueteratum fit plerumque 18 robultius. Neque etiam* femper rutif fimum erit, quod plerique arbitrantur, non interponere fe bello,fed fectatoré belli, fortunarumq́ue alienarum, euentum fine vllo difcrimine rerum fuarum operiri, quod legati Antiochi \& Ætolorum, qui Romanos Gracia expellere conabantur,Achxis amicis Romanorü perfuadere nitebantur, petentes vt faltem neutri partife adiungerent.nam ad ea T. Quintius Proconful, nihil tam alienum à rebus Achæorum effe oftédit: quippe, flue gratia, fiue dignitate, pramium victoris futuros ${ }^{2}$. Ariftenus quo- a I inisustib. queprator Achæorum, cùm Romani ${ }^{3}$.

B 5 primùm
De ivre et off. bell.
primùm eorum amicitiam expeterent,
\& Philippus faltẽ ne armis intereffent,
poftularet, oratione ad fuos habita o-
Itendit, Romanos aut focios habendos,
a Limiml. 32 aut hoftes;mediam' viam nullam efle ${ }^{\text {a }}$ :
que, vt Pontius Samius dicebat, neque
amicos parat,neque inimicos tollit. Ita-
que Portius Cato, fummus vir, Pópero
\& Cxfare inter fe contendentıbus, quä-
uis a factionum auctoribus multis in re-
bus caufa reipub.diffentiret, \& neurrius
mentem plane probaret ; tamen bello
exorto: non fibiquiefcendum exiftima-
uit, fed eas partes fecutus eft, qux plus
æquitatis habere viderentur, Bruto etiä
dehortante, cui prudenter reโpondet:
Summum Brute nefas ciuilia bella fatemur,
Sed quo fata vocant, vertus fecura fequerkr.
Etcetera, qux carmine profequitur Lu-
6ub, 2. canus ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Sed hacdeliberatio, vtrius par-
tes æquiores fint, in democratia velari-
ftocratia, fciffo imperio, quod pluribus
commune eft,admitti poteftin monar-
chia verò , optimus \& prudentiffimus
quifque, principis *,apud quem fumma 20
imperij eft, auctoritatem fequetur,cōrra
feditiofos $\&$ turbulentos ciues, 2 lubdi-
tos. poftquam enim vifum eft reipub.

Liber primvs. 14 per vnum confuli ${ }^{\text {a }}$ :cuilege regia popu• a 1.2 . §.nolus omne imperium in fe, porctatemq́; wrime. $D$ do conceffit $b$, quique eft communis om-b L.i.D.de nium parens $\mathrm{c}: ~ \& ~ c u i d i u i n a ~ l e g e ~ o b e-~ c o n f t u p ~ p r o n c . ~$ dire iubemurd : eum, non fecusac pa-neq; 2roquod triam, defendere \& tueri quilibet debet, ax donat: in Et quidem, vt inquit Cicero, nulla iulta * caula videri poreft aduerfus patriam Roma.13. arma capiendi.\& Plato nefas effe duxit, vi vti contra patrem vel matrem,omniü verò maximè contra patriam. Nulla autem tanta impietas, nullum tantum fee. lus, quod fit parricidio vindicandum ${ }^{\text {e }}$. oumetilde. Parricidā verò patriæ vocat Cicero An- cla,286. tonium; quòd reipub. bellum inferrets cuius certè falus prxferri debet faluti
22 patris ${ }^{\text {f }}$.Rempub.voco* ${ }^{*}$ in quo fanctiffimúnomen patrix cōtinerıdixit Cornificiuss; non folum ipfum, quod abfurdum effet, nam omne folū viro forti patria eft : fed ve Cicero interpretatur, gad Hern. cœtum ciuium iuris confenfu, \& vtilitatiscommunione fociatum. Quò fpectat quod Céforinus apud Appianum h h in bello legaris Carthagimienfium refpódit: ci- ${ }^{\text {prr. }}$ bitatem Carthaginienfium, quam Romani promiferant liberam fore, non in muris \& ædificiis confintere: qux delere vellent.

De ivre bt off. bell. vellent. Fâtionem verò optimatum, $\&$ feditionem, \& confpirationem populi remp. dici non poffe, idem Cicero afferit.iniuftus enim populus,quem tyrannum vocar, non porelt rempub. conttituere. Nam vt modò diximus, qui hoftilianimo aduerfus rempub,vel principem eft animatus, cùm natura fit ciuis, voluntare fit hoftis, diciturq́; perduela int.vt.d. lis a: quo nomine hoftes appellari foadig. Lat lent ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Etquidem hæcduo, princeps $P$ bl.quosnos. refpub. itaconnexa funt \& copulata, ve D. de irco. illius hoftis nemo effe poffit, quin eria ${ }_{6}$ fig. $1 . v$ utim, fit huius, \&e conuerfo c.Pro patriaverò mori,\& ei nos totos dedere, \&in ea noftro omnia ponere, \& quafi nos confcd de laribus crare debemus, vt inquit Cicer. ${ }^{\prime} \&$, vt th.2. idern ait,laudanduseft, qui mortemoppetit pro repub. quiq́ue docet chariore effe parriam nobis, quàm nofmet ipfos. Omnia itaque quxagimus, nonad noItram viilitatem \& commodum, fedad patrix \& principis falurem conferre debemus. quo nomine Demarathus La. cedemonius, Ariftides, Phorion \& Themiftocles Atheniefes; Tarquinius Cole latinus, Camillus \& Rutilius Romani, maximam laudé meruerunt:qui omnes
inimrias
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is iniurias à patria illatas, xquiffimo animo tulesüt,\& nullam vindictam,quamuis nō deeffer facultas, molitifunt. quin Themiftocles, fumpto veneno, mori maluit, quàm Pcifirum regi,a quo magnishonoribus \& pręmiis erat affectus, operam fuam ad bellum patrix, licet ingratx, inferédum preftarc.\& Camillus, vir optimus, \& de patria optimè meritus, in exilium actus, patrix in extremo periculo,immemoriniurix,opem tulit, eamq́ue hoftibus expulfis, reftaurauit. 23 Inobedientia*autem fubditorum, \& rebellio in principem,grauiffimum crimé habetur, \& hxrefi comparatur ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : \& ino- a c.friedum: bediens dicitur infidelis b. Quomodo 8. qu.1, $\frac{1}{2}$ enim, inquit D. Hieronymus, fideliseffe papac. 90. dic poterit, in fubftantia Dei, quicarnali b s.rullus. Domino fidemadhibere non potuitc $38 . d a s i$. Domit ideoq́ue ob quantumuis grauia oneraa ${ }^{23 . g \text { gesf. } S_{0}}$ rege lubditisimpofita, non licet rebellare. nam melius eft omnia mala pati, quàm malo confentire d. \& quamuis o- d c.ita nec: nus a fuperiore impofitum fit difficile, ${ }^{32 . g u 4 e 77.5 .}$
 deuotione tolerandume, propter bo- éc.inmemanum obedientie.generale enim pactum ram, 29.dijf eft locietatis humanx, obtemperarere-
gibus

De ivrbet off, bell.
-c.ameon gibus fuis ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Quare quamuis Roboam, mo., 山/s.3. filius \& fucceffor Salomonis, noller vel minimum Ifraelitis, de iugoduriffimo ipfis impofito per Salomoné, ninuere: feddiceret, quod graui iugo, a patre impofito, grauius fuperadderet: ideoque decem tribus Ifrä̈l fiffex a tribu ludx
b 3 Rg. $12 . \&$ Beniamin, relicto rege fuo ${ }_{3}$ Hieroboà feciffentregemb,nontamen ex eo extra culpam fuerūr. nam indignatus eft Dominus omnifémini Ifraël, \& demoliuit eos, $\mathbb{\alpha}$ dedit eos in direptionem, donec abijcerete eos a facie fua. quia fcilicet ab vnitate difipati, alterum libi regem cōe com. hb: it fituiffent c. Similiter quamuis Nabuqurn.c, , d, de- chodonofor rex effer infidelis, \& plurimeg:ac.7.94. 1 dHict. 39. ma mala faceret ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}, \&$ ftatuam fuam aureà adorari pracipertet, \& eos,quinon adoe Dancic. 3. raflent, mittiin fornacé ignis ardentise: tamen dixitei Daniel:Tu rex regūes, $\&$ Dĩs cxli regnum, $\&$ forutudinc $\&$ im* „,
E Daniel, 2. periü dedit tibi . \& Dominusper pro-» phetam Hieremiã art: Dedi omnester-", rasitas in manu Nabuchodonofor re- $»$ gis Babilonis, ferui mei : inluper \& be- „, ftias agridedi illi, \& feruienc illi omnes, gentes, \&filio eius, \& filio filije eius: do ", nec veniat tempus terrę cius, $\&$ ipfius, $\&, \neq$ feruient
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 16"\% feruient ei gētes multę,\& reges magnia. a нikre.27.
„Et prophera Baruch: Orate, inquir, pro vita Nabuchodonoforregis, \& pro vita ", Balthazaris filij eius, vt fint dies corum ", ficut dies cxli fuper terrã ${ }^{\text {b }}$. \& Ezechiel b baruche.t propheta grauiter inuehitur in Sedechiam regem Iudx, accufans eius perfidiam,\& rebellionem cōtra regem fuum Nabuchodonofor ${ }^{c}$. Sed \& Dauid rex c $2 . P a t r a ~_{2} 6$ Hebrrorú diuina auctoritate defignatus, tamen Saulem (qui per legem diuinam regno erat priuatus) regnantem \& illi infenfum, fempereft honore regio profecutus,\& cùm poffer eundé, in fpeluncam, in qua latebat, ingreffum, folú paruo negotio obtruncare, noluit, negans fe extéfurum manum inchriftum Dominid. iuffit quoque Dauid occidi d Reg.tce. illum, qui nútiabat fe occidiffe Saulem, ${ }^{24,026 .}$ inquiens: Quare non timuifti mittere manums tuamin chriftū Dominie? Ke. e Reg ze., gesergo \& principes, fi bonifunt, tanquam à Deo miffa ad vindictam malorum, laudem verò bonorum, vt inquit Petrus f , fumma pietate colidebent; fin fepiff,, c. 2 mali, patiēter tolerari. principumenim \& reguin peccata ferenda funt, \& ad Dei iudiciumıeijcienda, cui placet interdü

De ivraet cffobelf. populos, obipforú peccata, iniquitate principum affligi. nam, vt eftin facris literis ${ }^{2}$, Deus regnare facit hominem hypocritā,propter peccata populi ${ }^{\text {b }}$. nulla autem poreftas nifia Deo,vt Paulus teAtatur ${ }^{c}$, qui nos principibus obedire iubet ${ }^{\text {d. \& Petrus, etiam dyfcolis dominis }}$ nos parere vult ${ }^{c}$. \& idem docet honorädos effe reges : cum tamen tunc nullus adhuc rex Chrifti fidem accepiffer, fed omnes effent infideles, \& in Chriftianis perfequendis crudeliffimi (neque enim Neronis \& Domitiani, \& aliorum crudelitatem tot fcriptorü literis celebratam, quifquam ignorat ) iifdem tamen Chriftiani ex præcepto Dei obediebär, quatenus nihil prẹciperetur, quod Deū offenderet:cui fciebant magis obedien.
fallor. i. dum, quam hominibusf. Ex his certè* 24
liquet, quod quamuis tyrãnum, qui per vim \& illegitimè principatum occupauit, fi tyrannis aliter tolli non poffr,occidere cuiliber licitum fit : \& per legem Valeriams facrum eflet cum bonis caput cius, qui confilia regni occupandi in${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ Plut in vi. iiffet S : illum tamen, qui iure fucceflio-
 Ha!.ib,s. iniquè \& crudeliter agat, priuato non licere

Liber primvs. 17 licere occidere, neque populocum reijcere, vel ab eo deficere a.idque concilio Conftantienfib decifum eft: quo etiam hæreticidèclarantur contrarix fentétiæ forpt. Bnk. 25 affertoresc. legitimus ${ }^{*}$ enim princeps, in reper. in quamuis crudelis \& iniquus, tyrannus 26 dicinon poteft.cumq́uelege ${ }^{*}$ regia,imo ex ordinatione Dei, omneimperium \&x poteftas principi in populũ fit collata; illum iudicarepopulus non poterit. nó enim potelt inferior iudicio fuperioré ligare d. Quò fpectat quod propheta d c. Infaioris
 eum, qui fecat in eafaut exaltabitur ferracótra eum, qui trahit eam? ex quibus luce clarius effe Nicolaus Papa refcrip~ fit, non poffequemquam, qui minoris auctoritatis eft, cum qui maioris poteItatiselt, iudiciis fuis addicere, aur propriisdefinitionibus fubiugare $f$. Itaque $f$ in $d, c_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{in}$ : rectè M. Aurelius Imperator populo magiftratum, magiftratui regem, regi verò Deum iudicem conftitutum dixit. Etquidemfi princeps, qui poteftatem a Deo habet, indicta caufa, neminem punireaut condénaré potêts: qua aucto-g ciomènt.
 ceperunt, principem, cuius in poteftate inde.

De ivre et opf. bell. \&imperio funt, \& cui obedire iubêtur, fuo arbitrio expellere,aut reijcere poterunt? cùm ne tyrannus quidé, legeSolonis, indicta caufa occidi poflet; $\&$ cùm iuri naturali repugnet, vt inferior habeat imperium in fuperiorem, \& vtidé fit accufator \& iudex. Grauibus ergo pœenis coërcetur, ex primo capite legis Iulix, non folùm qui principếoccidit, fed etiam cuius operâ,dolo malo, confilium occidendi initum fuerit, vel quid a 1.1. C.ad contra maieftatem imperij machinatüa . l. Lim, madqus. Sed \& fi quamcunque infamiam, quxcoditits. cunque pericula, mortem denique, fires ita poftular, pro falure principis, \& patrix fubire, iura diuina, \& humanacogunt, quæ iufta rebellandi caufa effe poteft? Quemadmodum enim videmusin corpore humano, omnia mébra capiti, tanquā parti nobiliori, obedire, qualiacunque tädem procipiat, \& procius falute nullum recufare periculá: fic quoquefubditi, qui funt mëbra reipub. falutem principis, qui reipubl. caputeft, proprio commodo praferre debent. \&e huius quoque fentétix affertores fuere, qui inter Hebrxos precipui habiri funt, Effę vocati,id eft executores legis diui-

Liber primvs. 18 $n x$ : qui reges \& principes, qualefcunq; randé fint, fubditisinuiolabiles effe debere, dixerunt, tanquama Deo miffos. Nequequemquam mouerit éxemplum Tarquinij Superbi, qui regno pulfus eft, propter fua feelera: tum quia nō rex, fed verè tyrannus fuit : (non enim legitimè, fed per vim, netario fcelere, occifo Tullio focero fuo, regnúadeptus eft ${ }^{2}$.) tum etiam quia, vt I.C.ait,nōquid Romxfiat, fed quid legitimè fieri debeat, fpectandum ent $b_{0}$ errantenim, vt inquit a Dion. Hal. Cicero, qui quod exemplo fit, id eciam iurefieri putât. Et hxc quidem ita procedunt, fif fummum imperium fit apud vnum principem; fin verò fit apud populum, vel optimates; certè hoc cafu finedubio licebit cum tyräno iure agere, fi tempora permittät; fin minus, licebit eum vi opprimere, \& quauis ratione tyrannum è medio tollere. Itaque fenatus prioré viam fecutus eft in Nerone tollendo: pofteriorem in Maximino. Sciédum eft enim Imperatores Romanos non habuiffe fummum imperium, quãuis plerique id fibi tyrannicè vfurpauerintc.idq́ue indicant hxc Suetonij ver- c Appianue ba in Caligula; Parūabfuit, inquit, quin in ${ }_{10}$ proomio 1 . C 2 fpeciem

De ivre et offo bele,
fpeciem principatus in regnum cốuerteret.non enim erant imperatores aliud quàm principes, imperium verò erata-
a Bodin. de rep.lih.a.c.es. pud populum ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Reges verò imperioabutentes*poterit fummus Pontifex, va- 27 riis modis coërcere, \& cōpellere vtad$b$ c.admini miniftrent iufticiam ${ }^{\text {b }}$. gerit enim vices fist. ${ }_{\text {fratere 23. }}$ Deiin terris, a quovtrumquegladium accepir, , piritualem \& temporalem, ad reipub. Chrifianæ quictem, \& confer${ }^{c}$ cc.momit de untionem ${ }^{c}$. Itaque Nicolaus Papa Lo-
 demaio.cto- cata ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ \& Gelazius Anaftafio minababed.
dincofelum. ture.Innogentiuseius nominis primus, 2. quefini. Arcadium Imperatorem, eòquòd fan-
 15 guept. 6. I. c. duo Juns. dist. 26. pelli permilerat, excommunicauitf.Sed \& fi Reip. Chriftianæ omnino conue- niat, non folùm coërcere poterit, fed etiam deftituere. quemadmodum Deus (cuius vicariuseft Pontifex) Saulem regno priuauit, \& Dauidem in locū eius 8 1,Reg.e, 6 furrogauitg.ficq̣́ue Zacharias Pontifex, Childericum eius nominis tertiü Francorum regem deftituit,\& Francosiurifh ealiun, ${ }^{\text {s }}$ jurandi religione exfoluit ${ }^{\text {h }}$.Innocétius quas7.6. quartus, Fredericum II. Imperatorem, propterfua felera primùm excommunicauit,
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nicauic, $\&$ cùm nihil proficeret, indignū imperıo iudicauit,\& fubditos a vinculo facramentiliberauita, \& idem Innocētuus, Lufiraniæ regı, propter fuam negli- formestere ive gentiam, fratrem ipfius Comité Benonienfem, collegam dedit ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Hanc verò b c.gradi.de poteftatem populo tribuere, vt nönulli voluerunt ${ }^{c}$, non folùm abfurdum eft, $\&$ gligent. preiniquum, fed etiam ad reipub. euerfionem fpectat, non enim rex, fialiquantu- ilibs. guest. lum contra volūtarem populiimperat, protinusiniquus, \& tyrannus dicendus bia.Givarit.da eft. nam iftaratione nulli fint reges. Ac Moyfes ipfe iuftiffimus, \& fapiëtiffimus ${ }_{3}$ princeps, idem tyrannus iudicaretur: quòd omnia fere iuberet, ac prohiberet contra populi volūtatem, Sednec monarchia, vel regia poreftas dicenda eft, cùmarbitrio populi refpub.gubernatur a rege:quoniam ftatus reipub.pendet a populo. quo nihilitultius, nibilintemperantius, \& cùm in bonos exarferit,nihil furiofius. Multitudinis namque, vt rectè Liuius, ea eft natura, vt hum:liter feruiat, aut fuperbè dominetur, \& Xenophon, idem imperatorbonusac Philofophus,popularem potentiam vitutibusinimicam effe teftatur : nec aliter

De ivre et off. bexl. ftabiliri poffe, quam bonis omnibus e. iectis. quod Seneca paucis verbis complexuseft: Quisenim, inquit, placere poteft populo, cui placet virtus?Sic cer. tè legimus,apud Athenienfes $\&$ Romanos, longè preftantuffimos ciues, \& de republ.optimè meritos oftracifmo,exilio, morte, per inuidiam, iniuftè a ftulta \& ingrata plebecula multatos fuiffe. Præclarè autem Anacharfis Scytha, Athenienfium rempub. breui perituram prædixit : quòd fapientes in ea confultarent, ftultiverò iudicarent. videbat enimfenatum, reipub, cöfilia, quibusnihilfanctius effedebet, à populo petere: id efta ftulto \& infano, prudentiam.Neque verò tam abfurdumerat, quamnis abfurdiffimum, omnes omnium opes, quàm fummum imperium inter ciues
a in method. equare:quòd,vt rectè Bodinus²,opibus
bisf. cap.6. quifque frui poteft; imperādi verò̀ prudentia pauciffimis eft a natura tributa. Et quidem fi metuendus eft tyrannus, multò magis metuenda eft tyrannorum multitudo. Qui autem populare imperium Ro. laudant, non fatis illorum hiftorias legiffe videntur,neq; perpendiffe calamitates tot feditionum, feceffionü, conten.

Liter primys. 20 contentionum, \& bellorum ciuilium, qux rempub. rotiesaffixerunt. quorŭ omnium certè, cőmunio imperij, quod ex æquo partiri difficile eft, caufa fuit. fed de his pluribus agere inftituti noftri 28 ratio non permitrit. Vtergo iuftas*belli caufas profequamur, bellüaducrfus infideles, ex cofolùm quòd infidelesfunt, ne quidem auctoritare imperatoris, val fummi Pontificis, indici potell ${ }^{2}$, infide- a l.Chifiaz litasenim nó priuat infideles dominio nuy.c.dipprquod habent iure gentium, nam non fi- simp. bor.c. delibus tantùm rerü dominia, fed omni rationabilicreaturx data funr. Domini
 terrarum ${ }_{2} \& 2$ vniuerfi qui habität in eab. fin. 6 c. 6,1 ,1t
 bonos $\&$ malos ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Itaque quamuis Na- tü.derz.iurs. buchodonofor effet infidelis, tamen do- in 6.2. par.
 mododiximus:\& hxc fententia plerifg; ${ }^{\text {Lenont.C.de }}$ probatur, vt oftëdit Couarruuias d. Sed guadr:pre- ${ }_{\text {frntaind }}$ donecex co capite bellum dicetur iuftum procatio. D.
 *tanquam totius mundi domino parere canffis poproreculent, ex receptiffima interpretü fen- mar. Trnait. © © tentiac. nam communem hanc opinior fide Cath; ive nem falfam effe, $\&$ imperatorem $n \delta$ effe ${ }^{1 . b \text { binteriDide }}$ C 4 totius
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 totius mundi dominum, a viris doctiff: a Card. Ak. mis apertè oftenfum efta:quos refert \& Fw'g. Ale, fequitur Couar.b \& Ferd. Vazq.e Vadea Sato et alus b in d. l.peccatwnad 0.9 c quefl. $u$ luff. $1 . . c .20$ of fig. d c. vits. D. de unif.omu. wind. c.ult. de conficiut, ing 6 l. 1. .es toto cit. C. 2 bis de cri ming. agiopor.
e cap.s. perunt, fecundüillud Pauliad Corintяe Quid mihide his qui foris funt, iudicare? Vbi Thomas dicit,prælatos ecclefiz accepife poteftatem tantùm in eos, qui fe fidei Chrifianæ femel fubiecerunt. vnde hæreticis*, qui a fide Chriftiana 30 defecerut, iuftumbellum infertur. Qua dere pulchrè Alphon,a Caft. ${ }^{〔}$ Contenditurverò iufo bello cum infidelibus, ex caufis, ex quibus cum aliis quibufua. que bellum gerere licet $g$. tum etiam ex co quod forte fidem Chriftianam blafo phemiis, prauisq́ue perfuafionibusimpediants,

LIBER PRIMYSO 21 pediant, atque item legis euangelicx liberampredicationem: quia fit iniuria Chrintianis, qui habentius prædicands euangelij, per vniuerfum orbem. Et he quidem iuftx belli gerendi caufx, perpéfo iudicio principibus acregibus(penes quoset belli auctoritas atque confilium ${ }^{2}$ ) funt $x$ frimandę:quifi forte hu3r mana cupiditate beilum gerant ${ }^{*}$, non 3 c.quid culpatur. 23.9 .8 nocebit militibus, qui obedire debent principi. Itaq;inquit D. Auguftinus ${ }^{b} ; b$ bib.22, coo \# facrilego militet, rectè potelt illo iubēte ,2, beilare, ciuicx pacis ordinem feruäs.cui tra Fawif. imperatore paganc militare permiffum eft. nam \& $\frac{1}{}$ Iulianum Cxfarem apoftatā milites Chriftianos habuiffe legimus: quibuscùm diceret, Producite acié pro reipub. defenfione,obediebär:cùm austem dicerce, producite aciē in Chriftianos, agnofebant imperatorem cxli ${ }^{c}$. c c. Tuliamus quos cumidé imperator donatiuo dato ${ }^{81 . q u e \rho .3 .3}$ $\mathrm{C}_{\bar{j}}$ adfa.

De ivee et off. belz. ad facrificia deorum gétilium pellicere rentaffer, donatiuo imperatori reddito, chriftianilmüliberrime profeffos, cum martyrijdefiderio, exauCtoratos fuiffe tradunt ${ }^{2}$. Legimus quoque Marcū Aurelium Antoninum, Chrillianorū, qui fub́ipfo merebant, precibus(quibus fibi pluaiam, hoftibusfulminaa Deoimpetrarunt) bellum Marcomanicum, Sarmatis, Vandalis, Quadis deuictis, con: b pat. Oro. feciffe ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Exquibus docemur fub impe-
 Euctub. ififa. s. .c. ditislicere militare, nifi liquidò conftet s.N.reph. 14 bellum iniuftum effe. nam Deo magis


${ }_{d}^{\text {mis. }}$ pea, s.c.2. parendü effe doceamur d: tamen habet
d pas. s.c., hax obedientia fuos limites, vide licet filiis Ifraël praceptum effeta Deo vtobedirentregi Nabuchodonofor; tamen cùm idem rexiuffiffer, vt ftatuam fuam aurcam adorarent (quod praceptum finesexcedebat poteftatis regię) tum potiushabuerunt, qui optimi erantinter filios Ifraël, in fornacem ardentem con. ijci quam ci precepto regis obedire. quorum voluntaté fic Deus approba-
edan.z. uit, vt illwfos ab igne conferuauerite.
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Quò fpectat quod Chriftusait incuăgelio a:Reddite quæ Cęfaris funt, Cæfa- a matt. 32. ri; \& quxDei, Deo. quod \& Paulus A. poftolus ${ }^{\text {d }}$ planiffimè expreffir , cùmait. bad Ram.ı3 Reddite omnib. debita, cui tributum, tributum; cuivectigal, vectigal; cuitimorem, timorem; cui honorem, hono- - fl.3. D. pro rem. Ex fuperioribus quoque colligere dueft. \&
 caufis diča funt,magis ad equum \& bonum, \& viri boni officium, quàm ad iu- vitu muptiar. ris effectus referri debent. Cùm enim dwe.D.dehic fummis tantum principibus, quifupe- qub. vis in riorem non habent, belligerendi ius fit,
 uenit. hinc certo modo iuftum * po- fimb 2.2 . 5.5 terit dici bellum, etfin non ex iufta caufa ${ }^{\text {D. . de }} \mathrm{vacaca}^{\text {man. }}$. geratur. iufti enim. variæfunr fignifica- Dimus. D. D. de tiones : vt annotauit Budxusc. neque femperxquitatem, \&iufticiá defignat, fed aliquando etiam plenitudinê quandam fignificat. quo fenfu dicurtur iufte witcorat. pof. nuptix ${ }^{\text {d }}$, iuftum matrimonium ${ }^{c}$, iufta xtas ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$, iufta perfona litem intituendig, iuftusfilius ${ }^{\text {h }}$, iufta libertas : coq́qe fenfu iuftum prælium dixit Liuius र.fimili:
 maxcris. c.
 hinl.2. D.
 Topic.l.t.D. fu iuftum prælium dixit Liusus ${ }^{\text {K.fimili: }}$ uter. D.quet ter iuftum bellum dicitur, quod publicè a quib. malegitimeq́ue geriturabiis, qui bellige- ${ }^{n 4} \mathrm{k}$ ib.49. randi

De ivar ey offe rile.
 p, desspoint Hoftes, inquit, funt, quibus publicè populus Roma. bellum decreur, velipfi populo Roma. ceseri verò latrunculi, vel prodones appellantur. Et Pompa ${ }^{6}$ L.jpfets.D. nius ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : Hofles, dicit, funt, qui nobis, aut quibus publicè nos bellŭ decreuimus: ceteri verò latrones, aut predones funt. Vnde hoc bello, licet non exiufta caufa getatur, iura belli, captiuitatis \& poftiminij, qua hoftibus cōueniunt, locū habebiit. quod ex eofatis probatur, quòd cùm Romaninō nifi iuftisex caufis bellum mouerent, quod iure fecialidiligée Dion.Hal, ter cautam erat ${ }^{\text {c }}$; tamen hofescorum $\boldsymbol{A}_{\lambda}$ $\omega_{6}, 2 . \quad$ quiiuftam belli caufam habcre non potuerunt, quę vtrinque iuftaefle non po. teft, capta hocbello etiam iure Romanorum fibi acquirebant, \& captos in dil popllimi- feruiturem redigebant ${ }^{1}$. idq̆que verū cft , pisio. D. deca etiamf l liquido conftaret de iniquitate $\underset{c}{p, d . l} \mathrm{possla}$. hoftiū. quod Paulus I. C.fatis indicate, eminj.apprin. cùm ait: Naturaliæquitate inductūeffe. vt qui per iniuriam ab extraneis detinebatur, is vbi in fines fuos rediffet, priftinum ius fuŭ reciperet: :iure fcilicet poftliminij. Exquibus apparet, captumab holibus etiam ex iniufta caufa bellum
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 gerentibus, fieriferuum, namalioqui non effer opusfictionibus poftliminij: ficut nec opus eft, cùm quis à latronibus captusfuit, cùm non fueritferaus ${ }^{2}$. vt iura bellilocum habeant, attinet, bellum geri $a b$ iis, qui hoftium numero funtdefiniti, $\alpha$ belligerãdi ius habent ${ }^{b}$ captio . . do Quo fenfu videtur defendi poffe Alcia- rearr. Fuls. o
 35 iuftum ${ }^{*}$ bellum effe poffc afferunt, con- wort. $o$ ime. tra receptam interpretum fententiam; quæfiad iuftam bellicaufam referatur, xquiffimaelt. cum enim hoftes contrarij fibifint, contraria iura neceffe eft habeant: \& vna eademq́ue belli caufa hinc inde iufta effe nō poteft. Ceterum cùm 36 pecuniam ${ }^{*}$ neruum belli effe plerique Rofain $1 . c_{n}$.
 Flaminij, qui Philippomeni Achæorum fumm. Trio. prxtori, multis equitibus, ac grauis armature militibus inftructo, pecunię au- ran. quor attem inopi, illudens,ınanus cum digebat habere \& crura, fed ventre carere, nam fil: 284.
 37 quxrifolet, cuius impenfis* bellum geri $d$ in di.i.ex debeat, vtrum principis, an populi. Et quidem fi Princeps reditus regales ${ }^{e \text { Pimst inga- }}$

De ive et off. betlo habeat a ciuibus affignatos, certè ipfius principis impenfis bellum geri debere
a Augelini. tarres. per alliz seaxt. C. Leoper. public. Ia Joun on $l$, dic wortio. (\$.ims: pendia, é ibi Zafins, D. fol.matio.
Guilo de Caso geej. Bald. ${ }^{\circ}$ Cypg. in l. ns.
minem. C.de facompecel. befjc. 16 or. plerique exiftimant ${ }^{2}$. quod maximam rationem habet . omnes enim omnino principatus, regna, imperia ob publicä ipforum ciuium vtilitatem, non obeorum qui reipub. prefunt commoda, inductaeffe,communi,frequentiffimaq́ue philofophorum, \& legumiatorum fententia proditum eft. Itaque Cicero ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : Omnino, inquit, qui reipubl. prefunt cuef. Bocrims in treft, de sufficha.
duo Platonis proceptateneant. vnum, vi vtilitatem ciuiū fic tueantur, vt quicquid agant, ad cam referant obliti commodorum fuorum. alterum, vt totum corpus reipubl. curent, ne cùm partem aliquam ruentur, reliquas deferant ${ }_{4}$ vt enim turela, fic procuratio reipub.ad $v$ tilitatem eorum, qui commiffifunt, nó ad corum quibus commiffa eft,gerenda eft. Quamfententiam latè prolequitur c quef. i. Ferdinand.Vazq. ${ }^{\text {'Si }}$ iverò princeps ido-

 $\int_{\text {de }}$ a offiche. pro. certus tributi modus prafcribi poteft. nienfium in bello cörra Philippum certam tributifummam definiri poftulantibus;

Lteer primvs. 24 cibus, refpondir, bellum finiti non poteft ${ }^{2}$. 8 hucfacit, quòd exfententia Ca a pumanjtonis , in republ. bene conftituta debet ta Daraf. effe domi induftria, ęrarium opulêtum, tenues res priuatr: qui corruptiffimis moribus vitium contrarium pofuit, publicè egeftatem, priuatim opulentiam. vnde luxu \& defidia ciuitas facilè corrumpitur, vt ex Salluftio refert \& probat D. Auguft.b Meminiffe tamen de- bidecimit.Di bent principes, modeltiffimi illius refponfi Tiberij Cæfaris, quithefaurariis onerandas tributo prouincias dicentibus,refpondit, boni paftoris effe, tödere pecus, \& non deglubere. Memorabilis quoque eft Traiani ${ }^{*}$ fententia: quicorreptus ab vxore Pópeia Plotina, quòd calumniis fuorum procuratorum prouinciæ diuexarētur, deteftari cœepit improbas exactiones, \& infigni vfuseft fimilitudine, fifcum appellans lienem. ficut enim, crefcente in corporibus noItris liene, artus reliqui tabefcunt : ita turgente principis fifco, marcefcunt cetera reipub. membra, \& grauiter xgrotant ${ }^{c}$. Exfuperioribus deducitur, ciuem cser. aum, non teneri propriis fumptibus princi- Vis. in whas pem ad bellum fequi: fed fipēdium illi a prin-
a Arctions. preindiciales. inflit. de aCtionib Sarr. sıl, Menia. $\emptyset$ 1.per allütex. D.de ams, le. get. Oidrs. coisfl. 234. Caf.ir cöfuct. Borgmon.tet.de iept. 6.4. Boe pins decif. 303 b lleg.l. 3 . ${ }^{\text {ent }}$ -5 nxic.19.pero 2. c Cefar de bello gatlel. 5 d Argumiento

> 1. fua viktion D.de ofer.lib. Specul.in Tit. Lefen. $6 . q$ geo. viam ver. 12 Bald. in c.1. guibse mod. fond. munit. Ludoni.Romo in !. recufare. S.finguis alio, col. 1. .et 2.D. ad S.G.Treb. e Argu.hi.et ibj Angel.D. adl. Rhadity, de ialt.

 Diaciafl. ford. forre factaiftiufmodi dẫa refarciri fo-
 voca-
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 damna refarcienda actioné haber. nam ex caufa turpi nulla daturactio. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

DE DVELLO SEV SINGVLARI CERTAMINE.
I Duellum quare probibitum.
D. de verbo. oblig. L. Giremamerandi. $\phi$ reiturps. D. mäd th. Inno. inc.ficus, 0 infra de sure.

2 Ducllum ex caufala $e$ fam̆л illicitum.
3 Diselli v/iss antiquißimus in Hißpania.

* Duellum fuit invfu apud quoo dain populos.
5 Confuetudo duelli nonvaiet.
6 Conjuetudo duellialienaa Grecorum ©́ Romanor infitutis.
7 Duethm cum hosite licitum.
8 Dauid fingulari pugna prositrauit Goliath.
- Singulare certamen magni momenti in bello.
10 Duellononnüquam belli finis impofitus.
II Depugna Horatiorum cum Curiatys.
12 Non effe tutumbelli enentum duello redimere.
13 De chetio Suffetio.
Cap. tertivm.

DVelli vfum vtdeteftabilem, \&fabricante diaboloinducū, fumma tatione facri canones, \& concilia pattū, D variis

De ivrbet off. bell. variis cenfuris \& pœonis, tam in eosqui decertant, quàm eos, quorũ auctoritate, \& confilio,atque etiam prafentiaiftiufmodi certamina ineûtur, ftatutis exterminandum $\&$ abolendum effe cenfue-
acmonomse churm. $2.9-4$ cs.et tote 4 it. de purg. vul. os cits. is cler. induel.pog. 4 cöcul.Trides. fefl.ig. vt quis auctoritate propria fibi ius dib L. exfat. cat ${ }^{\text {b }}$.ideo enim latæ funt leges, \& creati D.quad met. magiftratus, vt licitūabillicito difcer-
sauf. clo s. D.de nant, $\&$ ius fuum cuique tribuant ${ }^{c}$.tum ix37.COiur. etian quòd hac decertandi ratione ipfa iufticia violetur.nă quàm plurimi,quorum caufaiure \& xquitate optima erat, folidifimi deorum Martis arbitrio pude. figmif. gnantes occubuêre d. praterea quòd
 ad manifeftationem veritatis,vt plerunque fit, duellum initure. denique cùm homicidiú diuino \& humano iure prohibeatur, duellum,per quod plerunque ad homicidium deuenitur, effe prohibitum etiam dicendum eft.cùm enim aliquid prohibetur, prohibitum etiam cenfetur omneid, per quodeò deueni-
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 252 tura. Sunt tamen ${ }^{*}$ quiexiftimét, ex caufa a argumento lefx famx hoc genus pugnx iniri poffe: l.oratio, D.de Quia, inquiŭt, crudelis eft, qui negligit famam fuamb fama enim cómodo prxferenda eft ${ }^{c}, \&$ vitæ æquiparatur d. fed eorum féntentiam non probo. idcirco enim, vt inquit Imperatore, iudiciorum vigor, iurisq́ue publici tutela eft in medio cöftituta, ne quifquam fibi ipfi per- $v a z q$ quesfi. mittere valeat vltionem. \& non poreft dici honorisfui prodigus, vel negligés, qui fuam, fuorúmue iniuriam auctori$b$ Bald inn. I col.s. de pace te.end. hoc genus pugnx, tam ad oftentationé virtutis, quàm ad cötrouerfias decidendas, antiquiffimum fuiffe, prxfertim in Hifpania . nam cùm Scipio Carthaginé nouam, ad vota foluendadiis, munusq́; gladiatorum, quod mortis caufa patris, patruiq́ue parauerat, rediiller, \{pectacu-
" Pecimen infita genti virtutis oftentan„ dum: alij, ipfi profeffi le pugnaturosin

D 2 gratiam

De ivre et off. zall. gratiam ducis : alios æmulatio ad certamen, vt prouocarent,prouocatiq́; haud abnuerent, traxit:quidāquas difceptan- ${ }^{\prime}$, do controuerfias finire nequiuerät, aut,, noluerant, pactiinter fe, vt victorem res fequeretur, ferro decreuerunt. nec obfcuri generis homines,fed clari, illuftref que Corbis \& Orfua patrueles fratres, ", de principatu ciuitatis, quälbé vocant,, ambigentes, ferro fe decertaturos pro-" feffifunt. Corbis maioretate erat. Orfue $\%$ pater princeps proximèfuerat, a fratre maiore poft morté eius principatuaccepto.cùm verbis Scipio difceptare vellet, ac fedare iras, negatumidambo dicere communibus cognatis, necalium deorum hominúmue, quàm Martem fe iudicem habituros : robore maior; minor fore ætatis,ferox.mortemin certamine, quàm alteralteriusimperiofubijceretur, prooptantes. Cùmab tanta rabie dirimi nequirent, infigne fectaculŭ exercitui prabuere, documentumque," " quantü cupiditas imperij malum inter $\Rightarrow$ mortales effet. Maior vfu armorum, \& ,, aftu facilè folidas vires minoris fupera- „, uit . hactenus Liuius. Et hęc quidem decertandiratio ${ }_{2}$ etiam polterioribustē- 4 poribus,

$$
\text { Liber primys. } 26
$$ poribus, ad lites dirimendas, certis cafibus, \& legibus apud Hi ipanos (quäuis eandem iniquifimã fcirent ${ }^{2}$ ) permiffa a Reg. Lib. 8.

 ribus receptum fuit, vt quoties coram in udds par. iudicedefectusquifpiameffer, aliquid. ${ }^{\circ}$ quead plenam intentionis fidem iudici faciendam deeffer, duello certis cafibus controuerfa definiretur ${ }^{c}$. Confituit \& cin $1 l_{\text {long }}$. Fredericus Ænobarbus imperator, vt a- $\begin{gathered}\text { fit } \\ \text { cifenend dob. }\end{gathered}$ liquandoduello res decideretur ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Neq; atu.ceparg.
 confuetudo multùm diffidet, ve liquet damidepa. exeorum legibus, quas Salicas vocant. \&extar apudeos quoque hac Philippi conititutio: Siquis occulticriminis, capitalisq́ue reus fit, atque ita de cocon- cotrar $\delta$. per Itet,vequæftioni fubijci poffit;condem- Sit. demered. nari non poflit: is, arbitratu accufatoris, quat ab intef. duello experiatur. cuius conflitutionis o Detif iox meminit Ioann. Fabere: eamque refert Guido Papx ${ }^{f}$ : quiadducit quoque, \& interpretatur aliam quandam conftieutionem imperialem de modo duelli, \& qua ratione indici debears. Soler quoq; hæc confuetudo duelli admodum frequens effe in Italia, prafertim pro conferuatione honorish. Vnde murti hanc
h Deci. corsci.97. Curt. 8ny conc. 873 Curtofent. ar refetitio. 1. adranódid. deckr, surato. Cagnol es aiü guas refers Ferd. Vazg. quesfy ilum? D 3 rem

De tVre et off. bell. rem anxiè tractauerunt. vtpote quibus de caufis duellum inire liceat : vter prouocatoris, vel prouocati vices fuftineat: quiad duellum admittantur: quãdodetrectariduellum poffit : quando optionem, quem vulgo campionem vocant, dare conceffum fit:de electione armorü \& loci : de iuramento calumnix a pugnantibus præflando: \& fic de ceteris cótrouerfiis, quæ in hoc genere pugnę inciderefolent. Nonignorauerunttamen viridoctiffimi hanc confuetudiné, quippe iuri contrariam, non* valere ${ }^{2}$ :prefer- 5 tim cùm exprefsè iure canonico fit prohibita, cuil fandum eft in vtroqueforo, cùm agitur de peccato b. fed quia defaCto feruari folet, perinde de huiufmodi corruptela difputauere,atque fi valeret: quia qux facto fiunt, quädoque ad caufamiuristrahuntur ${ }^{c}$. Et quidem con-
cl.mulier.is. 1.D.de cond. fuetudo ifta*, inter ciues, focios \& com- 6 ingf 1 qu: babelat. D. de mamb. teifa. Alexan. con. cil. 107 , col. 6 militones fingulari pugna côtrouerfias decidendi, non folum a Chriftianis inftirutis abhorret : fed etiam plurimùm abfuita veteri tum Gręcorum,tum Romanorum difiplina; qui turpifimum cenfebant, bellifocios, quos oportet ope mutuacaufam communé defendere, ftulta,
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ftulta, vt frpe fit, ac perniciof ambitione, pugnare, aut velle fuas priuatas controuerfias ferro potius dirimi, quàm communibuslegibus diiudicari. quod a ratione admodum alienum eft . non fecùs quàm fiduo Philofophi, aut Iureconfulti interfe, vter doctior fit, gladiis decertent. Neque enim de omnibus iniufticix generibus vllū turpiusaut maius efle poteft, quàm vt imbecillis ac tenuis,accepta iniuria, fitinfamia dignus, nifi cum aduerfario, quătumcunque viribus prxftet, armis decertauerit,ac vitä periculis obiecerit : prefertim cum natura fic cöparatuin fit, vt qui minimum rationis, plurimum roboris habeant, vt
 ratione etiam fortiffimi viri, ficum belluis, qux robore prxitant, conferantur, iure infames fint. priusitaq; omnia experiri, quàm armis decertare fapientem
7 decet. Ceterum quihocgenus*pugnx non caula vindiđæ, aut priuati odij, fed probono publico fubeunt, extra culpá b 1.1 .0 ..$q u i$ funt: cùm etiam laudem mereanturb.. oprass. D. de Itaque fiquisiuffu, velfaltem confenfu cagrod. in $i$. ducis (cùmalioqui extra ordinem pug. fauovedurit.) nare non liceat) cum hofte publico,fin- mis.

De ivre et off. bell, gulari certamine congrediatur, non folum non peccat in leges, $\&$ fatuta Pontificum, fed exemplo Torquati, Coruinij, Marcelli, Scipionis, Æmiliani \&caliorum, maximam inde gloriam referre debet.eadem enim ratio quę bellumiuftumadmittit:inquo pro patrix, velecclefix defenfione mori gloriofiffimum ac.ommiti- eft ${ }^{\text {a }}$ :hęc quoque certamina licita effeo-
 ${ }_{9} .5$. Res.. luf. que in bello,nō eft tentare Deum,quod cib.3. the.25.
par.2. lex diuina vetat, nec hoftem tollere, eft homicidium patrare, naturx lege prob c. mikss.c. hibitum;fed officio fungi boni militisb. bomacidiń 23 queft.s. Vndenon minus* licuit Dauidi Phili- 8 ftequm Goliath fingulari pugnaprofternere, quàm fi hocidem conferto mulcherg $1,6,17$ torum pralio feciffet ${ }^{c}$. Et certè hæ pugnæ ${ }^{*}$, non parum fxpead totiusbellie- 9
dib. s. uentum faciunt. Itaque Liuius ${ }^{d}$ de pugna illa Torquati cum Gallo tractans: Tanti, inquit, eadimicatio ad vniuerfi ," belli euentum momentifuit, vt Gallo-", rum excrcitus relictis trepidè caftris, in " Tiburtem agrum, mox in Campaniam, ${ }_{22}$ träfierit. Similiter bello fociali, cùm in- ${ }_{32}$ gens Gallus ex Gallicis auxiliis, qux L. Cluentius cum Sylla decertaturus af-fumple-

$$
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$$ fumplerat, procurrens, inuitaffetadingulare certamen, ex Romanis aliquem, \& eum paruç ftatura Numida confeciffet : territi Galli confeftim terga verterunt:iamq́ue turbata acie, nec alij Cluetiani perftiterunt, fed Nolam perfugeIo runt trepidè ${ }^{2}$. Committuntur* quoque a appiam do

 fummis principibus: vel ab vno, aut pluribus hinc inde a principibus pari numero electis, quorum euentus bello finem imponat.quod olim à Tullo Hoftilio Romanorum rege, \& Metio Suffetio rege Albanorum factum fuiffe legimus. quum enim pari robore frequétibus preliis vtrimq; comminuerentur, miffo in compédium bello, vt inquit 1 .
${ }^{11}$ Florus ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Horatiis ${ }^{*}$, Curiatiisq́ue, trige- $b$ lib.ri, c. 3. minis hinc atque inde fratribus, vtriufque fata commiffa funt, folemniprius foedere intervtrumque regem, \& vtrūque populú percuffo, \& legibus dictis, quibus vichi victoribus dederentur ${ }^{\text {c }}$. ctimiun h.t. Quod genus pugnæ non quidem illicitumeffe cëfeo:nam \& D. Thomas', ex- d2.2.quaff: xquansducllum iudiciofortis, illicitum ${ }^{\text {es ass.8. }}$ quidem effe dicit, fifiat ad probationer, vel reuelationem rei occultx: nö autem

De fure et off, bell. fif fiat ad diuifionem rerum, vel controuerfix definitionem:quale fuit certamé Dauidis cum Philitroo,quod approbatum fuit Deo . quod equidem admitto inter fummos principes: vel corum auctoritate, quibelli gerendı ius habent, vt fcilicet bellorum calamicates vitétur. Quo cafu etiama Romanis pótificibus nonnunquam duellum cóceflum fuiffe legimus. itaque Carolus Andegauenfis, \& Petrus Tarraconenfis, cùmde Sicilia diu bellum geffifent, auctoritate Martini pontif. fingulari certamine cōtrouerfiam finire decreuerunt ${ }^{2}$. Sed häc rationé controuerfias decidendi ${ }^{*}$ (cùm 12 valdè temerarium fit, fummam rerum pericuio vnius, aut alteriuscömittere) non omnino tutam, neque etiam femper bello fine éimponere exiftimo.quod euentus pugnæ trigeminorum fatis oftendit,nam Metius Suffetius *, fortuná 13 \& euentum totius belli, \& virtutem fui exercitus fortunæ aut virtuti Cuiatiorum comınittendo,regnum \& libertaté tam propriam, quàm f:orum, pro qua tuenda multis annis contenderant,momento amifit;\& Romanis fortunæ arbitrio (cuitemerè fe fubiecerant) victori-

Lrber primys. 29 bus,non omnino tuta victoria fuit.nam quannuis Metius Suffetius victus, protinus fe fuaque omnia fubmitteret Romanis: pôtea tamé in expeditione Ve ientana, exfpectata occafione, fux temeritatis pœonitens, Romanum exercitum prodere conaruseft ${ }^{2}$. Quare vbi de om-a Limink. 1 nium falute \& libertate, vel de tota poffeffione agitur, non nifitotis viribus cötendendum eft. alias enim non folum. victus temeritatem fuam incufabit, fed nec victor omnino fecuruserit . eiusenim animus, vt inquit Liuius, in perpetuum vincitur, cui confeffio expreffa fit, fe neq;arte, neque cafu,fed totis viribus cominus collatis, iufto ac pio bellofuperatum effe. Neque credi poteft, vllum populumaur hominem denique (vt fenatus Romanorum in caufa Priuernatium fenfit) in ea conditione, cuius eum pœniteat, diutius quàm neceffe fir măfurum ${ }^{\text {b }}$.

[^4]
## 1 Pignerandipoteftas antiquifimacöfue. tudine permi $\int f a_{\text {. }}$

2 pignerationes quomodo bodic permiffa.
3 Con-

De fvre et offe bell.
3. Confuetudopignerădianiureprobata fit.

* Nonnunguam ex caufa etiam fine culpa quem puniri.
s Etiam fine culpa potest quis affrit pana bonorum; non e tiam parnacorporali.
- Pignerationes ad offenfionem perfonaris innocentium illicitr.
7 D. Ambrofuus Theodofium imaper. increpuit.
\& Exqua caufafit condisal. vindicari, $C$. de paen.

9. In pignerationibus innocentes ex accidétit occidi po $\int$ Junt.
10 pignerationes non alio modo licire quàm
quo bellum.
Cap. evartym.

PIgnerandi poteftatem ${ }^{*}$,quam Greci I Androlepfiam vocant, antiquiffima confuetudine permiffam fuiffe conftat. morisenim fuit antiquiffimi, vt qui homicidium perpetraffent, fi cùm inexternam vrbem profugiffent, ad pœnam expofciti non dederenturiis, ad quos facinus admiffum pertinebat, ipfis vt permiteretur pignerandi poreftas, fic, enim fancitŭ fuifle legimus : fiquis vio- $\%$ lenta morte obierit, prohoc gentilibus ${ }_{2}$ \& co.

Liber primys. 30 \& cognatis Androlepfix funto, quoad iudicium cędis,pœnasq́ue fubierint, vel , necisauctores dediderint. androlepfra ròad tres vfque, nec coamplius efto. Qux verba referuntur a Demonthene in oratione in Ariftogitonem, quiiftic defendit, caufx fortaffe inferuiens, Androlepfiam legeeffe permiffam, non cōtra profugüfufcipientes, fed contra cos demum, apud quos cxdes facta fuiffer. Iulius verò Pollux eam permiffam fuiffefcribit, etiam contra eos, qui homicidam profugum fufcepifient, nec eum petentibus dedidiffent, nec ad criminis pœnam, nec ad vindictam: necipfiiuftè punirent: vt annotauit Budæusa. Ad a intauffa. 2 hunc fanè modum * pignerationes hx, Ita. §. eners. quas reprefalias vocant, hodie permittuntur, vt fiquaex gente latrones, aut piratæ, vel quicunque alij homicidia, aliáue fcelera perpetrauerint, iniuriam damnúmue intulerint : nec eam paffi potuerint obtinere abiis, quibusfcelerum auctoresfubiiciuntur, vel qui cos fufceperint, vt iidem dedantur, vel pœ-nas luant, tunc liceat vti pignerationi3 bus. Sed vtrùm hæc confuetudo ${ }^{*}$ iure defendi poflit, controuerfumeft : prefertim

De ivre et off. beil. ac. wheic. . Fertim exeo, quòd iniquum fit, \&aiu-
 cmmen D.dc men innocenti pocnam infligi 9 . nam per. frovilisw, pena fequitur criminis auctorêb . Scrititaxemmer pruin eft, infontem \& iuflum non occi-
 de conftuwi
Cqueffeti
rietur.



 c.eteran her. rentibus; fed vnufquifque pro peccato c Exod. 23. d Exch. 18. fuo morietur ${ }^{\text {e }}$. Nihilominus tamen, edertcicrit. quandoque ex caufa ${ }^{*}$,etiam ficulpa abfc.gusniam frequëter. $\$$. fiverò utlite koncötelk. c. renomantes, et ibi gloff. 22 . dujt.cap.ansi. gw.ditpruct. c.vegatin. .c. mec bumfmo. di. de besert.
 comfli.o. of algaterat.to c.eccle. 1.9.4 geap.21. h Lev.c. 20. is milier.is quast 1. KReg 2.c. 12 1 Gimed.s.19 fit, quem puniri poffe conftat f. Quò fpectat lex illa Exodis:Si animal hominē occiderit, lapidibus obruatur. quod \& lege Draconis,legiflatoris Atheniéfis cautum fuit. Similiter pecus licet ratione careat, \& a culpaimmune fit, cūipfa fomina, qux fe ei com mifcuir,fufpendi iubetur ${ }^{5}$.ne rali fagitio contaminatum indignam refricet facti memorià i. Prxterea puer natus Dauidiex illicito coitu, ob parentis crimena Deo extinctus eft ${ }^{k}$. \& infantes Sodomxabf; vllo proprio peccato, fimul cū adultis peccatoribus igne confumptifunth. vide Deus iple

| Liber primvs. 3 l |
| :--- | ipfeait: Egofum Deuszelores, vifitans iniquitaté patrū in filios,vfq; ad tcrtiam \& quartä gencrationé ${ }^{2}$. Qux quidê di- a Exod, r,2o uinaiudicıa quäuis hominibus imitari non liceat, nifi quatenus ex manifeftis 5 caufis procedür:ideoq; nulla lege*, quacunque ex caufa, quis debeat corporali poena affici ob alterius crimen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : (qua $b$ auguff. de caula etiā iniquè ab Arcadio \& Ho- 8.ffperi iopue norio impp.c dictum cenfet Couarru- en amicireleritic uias d, ex imperatoria lenitate, \& indul- Conarruxia. gentia fe vitam concedere filiis eorum, quicrimen lafx maieftatiscommiferāt: cùm nullo iure illis vitam adimere pofrent) tamen ex caufis,\& propter reipub. vtilitatem; quoq́; magis homines a delictis compefcantur,humana lex poterit pœna pecuniaria, publicatione bonorum, vel fimali, punire etiäillos, qui non deliquerunt: \& ita paffim legibus \& canonibus natutum eft:prafertim in filiis \& neporibus corum, qui crimen læねx maieftatis diuin $x$ vel humanæ commiferunt: quiafficiunturinfamia, \& plectuntur publicatione bonorum ${ }^{\text {c }}$. quod ed.g.quiguin præclarè legibus comparatum effe dicit cumfimitib. Cicero ${ }^{f}$; vt charitas liberorum amicio- $f$ inepif. ad res parentes redderetreipub, quam fen- Bruswm, tentiam

De ivreet off. bell. a vamar. re.
ful.4b, 2 c.s.
tentiam ex
D. Auguftino $\&$ aliis, late b g. 10 fuper profequitur \& difcutit Couarruuias a. 10fore, c. Doтипи. 2392 c. I.de iurente. in 6. Wi bo Anch. Dom. et Franc. iac. de Camb. Bart. initrac. de reprefa. Sal $1 n$ aktbeb. omnumo C.de celt. © oblig. Imno. in c. o. lam, de rest :. foolias. lacrob. de Bellou in aush. $2 t$ non fans pign. c to: :. Paporn. in eneff.la. So tut. 3.142 . d l. liber bo mo. D. ad l. Aqual.c.cornsingrıs.de fent. excom. Gloff. infig. iss cap. cimm homo. 23. gueft s . c Gioff. elesans, in Rub. c. de decret. decu, in. 10 quä ghoffam Ex quibus, nifallor, manifeftumeft ${ }^{*}$, 6 pignerationes iftas licitas quidë effe (vt communi omnium confenfu deducitur ex D. Augulino ${ }^{b}$, ) fed non quoad offenfionem perfonarum innocētium, \& qui nullam illati damni culpam coneraxerunt ; verùm bonorum tantum c. Quemadmodum enim quis folo confenfu fe poteft fubijcere damno bonorum, non autem membrorum, vel corporis ${ }^{d}$ : fic quoque lege vel ftatuto, cui vnufquifque cófentire præfumitur, poreft quis ex caufa, licet fine culpa, affici pœna pecuniaria, nō etiam corporali $c_{\&}$ Quare iuftiffimè D.Ambrofius* Theo- 7 dofium imperatorem increpuit, quòd milite quodam in tumultu occifo, iuffit populum, vt quifque obuius effer, cædi. potuit enim imperator militis occiforescapite punire: iniquè verò, etiam ex caula leditionis, innocentes occidi iuffitf . pro quo delicto culpam cum lacrymis profeflus imperator, publicam pe-niten-
paßinn fequantur interpp. ©r commendat Bald, in l, un.C.ne filins prapat. Alex.in l. fape, num io.D,de re send, Ange. © lafom §. actiones, nw.68. snflif.de acitio.


Liber primvs. 32 nitentiam in totius confpectu ecclefix 8 egit:\& lcge cauit*, vt fi princeps ex iracüdiàquem feuerius puniri iabeat: £ententia ad triginta dies fúlpédatur ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Ce a a int, vindi-
9 terum quemadmodum in bello * non licetex profeffo innocéres occidere fe d. cap. Cimm minas ©cilicet, nec infantes: (licettamé exaccidenti,fiputa oppidum machinis, vel tormentis bellicis concutiatur, cum aliter bellum geri non poffit.) fic quoq; in hifce pignerationibus, quamuis innoxijoffendi non poffint, fed tantùm bona eorum capereliceat:permittit tamen conditio rei, vt ey accidenti occidantur: fiquidem aliter pignerationes ifte exerceri non poffunt. Sciendü verò to *pignerationes iftas non alio modo effe licitas, quàm quo bellũ iure diuino, naturali \& ciuili licitum cenfetur. vnde omnino requiritur auctoritas furnmi Principis,qui belli indicédiius habet ${ }^{b}$. a iudice autem concedi non poffunt, \& $b$ c.Dominus neter .23. inter ciues locum non habent ${ }^{c}$. quamuis olimapud Atheniéfes priuato confilio androlepfiá excrcere liceret: multa tamen confituta noniure ea vtenti; vt ex Polluceannotauit Bud ${ }^{d}$. Itaque \& dinl.aut $f$ e.
 $E$ quod

De ivre et off. bell. quodille populus, contraquem pignerationes ifte concedûtur, vindicare neglexcrit, quod a fuis improbè factū eft. alioqui verò, fi iufta \& vera caufadefit, conceffio pignerandi nullius erit mo-
à. cism veo ro. S. Fubwentü. D. de fid. mentia.
commiff. ub .
l. 1. V. bac verba. D. ne wis fiateiqui

DEBELLO CAPTIS ETIVRE POSTLIMINI\&.

1 Iusto bellocapta funt capientium.
2 Alciatı opinio reprobata, qui dicit omnia bella bodie effe ciuilia.
3 Differentia inter res mobiles or immobiles bello captas.
4 Predaomnis arbitrioducisefl, non militum.
5 Imperatores pradam nonnusquam inararium inferre foliti.

- Nonnunquam militibus diuidere.

7 Pradepars debetur etiă ies, qui non fue= runt in pralio.
8 Pradamilitibus nonnunquamedicifolet, \& eorum arbitriopermitti.
9 Principi ó duci debetur fua portio ex prada.
10 Romani partem prede diis vonere Joliti.
1 I Non nifideuict is hoftibus ór fignodato, adpradam dif currendum.

$$
12 \mathrm{In}
$$

Liter primus.
12 In diusifione prede fraudern committens puniendus.
13 Fugienti inbello nondebetur parsprade. it lubendi funt malites pred.am vendere, we impedimentü grauentur.
1s Res facranoncedunt prade.
I6 Natura ne, aninfitutu hominum /eruttus fit inducta.
${ }^{5} 7$ Seruitus non tollit libertatem naturas lens
18 Nulla vis gun facti ift,feruitutem inducit, gua iurisest.
10 Captibodie inter Christianos non funs ferui, mi( malitent infidelibus.
20 In bello captus, ơ ad fuos rcuarfus prrfinam libertatem recipit.
21 Nifinurauerit fe rediturum ad boffes.
22 Transfuganon babet posilminium, nij fit fersus.
23 2ui re hofibus dedunt, non habent iues postliminy, licet armisvicti fint.
24 Controuerfainter veteresin caufa Ma cini.
2s In bello etiam mutheres capt poffwet of pueri.
26 Iuffo bello captus, tenetur ad precismredemptions, quod promi/it.
27 Captiui cuius fint. E 22 Pri-

De ivre et off. belr.
28 Priuata auctoritate non licet captiusurs occidere.
29 Precium conuentionts, de quo conuenit, nondebet augeri.
30 2ui pro captiuo foluit precium redem. ptionis, qua remedia habeat.
31 Redemprio captiuorum fauorabilis.
32 2egligens redimerecapiuнm.
33 Agriredeunt pos̈lliminio.
342 2ue praterearedcant postliminio.
3) Res femel ab bostibus capte, ór abiifdä recuperate, an fini refituende priori Domino.
36 Res qua funt bofium, non funt in commercio, nififint eius generis, quapoftliminio redire poffunt.
37 Preda quando fit factahofium.
38 Captaapirat is non funst corum: ideóque recuperata, prioridomino restituende funt:
39 Licet aliud confitutionibus regni Hißpa. fatutum fit.
40 Res furtiuabellocapta, anprzori domino restitudebeat.
45 Res incorporales, anbelio capipoßint.
42 Quastionnter Thebanos $O$ T heffalos.
43 Vnuerfitas nonperit,guamdien vnes fre peref.

Cap.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Liber primus. } \\
& \text { Cap. Quintim. }
\end{aligned}
$$

PRimumiunticix munuseft, vtinquit Cicero ${ }^{2}$, vt necuiquis noccat, nifila - a of.ub. . ceffrrus iniuria. qux caufa eft etiam iufti bellifundamentum, vt fuperius latè oftendimus ${ }^{b}$. Quam Ciceronis fenten- ${ }^{\text {5.c. }, 2 .}$ tiam quamuis refuter Lactantius ${ }^{\text {c }}$; vt c ub.6.cose. quxduorum verborum adiectionecorruptafit: nō enim addi debuiffe, nifilacefftus iniuria: Socratem fecutus: qui dicebat vltionem effe iniuftam, eo quod maluminferre iniufum fit : fummūtamen principem, qui non exanimi morbo, fed ex amorciufti, vt hoftiū improbitatem coerceat, \& fuos defendat, acceptam iniuriam bello vindicat, extra culpam effe omnino dicendum eft.non enim eft iniuria, vt inquit Seneca, pati I quod prior feceris. Vnde dominiū̃ ${ }^{\text {coo- }}$ rum, qux iuftobello capimus, in nos transfertur:eftque hic iuftus modus acquirendi dominijd. quod \& Cicero in- d $\sigma_{\text {rithm es }}^{\text {and }}$ dicat,cùmait: Sunt autem priuata nulla quwa inftr, de , natura, fed aut veteri occupatione, vt rardidurif. " qui quondam in vacua venerunt:aut vi- flof of boc iub. , Coria, vt quibello potitifunt; aut lege, ra. Ddeiiff. , aut pactione, conditione, forte ${ }^{\complement}$ : idǵue rijemt cium. iure gentiuminductumelt $f, \&$ iuredi. dif.s.

E 3 uino,

De ivar et off. bell. a Regr.,.e.30 uino ${ }^{2}$, canonico ${ }^{b}$, \& ciuili comproba- vit latranfo fugam. D. de acq. arer.dom. dinl.hofies. D. de verbor. Siguf.
ef. in orbe.
Di de fitatu homenum. fc.ad ment fams.11.9. 3
gl.fiquis is. aumblbus, D. de captity. $h$ fuprà do bello mufo, cap.2. natwatm,
 cumc. Cuiusiuris squitas, non tam ad fatisfaciendum ei qui iniuriam accepit, quàm ad coërcendam improbitaté eosum, qui iniufta bella gerunt, fpetare videtur:vt ex D.Auguftino in prefatione huius operis dictum eft . Neque Allicet omnes, qui in orbe Romanoerär, Antonini conftitutione ciues Romani effecti finte. vnde hodie omnes Chriftianos, populum Romanum effe, \& Chritil lege fratres ${ }^{f}$ : ideoq́uequę inter eos mouentur bella, plus quàm ciuilia effe:\& per confequens,ius belli,quo captafiunt capientium, inter Chriftianos, fet, nullum iuftum belluinter Chriltianoseffe polfet. quod falfum effe, ex is quę alio loco diximus, , atis confat.vnde \& capta hoc bello fient capientium. nifi quòd antiquiffima cöfuetudine introductũ eft inter Chriftianos, vt capti vtcunque iulto bello, libertatem nonamitterent.dequo moxdicemus.Neque ciuile bellü dici poteft, quodinter duos
fummos

Liber primys. 35 fummos principes, aut populos liberos geritur. nonenimfuntciues, qui non iifdem imperiis, ac iuffis tenentur ${ }^{2}$, fed a Latì Boetfic cum fubditis, \&ciuibus rebellibus dininm metho. fitbellum, non protinus ceffabbunt iura belli, quibus dominiū eorú, quæ ab hoftibuscapimus, nobisacquirimus. nam qui hoftilianimo contraimperij maieftatem quid machinatur, ex ciue fit hoftisb. Itaq; multis rationibus docet $\mathrm{Ci}-\mathrm{b}$ 2. nibiki in. cero, iuftü effe bellu $g$ inferretur Anto- treeft, .porit.
 Antonius gerebat:ideoq́;iura belli,que hoftibus tantùm conueniunt, ipfinon conucnire ${ }^{\text {c }}$. qua de realibi latiùs tractauimus ${ }^{\text {d }}$ :qux huc referri poffunt. Porrò 3 illud quidé verum* eft, dominium rerü iufto bello captarū, in victoré träsferri: fed diftinctione facta inter res mobiles, \& immobiles. immobilia enim, vtpote agri, publicäturs. quäuis olim nō feme! agros bello captos, militibus ob bene fins. $\mathrm{D} . \mathrm{dec}$. pupt. nauatāoperā diuifos fuiffe legimusf.id- ${ }_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{f} . \text {. deaca agrirer. }}$ q́ue a Romulo inftitutū fuit g. Alexāder domini.intern
 limitaneis ducibus, ac militibus dona- derervend.

 E 4 atten-

De ivre et off, bell. attentius illos militaturos exiftimans,fi
a Aelins Lajpr.mu vita
Alex.Sener.
b Reg. 1.19 . tic, $26 . \operatorname{lar}_{2}, 2$ verò ad reliquam prodam retum mobilium attinet, nec ea quidem militibus acquirifolebat, 8 ne dux quidem vllum ius in eam habebat: fed quxeforis erat eam vendere, \& pecuniam in xrarium referre. quod lege cautum fuiffe tradit
c lb.7. Dion. Halic. \& hac potiffimum de caufa Marcium Coriolanum, quòd preda ex Volfcis militidiuifa, hanclegem violaffet, a populo condemnatum fuiffe. cóq; 'pectat, quod D. Ambrofiusdicit, difciplinam militare docere, vt regi feruentur omnia, exëplo Abrahx, quicefd cap.dicat. Fit omnem pradam regi Sodomorumd. 23. quef.s. Poftea tamen apud Romanos, predam el โ̆qui pro omnem arbitrio ducis ${ }^{*}$ fuiffe, certũeft ${ }^{c} .4$ ved id inne.c. idqúuc exaliquot Liuij locis apertè fatis
de donatio. liquet. Itaque refert, cùm Phocenfes, portis apertis, pacti ne quid hoftiliter paterentur, fe Romanis dedidiffent; \& nihilo- bem difurrerenr, $L$. Imiliam reftitiffe, \& reuocaffe milites, dicendo, capras,nō deditas vrbes diripi: \& in his tamen arbitrium effe imperatoris, nō militum ${ }^{\text {a }}$, aLiчum 1.37 \& alibi idem Liuius dicir, Camillū Veios obfidétem, cùm iam in manibus videret victoriam, vsbem opulentifimam capı, tantumq́ue pradæ fore, quantum nö omnibus in vnum collatisante bellis fuiffet : ne quam inde aut militum iram,ex malignitate prodx partita: aut inuidiam apud patres, ex prodiga largitione caperet:quid de prada fieri placeret, ad Senatum reieciffe b. Solent autem b Liwisis.
5 imperatores*nonnunquam prędam totam, vel partem cius inærariŭ perquæflores referre ${ }^{c}$. Itaq; L. Furrius prator c cierapipt. de Gallis triumphans, trecenta viginti 27. millia æris in ærariū tulit : argenti clxx. millia pondod. Ingentem quoque vim d Limimeman auti \& argenti M. Heluius \& Q. Minuciusex preda Hípaniéfi in xrarum tulerunt: \& T. Quintius Philippo deuiCto : \& longè maximã L. Emilius Pau- e Limimer 3 3 lus, denicto Perfeo f. \& Camillo duce, f fiwim $1,4 s$ fufis Falifcis, caftris eorum captis, prada omnisadquętores redađaeitscummaE 5 gnami-

De ivre et off. bell. gna militum ira: fed feueritate imperij victi,eädem virtutem \& oderant,\& mi-

- Wh.6. rabantur,vt inquit Liuius 2,apud quem paffim inuenias plurimŭ auri \& argenti ex preda hoftium in ærarium relatum fuiffe. Nonnunquam imperatores ${ }^{*} v e n$ dere predam, \& militibus diuidere folent, donatis ante alios iis, quorū virtus in bello maximè eniteret:habita quoq; ratione dignitatis cuiufque, \& ordinis. Sic Iulius Cęfar in Gallia militibus, pro labore \& tolerätia (qui brumalibus diebus, itineribus difficillimis, frigoribus intolerandis, tudiofiffimè permanferät in labore) ducenos feftertios: centurionibus duo millia nummûm, prædænob a hyr.de mine condonanda pollicituseft ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. \&ex bel.adll.8. præda,quam L. Emilius Paulus Perfeo vieto fecerat, pediti in fingulos centeni ctiwnm $1,4 s$ dati:duplex centurioni;triplex equiti ${ }^{\text {c }}$. M.Valerius Coruinus Samnitibus pręlio viCtis, \& C. Iunius Bouiano capto,
 or. natus predam Epiriciuitatum, quxad Perfea defecerant,exercitui dedit. Itaq; figno ad diripiendas vrbes dato, tanta
c.i.6.4s. prada fuit, inquit Liuiuse, vt in equité cccc. denarij, peditibus duceni diuiderentur.

Libar primvs. 37 rentur.\& hxc prxdx diuifio,etiamiure probata viderur ${ }^{2}$. Ex facris quoq; literis a cimemias
 iple\& Eleazartollerêt vniuerfam prędă, factam ex Madianitis, quos Ifraelitzdeuicerant, \& ex æquo eam diuiderent intereos, qui pugnauerant: \& vt quinquagefima pars daretur Leuitis, qui excubabät in tabernaculis Domini ${ }^{\text {b }}$. \& Dauid, Amalæchitis pralio victis, collegit omnem prodam;cumq́; illi,quiin prxlio fuerant, peterent fibi tantüm diuidi, nec quicquam dariiis,qui cum illis non 7 fuerant: dixit Dauid*, $x$ quam partem habituros, qui defcendiffent in pręlium, \& qui remanfiffent ad farcinas. quod deinceps pro lege feruatum fuit in Ifrael ${ }^{\text {c. }}$ idq́ưue etiam confltutionibus re- e Reg.s.e.ss gni Hifpanię decifum eftd. Quò fpectat, a regh.2s, quòd C.Fabius Ambuftus tribunus mi- tit. 26 .acon. litum, cimm ad Auxur oppugnandum acceffiffet, collegis fuis, Cn. Cornelio Coffo, \& Valerio Potito, cum parte exercitus ad deuaftandos fines, ve diftinerent Volfcos, profectis, capta vrbe a preda militem continuit, donec collegx cum fuis exercitibus venirent: ab illis quoque captum Auxur dicti-

De ivre et off. bele. dictitans effe,qui ceteros Volfcos a pre. - Limes h . fidio eius loci auertiffenta. Solent denique imperatores*ad excitandos militū 8 animos, nonnunquam totam pradam militibus ante cölictum edicere, 8 pati habere quod cuique fors belli dediffet. vti de præda Veiorum decreuitlenatus, ex \{ententia P. Licinij, gratius id fore dicentis, lætiusq́ue, quod quifque fua manu ex hofte captum, domum retuliffet, quàm fi multiplex, alterius arbitrio acciperet:quamuis refragante App. Claudio, qui largitionem nouam, prodigam, b Limust,s inæqualem, inconfultam arguebat ${ }^{\text {b }}$. \& hæc quidem quoadilla, quę in confictu \& prælioacquiruntur. alioquiverò res hoftiles, quæ citra certamen ad quemcunque perueniunt, funt eius qui prius ct.transfu* poffeffionem nactus eft ${ }^{c}$. Ceterum etiá
 in pris. D. de hilominus fua portio deberurd: quæex acqui.poff. d c.ous masic sare. dust.1. eRz.16b.4. Blt 26.fer. 2 . $f$ Reg. $\mathbf{6} 20$. d. thit.

$$
\text { gl.19.tit. } 25
$$

 hrog.l,t.t. tet natues cum armamentis \& probeat dift.ut. tet naues cum armamentis, \& prabeat anno-

Liberprimvs. 38 annonam, \& ftipendia militibusac nautis: exiifdem conftitutionibus, preda omnis, non ducis vel prafecti, fed regis, cuiacquiritur, arbittio eft. nec quicquā milites vel nautæ ex ea accipient, nifi quid ex liberalitate fua rex illis concefferit ${ }^{\text {a }}$. alias verò regi fua portione prex-a keg.ag do ftita, reliquum prefectus maris inter mi- ${ }^{\text {-it, }}$, lites, ac nautas diuidere potef, ex quo reptima pars prafecto maris debetur ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} .6 \mathrm{Rg}, \mathrm{l}, 30$. 10 Solent ${ }^{*}$ praterea Romani, vt victoria dalis. potirentur, partem pred $x$ diis fuis vouere.itaq́ue Camillus, cùm ad delédam vrbem Veios pergeret, decimam parté pradx Pythico Apollini vouitc . Neque e Lisiu!'s.
nl omittendum eft difciplina militari $*$ feueriffimè fuiffe cautum, vt non nifi deuictis hollibus, caftris eorũ captis, arce vel oppido omnino in poteftatem redactis, ad prædam difcurrere liceret : \& ne tum quidé, nifi abimperatore figno dato, vt maximè ante conflictum pradá omnem militibus edixiffet:ne fcilicet auiditate prædx, vt plerunquefolet, hoItis è manibus elabatur, aut prolium reftauret:vt Samnitibusaccidit: quicùm in nocterm $\mathfrak{x q u o}$ Marte cum Romanis pugnaffent, tädem auiditate pradx, im-

De ivre et off. eell. petum in impedimenta Romanorum (qux procul ab armatis fine prefidio, fine munimento ftabant ) facientes, a Marco Fabio magiftro equitum, iuffu Cornelij Arinnx dictatoris diffipati, impeditiq́ue miferè cxfífunt, \&
a Livim 1.8. victoriam Romanis tradiderunt ${ }^{2}$. prxterea ita ferme euenit, vti App. Claudius dicebat, vt fegnior fit prxdator, vt quifque laboris periculiq́ue præcipuam petere partem folet. Itaque Veis captis, quorum predam fenatus mi liti concefferat, non tamen nifi permiffu Camilli dictatoris, ad prodam $b$ Limishs. difcurrere licuit $b$. ficut nec ad proxdam Epiri ciuitatum, qux ad Perfea defecerant, a fenatu exercitui datam,
cLivimists nifi figno ad diripiendas vrbes dato $c$. Carthagine quoque noua expugnata, arce demum dedita, figno dato, victodzimime 126 res ad prædam verfifunt ${ }^{d}$. Atque ita rectè conftitutionibus regni Hilpanix fancitum eft, vt fi quis nondum deuictis hoftibus predx incumbat, partem prodx amittat, \& duplum eius quod predatus fuerit, prafter, $\&$ in deteriorem militiam detur . Et quidem fi prodantium culpa, hoftes pro-

Lium
lium reflituerint, \& vicerint, aut regem occiderint, non fecùs quàm filpliid admififfent, puninntura. Illequo. 12 que qui in diuifione prxdx * fraudem $\sigma_{\text {par }, 2,}^{\sigma 1,}$ committit, pena dupli, \& amiffione partis pradx, iifdem conftitutionibus plectitur ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Qui verò pradam breg.ib. $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{o}}$ $a b$ hoftibus captam furripuit, lege pe - -ii, $, 28,9 a r, \%$ culatus teneri, \& in quadruplum damnari Modeftinus refpondit ${ }^{c}$. Scd nec $\begin{gathered}\text { cin } l_{1} \text { tan } \\ \text { D. Ad } 1, \text { tui. }\end{gathered}$
13 illis *, qui in acie flgerint, aut igna- pecal.
ui \& fecordes fuerint, debetur pars prodx. Bald. inl. ©i quis pro redempt. C. de donat. L.omnedelictum. §.quiprior. D.de re milit. reg.Hifp. li.1.む 20 , tit. 26 .par. 2 . Itaq́ue L. Quintius Cincinnatus dietator, cùm Lucium Minucium Confulem, \& eius exercitum ab obfidione Equorum liberaffer, caftris holtium captis, plenis omnium rerum, prædam omnem fuo tantum militi dedit, confularem excritum, ipfumq́ue confulem increpans: Carebis, inquit, parte pradx miles, ex eo hofte, cui prope prodx fuifti : \& tu L. Minuci, donce confularem animum habere incipias, legatus his legionibus præeris ${ }^{d}$. Sed $d$ Limims ${ }^{3}, 3$ 14 \& cùm nihil magis exercitum grauct*, neque

De ivre ex off. bell. neque corrumpar, quàm impedimen. torum multisudo, difciplinæ militari maximè conueniens erit,iubere milites prodam omnem vendere, exemplo P. Decij : qui expugnatis aliquot oppidis in Samnio, prexdam ornné milites quàm primùm vendere coégit, ne impedimëa LiumulıI tis grauibus oneraretagmen ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Sciēdum verò eft res facras* predx non cedere. is cùm enim nullius fint, hontiles, qux fole in predafunt, dicinon poffunt. quodenim diuini iuriseft, vt inquit imperator,

 nullius in boniseft ${ }^{\text {b }}$, $\&$ hominum poderedumfor iufmodi quid rapuerit, facrilegij poena, exlege Iulia, pro qualitate perfonx. \&e ${ }^{c}$ l.facikgig. rei conditione punietur ${ }^{c}$. Itaque Sci-
 concefla, cùm multis præmiis \& donis afficeret milites; corum qui fanum Apollinis fpoliarant \& violarant, rationé d appian in non habuitd. Sed an facrilegium combell. fert. mittat, qui rem priuatam in $x$ dem facrá depofitam furripuit, olim côtrouerfum
 Cicr. de tirn nem furti, non facrilegij dari refcriple-
 ${ }_{\text {fod }}$ f. Dimi pec. dicendumelt. Cicero tamen in fuis legibus,

Liber primvs. 40 gibus a, inter rem facram, \& facro com- a wib. 2,d foo mendatam non diftinguit. quod \& ca. 8.6 bm. nones noftrifequunturb. Quodvt verü bin c. qumf fit interciues, hoctamen noftro cafu nō ${ }^{\text {quin. } 179.40}$ puto procedere:quia res facro commé. data, certè facra dici non potef, quæ in nullius boniseft c, cùmitaq́; fitres pri- cd.s.notijw uati, \& in alicuius bonis fit, \& hoftilis, cam predx cedere exiftimo.vt enim res predx fit, fufficit eam hoftilem effe d. dinaturatio. Porrò quod dicimus into bello capta faygine. nimp fiericapientium: nó folùm in rebus, fed acq $r$ rr, dom etiam perfonis liberis, iure gentium \& ciuili receptum fuit:ve mancipia fierent capientium, \& ex perfonis res, quafunt in dominio e. vnde feruitus orta ${ }^{\dagger}$. Inter 16 Philofophos verò quxrifolet *, natura nean infituto hominum, hxc perfona- extemgentiu. rum diuifio, in liberos \& feruos primú in mores perductafit : \& Ariftoteles naturalemait g : Iureconfulti verò, contra naturam feruitutem inductam, iure gé. tium effe affirmanth:iure enim naturali, omnes homines ab initio liberos nafci, \& natura omnes aquales effe ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$. quode- inithe.de iwr, tiam Cicer.probatk. Nihil cnimvinum vni tam fimile, inquit, tam par, quan inter nofmetipfos fumus. Id tamen feru- ${ }^{\text {urir }}$ deleg.ib.s. F pulo

De ivre et off. bell. pulo non caret, cùmiura naturalia fint immutabilia, \& iuregentium tolli non a f. fed na- pofint a iniuftum quoque videtur, \& a
turalua, inft. de inve natur. natura abhorrere, vt homines hominibus feruiãt, quamuis id fieri reipub.fortaffe expediat. quo potiffimú argumento, veteres quidam olim defendere co. natifunt, id quod vulgò ferebatur, rempublicam line iniulticiaftarc,augeriq́ue non poffe: quorum difputationem fuf-
 tamen optimè ibidem fatisfecit Lxlius, vt in prafatione huius operis diximus: vbi oftendimus, non effeiniuftum, vt bello victifiát ferui, quibus adde, vtnodum hưc diffoluamys, quòd libertas fic quidem iuris naturalis, eò fcilicet quòd ante confitutionem iuris gétium, omnes liberi nalcebanturc, \& omnes homines pares, \& xquales habebanturd: non autem, quòd ius naturale ea de re aliquid proceperit, aut feruitutem prohibuerit e. Ius verò gentium, quod naturali ratione conftitutum eft ${ }^{\text {f }}$, bella $8 x$ feruitutes induxit $g$. Recta enim ratio naturalis, qua nihil diuiniuseßt,quaq́qe præftamus belluis, hominum crefcente malitia, coërcendam humanam impro-
bitatem

Liber primys.
bitatem bello, captiuitate, \& feruitute fuafit ${ }^{2}$. Similiter cùm iure naturali, pri- a c.sextodie. moillo \& \& aureo, vt ethnici vocabant, 25 , dfitixft, f̧culo, communia effent omnia, neque cuiufquam quicquam proprium:pofterioribus tamé fęculis, quòd communio rerum viciatæ naturx non conueniret, ius gentium ex naturali ratione, dominia, eorưqúque diftinctiones conftituit ${ }^{b}$, be. bex hocemen.
 qualitas hominum, \& omnium vnàlibertas, integrę olim naturę, $\&$ primę reipub. Platonis magis, quàm ferreo feculo conuenit:ideoque iure gentium non probantur. Quamuis itaq̊; feruitusiure naturali, quo iure omnes homines liberi erant, $\&$ pares, \& xquales habebantur c, fuerit incognita: potuit tamê iure c 1 minnmif: gentium conftitui feruitus, vtớue capti iufto bello fierent ferui: præfertim cum $L$ ghod attiid reipub. conueniat, ad coercêdoseos, quiiniufta bella gerūt. vnde cadem ratio, qux bella permittit, etiam feruituté conftitui fuafit.funtque in hanc fententiam prxclara D.Auguftini verbad , cùnı de decmit. Des \%ait: Conditio feruitutis iure intelligitur "4. 19. с, s. \% impofita peccatori. nomen itaque iftud „culpa meruit, non natura.\& deinde fub.

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~d} t:
$$

De ivabet off. briz.
dit : Nullusautem natura, in qua prius \% Deus hominem condidit,feruuseft ho- \% minis, vel peccati:verùm poenalisferui- ;> tus ex lege ordinatur : quę narurale or- \% dinem conferuari iuber, perturbari ve-,, tat. quia fi contra illam legem noneffet ", factü, nihil cffet poenali leruitute coer- \% cendum. Itaque Apoftolus feruos monet fubditos dominis fuis effe debere, $\&$ exanimo feruire a.\& propter peccatum, Chanaanferuus pronúciatuseft a Noe patre, vt feruiret fratribusfuis b. Suadet tamenidem Apoftolus, vt Dominialiquid de iurefuo remittant:\& in quibufdam pares omneseffedicit ${ }^{c}$. Sciendum autem eft *, häcferuitutem perfonarum 17 tollere quidern libertatem; fed non illa naturalem, qux in faciendo confiltit. nä feruus, vt maximè dominus iubeat, poteft nolle adire hereditatem d: fedillam,qux ad iuris effectus eft comparata, det lur. next.i. quadm, D.de \& iure gentium inuenta e . Sed nec vlla $\underset{\text { pl.portimi- }}{ }$ vis ${ }^{\text {* }}$, qux facti eft, feruituté inducit, qux ${ }_{1} 8$ nium. $\delta . a p i$ iuris eft. nam non nifi iufto bello capti,
 ? i.: imprm.D. vel prędonibus, \& iis,qui iufti hoftes nō
 a haroobibw, dabili, \& antiqua confuetudine introducum

Lirer primys. ductum eft, vt capti hinc inde, vtcunque as.ins.8ald. iufto bello, non fierent ferui : \{ed liberi in l.losites. $\mathrm{D}_{\text {. }}$ feruarentur, donec foluant precium re- $\begin{gathered}\text { decaptite } \\ \text { ciat. inn } \\ \text { i. bo- }\end{gathered}$ demptionis,quod Græci $\lambda$ út $\rho 0$ vocãt ${ }^{2}$. Pes. Pl. de ver.
 ret, \& ex parua magnam faceret, bcllo $b$ Lion. Hal. captos necari, vel etiam venundari vetuit: fed in partem agri holfilis colonos Romanosmifir, \& multis populis devi berandum. de Chriftiani militent Saracenis, 8 infide- ${ }^{4} \mathbf{4}$ Reg. 16.9. libus contra Chriftianos, eífucauxiliú à repr. $z$ quoquo modo præbeant, capti a Chri- in l.3. 6. wit. ftianis fient Serui: inciduntq̣ue in excö- desicta l.pro. municationemipfo iure $c$. nô enim iam duores. D. de Chriftianorum, fed infidelium, quibus fingmi. D. de fe adiunxerunt, numero habendi funt $:$ : acqute. dung. non tamen aliis, quàm Chrifianis vêdi de chnsi. poterunt ${ }^{c}$. at verò fi belluin geratur $c \bar{u}$ unand $d$. reg. infidelibus, \& paganis : certè hincinde f. capti, eius erunt conditionis, cuius $\mathrm{fe}-$ - 21 .far. Aras . cundumius ciuile captiab hortibus, id 1. it ut-29.p., 2 q́ue cöftitutionibus regni Hifpanix de- boptes. D. de
 Cornelix \& poftliminij fictionibus g. ins itmuca. Quàm autem non conueniar, quamá influt dere. Quam ant periculofum fit reipublicx, numerum inc. percation feruorum augeri, indicant tot bella fer- de req...uri: is

$$
F_{3} \text { uilia, } \delta .11 \text {. }
$$

De ivke et off. bell. vilia, coniurationesq́ue feruorum, quæ rempubl. Romanam aliquoties affixerunt, \& in fummum difcrimen adduxerunt: vt latè oftendir Bodinus a. Porrò liberx perfon $x^{*}$ in bello capta, \& in fer- 20 uitutem redactx, fiad fuos reuertantur, quocunque modo poteftatem hoftium euaferint, prittinā libertatem recipiūt ${ }^{\text {b }}$. quemadmodum fera animalia, definunt eius effe, quicepit,vbi eius cuftodiameuaferint ${ }^{c}$. Recuperant pręterea ab hoftibus reuerfi omne ius, quod captiuitate amiferant: iure fcilicet poftliminijd .nifi bl. nibil in. tereft. D. de captani.
c 6 fors anzé.inff. de erer. diw $f_{0}$, ,utets. ralem. D de ain.rer.dom. d. popthmi.

## sium. wiwprin.

 D.decaptrive.a derepub.b. 2. capos. quocunque modo poteftatem hoffium taméiurauerint $*$ fe redituros ad hoftes, 21 vel non abituros. quo cafu, ad fuos reuerf non poffunt dici rediiffe pofliminio. vt in caufa Attilij Reguli, \& illorü, quos polt Cannenfem cladem iuratos ad fenatum mifit Annibal, in caftra redituros, nifide captiuis redimendisimpetraffent, refponfumeft ${ }^{c}$. non enimfatis eft, corpore domum rediiffe, nifietiam méte, \& animo fis reuerfus vnde \& jlli, qui ea mente venit, vt ad hoftes reuertatur, noneft poftliminiumf. Transfuga
 manium S. S. nam, vtait Paulusg,qui malo confilio, \& decrptwiwi, proditorisanimo patria relinquit, hoRium

## Liber primys.

stium numero eft habendus: fiue formina, fiue mafculus fit, fiue filiusfamilias, fiue paterfamilias. Neque enim paterfiliumfamilias träsfugam poftliminio recipit.quia pater fic illum amifit,vt idem Paulusait,quemadmodū patria,\& quia difciplina caftrorum antiquior fuit parentibus Romanis, quàmcharitas liberorum ${ }^{2}$. Aliud tamen eft in feruo tranf-a d.l. portiofuga, in quo Dominus habet poflimi- mimiini. s. fo: nium: ne fcilicet contrarium ius nō tam fit feruo iniuriofum, quàm domino dānolum ${ }^{b}$. Sciendum eft autem omnibus bd.!.tranf. hominibuseffe poftiminium, cuiufcú- Juge. que fexus, $x$ tatis, vel conditionis fint ${ }^{c}$. Militibus veròve fit poftliminium, docere debent fe bello captos fuiffe ${ }^{\text {d }}$. nam d L.pobés.s. $\delta 0$ 23 illi* ${ }^{*}$ quiarmis victi fe hoftibus dedunt, carent portiminio Etenin apud Ro deremitio manos ignominiofffflmum habitũ fuit non vi, fed per deditionem in poreftatée $1 \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{it}, 2,29$. hoftium venire. Itaque fenatus octo illa ${ }^{\text {par.2. }}$ millia Romanorum, qui non quidem in acie capti fuerant, fed in caftris relicti, poft cladem Cannëfem fe Annibalide. diderant, poteftate fibi facta, redimere noluit:vt fcilicet infitum effer Romanis manos ignominiofiffimum habitŭ fuit, ptur, rg. Isfif. f [iuiusl 32 militibus, aut vincere, autemori ${ }^{f}$. qua cicreoff.l. 3. E 4 dere
De ivre by off. bell.
a j.li.i.s.cis de re alio loco latius dicêdum erita ${ }^{2}$. Sed
militi bello capto, \& poftliminio reuer-
fo, non deberiftipēdia, $\&$ donatiua cius
téporis; quo apud hoftes fuit, Antonin ${ }^{9}$
bin 1.c. C.de Imp.refcripfirb.fi tamen expleto militie
tempore, captus ab hoftibus redeat, vt
veteranum reftituendum,\& emerita re-
cinl. 3 . , , is cipere, Arrius Mænander refpódit ${ }^{c}$. Sed
23 6.400 ommes.
$\$$ pren. D.de
puli per feciales hoftibus dediti effent,
ad fuos reuerfs priftinum ftatum recipe-
rent, inter Brutum \& Scæuolam variè
tractatum eft. eoq́ue feectar nobilis illa
caufa Mancini ${ }^{*}$, de quo cum ob pacem 24
minus legitimè cum Numantinis factā,
ex S. C. hoftibusdeditus effet:eumq́ue
illi non accepiffent : an ciuis Romanus
maneret, quæfitum eft: quibufdam exi-
ftimantibus non manere.quare P.Ruti-
lius, M. filius. trib.pleb. cum de fenatu,
in quem domum reuerfus introire non
dubitarat, iuffiteduci: quòd eum ciuem
effe negaret.quia memoria fic effet pros
ditum, qué pater fuus,aut populus ven-
didiffet, aut paterparratus dedidiffet, ei
d ciker deo nullum effe poftiminiū ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Neq; referre
rachiobic.
videtur, quòd hoftes eŭ non receperint.
nā ciuis effedefinit, quem ciuitasrepu-
diauit,

## acqu pos.

blamifiones.
S.1.D.de cap.
mannus, raff.de capp. dumanus. licet non fuerit acceptus ab hoftibus, eü $\sin 1.4 . D . d e$ ciuen máfinfealiis vifum fuit.quod etiä Ciceroni probaturd: deditū cnim dici dinTopicat pro A. Cacius-
 donatooné, neq; deditiónem fine acceptione intelligi ${ }^{\text {e. Pópónius tamé de Mä- elabfemi. D. }}$ cino legem poitca latam fuiffe, vt effet ${ }_{\text {ambig. }}^{\text {ded. } \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{dim}_{3}}$ ciuis Romanus, fcribit f . vnde videtur donar facie $l_{\text {. }}$
 tiam in eo, quem hoftes non acceperüt, cuaqu.ponfof. vt filicet reuerfus, non aliter fitciuis, quàm fi a fuis receptus fit. nam alioqui quid erat opus legem ferre de Mácino? 25 Porrò in bello nō folùm viri*, fed etiam mulieres capi poffuntz.fic legimus vxo-g l.m.l.fadf. rem Darij, cum matre, totaq̆ue familia, captam ab Alexandro:Sophonisbam vYorem Syphacis, a Mafaniffa : Cleopatram ab Augufto.maximam tamen clementix famā fibi conciliauit Scipio per totamHifpanam, quòd capta Cartha-
finl. vik. D.
delegation.

De ivre et off, bell. gine, Allucio Celtiberorum principi, fponfam fuam eximia forma, quä captia Liwiwh 2.26 uam habebat, intactam gratis reftituit ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Sed nce pueriin bello tutifunt, \& capi b. Lex Cor poflunt ${ }^{b}$. licet Camillus, laudabili ex́émelia. D. de vvilg. © " pupill. 1 pater.in
 flikess . D. de sapain. plo, nobilifimos Falifcorum pueros, quos ludimagifter ipforú in caftra Camilli per aftú perduxerat, non accepit: fed proditorem nudum, manibus poft tergum religatis, cxdendū, ac reducendum Falifcis pueris tradidit, inquiens: Nobis, quæ pacto fit humano, cumFa-» lifcis focietas non eft:quam ingenerauit \% natura,eft, eritq́ue. funt \& belli, ficut \& ${ }_{2}$ pacis iura;arma habemus, non aduerfus, eam xtatem, cuietiā captis vrbibus,par- » citur:fed aduerfus armatos. qua benefi- $\%$ centia prouocati Falifci fefpōte Romac limim l.s. nis dediderunt ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Cerrè fæuire in foeminas, vel pueros, admodum foedūfemper habitum fuit: quos fexusiple, \& xtas, periculis bellorum, \& fruitix victorum eximit. itaq; lege diuina precipitur quidem Ifraëlitis, vt hoftes refiftentes, \& pacem nolentes omnes occidant: fedvt parcät mulieribus, \& paruulis: quos tamen prodx cedere voluit,\& in feruituté d. puaf.c.20: redigid. Canonesverò iubët parci prefbitçris,
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 45 biteris, monachis, coucrfis, peregrinis, mercatoribus, \& rufticis a. fed hoc contrario vfuabrogatume effe dicit Panormitanus ${ }^{b}$. non tamen credo abrogatŭ, bindc., 2. quoadecclefiafticos:in quos manuū in- c cap.f. fquis iectio eft interdicta, fub graui anathe- fradmie dao matis pœna ${ }^{c}$. niff fortè fe ingerāt rebus d c. .vi. 6.74. bellicis.quia priuilegiumamittit,quieo abutitur d. Eft quoque notatudignum frmpr.der.解 quòd inter duos populos bello exorto, ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{C}$ in in bello. qui ex hortibus apud vtrumque populü fuerint, capi poffint : licet in pace venerint : nam \& olim ferui efficiebanture. 26 Iuftoautem bello captus ${ }^{*}$, qui profua prme D.guod redemprione moderatu precium pro mitus caxf. mifit, non eximiturab precium pro- Panomme. in eo fortè, quòd vi, metúue dicar feid precium promififfe. nam edictù protoris, quo per metum gefta refcinduntur, ad eum metum, qui iurelicito alicui infertur, non pertinet ${ }^{f}$. Praterea hic nō tam metus infertur, vt preciū promittatur, quàm timor mortis iuftè imminétis ifta promiffione aufertur : præfertim cum ${ }^{6}$ precium redemptionis, in locum fervi- $\frac{g \text { Fort. in } 2 .}{}$ conertionsm. tutis fuccefferit $g$. Quod autē ad acqui- D.deparf.fa27 fitionem captiuorum attinct ${ }^{*}$, idē quod $\begin{gathered}\text { c....Name } \\ \text { semus. } \\ \text { D. }\end{gathered}$ de reliqua præda rerum mobilium, quæ deneg.gif. eft du-De ivre et off. bell.
eft ducis arbitrio, dicendum eft:\&ita o -
a inutiq.Kom. lim obtinuiffe teftatur Dionyf. Halic.a
hbs. hodie verò plerunque captiui, vt \& ce-
tera mobilia, conceduntur capientibus;
nifi fortè precium excedat fummä de-
cem millium coronatorum ; vtputa fi
captus fit Dux, Comes, Baro, vel alius
magni nominis: quo cafu ex antiqua
confuetudine Hilpanix, Gallix \& An-
b barat Bal. glix, eft principis $b$. non tamen illi redi-
in 1. nam nerume 0 . médi facultasadimitur, quæ eriamduci
de Ana, ine. cis perturbatio non timeturc. nam; vt
serime derif. \&refiftenti violentia redditur, ita victo-
26;par. 2 .
c c.robijinff.
23.9 .1.
dind.c.moli.
ead fieren. 1 .
4.
bene maiores noftri hoc comparaue-
rūr, inquit Ciceroc, vt neminem regem,
quéarmis cepiffent,vita priuarent.quia
quam nobis facultaté fortuna dedifer,
iniquum erat in eorum fupplicio con-
fumere, quos eadem fortuna paulo ante
in ampliffimo ftatu collocarat.ei verò,a
quo periculum reftaurädibelli effe pof-
fet, non eft parcendum:quę effer iniufta
foffic. 1.1. .. mifericordia, vt inquit D. Ambrofiusf.
c.t.
gusj. 4 -
Quò fpectat, quòd Ferrandus Diaco-
nus, de officio pij\& Chriftianiducis,ad
Rhegi-

## Liber primys. 46

 Rheginum ducem fcribens, inter fepté regulas innocentix, quas duci militum prafcribit,refert: Nolieffe nimiū iuftus. Fuit itaque apud Romanos obferuatū, vt triumphäs cú triüphali pōpa de foro in capitolium deflecteret, captiuos inflgnes, quifemina difcordiarum, \& belli auctores fuiffent, in cuftodiam detrudi iuberet, \& exacta pompa neci daret ${ }^{2}$. a Akxaba A . Alioquiverò priuata auctoritate ${ }^{*}$ nemi- der...6.c.c.6. nicaptiuum occidere licet, nec etiam durius tractare : cùm nec olim quidem licuerit, cùm fierét ferui. ius enim ciuile dominico imperio modum adhibés, ita demum necis poreftaté domino tribuir in feruum, fiin facinore deprehendat: in alias feruum dominus occidere nō po- deaduil. .gmd teft, cuileuis tantùm coërctio permiffa ergo fi matoeftc: \&ex refcripto DiuiPij,feruis cōtra rem. $\delta /$ fibres

 conuenit conftitutio regni Hifpanix, quysur vcle. quoad bello captos inter Chriftianos
hincinde: non etiam intercos, quidi-
 29 Ceterum fi captiuus ${ }^{*}$ femcl de precio ${ }^{29}$ pariz. redemptionis conuenit cum co, cuius
a Exido ps． peq． 113. PR．$^{\text {．}}$ ponsin arref． lub． 5.48 .6 .6 ．in prim． $b_{\text {in }}$ l．posfic $\underset{\substack{\text { minium．} \\ \text { is gui．} \\ \text { D．} / \text { I }}}{ }$ is gui．D．do captin． clonäór Se riuns．D．ds meg．geff．

## F1．quod ev

lex．¢．亿亿qus． D．delibe．ho． mi．exhbib：
imfla l．liber cappers．C．© poftli newer． dixt． 8 站．
g．di．l．2．C．de ei fuccedet，etiam fi，antequam feluat，


De ivre et off．bell． captiuus cft，non poterit pofteaad du－ riorem conditioné aftringi，ex eo fortè， quòd is a quo detinetur，intellexit cap－ tiuum effe alicuius nominis，\＆diuitē： neque valebit pofterior conuentio，fed fiet reductio ad priorem ${ }^{\text {a．Quò }}$ pectat quod Paulus refpondit，fi quis captūab hoftibusemar，\＆pluris alij ius pigno－ ris，quod in redemptū habet，cefferit：nō eam quantitatem，fed priorem redéptus debetb．Quiautem procapto＊ab hotti－ 30 bus，vt liberfieret，precium redēptionis vel foluit，vel promifir，nó folùm habet actionem negotiorum geftorum ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ：fue iuftus five iniuftus fit hoftis，qui capti－ uum cepird：fed habet quoque redem． pror ius pignoris in redemptum，donec foluate ：\＆edicto de libero homine ex－ hibendo non aftringitur，neque redem－ ptus priftinum ftatư recipit，donec pre－ cium redemptionis foluerit，vel obtule－ riff．non erit tamé feruus，nec olim erat， cùm feruitus paffim in vfu effers：\＆fa－ uore ingenuitatis，poterit fucceffionis iura vendicare，vt ex fucceffione poffit precium pro fe datum foluere ${ }^{h}: 2$ filius ris fi－

## Liber primvs.

 47 risfinito, abipfo redemptore liberatur redemptus: ita vt neque heres eius teneaturad precium redemptionis, neq; fideiuffor ${ }^{2}$. Sed etfi ea quxex pignori- al.fpatreri: bus nafcưtur, pignoris vinculo obligari demplo. D.do dicamus ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ : imperatores tamen nolue- $f$ fifume D. $\mathrm{D} . \mathrm{de}$ runt eos, qui poft redemptionẽ nalcun- frise legifig. tur, pignoris vinculo obligaric. \& hxc depynorib. quidem inliberis ab hoftrbuscaptis, $\&$ commercio redempris locum habēt: at depoppl,suene. feruiab holtibus capti,\& precio redempti, fiunt redimentis ferui : \{ed oblato ei precio, quod dedit, poftliminio rediiffe, autrecepti effe creduntur d. Porrò re- d 1 i.m belio: lis, vi datum in hanc caufam, quantum- captiu. cunque fit, repeti non poffit, necinfinuatione opus habeat e, \& quamuis in- el.f.iqumpo certx perfonx, ne miles quidem quicC. de donatio. quam relinquere pofitit: imperatores $f($ gkgariautamen pietatis caufa, \& milituminfor- ${ }^{\text {te: inft.delg. }}$ tuniis moti, relictum in redemptionem captiuorum, non obftäte incertitudine perfonarum, valere voluerunts: etiamfi giepifluckr.
 Pietatis quoque caufa redêpto captiuo, deccinandarum. vtpote fimater filium redemerit, de re- cleric. petitione precij tractare non conuenit:

De ivre et off. bell. a 1. :iberca. fed prefumitur donatio ${ }^{\text {a }}$. \& redimens ptus.y.․․D. de poffl.rewer. m. H/p. $l$ puellam luxurix caula, vclillam proftut,
 di.fadfifin. redimere* captiuum, non lolùm exhe-32
$\underset{\substack{\text { c. de prf. pofl. }}}{\text { redari poteft, fif fit ex liberis : fed etiam }}$ lege, proximis agnatis, vel heredibusinftrutis, qui in redemptione captiui negligëtes fuere, denegatur fuccefiio:qux

C Austent.fi captuxi.c.de epif.octcr. reg. Hosp.l. 3. sus.29.par.2. dis Topic. efacs tex.jnflitode he quis Jow velaic.iis. frant, an princ. © 1. wh. 0. wits.D.deleg. 3. $O=i b_{1}$ Bur. fin i.f captives. S. expulfis. D. de captraizan 1.fs ager.D.quib. mod.rjusfo. mitc. l. in tri. sū.sis pris.D. de rec.du:ifio. g c.primaa. Etrone . cumi gluaf.bid. g. 3 l cuntra mas. wures.C.de ins. offosejlamét. applicaturecclefix, expendenda in rcdemptionem captiuorum c. Diximus captisab hoftibus, tā liberis , quàm feruis,\& tam mafculis, quàm fxminis,effe pofliminium. videamus nunc reliqua, quxpofliminio redeüt: quibus cognitis, intelligemus, que funt ea, qux poftliminio carent. contrariorum enim negantium,vtinquit Cicerod,ea viseft,atque natura, vtaltero pofito, vel cognito,alterum flatim fubmoueatur, vel cognofatur e. Pofliminio itaque redeũt quoque agri ${ }^{*}$, corumq́ue vlusfructus. 33 vnde expulfis hoftibus ex agris, quos ceperät, dominia corum ad prioresdominosredire,\& vfumfructum iure poftliminij reftitui , Paulus refpondit ${ }^{\text {f. }}$ quod verum puto, nullo quantumuis longitemporis lapfu obtante ${ }^{8}$. Itaque Sagun-

## Liter primvs.

Saguntum oppidum, quod iam octauú annum in poteftate hoftium fuerat, tandem receptū, cultoribus antiquis, quos vis reliquerat belli, reftitutum fuit ${ }^{2}$ - $\&$ a timuse 1.24 quidem ex côftitutionibus regni Hifp. imperia, regna, comitatus, aliaq́ue dominia maiora, ab hoftibus recuperata, poftliminio redeūt, \& ne exeo quidem tempore, quo recuperata funt, vfucapi poffunt. quoad alia verò dominia minora, fi quatuor annis dominus filuerit, ex quo recepta funt, erit locus prafcriprioni, nifi dominus fit minor ${ }^{b}$.ifdéǵgue ${ }^{b}$ res, Hitp. cöftitutionibus cautumeft, vt fiq quis ex parr. 2 . fibditis arcem, licet propriam, fuaculpa amiferit: quamuis fua opera eandem receperit, regi tamen petenti eam tradere
 nerariis, poftliminiu!n effe Marcellus ${ }^{3224}$ patit. 28. refpōdit. qui equũquoque, \& equã freni patientem, poftliminio recipi voluit, quod fine culpaequitis proripere fe po-
 cùmait: Pofliminio redcunt hxc, ho- captio. cumait: Poftiminio redcunt hæc, ho- e an Topic. mo, nauis,mulus, clitellarius, equus, equa qux frena recipere foiet. nō autem idēiuris eft in armis, quę poftiiminio nō redeunt, quod nō fine flagitio amittanG turi
a d．l，2．
 in $q^{u i}$ arm． amt． c per l．fecr－ pitums．¢．ex． pulfis．D．de captici． d Argum l．fo quid b．llu D． de capts．Ang． salic．laf． Mari lavd．© aly．quos re fert Comar，ins c．peccat⿸广⿱丷天心 de veg．iv1． 13 ． 6 ． 2．rekect．§． 11 c Args．l．ab hofrib．2．C．de poffl．rewerft． mbell．．6．fi quas feruia D． de capt．Fräco Rupa，inl．i． D．deacq．pof． Comar．difio loco，© ally abea sitat？
f Argw．$l, a b$ hof 1tb．2．2．C．de porfl．．ree．r．j． sd guod opud
hoftes．D．dens refponfum Labeonis $g$ ，qui ait： Si l．1．et l．popo．quid bello captum eft，in præda eft，nec v．de aç．rer．
do．Ioa．Andr．polliminio redit．$\&$ alibi ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ；ita demüab Ale．Pyrm，hoftibus capta，\＆a noftris recuperata，

 bello．D．de saptice．

De ivre et off．bell． tura．dequo alio loco plura dicemusb． Exfuperioribus（nifallor）manetex－ peditailla quæftio，quę variè admodum torfit fere omnes interpp．vtrùm fcilicet ${ }^{*}$ res femel ab hoftibus capræ，\＆ab iifdé 3 3i recuperatæ，prioribus dominis fint re－ Atituendx，nec ne quod alij aiunt，alij negant，alij temerè fecant，\＆fublecant， \＆neque fibi，neque aliis fatisfaciūt．funt enim quidiftinguunt inter res mobiles \＆immobiles，\＆has reftitui femper ${ }^{c}$ ；il－ las nunquam exiftimantd．alij，quoad res immobiles，diftinguuntrurfus，fintne virtute bellica recuperatæ，quas non re－ ftituivolunt：an verò commercioredé－ ptæ，quas oblato redemptionis precio， reftituendas priori domino cenfent ${ }^{c}$ ． alij denique line vlladiftinctione，tam mobiles omnes，quàm immobiles res， receptas，priori Domino omnino refti－ tuendas effe dicunt ${ }^{\ddagger}$ ．idq́que contra eui－ dens refponfum Labeonis 8 ，quiait ： $\mathbf{S i}$ hin 1．vil，D．derapticoeffe, quòd nō folùm in liberis perfonis,fed etiam in feruis fit poftliminium ${ }^{\text {c. cl.ponl. }}$ s.Quare etiam quod Octauenus refpon- alf.i.inghllo.ditd, id quod apud hoftes eft, legari pof- wemm Livitut.fe, \& poftiminiŭ effe: de co haud dubièintelligi debet, quod eius generis eft, vt ftinctionem Labeonise. His adde, quòd e ind. l, v/t. $3^{6}$ quamuis res, qux funchoftium*, nō fint in commercio $f$ :tamen eas res, quas hoAtesceperunt fifint eius generis, qux mo. I. D. de poftiminium habent, vendi, legari, \& quocunque modoalienari poffeg. Quò
 quòd cùm Annibal ad quartü lapidem Romamacceffiffer, \& täti venditum effe bofes. agrum audiffer, in quo caftra habebat, hilb. 25. quanti ante aduentum eius valebat : ira percitus, vocato præcone, tabernas argentarias, qux in foro Romano erant, vendi iuffit. \& certc̀ agri quidem vêditio
 rabernarum, quę nunquam fuerant ho-
 $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ Neque

De yvre et off. beri. Neque mouet, quod ex L. Pomponius D.deacq.rer. dom.adducitur, vbi cùm paftori meo lupi porcos eriperêt, \& hos vicinæ villæ colonus robuftis canibus confecurus, lupis extorfiffer: porcoscoloni non effe, refponfumeft; fedmeos manere, quamdiua quouis recuperari poffent : fecus ac fi feram beftiã vicinus hac tatione mihiabftuliffet. qux fiporeftatem noftram euaferit, $\& \mathrm{ab}$ aliocapiatur, ipfius fit. noninquam id mouet: namibi, difertis verbis I. C. air, porcos, aliaq́ue animalia manfueta, quæ a lupo nobiseripiuntur, noftra manere, quàm diusecipi poffunt, quæ erepta funta: quemadmodum \& ea, quæ naufrrgia amittimus:vnde etiā furtiagi poffedicit. aliud auté eft in iis,quæab hottibus nobis auferuntur, quorumdominiumftatim in hoftes tranfire coffat ${ }^{b}$. vnde potius dicendum eft, quòd, quemadmodū ferx beftix, vbi cuftodiam noftram euaferint,dominium amittimus,\& eędem a c d.l.Pompo- quous poftea captæ occupantis fiunt ${ }^{c}$ :
 derer.disf. dominium ftatim amitrimus, eius fieri, quirecepit, nec priori domino reftitué. da effe: nifi fint eius generis, quæ pofliminio

## Liser primys.

 SO minio redeunt ${ }^{2}$. ex quibusetiä corruit a 1, wht D.do corum fententia, qui fine diftinctione ${ }^{\text {rapius. }}$ omnes res mobiles, corum fieri, qui cas hoftibus eripuerunt, exiftimant: \& corū quoque, qui inter res bellica virtute receptas,\& commercio redemptas diftin- $1,2,6^{-4,1,}$ guendum putauerunt. Sit ergo ita con-f $f$ saptumes. $S$ ftitutum, vt quę res poftliminuŭ habent, ex recuperate ad priorem dominum, \& D.abbopiwtio in priftinum ftată redeant, fiue mobiles c.de pofthrefint, fiue immobiles ${ }^{b}$ :fuce ctiam virtute wits. alio modo recuperion alio modo recuperentur ${ }^{c}$.nifí quòd ea- $\rho f$, D. de carum, qux commercio funt redemptx, fition f. faid precium reftituatur ${ }^{\text {d }}$. qux verò poftli- gubb.mod.w. minio carent, predæ cedunte . Hlud ta - pat. po. folut. 37 men fciêdum eft, prodam ${ }^{*}$ tum demum ${ }_{\text {gatous. }}^{0}$. fieri hoftium, vt primùm intra prafidia d 1 ,is belo. os delata $\mathrm{eff}^{\mathrm{f}}$. vndefirecuperetur, antecuä fogui fermio. intra prefidia hoftiúfit delata, omnino priori domino reftitui debet:quia nonliber captus. C.de.poplisedum facta fuit hoftium, neque defiit efle cius, cuius fuit 8 . Et quidé fiij, per quos prada fuit recepta, fraudulêter paffilint, eam prius ab hoftibus auferri, \& intra prafidia eorŭ perferri, \& eam perfequi neglexerint: non tantùm tenebũturad reftitutionem priori domino faciēdam,

$$
\text { G } 3 \text { cius }
$$

De ivre et off. bele. eius quod receperunt : fed etiam eius, quod feruare potuerunt, \& corum culpa amiffum, domino præftandum erir, vtconftiturionibus regni Hilpaniæ opa zey. 2.26. timè cautum eft a : \& facit text, in L. Iupar.2. ang. $l$. lianus ${ }^{6}$. Porrò huic diftinctioni, titne 13.tht.9.f.S. resab hoftibuscapta, \& per noftros re6 S.vel.D.de re rend. cepra, eius generis, qux poftliminium habent : \& , fitne femel in prefidia hoftium delata, nee ne, non fubiacent res captæ a piratis*, vellatronibus,\& iis qui 38 iufti hoftes non funt. nam captaabiis, c l.busfes.l. nunquä in dominiumeorum träleunts.
lutrones. $l$. poflimintu.
©. a piratis. D.de captisi. 1. quer alatio mibus. D. de reftan.
d l. latrones. D.decaptiu. quare recepta, fine diftinctioneomnino priori domino, quidominio corum nüquamexcidit, funt reftituenda ${ }^{\text {d. . hinc }}$ mirum, cur conftitutio* regni Hifpanix 39 hanc diftinctionem : fueritne predarecepta, femel intra prxfidia hoftiú delata, nec ne, probauerir, in his rebus, non folùm que ab hoftibus, fed exiam quaza pie Reg. 1 $_{31}$. ratis nobis ablatx funt ${ }^{e}$ : cùm certè, fi tu. 29 par.2. bonum $\&$ æquum infpiciamus, dominium rerum caprarum, nulla lege, neq; diuina, neque humana, a veris dominis, in eumquiluftus hoftis non eft, tranfire poffir, vade recuperatas huiufmodires omnino dominis reftituendaseffe, ipfa ratio,

Liber primvs. $5 I$ ratio, \& æquitas fuadet. nifi fortè propter vtilitaté publicam, quo milites fint alacriores ad perfequendos latrones \& piratas,aliud ftatuiconueniat. pręfertim cùm bono publico, etiam cum damno priuatorü, confuli poffit ${ }^{2}$. Quò \{pectat, a l.Lucisx. quod Tacitusait, omne magnum exé- D. de mutz i. plum, habere aliquidex iniquo, quod $\begin{gathered}\text { retum, } \$ \text {. } 1 \text {. }\end{gathered}$ contra fingulos vtilitate publica repen- D.dere vend.
 detur. Romaniautem, nō folùm, ficum latronibus \& piratis,fed etiam ficumiuto hotte res effer, fope ea, qux in prada fortè factainueniebantur fuiffe fociorü, vel ciuium, iubebant illis reftitui, itaq́ue Volfcis ad deditionem cópulfis, caftrifque eorum captis, predx pars, fuacognofentibus Latinis, atq; Hernicis fociis populi Romani, reddita funt ${ }^{6}$. \& b Limimi.4. Sutrinis, fociis populi Romani, vrbs eorum, quam per pactioné Hetrufcis dediderãt, quòd diutius obfidionem ferre non poffent, eodem die Camillo duce recepta, inuiolata, integraq́ue ab omni clade belli,ante noctem reddita eft c. Sic c Lumisi.6. quoque Scipio capta Carthagine, que repletaerat ftatuis, donariisq̣ue GracaniciseSicilia aduectis,edixit, vt efinguG 4 lisv5-

De tive eff off. beit. lis vrbibus venirent, qui fuadinofcerent a plut. ina. \& auferrér ${ }^{2}$.Sed iftud certè ex benignitate imperatoris, in cuius arbitrio omnč predam effe docuimus, pendet.Verùm, vtrùm res furto ${ }^{*}$ latronibus, $\&$ illegiti- 40 mis hoftibus erepta, qux poftea in poteftatem corum, qui hoftium numero continentur peruenerit, a ciuibus commercio, vel virtute bellica redépta fuerit;vtrùm, inquā, hẹc res, prioridomino reflituidebeat, meritò dubites. Et quib in 1. hatroo dem Iauolenus ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, feruum furreptum, mes. D.deca. ptom. quamuis poftea in manus hoftium venerit, ab emptore vfucapi non poffe,refpondit, quia filicet verum effet, eum furreptum effe, neque quòd hoftiū fuiffet, aut ponliminio rediiffet, ei reiimpedimento effe. quod in feruo admitto, propter ius poflliminii: fed in aliis rebus quępofliminio carent, id fequi nonaufim . quia certumeft, hanc rem factam hoftium, atque ita dominum eius dominio excidiffe, quid enim refert an domino, an verò furi res fuerit erepta,modò iutto bello?Nam quod dicimus, vitiū furti,quod rei cohæret, non purgari, nifi res in domini poteftatem reuertatur: (quod lege Atinia fancitum fuit ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) inter

> Liber primps. 52 ciues, non etiam inter hoftes locum habere exiftimo. vt enim res bello capta noftra fiat,fufficit rem hoftilem effe ${ }^{3}$. \& a Lnaturate
 tanea. tamen non impedio, quo minus storerdms vnufquifque vtatur fuo iudicio. nihilominus fi placet, hanc rem furtiuam manere;illud certè $æ q u i f f i m u m$ puto, vt nö aliter cum his poffefforibus agi poffit, quàm fípfe fur, velhæres foluendo nō funt ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Et hactenus quidem de rebus barpummo
 quàm feruis bello captis, dictum eft. fed quæri poteft,vtrùm etiam res incorpo4I rales*bello capi poffint, \&in victorem transferri: \&;fi poffint; vtrùm fint poftliminij, nec ne. Quò fpectat lisilla, qux
42 fuit inter Thebanos * \& Theffalos: de
 enim Thebas euertiffet Alexander, inuenit tabulas, quibus centum talenta mutuò Theffalis dediffe Thebanos continebatur. has donauit Theffalis; quòd corum vfus effet cömilitio. poftea reftitutia Caffandro, conueniunt Thebani Theffalos. lis omnis exec, quòd Alexäder eas Theffalisdonaffe dicitur, pendet. \& certè non videtur potuiffe AlexanG $s$ drum

De ivre et off. belfo drum oligationem iftam Theffalis donandi animo remittere, cùm fit iuris, \& ita perfonæ cohæreat, vt ab ea diuelli nó poffit a. prætereaex eo, quòdius, quod incorporaleeft, manu apprehendi non poffit: id verò tätùm fieri victoris, quod ipfe ceperit ${ }^{\text {b }}$. imo nó diutius effe victoris,quàm quamdiu poffidet:cùm eodem iure, quo ipfe cepir,ab co auferri poffit ${ }^{\text {. }}$ \& aliam quoque effe conditionem heredis conitar;aliam victoris: quia adillú ius ${ }^{d}$, ad hunc res tranfit ${ }^{2}$. tumeriamius publici crediti tranfire in victorem non potuiffe, exeodefendiporeft, quòdid quod populus credidit, ab omnibus debeatur, \& quamdiu quilibet fuperfuerit ${ }_{2}$ fir is to $i u s$ fummæ creditor: imo idem populus dicendus fit, \& eadem ciuitas: criam finemoexiis, quitemporecontractuserãt, fuperfit, fed alij fuccefferint: vt diferte Alphenus refponditf. etenim populius, non ef fitumin perfonis,fed in vniuerfiate; qux vniuerfitas* xquè 43 reprefentatur perfucceffores, vt per eos, quifunt hodie.eoq́; fenfu dicitur, quòd vniuerfitas non moritur, nec definit, vit. D. quad cimes $2 \boldsymbol{n}$. nom. quamdiu vel vnusfuperefts. Denique ad propofitam factifpeciem, dici poteft,

Liber primys. 53 non in tabulis fuiffe ius, cum feriptura non fit de fubftantia contractus, fed adhibeatur tätùm ad probationem ${ }^{\text {a }}$, vnde ${ }^{\text {a } 1 \text {. ront: ahi }}$ tabularum apprehēfione, $\&$ donatione, ,ur. D.deprib. nullumius fuiffe acquificum Alexädro, te. C. detraf. neque Thebanis ademprum. Ceterum fíeinenflus. his non obftantibus, in propolita facti fpecie, verius videtur, ius publicicrediti in tabulis comprehenfum, in Alexandrum tranfiffe, atq; ita potuiffe Alcxandrum, obligationem illam, qua Theffali Thebanis renebantur, Theffalis remittere. quod donatione tabularum feciffe cenfetur.cim enim iure belli, visti in viEtoris potefatem \& dominiü tranfeant, \& poffir victoriis, quemadmodū velit, imperare; non folum res corporales iis adimere poteft, fed etiamea, quef funt iuris, \& leges pro arbitrio dare, $\&$ priores tollere. vbienim omnia ci, quiarmis plus poteft, vt ait Liuius, dedita funt: b b.s. §.id qua ex iis habere victor, quibus multari quod. Dad eos velit, ipfiusius atque arbitrium eft. Eatc. ifetaris. fed \& cùm obligatso fitin creditoris do- D. de pecul. minio $b_{\text {; }}$ protinusius crediti, quodvicti $\begin{gathered}\text { Accrits. ir 1.z } \\ \text { C. de here.vel }\end{gathered}$ habebät, in victoris dominium, vnacum att.verib. victis träfit. nam qui in aliena poteftate $\begin{gathered}\text { cl.quan ing fer- } \\ \text { mitue. } \\ \text { v. } \\ \text { de }\end{gathered}$ eft, nó poteft quicquain habere in fuã. regser.

De ivrb at off. bell. Vnde profectò videtur dominiũ illius obligationis, qua Theffali Thebanis tenebantur, in Alexandrum tranfiffe. qui cùm eandem donandianimo Theffalis remiferit: (nam tabulis donatis cêfetur id, quod in tabulis cótinetur, donatum a l ,, c.di effe ${ }^{2}$ : \& creditor cautionem creditori donas. reddens, pacificide non petendo intellib l.2. D. de gitur ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) Theffalos ab obligatione libepaffl. Lmontim roseffe confequens eft. nifi dicamus ${ }_{2}$ eswfa. § Tisin D. demor. vanf, donat. poftliminij ius crediti recuperaffe. nam omnia, quæfuntiuris, poftquam quis poftliminio rediit, perinde habentur,ac fi nunquam in poteftate hoftium fuif.
c limbello. $\$$ sodicill. ver.
Cetera. Ceteru. D.de
d 1. foraptiwus. §.expulfis D.dec capp. e 1. fiager. D. qwemad. cris 8 - religiofis? Sitamen in propofita y furf. amsit. f misf.de erb. corp. $\sigma$ ins. corp: D. heselig. et funpe. frive. fent ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$. itaque dicemus, ciuitatem iure poftliminii reftitutam eädem, eiufdemq́ue iuris, \& ftatus fingi, quo antea fuerat : vt de agrorum captorum, \& liberatorum proprietate diximus ${ }^{\text {d }}$, \& corundem vfufructu ${ }^{\circ}$, qui iuris eftf.quo etiam modo poftliminium fingitur in locis facris \&:religiofis?. Sitamen in propofita hypothefi, fortè Theffali Alexandro vitori, in quem ius crediti tranfiffediximus, foluiffent:non exifimo Thebanos per reftitutionem Caffandri,iure poftliminij,ius creditifemel exitinctum,recu-

Liber primvs。
54 a in Arff.L. 5 . tis. 6 bl.feduefled ge. §.certe. D. depecii. hered c mipart.D. de folut. Accurt, 12 2 1 fode twoncm. D. de folutio. d 1, mbiltam naturale. $D_{4}$ dereg. ure. cli.inbelto. 5 . facti. D.de captiki. fl.fivnm. G paftmon ne pe. terte. D. de pact. l. imra fanguxi.D. de reg. iur. 1 . tus manumiffus, folutú repetinon poteft ${ }^{\text {h}}$ : quia fcilicet factum facto repédit. eft enimapta \& commoda proportio, \& analogia facti cum facto; non æquèiuris cumfacto ${ }^{\text {i }}$; fed iuriscumiure maximèk. vnde fiacceptilatione, pacto de non petendo, chirographi redditione, quælögè minus cautionis habent, quadm folutio ', liberatio contigerit, cum nullum factum interuenerit, ius creditiad The banos, iure poftiminij redire dicēdum k l.omina.D. videtur. neque enim his modis æquè tollitur obligatio, vt folutione, quæ ob-
 fpecie, iudicatum fuiffe eradir Ioan, Pa. ponius ${ }^{2}$. folutio enim debitè facta fuit, quæ obligationem tollit funditus ipfo zure $b$, \& naturaliter, vt ait Iurifconfultus c . naturale eft enim vnumquodq́ue diffolui, ita vtcolligatum eft d. Iusaute poftliminijnon reftituit ea,quç facto,\& naturaliter legitimè fublata funt ${ }^{c}$. non habent namque ea, quę funt iuris, imperium in ea, quax funt facti ${ }^{f}$. Quò fpectat, quod quäuis feruus ne naturaliter quidem obligeturb: tamé fiquis pro feruo, qui matuum accepit, foluat, vel ipfe ferdergy kr:

Dr ivre et off. bell. neque etiam militat cadem æquitas, \& bona fides. quxnon patitur, vtidem bis 3 1. loma fa.
des.D.dereg.
iusp. foluatur ${ }^{2}$. Et quidē, fi ha rabulæ, de quibusagimus, abalio quopiã quàmfummo principe, qualis fuit Alexäder, capté fuifent : equidem exiftimo nullumius illiacquiri potuife, per eaquæ in contrariam fentétiam adduximus: atqueita non effe opus poftliminij fictione: ne quidé fifint tabulæ priuatialicuius crediti. nifi fortè creditor eius, qui tabulas habet, captiuus fit: qui olim fiebat feruus, qui nihil fuum habere poterat: fed omnia cum ipfa perfona in dominium

 done. ter Chriftianos capti, non fiant ferui, aliuddicendum videtur. cùm enim perfona non tranfeat in dominiŭ capientis: ne quidem ius, quod perfonxita cohxcl3, © ${ }^{2} b i r e t$, vtabeadiuclin on poffic ${ }^{c}$, tranfire ${ }_{\text {frame. }}^{\text {Acrep. }}$ pro dicendum eff.

DE FIDE HOSTI SERVANDA.
1 Fidem Romanimaximè coluerunt.
2 Fides bosti data, (iue publice, fise priuatim, feruanda.
3 Edictum quod metus caufa, nonpertinct ad fidem bollidatam.

## Liber primys.

4 रulla latebra, aut calumnia quarenda persurio.
, Obfides dati, an liberent a fide prafita.
6 Iniusto borition fit feruanda fides.
7 Iura belli non canuciniunt iniust is bofibut.
8 Conuentiones per syramidem, aut rebil. lionem expreffa, non feruanda.
9 princeps ea, qua funt iur is regy, aliesare non porefl.
10 Summum impersuprs inguibess praeigué verfetur.
II CMinimè firme funt conwemtiones, gue fiunt cum $\int u b d i t i t s$ rebelibibus.
II Rebolles of latrones armis, nonpactione futigendi.
13 Honibus non femper fides fereanda.
14 Iuramentem de re illicita nonest fer. zandum.
Is Fides a priaato in praindicium reipubl. data, non e. 7 fervanda.
16 Praflat nondare fidern de reillicita,quàm datam fallere.
17 Hopifidè fallenti nonest fermandafides.
18 Indutia an maneant bofteres violinse.
19 Indutia tiam longi tempores effepoffunt.
20 Fidern frangenti, fidem ferwandam non effe, gromedo intrelligerdum.

$$
2 I \text { Perf }
$$

> De ivreet off. bell. 21 Perfidiam perfidia vlcifcizonlicet. 22 Paçum dolomalo initum, an ferwădum.

Cap. sextym.
Fide nullam rem apud nortales maiorem, aut fanctiorem veteres femper exiftimauerunt; camq́ue Romaniin x capitolio, vicinam Ioui opt. max. effe voluerunt:qua femel data, nihil firmius amoz. anico fuit. Itaque Aulus Gellius ${ }^{2}$; omnibus ${ }^{36} \cdot 20$ acq. 1 . quidem populum Romanum virtutum generibus colendis, exercendif́que, ad tātam amplitudinem perueniffe fcribit: fed omnium maximè, atque prácipuè fidem coluiffe, fanctáq́ue habuiffe, tam publicè quàm priuatim . cuius rei cùm permulta exftent arguméta,\& teftimonia, tum illud perquàm memorabile; quòd inter eos, \& Perfeam pactis indutiis, cùm ludi Circenfes celebrarentur; ingreffi hoftium duces curuli cettamine contenderint, \& vietores coronati fint.Sed \& cöfules clariffimos viros,populus Roma.confirmand $x$ fidei publice gratia, hoftibus dedidit,vtde Poftumio
b $\overline{3}$, cap. 10 \& Mancinoalibidiximus ${ }^{\text {b }}$.vnde Ptolomæus Ægypti rex, agnita Romanorum fide, populum Roman. infantifilio, cui
regnums
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 regnum debebatur, tutorem teltamêto reliquit. \& certè, vt Vlpianus ait, graue eft fidem fallere ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : \& nihil fidei humanx a in li. i. D. tam congruum eft, quàm feruare, qux de cöf. pecu. placuerunt $b$. Porrò nullum, vtinquit binh.r.D.de Cicero ${ }^{\text {c }}$, vinculum adaftringendam fi- pact. dem iurciurādo maiores arctius effe voluerunt. id indicant leges in xij.tabulis: indicant facra: indicant foedera, quibus etiā cum hofte deuincitur fides. \& quidem Romani, cùm belli, pacisqueiura religiofiffmè femper coluerint, tü certè, fi téporibus adducti, holti quid pro. mififfent, in eo iplo fidem fanctifimè feruabant. Iraque preclarè Sex. Pompeius, magni Pōpeij.filius,(cœnantibus apud eum in nauiad Puteolos Antonio \& Octauio,cum quibustunc focietatem inierat) Menodoro Pompeian $x$ claffis prefecto, per internuncium fignifcanti tempusadeffe, quo parentis, \& fratris iniuias vlcifci poffet, \& occafionearrepta,paternum fibi aflerereimperium: fe cnim curaturum, ne quifquà è nausbus euaderet:refpondit : Vtinam Menodorus hocablqueme poffet perficere.nam illi penurium conuenire, non Poppeiod. d Apppinn, de 2 Suntautem *qui exiftiment,hoftiquideDe ivre et off. bhil. publicè fidem datam feruandam effe, nö
a Bart.ct aly 4nl.conseitio. num. D. dc pact. Zaz.in apolog. cotra Ethwm, $\mathbf{O}^{\circ}$ de Iude. .qu. 3 b offic.ib. 3 . clib.7.e. 18 lib.20,cap. 1 hofte res geritur, non exiftimo debere conditiones, pactiones bellicas $\&$ hoftiles, perturbari periurio,etiam a priuatis initas ${ }^{\text {d }}$. nam graue elt fidem falleree. \& funt quædam officia, ve inquit Cicero ${ }^{f}$, etiam aduerfus cos feruanda, a quibus iniuriam acceperis. eftque prxclarum in hanc rem M. Attilij Reguli facinus, qui primo bello punico captus a $\mathrm{Poe}-$ nis, cum de captiuis commutandis Romam miffus effer, iuraffetque ferediturum: primùm vt venit,captiuos reddendos in fenatu non cenfuit; deinde cùm ci.1. D. de retineretur a propinquis $\&$ amicis, ad
d per text ins e.seli.23.9.2 1. poftumumi, Y. ult. D. de capt.Eortun. O-Duarenes inl. саненtiomam. D. de pact. Decius mpl.ca of $n a-$ tura. D. de reg. is. Conar. mim4.lidere 2.par.c.3. \%. 4.


 Ma, $h$. ine. it.
hdecuat De rò iratus fuit Simeoni \& Leuifiliis fuis, lit.s.ciap. 18. i Genef.34. ris initum ${ }^{i}$ : ideoq́ue moriens maledixit illis.
illis ${ }^{2}$. Neque recipiendaefteorum fen- 2 ceref. 48 . tentia, quiexiftimarunt, quod per vim hoftium effet actum, ratum effe nondebere. viro enim forti, vt inquit Cicero, vis adhiberi nequit : \& fides, vt inquit Senecab, fanetiffimum humani pectoris ${ }^{6}$ Epiris.89. bonum, nulla neceffitate ad fallendum cogitur, nullo corrūpitur $p$ ramio. vnde Cicero: Nullares tanta, inquir, exiftar, vt poffit mihi maiorem vim adhibere
3 metus, quàm fides. Edictum *quoque prxtorium, quo per metum gefta, in integrum reftituuntur, ad eum metum, quilure licito, vtpote iufto bello infertur, non pertinet c: nequead promiffa cexex elag in hoftibus facta, fed ciuibus. Et quidem tantiolim fuit iufiurandum, vt M. Pom- gwd rust. ponius Tribu. pleb. cum diem dixiffet ${ }^{\text {cath Pataror, } 1 n}$ L. Manilio A. filio (quòd paucos fibi dies ad dictaturam gerendamaddidiffet, \& criminaretur, quòd Titüfilium, qui Torquatus poitea eft appellatus, $a b$ hominibus relegaffer, \&ruri habitare iuffiffet) ab adolefente flio, qui intelligebat negotium exhibcri patri, remotis cad anm vero: arbitris, ftricto gladio iufiurandum dare coactus, fe patrem miffum effe facturū: quamuis nullo iure cogi poffer: tamen

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \text { a caufa }
$$

De ivre et off. bell. a caufa deftiterit, Manliumque miffum

2 Cictrooffic lab.3. Limisse 46.7. fecerit ${ }^{2}$. Itaq́ue pulchrè Ferrandus diaconus, ad Rheginum ducem, de officio pij $\&$ veri Chriftianiducis militum fcribens, cenfet hoftem, vtcunque antiquū, \& reip.infeftum, non effe falfa iuratione fallendum : etiam fi vita eius impediat, mors proft paci, humanis enim diuina femper funt preponenda, \& vt Petrus apoftolorū princeps dicebat, Deo mab aftor,. gisferuiendum eft, quàm hominibus ${ }^{5}$. Quare cum quibufcunqं; bella fufcepta funt, vt idem Ferrandus ait, aut nullafide datá, bello aut pace facta, inuiolata fide iurifurandirefp. feruanda vel adiuuanda eft. quidenim proderiteum vincere, quem diabolus vicit, vt vittorię fpe © Pfam, 14 falfumiuraret?Propterea Pfalmifta ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$, dū , canit : Domine quis habitabitin taber- $\Rightarrow$ naculo tuo, aut quis requiefcet in môte $\%$ fancto tuo ? refpōdet fibiipli ex perfona ${ }_{2}$ Dei, talibus verbis: Qui loquitur veri- ${ }_{2}$ tatem in corde fuo, qui non egit dolum ${ }_{2}$ in lingua fua, nec fecit proximo fuo ma-, " lum, \& opprobiiū non accepit aduerfus, proximum.quiiurat proximo fuo \& nō $\Rightarrow$ decipit. ille autem, vt idê Diaconus ait, iurat proximo $\mathrm{fuO}_{2}$ \& nondecipit, qui
quod-

Liber primvs. 58 quodcunqueiurat lingua, implet opere. neque exiftimet quis, hoftem, cuiiurat, non debere proximum deputari. propter hocenim iuratur, vt proximus deputetur: \&, perfoedus pacis, ex hofte fitamicus. Maximum itaque nefas eft, fallum iurare, \&, fi altius confideres, maximè inutile, \& damnofummperatori, vel duci exercitus. nam precipuum difciplinæ militaris vinculum eft, facramenti religio: qux fi,exemplo ducis,leuis aftimariincipiat, tam apud hoftes, quàm apud milites, omnia mifceri,perturbariq́que neceffe eft. neque etiam imperator fide data ab hofte tutus erit,neq; fuos fidos habebit. Quidquid proinde, iuramento intercedente, adhibita inuocatione nominis Dei confirmatur (fiue inimico gratia, flue reo venia, fue militibus, re bene gefta, pramia promittantur) nillius vtilitatis colfderatione, nullius periculi formidine, deber fieri irritum: fed fixus, \& immutabilis fit fermo, cuius fideiteftisfit adhibitus Deus. Ca-
4 uendum*preterea, ne latebra quæratur periurio, \& calumnia quadan, \& nimis callida, \& malitiofa iurisinterpretatione, iurifurandif fidem violemus, vtille, $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ qui,

De ivre et offobell. qui, cùm triginta dierum pactxeffent a eicr. offc. indutix, noctu populabatur agros ${ }^{2}$. vt wh.s. Q. Fabius Labeo, quem nonnulli tradunt, cùm Antiocho prelio victo, ex foedere dimidiam partem nauium accipere deberet, omnes medias fecuiffe, vt ${ }^{b}$ val.max. regem tota claffe priuaret ${ }^{\text {b. \& }}$ \& vtvnus ub.7. cap.3. exdecem, quos, poft Cannenfem cladéé, iuratosad fenatum mifit Annibal,incaItra redituros, nifide redimêdis captiuis impetraffent:qui,cùm paulò pòtt quàm egreffuserate caftris, rediiffet: Romæ manfit. reditu enim in caftra liberatum feeffe iureiurando interpretabatur: nō rectè. fraus enim diftringir, vtinquit Cicero, non diffoluir periurium. itaque decreuit fenatus, vtille veterator, \& callidus, vinctus ad Annibalem deducereccier of tur ${ }^{\text {c }}$. \& huc referripoffe videtur refpo ${ }^{-}$ 46.3. Limsks lib.22. d de bello pro. ni. fum Cenforini, fi Appianocredimus ${ }^{\text {d }}$ : quilegatis Carthaginienfium (quiquerebantur, guòd contra fidem ipfis dara, obfidibustraditis, atq; omnibus armis, adhuc Carthaginem delere vellet) refpondit,per Carthaginem, quamliberä fore promiferant Romani, Carthaginienlesintelligi, non vrbem \& folum. Neque verum ${ }^{*}$ eft id quod nonnulliarbitrantur,
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 59 bitrantur,obfidibus datis, quempiãafio de data liberari. quaratione Bodinus a a de rephbuld Francifcum Gallorum regem religione i.cap.8. foederis Madrıcsani, filiis obfidibus datis, folutum fuiffe contendit : exemplo pacis Caudinz, quam ideo irritam factă afferit, quòd oblides dati effent : idqúue contra fidem hiftoriz. nam iple Poftumus conf. pacis auctor, fententiam rogatus, ideo dixit populum Romanum fponfione, quam iple cum Samnitibus fecerat, non teneri, quòd iniuffu populi " facta effer:fic enim ait : Neque ego infi"ciaseo, P.C.tamfponfiones, quàm foe"derafancta effe, apud eos homines, a"pud quosiuxta diuinas religiones, fides "humana colitur: fed iniuffu populi, ne"go quicquam fanciri poffe, quod populum teneat. fe tamen feu turpi, feu neceflaria fponfione aftrictum affirmauit. ideoq́ue quò populus religione liberaretur,\& pax Samnitum repudiaretur, vt ipfe cum reliquis pacis auctoribus de deretur, fuafor \& auctor fuit. cùm equi. tes dC. obfides dati effent, qui capite luerent, fi pacto non ftaretur ${ }^{b}$, obfides b tiainol 9 . enim, quemadmodum fideiuffores, \& Cierro off.1. 3 pignora,principali obligationiaccedưr, $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ guòDe fvre et off. belr. a inffituse de quò diligentius cautum fitaduerfario ${ }^{2}$.
 D. deobli. $\omega^{\circ}$ tionem tollant, vt fine eaconfiftere ne${ }_{b}$ atho. $i$ fideriflo. queant ${ }^{0}$. nonenim magis poffibile eft, resobignthau accefforium fine principali effe, quàm
 quoad ea forrè, in quibus populi \& ordinum confenfus requirebatur, faltem fua liberata fide, potius in carcerem redire, quàm fidem datam fallere : exêplo Poftumi, Mancini, Reguli, \& aliorum. coq́ue magis, quòd princeps, cui populus onme imperiumin fe, poteftatemq́; conceffit ${ }^{\text {d }}$, rectè de negotiis ad remp. fpectantibus pacifcatur, fiue pacem, fiue quamcunque conuérionem cum hoftibus faciat ${ }^{c}$. Rectius itaque Ioãnes Gallorin rex, in pralio ab Anglis captus, \& fide de reuertédo datadimifus, fi minus pacta implerentur:cùm omnia prxfare nón poffer, quæ conuencrant, ad hofté redire maluit, quàm fidem violare, cùm
$f$ Bodinuss of
 tamen \& ipfe filium obfidem dediffet $f$. Et hxc quidem, que de fide hofti feruäda diximus, inuiolabiliter tenendafunt, ficumiufto \& legitimo hofte res geratur; in verò quis metu fortè compulfus, abeo ab eo qui iuftus hortis*non eft,fidem de precio redenptionis foluendo, vel fimilibus dederit,eum non aftringi, vt fidem feruer, dicendum cft ${ }^{2}$ ideoq́uc fip prędonibus, vt inquit Cicero, ${ }^{\text {b }}$, pactum pro capite precium nō attuleris, nulla fraus erit : ne fi iuratus quidem id nó feceris. pirata enim non eft ex perducllium numero definitus, fed communis hoftis omnium : cùm hoc nec fides effe debet, neciufiurandum commune. \& hinc eft, quòd latrones, \& predones hoftirm vocabulo non contineri dicăt I.C.c Vnde
a per 8 res. in
c. parums.
c. ficu.t mfa. dilurywr Mate, 4 rf.foricat. b iff:lub 3. c with busfer. D. de ceptivo. mil.hofies. D. devor.f.fni. diol.potilimamimn, in princ. D. de 7 iura belli *, captiuitatis, \& poftliminij, qux hoftibus tantuṃ conueniunt ${ }^{\text {d }}$, nō poffunt latronibus conuenire ${ }^{c}$. atque ideocaptia piratis,\& latronibus,omninoliberipermanent ${ }^{\text {f. Cum itaque iure }}$ belliagere,fibiq́ue acquirere iis tantum permiffumfit, qui iuftifunt hoftes: nullo iute ij qui nonfunthoftium numero definiti, quibus iura bellin ō funt conceffa, de his, quę iuftis tantum hoftibus acquiruntur, pacifci, aut ad pacifeēdummetum inferre poterunt ${ }^{\text {h. }}$ guare edicto prxtoris, quo per meturg gefta refcinduntur, locuserit ${ }^{\text {i }}$. imo ipfo iure non tenebit, quicquid ex hac caufa actu cicod.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{vel}
$$

De frie et offo bell. a iqui insar vel promiffum fuerit ${ }^{2}$ :neque iuramẽto cere. $\mathbf{D} \cdot$ quod
met.caffa.
ftabilietur:
quia quod nullum eft, con-
 bӥ̈.c.delegi. continere dicitur,iuramentumatroci,\& c. c.prunit. nefanda coactione extortum ${ }^{c}$. poterit 1. .. vorwns.
desurrie. tamé ad maiorem cautionem ablolutio d d.c.perre- peti,quę̀ facilè concedidebet ${ }^{d}$.Non ob-
 a de incrais.
el $1 \sqrt{\text { p pigigmore }}$
 de pig. aftio. fus eft quamuis enim predo fir, refpectu
h. Sf: fire. do. I. . bonaf. eius cuiremabitulit: tamen predo non
 fim. D. com. quoniam bona fides in contractibus $x$ $\underset{\substack{\text { modats } l . \text { non } \\ \text { aidede.D. } \\ \text { de }}}{ }$ ftimanda eft, inter cos tantùm, qui conaiddice. D.de traxerunt, nihil extrinfecus affumpto ${ }^{\text {F }}$.

 cendum eft: qui iufti hofte dici nō pofg d.l.borite. funtg. nam aliud eft hoftis, aliud rebel-
$h_{1}$ ex. $q$ qui fint rebell. i 1. ita Follo.
D. de faste. lis ${ }^{\text {h }}$. \& iniquum en, vt quis ex improbitate fua lucrum fentiat ${ }^{\text {. }}$ g quamuis verü fit, generali nomine, exvfu loquendi, hoftes vocari omnes, contra quos arma feruntur. His confequenseft, conuentiones illas*, que percyrannidemextor- 8 quentur, feruädasnon effit. neque enim confen-

Lrber primys. 61 confenfu(qui in omnibus contractibus a l.2.3.D.de requiritur ${ }^{\text {a }}$.) factum videtur, quod per ${ }^{\text {obluc. }}$ acho. vim exprimitur. ideoq́ue ex hac caufa geftum, nullius crit momenti $b$. Itaque b d.l. quiin tyrannorum leges, non effeleges docet carceré. $\mathbf{D}$. de Cicero ${ }^{\text {c }}$ : neque legem Valeriam, quam cauf. i.foper L. Valerius Flaccus interrex a fenatu ampreßioné.
C. de hin qua proditus, deftituta confulibus ciuitate, Carbone \& Mario interfectis, armis Syllæ oppreffa repub.tulit;vt Sylla per- c delegib 6, .r petuus dictator reipubl. conftituendx caufacrearetur: \& quxcunque is,aut tãquam conful, aur tanquam proconful geffiffer, rata effent. quam omnum legü iniquifinam , diffimillimamq́ue legis effe, idem Cicero oftendit ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$. Idem prorfus de illis conućtionibus, quas iniuftus populus, quem etiam tyrannum vocat Cicero, per rebellionem a principe fuo extorquet, dicendum erit. \& quidem fia plerifque dubitatum elt, an princepsex cōtractu cum fubditis obligetur e: quid de conuentionibus publicis dicendum eft, quas fubditi per rebellioné, \& fummam perfidiam extorquét?etenim cùm rebelles eoiplo, quòd in principem infurgunt, fidem violét, fruftra fibiferuari fidem poftulant. dequo moxdicemus. $h b .1, c a p .9$.

De fvre et off. belx. tum etiam, quod foedus ratum, quam fidem fanctam apud cos fore ferandum eft,quidiuini, humaniq̧ue iuris violatores,per fummum nefis \& perfidiam, patrià prodere non funt reucritỉ̀ aut quomodo per Deum iurabunt, cuius ordinationi ipfirefiftunt ?aut qux conditio paciseffe poteft, in quaei, cum quo pacé.
a Cicer, Pbio lipp. 12. facias, nihil concedi poreft ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ? Sed \& cum iniufti fint hoftes, lure belliagere non poffant, vt modo oftendimus. nulla enim, vt inquit Cicero, iufta caufa videri poteft aduerfus parriam arma capiendi: \& per confequens, aduerfus principem:

niro quod ex: dosiat. in fin. c Pculses ad Roman. cil 3 quiq́ue poteftatem, quam habet, a Deo accepit ${ }^{c}$. Praterea cùm populus de rebus ad remp. fpectantibus, ne quidem cum extraneis pacifci poffit, quod foli principi conceflum eft ${ }^{\text {d }}$ cui tota refpublica commiffaeft, \& penes quem eft belli, pacisq́ue arbitrium ${ }^{\mathfrak{f}}$; multo minus principem ad iniquas conditiones adigere porerit. princeps enim, cuiuseft leges dare populo, non accipere ${ }^{\text {b }}$, cum legibus folutus fit ${ }^{\text {h }}$, nullis legibus afringi poteft a fuis fubditis nemoenim, vtait Vlpianus,fibi ipfimperare potef, \& coacti
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\& coacti, \& cogentis officio fungi ${ }^{2}$. \& nulla obligatio confiftere poteft, quæa voluntate promittentis flatum capit b. coque fpectat, quod Canoniftx paffim tradunt, Pontificem nunquam fibi manusligare. \& hxc quidem multo magis obtinebunt, fi princeps ex hoccōtractu firlafus : qui ve minor reftituitur ${ }^{c}$. \& omnium maximè, fi maieftati principis quid detrahatur. fummi enim princiQ pes*,quemadmodum nullá regni parté alienare poffunt d: (cuius funstãtùm vfructuarij, vel dñi: fed non fecus, quàm maritus eft dńs dotis, qua alienare non poteft ${ }^{5}$.) fic nec quidquä exiis, quæ maieftati corū coh hrent, $\&$ funt iuris regij. itaǵ; de facto alienata, ad ius priftinū reuocaridebēt,\& fucceffores ex hoc contractu nó obligantur 8. omnia enim illa, quę funtiuris regij, nó funt in cómercio, fed coronx annexa, ita vt ab ea feparari nō poffint ${ }^{h}$ : neq; per ipfum principëalienari,etiam ex certa fentésia, vel motu proprio i.eoq́ue fpectat, quòd Caius refpondit, ea quafunt iuris diuini, vel publici,nullius in bonis effe ${ }^{k}$.neque etiam

De ivreet off. bell.
a c. of fuper.
de reb.ecch, sizê atien, cointel. lefto. de iure. invo
b d. I. omnes furds. C. de fwnd. patr. is, 11.éd.l.2. c.de fund. $i$ min. $l_{12 . c u m}$ Jamub. cl. legasses Cafarrs.D.de off. prefid.
d latiac. D. folut, mat. e Bald.jac. s
 reg. Feti. inc. cìmn nôliceat. inc.ad audiêtham. de prefript. Anto. Butr.inc.per venerabilem. quif $\operatorname{sint}$ fily legit, Abbas ind.c.cümnō liceas: quos refrret fiquiser Zoannet. de Lioms. imper. Fi.facriaffatise. c.dediwuer, refcript. l.2. C.veneто рпиатияs tit, pred. g Donn.Hal. ant.Rom.l.s. haderep. l, 1 . covit.
hic quicquam operabitur iuramentum. prohibitio enimalienationis, qux eft in rei conditione, facir, vefit illicitum iuramentum poftca praftitum ${ }^{2}$. \& quidem filla, qux funt reipub. vel regni, neque alienari, neque longi temporis prefcriptione acquiri poffunt ${ }^{\text {b }}$ :quomodo illa, qux funt regix maieftati in fignum fupremx poteftatis referuata, illiauferri poterunt, cùm nec fínontefe abdicando princeps imperiŭ amittat ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ? \& fi pactŭ, quo maritus pacifcitur, ne conueniatur in id quod facere poteft, fed in folidum: feruari oportere negat Vlpianus, tanquam contrabonos mores fit : quippe contra receptam reuerentiam, qux maritis exhibenda eft ${ }^{\text {d }}$ quid de pacto, quo maieftas principi diuino \& humano iure debita lęditur, dicendum eft?in quam fententiam multa a multis dicta funt $e^{\text {. }}$ $\&$ hinceft, quòd fi quis priuatus vtatur titulis, vel infigniis regiis, capite plectacur, publicatis bonis $f . \&$ olim perlegem Valeriam facrum effet cum bonis caput cius, qui regnioccupandi confiliainiif$\hat{i}$ etg. Hinc quoque dicit Bodinus ${ }^{\text {h }}$, priuilegium conceffum a principe, vt liceat condemnatum reftituere,aut a pœna liberare
berare, nullius effe momenti. quia filicethoc dependet a maieftate principis: cuifoli hoc licet ${ }^{2}$. \& Baldus ${ }^{b}$ dicit, non poffe vllo tépore præfcribi iuriappellädiad fummüprincipé ${ }^{\text {c }}$. porrò fummum so imperiü*, vt rectc̀ annotanit Bodinus d, pręcipuè verfatur in fummis magiftratibus creandis, \& officio cuiufq; definiendo, in legibus iubédis, aut abrogädis, in bello indicendo, acfinicndo, in extrema prouocatione ab omnibus magiftratibus, $\&$ in poteftate vitx ac necis, neque enimfiiudices fupplicia de feleratisfumunt, propterea necis ac vitę ius habēt: cùm legibus obftrictiteneätur: nec merêtes morte liberare poffint: quod fum. mi imperij eft:vbiclementia côtraleges locü habet. Itaque, exactis regibus,quidam dolebant mutatum reipub. itatum, dicentes regem hominé effe, a quoimpetres vbiius, vbiiniuria opusfit: effe gratix locum, \& beneficio: \& irafci, \& ignofcere poffe: leges verò, rem furdam, \& inexorabilem effe : nihil laxamenti', nihil venix habere ${ }^{c}$. \& Cicero veniam stimestib. $z$ pro Ligario precatus a Cafare, Apud „ iudices, inquit, nunquam egi hoc mo22 do: Ignofcite, iudices, errauit, lapfus eft;

De ivrabt off. beli. eft; non putauit; fivnquam pofthac. ad, parentern fic agi folet: apud iudices, " Non fecit, $n$ on cogitauit:falfi teftes; fal- \% fum crimen. Sunt itaque hæc, qux diximus, principis propria: qux etiam populus Romanus, apud quem fummum imperium fuit, cùm pleraq́; alia fenatui committeret, fibi referuauit. vt multis
$2408 . R 0 m \bar{s}$. $\omega_{0.2 .4 . e t}^{6 .}$ locis oftendit Dion. Halic. prefertim quòdad magiftratuscreandi, leges ferědi, \& bellum pacemq́ue decernendi poreftatem attinet. prouocationem verò, \& vitx necífue poteftatem, populo per bDiso.Habi. legem Valeriam tributam fuiffe côftat ${ }^{\text {b }}$.
 val.Max. 1,4 nione, ita maieftati principis cohxrent, capp.spt, it, ve cumaliis communicari non poffint. publicula. \&fuperioribusaddunt, pignerandicō- ceffionem, vectigalium ac tributorum, nummiq́ue percutiendi poteftarem, $\&$ alia nonnulla.ex quibus tamé quedam, etiam iis, quino funtfummi principes, cemidpape tributa videmus c . \& de his omnibus laquari.498. tè Ioan. Papd. Porrò Bodinus,propter4. .5.sar. i.ciu $\mathrm{ca}^{*}$, qux hactenus dicta funt, dicit nullas sigiquor feque. tibi. Bdation, de
 conuentiones minusfirmas effe, \& plus cautionis opus habere, quàm quas faciunt fubditi rebelles cum fuo principe. ideo-

Liber primvs. 64 ideoque fuadet rebellibus, ne ipficontrahant: fed alius princepsextraneus,\& vicinus, pro eis. at ego fuaferim, vt redeuntes in viam, humiliter veniam orätes, penitentia potiusclemétiam principisdemereantur:quàm iniuftis \& iniquis pactis animum irritent. nihil enim magis pungit principem, quàm pacifci cum fuis fubditis rebellibus, iifdemque fidem \{eruares itaque Philippum, vt refert Liuius a, vna res maximé angebat: a ibi. 39. quodd cùm leges a Romanis victo imponerentur, fruiendi ius in Macedones, quiin bello a fe defecerant, ademptum erat. Cùmergo conuentiones illx non fubfiftunt, per quas maieftas principis imminuitur: quid de iisdicendum, per quas Diuina Maieftas læditur (cui per homines nullo contractu, longa quantumuis longi temporis prefcriptione, derogari poteft in aperto eft.itaq; Themiftocles ad Atheniêfes, \& Cato ad Romanos dicebant, homines nihil quicquameorum, qux Diuinç Maieftatıdeberentur, vfucapere poffe: neque priuatos quicquam eorū, quxeffent reipub. 6 b phet in ideoq́ue Caius, ea qux funt diuini, vel ${ }_{\text {Cato }}^{\text {Them } v i c e r . ~}$ publici iuris, nullius in bonis effe re-

De ivabex off. bell. a int.1.D.de Ppondit ${ }^{2}$. \& hucfpectat locusille nobis $\underset{\substack{\text { ver. diaif. } \\ b \text { epf.33. }}}{\text {. }}$ Lis Ambrofij ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : vbide Valentiniano itnperatore loquitur: Conuenior, inquit,ass comitibus\& tribunis, vt Bafilicæ fieret ${ }^{2}$ matura traditio;dicentibus, imperatore ${ }_{\%}$ fuoiure vti, eò quòd in poteftate cius, effent omnia. refpondi, fia me peteret,, quod meum effet, idefi,fundum meum, $"$ argentum meum, ius huiufmodi meum $"$ non refragaturum : quanquam omnia, " qux mea funt, effent pauperum: verùm," ea quæ diuina, imperatoris poteftati nö " effe fubiecta. Ceterum, quamuis conuétiones cum latronibus, $\otimes$ rebellibus, ratas non effe docuerimus, tamen princeps, quantum poteft, $a b$ iis abftinere debet: tum quòd maieftati principisnō côueniat, tum quòd quęuis mala potius toleranda funt, quàm malo confentien${ }^{2}$ cititate, 32 dum ${ }^{\text {c }}$. turpifimum ${ }^{*}$ autem iudicauere ${ }^{\mathrm{t} 2}$ qusfis. Romani, de bello, pace, vel indutiis cum piratis, latronibus, vel rebellibus pacifci. itaque eos nunquam cumiis, quiimperio Romano fubiecti rebellarent, foedere, aut pactione rem compofuiffe, legimus: quin potius non quicuere, ante quam expugnando, aut in deditionem accipiendo,omnesfubigerêt;vt Latinis,

Campa-
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Campanis, aliisque rebellantibus facua fuiffe legimus. ideoque Cicero a fuade- a oppr. 6.16 . bat Planco, ne pacem componeret inter D. Brutum \& Antonium, vocans Antonianos, foedrfimos latrones:qui autarmis pofitis pacem petere debent : aut II pugnantes eam poftulant; victoria pax, non pactione pariéda eft. $\&$ idem dicit ${ }^{b}, b$ phaijps $s$ 。 ignorare iura belli, \& exempla maiorú: \&quid populi Romani maieftas, quid fenatus feveritas poftularet, quilegaros ad Antonium mittendoscenferent.non enim verbisrogandum, fed armis cogëdum effe. \& idem vocat hoc bellum inexpiabile, negans ideo paci locum effec o c pllipo 23. Itaq́ábello focialij; cùm focij populi Romanifperantes, fe non fore amplius fubditos, fed imperij participes, bellum pararent, \& legatos Romä mitterent queItum, fe nō admitri ad ius ciuitatis, cùm tamen ipforum opera, \& auxilio, longè lateq́que imperium extédiffent Romani: ad hxc fenatus feuerè refpōdit,fe, donec refipuiffent, non audituros legationes eorum d. Hinc quoque cum Tacfarinas d Appiande nobilis latro, eò arrogantix veniffer, vt beccuwl,ub. 1 legatos ad Tiberium mitteret, fedéq́que vitrò fibi atq; exercitui fuo poftularet, 12 aut

De ivre ex off, bell. autbellü inexpiabile minitaretur. Non a Aem:ubib, alias magis, inquit Tacitus ${ }^{2}$,fua, populi. 力 q́ue contumelia, Rom.indoluiffe Cæfa- \% rem ferunt, quàm quòd defertor,\& prę- \% do, hoftium more ageret. neSpartaco $\%$ quidem, poft cofularium exercituūcla- ", des, multùm Italiam vrenti, quanquam $\Rightarrow$ Sertorij \& Mithridatis ingētibus bellis » labaret refp. datum, vt pacto in fidē ac-, 7 ciperetur : nedum pulcherrimo populi $\%$ Romani flagitio, latro Tacfarinas, pace " \& conceffione redimeretur. hæcille. iis » tamen, quife, citra pactionem, fideiimperatorum dederent, parcifolet. itaq́ue Fabius Max. Ætmilianus, Conobxlatrobapphas,de numduci, quife eidedidit, pepercit ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. \& bubl $\mathrm{H} /$ pp. Auguftus Crocolx, infigni latroni in Hilpania, cùm magno in eius caput propofito promio, lefe fponte offerret, veniamdedit,\& premium exigenti,numerari iuffit, ne fcilicet,fieum fupplicio affeciffet, videretur voluiffe fruftrati promiffo promio, vel decepiffe fiducia c Dinohss. iuris publici ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Porrò non folùm fí cum rebellibus, vel latronibus*, \& iis quiho- 13 ftium numero non funt definiti, resfis: fed \& ficũ holibus, \& iisquiiufto bello nobifcum contendunt agatur, non femper fi-
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 per fidem feruare cōuenit : \& inprimis, fi quis iurauerit, humana forte infirmiIf tate*lapfus, fe facturum id, quod Deum, perquem iurauit, poffit offendere, non faciet., quod iurauit. nam iuramentum non debet effe vinculum iniquitatis ${ }^{2}$ : a c.inter co$\&$ in malis promiffis fidem feruari non tera. 22.9.4 expedit ${ }^{\text {b }}$.neque obligatur quifquam ex be inmalis. iuramento contra bonos mores prxiti- dereg.ux. to ${ }^{\text {c }}$. fed $\&$ qui rem illicitam facit, quia c cnóefob: iurauit,accumplat peccata peccatisd. Eft ligatorvmm. ic itaque contra officium nonnunquam, v inquit $\mathrm{D}_{0}$. Ambrofius e , fidem feruare. ${ }^{\text {la. } 13 \text {. c.m. }}$. quod \& Cicero fenfit $f$ \& Seneca in ler.22.4.4.t. Hercule Oetro, cùmait:Praflare fateor poffe me tacitamn fidemz,
Sifcelere careat : interim fcelus est fides.
Nam,vt inquit D.Auguftinus, fi ad peccatum admittendum fides exhibeatur, mirü eft, fifides appellaturg. vide longè g c.fiadpeciaftius fecit Dauid, quòd nonftetithuramento,de perdendo Nabal, quàm Herodes, qui propter iufiurandum, occidit virum fanctifimum ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$. Meritò quoque h c. effetia. Cicero 'Agamemnonis factum repree "q qued Darhêdit: quicùm deuouiffer Dianx, quod "d.c.s.trat. in fuo regno pulcherrimum natum ef-ioffci,ib.3. fer,Iphigeniam imsolauir, promiffum

De inke bt offobali, a Authat.de enim, vtidem ait, pottus non faciédum, ci.C.C.dempt. fact l. repres bemderda. C. de initatut. 6 Subbiticus. b Gioff. $n c$. votum folueret, lephte filià immolauit. fiallgud.22.
9.4 Frinn: 1.1 c. $\beta$ ivero. de iurene. RoLid. a Vel.con. 88 nu. 18 Alc.ad 4. pacta que cobura. C. de pact.au. sz. c l. surifgen. tium. $5.1 / 1.4$ affar $\$ g^{\circ}$ neratiter, 1 . inespubscum. D depaCt 1. vis D.dchis gut faty. co. gant. Gloffet dd. in l: cön. wëtioncem. D. depaltis in $c$. licet mulier.de inveius. in 6. Bust inl vits. C. quandolic. fine ind. Alc. li.defing.cers. ب.44.fex.c. 6 cut noftris. de iveriun in C.I. de iecreis ans. 6.变. $H: / j$. $L$ 2lt.ti.28.p. 2 Ilicirx itaque rei iufurandum feruari nondebet, \& pœna periurij,fiqua eft, in eum conuertenda eft, quiexegit ${ }^{\text {: }}$ :idq́ue vcrum eft,etfítantùm agatur de peccato veniali ${ }^{b}$. His confequens eft, quod fides dataa priuato*, in prxiudicium reipub. is velecclefix, non fit feruanda coquod verumeft, fi principaliter decius praiudicio agaturd. quia propinqua caufa infpici debet non remotae. Itaque Themifocles in exiliü miflus, cumad Xerxem fe contuliffet, eique promififfet fe Athenienfibus bellúillarurum, \& a rege moneretur vt promiffa feruaret : quamuis graui iniuria affectus a ciuibus, \& humaniffimè exceptusa rege:tamé honeftius fibi vitx finem imponere ratus, quàm parrix bellum inferre, epoto veneno, morté fibi confciuit ${ }^{\text {f }}$, funtq́ue preclara in hanc rem C.Claudj verba, dum hortatur App. Claudium, \& reliquos decéuiros,


Liter primys. 67 uiros, vttyrannidem deponerent: non debere cos mouere, inquiés, fi quas fortè pactiones arcanas inter fe feciffent, fidēque dediffent, fponfores facientes deos: docens has conuentiones feruatas impiaseffe, vt aduerfum ciues \& parriam; folutas verò,pias fore. quòd dij nō gaudent, fe adhiberi ad rem turpem \& iniuftama. Qua de caufa etiam Vlpianus re. a Dion,Hal. fpondit ${ }_{3}$ maleficij \& rerum inhoneftarū nullam focietatem effe b. Itaquenon feruare fidem quandoquc iuftū efle docet
 furiofo, vel holti patrix. omnia enim cof. Wh.to qux agimus, referri debent ad fundaméta iuftitix: primum, vt ne cui noceatur:deinde, vt communivtilitati feruiatur. vnde etiam iurifiurădi temeritatem reprehendunt poëtx in Phaëtontis $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ bula, \& fatius fuiffe docens, infurūdum violaffe, quàm iuueni imperito folis habenas, totius mundi malo, permififfe d. d ouidiss \& huc facir, quod Paulus ait, fi pactum melam.2. conuentuma re privata remotuffit, non e in ifin inus effe feruandum ${ }^{〔}: \&$ Vlpianus ${ }^{f}$, quòd defora. generaliter, quoties pactum a iurecom. finh.ury fige.
 tiam iuratum, nam maior eft auctoritas pat?.

De ivre bt ofp. bell. iuris, quàmiuramenti, itaçue iufiurandum contra vimlegum,\& auctoritatem iuris, nulliuseft momenti ${ }^{2} . \&$ hinc eft, quod in quolibet iuramento, cenferur excepta fuperioris auctoritas, quoad ea qux ad fuperioris poteftatem Ipectant: cui periufiurandum derogari non poteft ${ }^{\text {b. }}$. nö enim poteft quis pacifcide iis , qua non funt fui arbitrij . qua ratione Gonfaluus, prorexNeapoli, comitem Valentinum, quem in fuam fidem acceperat, poftea,ex mandato regis Ferdinādi, fine vlla perfidix nota, in carcerem c Bodimum de coniecit ${ }^{\text {c }}$.hinc quoque ei, qui iurauit, fe rep.4b. $1,68$. ordini non interfuturum, gratiam feiurifiurandi facere, imperatores Seuerus disl.ut.D. \& Antonius refcripferunt ${ }^{\text {d }}$ : imo fin iufu deadmant: iurandum fit contra remp. ipfo iure remiffum cenfetur:exeo quòd Paulus air, lege lulia remitti iufiurandum, de non einl.adigere contrahendis nuptiise. fuitenim apud Sur.patro. vir. D.de Romanos conditio, vel promiffo, de wr.patro. non contrahendis nuptiis tam odiofa, fl2. C. de
ind
vud. tol.
vt a teftamétis, aliisq́ue actibus omnino ind viad.tol. 1. fedet $\sqrt{3}$ hoc. v.ruth cume 1. Jequ.l.muleri
 sod, ad dmôs. apud fapientesenim, inquit Cornelius Taci-
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 68Tacitus, caffa habentur, quę neque dari, neque accipi falua rep.poflunt.in quam fententiamexftant egregia verba Poftumij, quibus oftendit populum Roma. non teneri fponfione, quam cum Samnitibus fecerat, contranitentibus L. Liuio, \& Q.Melio Trib. pleb. qui \& ipfi auctores pacis Caudinæ fuerant: $\&$, ne hoftibus dedcrentur, perfuadere conabantur, populum Rom. religione aftri16 ctum effe ${ }^{2}$. Ceterum longè tutius*, $\&$ a Liwm.. . laudabilius erit,vt quis non permittat fe vllius periculi metu ad fidem dādam de re illicita impelli, quàm datam fallere. namiurans fe facturum rem illicitã, ipfo facto eft periurus ${ }^{\text {b }: ~ \& ~ q u o d u i s ~ m a l u m ~ b e . f r a t m e-~}$
 fentiendum ${ }^{〔}$. quo nomine conftantiam Pótij C æfariani militis, meritòlaudes: qui pro Cęfaris partibus excubās,a Sci cr.tan $r$.23. pionis pręfidio interceptus, cū vno modofalus eidaretur, fife futurí, Cn . Pópei milité affirmaffer:itarefpōdere nō dubitauit;TYibi quidé, Scipio,gratias ago:fed mihi vtiifta cöditione vita,nō eft opus. Parifiducia C.Meuius céturio Augunti, cŭ Antoniano bello fępenüerò excelléres pugnas edidiffet,inprouifis hoftiü in $\pm 5 \quad$ fidiis

2 Val. Max. aib.3.cap.8. 6 Liusus 26. 21.Val.Maxx, \$. 6.6 cap.6.
L.Fisusus. i6b. 2.cap.6. c c. ретшнip. 2. c. ficus or infra.detare. aur.l.quasidè. D. desranfl. 1wliamer. §. offerri. D. ds eif.mpt. l.fi inpliturar. D. de is off.tefia. l. ciemp propomer. 2.C. de pact. l. cims proponas. 1.51 diverfa, C. de
 leg. wis c. facut © infra. 6. elt. de iurcias.
 fidens. D. dc pat. tonius enim virtuti eius incolumitatë conceffit ${ }^{2}$. \& , ne fingulos referam, vniuerfa Saguntus, Hifpanix ciuitas opulëta, magnum, licet trifte, fidei erga Romanos monumentum: cum poft continuam obfidionem, fe diutius ab Annibale tueri non poffet, neque Romaniopem ferrent, pretisconditionibuspacis minus honeftis, fe fuaq́ue omnia in rogum coniecit potius, quàm a Romanis, cum quibus foedusinierat, deficeret ${ }^{b}$. Denique hoftifidem fallenti ${ }^{*}$, fidesfer- 17 uanda non eft, etiam iuratac: \& tam publicæ conuentionis, quàm priuatæ d: ferundum illud:

Generalis cnimlexent, omniŭ conuentionum, yt nemoillis tare seneatur, nifi exaduerfo, quæconuenerunt, praften-

De ivre et off. beer. fidijs circumuentus, \& ad Antonium Alexandriam perductus,interrogatusq́ue quidnam deeo ftatui deberet: Iube me, inquit, iugulari : quia nec falutis beneficio, nec mortis fupplicio adduci porfum, vt Cæiaris miles effedelinä; \& tuus effe incipiam . fed quo conftâtius vitam contemplit, ò facilius impetrauit. An445.
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 ftari poftular, cui fidem datamferuare propones. ${ }^{2}$. reculat ${ }^{5}$.atque dolo facit, qui id iurisin b c.fnimina non admittit: contra quem edicto prex- hberu. D.de toris cautum eft, vt quod quifqueiuris ${ }^{\text {kg. } 2 .}$ in alium ftatuit, ipfe co vtatur. nequedicendus eft quis ex hac caufa fidé violare: fed potiusex noua, eademq́ue iuftifima caufa, nempe rupta fidei,iure belli agere cum hoftibus, diuini, humaniq́ue iuris contemptoribus. quade caufa Romani, cum Sabinis, Latinis, Priuernatibus, Volcis, Hernicis, Apulis, Samnitibus, Hetrufis,, Equis, Carthaginienfibus, aliisq́ue quamplurimis, ruptis foederibus bellageflere: \& fenatus pradam Epiri ciuitatum, qua rupto foedere ad Perfea defeccrant, muris eară dirutis, exercitui deditc. iuramentum enim non extenditur ad ea, qux poftea accidunt: \& noua caufa fuperueniente, poteft quis nō im- fucceff.ediff. plere, quod iurauit ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Quó fpectar, quod ${ }^{\text {liaraim Aquis }}$ Cicero ${ }^{\text {docer: }}$ Tempore nonnüquam commutari officium; ita vt non feruare fidem, quandoquè iuftum fir: velutinon pafi.i.fide reddere gladium depofitum furiofo, vel crata. hoft patrix. \& in omni queque iura- coffc. bh. . mento

Df yver et off. brle. mento intelligitur hace conditio,fiimihà feruata fides fuerit ${ }^{3}$ :vel nifi per aduerfarium fteterit, quo minus pacta feruentur ${ }^{\text {b }}$.nam cùm hoc fit, cenfetur interuenire tacita pacti remiffio ${ }^{\boldsymbol{c}}: \&$ is qui prior contrauenit, folás fidem fregiffe cenfeturd : ita vt fequentis factáad violationem referri nequeate. Quò fpectat contentio illa Romulicum Cluilio Albanorum dictatore, vter fcilicet prior códitionibus fæederis non ftetiffet; eum enim folum foederis violati reum effe, vnde priorem quoque belli caufam manere dicendü eft. nam fíin eo fidès violetur, cuius contemplatione contraetus initus eft, corruit conuentio, \& onnia in priftinum ftatū redeunt 5 . Itaque Vl pianus ait, non teneriactione profocio, qui ideo focietati renunciauit, quia conditio quxdam, qua focietas erat coita, ei non praftatur ${ }^{6}$. \& idem integram dicit manere inofficiofi teftamenti caufam, fi fides ab herede tranfactioninon preftazur ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$. reciprocatio enim obligationis, vtrinque vinculum inijcit, $\&$ ita quidem, $\mathrm{Fociol}_{\mathrm{i} i \mathrm{i} i \text { ifinsti. vt alter ex fua parte non teneatur, fiex }}$ D. deat.np prme. aduerfo, illi quod conuenit, non preftatwit. tur. idq̣ue verum $\mathrm{en}_{3}$, fue conuentioni
pœena

Liberprimvs, $\quad 70$ a diaguaro. pcenalitadiecta, fiue non: neque poena finitu. D. debetura \& tiamfineceffaria caufaim hoed. peditus aduerfarius, fidem nonferuet ${ }^{\text {b }}$. 1 . cim propo. quamuis enim impedimentum a mora nas. ant 13. reu perna excufet: :ad producendam ta- ci.f inhem men actioné fatis momenti non habet ${ }^{d}$. de. $\overline{\text { rich }}$. id id ios idem erit, fivel in minima re fidem fer- Rhodiem.
 momentires fit.vt nō fit verifimile, eius dà. D. inceliz vtrinque magnā rationem habitāfuif- enkexad ad fef. In tantum quoque ex parte fua,quif, is quibusso que ad fidei datæ obferuationé tenctur, midiq. Com: vt etiamfi ipra promiffionis caufa ceffa- 3.Atc.ormil. ret, nihilominus duret hxe obligatiog. ${ }_{1}^{2}$ popafa conQuodfinon conftet, vier prior idem weta. D. de datam violauerit, mutua compéfatione vterq́ue a poena contractus abfoluēdus eft ${ }^{\text {h }}$. folet enim dici, inter paria delicta exig.fford. compenfationi locum effe ${ }^{i}$. vnde rece- inde D. deeptumeft, licere litigatori malitiă aduerfarij fui, malitia repellerek: \& cornicum glut. ©. wh. oculos, quod aiunt, configere. quod intelligédum eft, dum tamen bonam cau- hem. de cenfan. fam habeat ${ }^{1}$, \& fraude non vtatur, qux ${ }^{\text {ili. vim. D. }}$. in criminis notam trāfeat: quale eft falfum ftellionatus, \& id genusfimile ${ }^{m}$. Et bxac quidem plerifque probanturin pa- madignatition Copacis velfoederis, nonetiam indutia- 1 c.Dominus.
rum, in But. inc.
a Vinc. Yoarso Andr. Ant.de Butr. Card. Angel. Cinstr. Felins.las. Al. rias.Laud or devo Socyins. ques aliegrat Pastin. Bet.de ormalit.par. 5 is. $3 . e 5$ Myith frim.cent. 4. abjer. 7. ${ }^{\circ}$ Dec.3. rescil. 21.
b 1.post/unisin̈, So. inds.
bia. D. decspriv.Gell. isb. 1. 648.25. cluobligatio mum fere. 6 . placet. D. de aft. © outig. l. qus Rosine. 5. Callima. thus. D. de verbor.ably. d $c_{0}$ nousit, de ind.c. cillems. suit. $c_{n}$ vis. de wres.épect. e lodolam.C. deymus com. reinerd. l.nö fraudidestur. 5 asenso, D. de regul. ine l. 3. G.I.D.deds. nationtervir. cruxar. frmi.quero. Goinfurable. scasis.

De fvaber off. bell. rum*, quas inuiolabiliter feruädas effe, 18 etiam hoite fidem carum fallente, cenfent ${ }^{3}$. quorum fententiam vt faniorem, \&zquioré, amplexos fuiffe camerximperialis affeffores, refert Mynfingerus, \& addit rationem diuerfitatis, qua etiă ceteri innituntur: quòd fcilicet finito induciarum tempore; quis fe vlcifci poffit. qux certè ratio neque fatis idonea, prafertim in bello: (vbi, vt inquit Li uius,frin occafionis mométo,cuius prę-, „ teruolat oportunitas,cunctatus paululún fueris, nequicquam mox omiffum que-, s, raris) neque etiam iure probata videtur. cum enim inter pacem $\&$ indutias, hoc tantùm interfit, quòd illa perpetuafit, hx verò ad tempus ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ :\& tempus obligationem nequeaugeat, neque minuat ${ }^{c}$ : quemadmodum pax alterutro fidé fallente corruit; ita \& indutias diffolui córequens videtur: cùm verobique eadem fubfit ratio:nempe rupte fideid; \& nemo exfuodolo, vel delicto lucrari, autaliis nocere debeate. eftque in hanc rem, nifi fallor, elegans refponfum Paulif: qui, cum proponeretur inter locatoré fundi \& conductoré conueniffe, ne intra temporalocationis conductorexpelleretur, adiecta

Liber srimys. 71 adiecta pœna; inhilominus tamen, fic $^{\text {cö- }}$ ductor biennij continui penfionem nố folueret, fine metu poen $x$ cum expelli pofferépondit, etiamfinihil de folutione penfionum in ftipulatione poenali expreflum effer.quibus certè argumétis moueor, vt potius inclinem in fententiā corum, quiexiftimauerunt idem iuris effe in iudiciis, quod in pace vel foede19 rea.profertim cùm indutiz ${ }^{*}$ etiam longi temporis effe poffint ${ }^{\text {b }}$. legimus enim Veientibus a Romulo in centum, \& a Seruio Tullio in xl. \& in totidemannos L. Furio,\&C. Manlio confull. indutias datas fuiffe ${ }^{c}$. \& cum populo Cariti, in cétum annos indutias factas fuiffe proditü eft ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Volfinien 1 ibus verò bello fef-
 Tarquinienfes, metu compulfi, a Decio
 qui octo annorum indutias impetrauerunt 8. Hetruriẹ capita, Volfinij, Perufia, z L Limsw 1.4 . \& Aretium, cuim pacem peterent, indutias insl. annos obtinuerunt h. vnde le- h Lipmum..n gimus quoque violationé indutiarum qquè vt fœederis, Romanis, qui iura belli religiofiffimè femperconferuarüt, caufam belli fuiffe. atque ita cum Samnitibus,

De ivragt off. bail. bus, quibus biennij indutix datx fuere, 18 eodemanno ruptis indutiis, bellatum a tivem 1.9. efta ${ }^{2}$.\& contra Herrufoos, qui pacem foedusq́ue a Romanis petentes, indutias in triginta annos imperrauerăt, paulo poft indutiarum fide violata rebellantes, feliciter res geftaelt: \& eodé anno, inquir
6 bi.g. Liuius ${ }^{b}$, cum reliquis Hetrufcorum ad Perufiam, quę \& ipfaindutiarum fidem ruperat, Fabius conf.nec dubia, nec difficili victoria dimicauit, \& ipfum oppidum (nam ad mœnia victor acceffit) cepiffer, ni legati dedétes vrbem exiffent.
ctiono \& idem Liuiusalio loco ${ }^{\text {'refert, Hetruf- }}$ cis (quòd cùm pax negata, \& indutix biennij data effent, ac aducrfus indutias pararent bellum) a R omanis bellumillarum fuiffe. his accedit, quòd maiorfolet effe religio foederis, vbi precatione res tranfigebatur, quàm induriarum, als teriúfue fponfionis :ideoque iniuflu pos puli, nec fine fecialibus, cærimoniaq́ue dimumi.g. alia folemni foedus fieri non poterat ${ }^{d}$. indutias verò, aliasq́ue fponfiones, fine fecialibus, alisqque folemnitaribus.fxpe a belli ducibus, iniuffu populi factas fuiffe legimus: vt proximo capite latius oftendimus. atqui nihil quicquam fao crofan.
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 72 crofanctum effe potuit, inquit Cicero, nifiquod plebs populúfque fanxiffet, \& quod aut genere ipfo, aut obteftatione legis,aut pœen $x$, cùm caput eius, quicōtrà facit confecratur, facratum effet ${ }^{2} \cdot$ a inorat. pro cum itaque foedus, quod fanctius eft, 1. Com. Bato altero fallente rumpatur, multò magis indut: Nontamen improbandifuntij, quiindutiarum tempore, quăuis iniuria prouocati, ab omnivindicta abftinent; maximè fideleui,\& exigui téporis preiudicio agatur, vt omne violatæfideidedecus, fit penes hoftem : fed id vfu \& cōfuetudine potius reccptum, quàm iure probatum afferit Alexand ${ }^{b}$. cuiconue- $b$ ad 1. cimm nire videtur,quod Scipio(quamuis non propans. . . modò indutiarū fides rupta effeta Carthaginienfibus, fedetiamius gentiū in legatisfuis violatum)tamêfe nihil, nec inftitutis populi Romani, nec fuis moribus indignum facturum dicens: legatos hoftium, qui codem tempore Roma ad eum venerant, inuiolatos dimifit'. ctiwim 1,30 quo nomine Scipio maximam laudem meruit : licet, fi verè iudicare volumus, nullo noniure legatis Carthaginienfiŭ parcere debuit, vt maximè duplici fcelere,vtrefert Liuius, omnino ruptę effent $\mathrm{K} \quad$ indutix.De ivre et off. bele. indutix. ea enim de caufa Scipioni ad bellum redire, quod fecit,fine vila perfidix nota licuit: non autem hoftium legatos lædere, quicitra vllam pacis, vel indutiarum cōuentionem, etiam in ipfo ardore belli funt inuiolabiles, \& fancti iure gétium ${ }^{\text {a }}$.neque refert, quod hoftes prius indutias fregerint, \& legatos hoitium violauerint, nam, quoddiximus fidem frangenti ${ }^{\text {non }}$ offe fidem feruan- 20 dam, ad vnam, eandemq́ucconuentionem referendü eft, cuius fides ruptaef: \& ad ea quæ vna, eademq́ue fonfione ${ }_{\text {ad }}$ aleamerner comprehenfa funt $b: \&$ in promiffioni${ }^{\text {ad,cimm pro }}$ pones, c.de , quarum altera alterius caufa facta Saft. .f.iduo, eft ${ }^{\text {c.connexorum enim vnum idemq́ue }}$
 calciat. ad vnde fí quis in vnam legé committit,al-
 $\underset{d}{ } \bar{i}$ itrafato, heres in primis tabulis inftitutus, \& in deconfitut.
e 1 ,cinns mur fecundis fubititutus: poteft repudiata dem. D.devi hereditate ex primistabulis, adire ex fefis filumat. D. cundis hereditatem ${ }^{\text {f }}$. Quare in bello ${ }^{*}$, 21 de vulg. $\sigma$. etiam ficum perfido \& feedifrago hofte pupid. resfit, \& quifemel, iterum, \& \&xpius fidé fefellit, viciffim fidem fallere non licets nifi per hoflem ftet, guo minus cöuentorum fides feruetur : vtfuperius often-
dimuss

Liber primus. 73 dimus: alioqui verò perfidiam perfidia vicififemperturpiffimum fuit quo nomine Lucullus malè audiuit, qui Lufitanos, ruptis foederibus bella gerentes, contra iurifurandi fidem, dolo circumuentos, per fummãimmanitatem omnestrucidauit; barbarosimitatus, nul-
la Romanx dignitatis ratione habita ${ }^{2}$. \& Ser. Galba a Libone trib. pleb. pro roftris accufatus fust, quòd Lufitanorü magnam manum ruptis pactis rebellätium, interpolita fide, prætor in Hifpa-
22 nia intercmiffet ${ }^{\circ}$. Denique pactum ${ }^{*}$ quoque foederis, pacis, velindutiarum, dolo malo initum, nullius erit mométi. pactaenim conuenta dolo malo irrita, non tuetur pretor ${ }^{c}: \&$ dolus dans caufam contractui bonæ fidei, facit contractumipfoiure nullum d.quod ita verum eft,vt tamé in arbitrio eius permittatur, quideceprus eft, velit nec ne pactis ftari: alter veró quidolum admifit, audiendus nö eft ${ }^{\text {e }}$. nemo enim ex dolo fuo lucrari, aut aliis nocere debet $f: \&$ propter mală fidem alterius contrahentium, potcit cõtractus pendere ex arbitrio alteriusg. Legimus tamen in facris litteris, quòd Legimus tamen in facris litteris, quod mpt. i.credi-

a Aptrian. de bell. $\$ 41 \rho$. b.Val. Max. lib.8.6.1. cl. sikryg.gue uin. g.prator. T. dolo mato. D.depactis. d 1.0 eleg $\overline{0}-$ ter. D.dedolo. l.in caw/a, $z$. (.t.D.demi nor. cl.träfactiome C.de träfo att.1.2.D.ad s.C.Vell. fl.dokme. C . de persts com. ra vend. 1.fi credscribus. C. def errupion mpo.da.man: g. l. quioffi-
 de cond empt. l. Lulianues.s. per contrarin. D. de altio. D.mandat:

De ivre et off. sell. nitis, \& deprehenfa eorum fraude (quòd cum finitimi effent,\& e terra, quæa Domino Ifraëlitis promiffa erat,aduenas $\mathfrak{f} e_{,}$ \& èlonginqua terraeffe, commentitieffent, vr pacemab Ifraëlitis impetrarent) tamen pacem, quam dederat, reuocand $\vec{a}$ non effe cenfuit : ne dum alienam perfia rofre c.9. diam redargueres, fuam folueret ${ }^{2}$. fed, vt c.annocés.23b inquit D. Ambrof.b Veteréibi de dolo, b officib. 3 . non iurifperitorum formulam, fed patriarcharum fentétiam, fcriptura diuina expreffit:quæ non ad politicam neceff tatem, fed ad plenitudinem perfectionis Chriftianæ pertinet. fed \& Iofue quog ${ }_{\text {s }}$ quamuis Gabaonitas liberaret de manu filiorū Ifraël,ne occiderentur;maledixit tamen eis, propter fraudé ipforum, dec lofereafug creuitq̧ue vt inferuirent cücti populó。 DEFOEDERIBVS ET INdviils.
I Tria frederum genera.
2 Maiestatem comiter conferuare, in faderibus addi folitü, guam vim babeas.
3 Romani nunquam cum hofte armato fes: dues facere foliti.
4 Quidinter fodus \& pöfionem interfot
5 Duxbelli pacem cum boste, ininffuprim. cipis facere soon potef.


7 Princeps verrim vtilitatis publica caufo potest priuatis quid detrabere.
8 Princeps affrictus legibus natura.

- Reges quatenus fint dominiomnium.

30 An princepstencatur ex fudere icto cum principe, cur ficceeßir.

Capyt septimym.

QVod ad foedera, quibus hoftifidem obftringimus,attiner,fciendum eft I tria* fuille olim foederũ genera, quibus integrè pacifcerêtur amicitias ciuitates, regesq̆ue : vtdifertè Menippus Antiochilegatus, Romam de pace miffus, $T$. Quintio cốf.differvit a. vnum, cùm bel-a a Limim $l_{24}$ lo vistis dicerentur leges. vbienim omniaei, quiarmis plus poffer, deditaeffent, quar ex iis habere vistor, quibus multari eos vellet, ipfiusius arque arbitriū erat. \& tale fcedus fuit, quod. Æne\&, defersa Troia, pacem deprecanci, ab Achxis, quieum infequebantur, datum fuit: hiscöditionibus, vt Æneas \& focij, cum fuis rebus quas in fuga exportauerant, intra certū tempus agro Troiano excederent, caftellis in poreflatem A.

$$
\underline{K} 3 \text { chæorum }
$$

De ivki et offo bell.
a Din, Hal. cheorum traditis ${ }^{2}$ : \& quod Romani cū bibtil Roman . . . quisfecerunt,his legibus: Aquorum populi, faluisfuis agris, Romanorũfub diti funto: Romanis nihil mittũto,preter auxilia, quoties accita fuerint,eaque ${ }^{6}$ DiontFizl. fumptu proprio ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Tale eciä feedus fuit, $\underset{\substack{\text { andipo.kem. } \\ \text { hi,g. }}}{ }$ quod Apulia Romanis impetrarunt. non enim $æ$ quo foedere, vt inquit Li-
cti.g. uius ${ }^{〔}$ : fed vt in ditione populi Romani effent, conuenit. eiufdem quoquegeneriseft foedus, quo Philippus exomnibus Gracięciuitatibus preqidiadeducere iuflus eft, naues \& elephantes tradere, mille ralentûm populo Rom.dare, extra Macedonix fines bellum, iniuffu
dLisinn l.43 Senatus gerere prohibirusd.\& quo Anriochus, cùm omnis Afia cis Taurum montę Romanis ceffit,naues ornamentaq́ue tradidit , duodecim millia talenta atticain duodecimannos péfionibus $x$ -
-ridexl. 48 quis, Romanis dedite. Alterum foederú genus fuir,cùm pares bello, $x$ quo foedere in pace atque amicitia venirét, quale inter Romulum \& Tatium Sabinorum regem ictum fuife legimus. cùnienim iam tertiò, æquo Marte, fed maximavtrinque accepta clade,aciecertaffent, in $*$ tercedentibus Romanorū vxoribus,Sa-
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 bini generis (propter quas bellü exortum erat,) foedere, quàm proliobello $h$ nem imponere potius habuerunt : conuenitóq; vt Romulus \& Tatius pari po teftate honoreque in vrbe regnarent, \& vt Sabinis ius ciuitatis Romanx daretur ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Soletautem in hocfoedere deca- a Dinr.Hat. ptiuis reddendis, vrbibus tradendis, \& an.Reml. $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{2}$. fimilibus $\mathfrak{z q u i s}$ conditionibus träfigi $b_{4}$ b lisbbero.in nium, \& Pompeium conuenit, vt pace capra. terta marique reddita, negotiatores liberè commeare omni loco poffent: Pöpeius ex omnibus Italiz locis prefidia deduceret: feruos fugitiuos, nö amplius admitteret:Sardinix, Sicilix, Corficeq' ${ }^{\text {; }}$ \& cereris, quas tunc habebat, infulis imperaret, \& pretexea Peloponnefum acciperet: Cæfar\& Antonius reliqua poffiderent $c_{0}$ \& huius generis quoque effe c appim. videtur foedus, quo Æetolis pax data fuit bell.coull.h,s. a Romanis, his conditionibus: Imperium,maieftatemq́ue P.R.gens Ærolorum conferuato, fine dolo malo: hoftes eofdem habero, quos P. R. armaq́ue in eos ferto, belluinque pariter gerito ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} . \mathrm{d}$ cimims $1 ., \mathrm{s}$ quamuis enim æquum foedus iftud dici son potef, tamen verum eft, non tanK 4 quam

De ivre et off. bell. quam victis leges dictas, nequequicqua ademptum, fed fuislegibusliberos relia linödubito, Ctos ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Tertium porrò foederum genus fuit,cùm qui hoftes nunquam fuerät, ad amicitiam fociali fodere inter fe iungédam coibant: ij neque dicere, neque accipere leges folent : (id enim victoris \& victi erat;) fed certis cōditionibus vtrin. que amicitiam \& focietatem inibant: quale fuit fodus, quod Latinus cum Enea fecir, Troianis in agri partem admiffis, pactus, vt effentilliin bello,quod cum Rutilo parabant,focij: vtq́ue vtriq; b Dion.Hal. alteros pro viribus inuicem iuuarent $b$. ${ }_{36,1 .}$ suntan. \& huc referri potcit foedus, quo cum Latinis, Portumio Cominio \& Sp. Caffio confl. inftaurata eft amicitia . quamuis enim confter cos antea fuiffefubdicib.3.U4. tos Rom.imperio, ex Dion.Halc. tamé propter fidelem operam, quả Romanis nauauerant, pofteafociali foedereiuncti funt, his verbis: Romanis \& Latinorum populis omnibus mutua paxefto, dum cælum \& terra ftationem eandem obtinent : \& neurrialteris bellum inferant, aut aliunde hoftes inducāt : nec bellum inferentious, iter tutū prębeant, \& bello infeftatis, opë ferant totis viribus: prodamq́ue

Liber primys. 76 damque \& fpolia cx xquo diuidant. Sed iidem Latini poftea frepius rebellantes, tandem a Camillo perdomitifunt, \& in poteftatem populi Romaniredacti.Hu. ius verò forderis genus dici quoque poteft, cùm prouincia, vel ciuitas aliqua tutelx \& protectioni alicuius principis extranei fe fubijcit, aut regno vel reipubl. certis legibus accedit ${ }^{\text {a }}$.nã vt Pro- a $1 . n$ od dubia culus refpondit ${ }^{b}$, liber populuseft: fiuc ${ }^{\mathrm{DD}}$, in $1 . / \sqrt{8}$ æquo foedere in amicitià venit, fiue foe- finumand. D. .

2 terius populimaieftatem ${ }^{*}$ comitercon-
 gatur alterum populum fuperiorê effe, bubiso. non vt intelligatur alterū non effe liberum. \& ita quoque hanc claufulam; maieftatem comiter conferuare, in foederibus adiici folitā interpretatur Cicero ${ }^{\text {c. }}$ © in orat. pro \& hoc quidem tertium fcederum genus duplex dici poteft.aut enim initur caufa defenfionis tantùm; aut dcfenfionis \& offenfionis, vt dicunt: id eft, tam ad arcendum, quàminferendum bellum. idq́uevel contra quofcunque, vt foederati cofdem inimicos, amicosq́ue habeant; vel cum quorundam exceptione. His addi poffunt illa fæedera, qux tantùm

De ivrbet offe bele. commercii caufa ineûtur, vtliberè mercatores vitro citroq́ue commeare poffint, quæ etiä cum hottibus effe poflunt. fuit tamen Romanis conftitutü, vtnunquam cum hofte armato conditionem foederis acciperent: neq; cum aliquibus pacato imperio vtifolent, nifi omnia diuina \& humana tradidiffent, \& prefidiū accepiffent, ant denique focietate aut foedere aftricti effent. femper enim populus Romanas diligenter cauit, ne vi-- Dion. $\mathrm{Hal}_{\text {al }}$ deretur hoftiü metu imperata facere ${ }^{2}$. itaq́ue Sp. Caffias conf. legatis Hernicorum pacẽ petentibus refpondit,prius illis facienda, qux vietos \& fubiugatos deceret, atque ita demum petendam ab Disn,Hal. micitiam b. \& T. Largius conf. Fidenatiew, $0.46,8$ bus indutias petentibus, refpondit, deponenda effe arma, portasq́ue aperien das, atque turn demũ impetraturoscolloquium: alioquinec pacere, necindutias, nec quicquam humanum, modera - Dinon.Has. tumque fperandū eis a populo Roma. ${ }^{\text {© }}$ entia, Ro.l.s Sic quoque cùm Pyrrhus, Albino conl: cum magna cade fugato, magnis copiis aucto fuo exercitu, admirans virtutem Romanorum, quòd nullam mentione pacis facereat, prior ${ }_{2}$ licet victor ${ }_{9}$ pacem

Liber primus. $\quad 77$ peteret $\mathfrak{x q u i f f i m i s c o n d i t i o n i b u s , ~ l i b e - ~}$ rationem captiuorum, \& auxilium ad fubigendam Italiá populo Romano offerens:pro his nihilaliud, quàm Tarentinis fecuritatem, fibiamicitiam poitulans: ex fentētia App. Claudij Cxci virí amplifimi, refponfumeft, vti Pyrrhus Italia excederet, tumqúue fie refuavideretur, de amicitia ac focierate verba faceret:quoad verò in armis effet, populú Romanum aduerfus eum belligeraturum, etiam fímille Albinos pugna fupe. raffer ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Similiter 压tolis pacem petentibus, M. Fuluius conf.refpondit, REto- ${ }^{\text {ta } \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}} \text { mb.ved. }}$ los, nifi incrmes, de pace agentes non 13, Cirdedef: auditurum fe:armaillis prius equosque omnes tradendos effe : deinde mille ta. lentûmargentiP.R.dandãb. Verminas btimish 149 quoque Syphacis filij legatis, per quos perebat, vt rex fociusq́ue, \& amicus a fenatu appellaretur, refponfum eft,pacem illiprius petendam a populo Rom.effe, quàmvirex, fociusq́qe, \& amicusap-
 fed etiam perfonfionem nonnunquâ hæ conuentiones fieri folent. quid auté 4 inter hæc duo interfit ${ }^{*}$, indicant hxc $T$.
 tium

De fyre er off. bell. tium in colloquium, cum de foederevi-,$\underset{ }{7}$ Cor agitaret, negarunt iniuffu populi, ${ }_{2}$ foedus fieri poffe, nec fine fecialibus, cx - „, rimoniaq́ue alia folemni.itaq́uc, non vt „, vulgò creditur, Çlaudiusq́; fcribit, fee- „2 dere pax Caudina, fed per \{ponfionem, 2 factaeft. quidenim fponforibus in foe- 2 dere opuseffer,aut obfidibus:vbi preca- , tione restranfigitur? per quem populü, fit , quo minus legibus dictis ftetur, vt " eum ita lupiter feriat,quemadmodum a ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ fecialibus porcus feriatur. fpopondere \# confules, prefecti, legati, quxftores, ," tribuni militum, nominaq́ue omnium, ", quifpoponderunt, exftant:vbi if foedere ", resactaeffet, praterquam duorum fe - " cialium non exftarent: \& propter necef- $\%$ fariam foederis dilationem, obfides etiă, dc. equites imperati;qui capite luerent, ," fi pactis non ftaretur. Ceterum ficut $\%$ fummi principis eft arma mouere, bellü gerere, exercirum cogere, \& delectum a 1.3 . D. adl. habere ${ }^{\text {a }}$ :fic e contrario foedera, $\&$ induSupi.wai: dixi tias pacifci cum hofte, fummi quaque belio. imperij eft : ve fuperiore capite oftendimus. itaǵue a ducibus belli *, citra prin- $\S$ cipis, aut populi confenfum, cuius nomine \& aufpiciis bellum geritur, pax conftio

Liber primys. 78 conltitui non potelt.quod olim iure feciali, \& poftea lege Iulia de prouinciis, \& lege Cornelia Maieftatis fuit cautūa. a cisin Pig. iniuflu enim populi, aut citra fenatus auctoritatem (cuius poteftas omnis in principem eft collatab) nihil fanciri po- blar. D.de terat, quod populum tencretc. Et qui- canfux, pnne. dem , fi in ciuilibus ac forenfibus nego. Cor, Balb. tiis,procurator, quivel generali mädato ad actioné conftituitur, tranfigendidecidendiq́ue negotij facultatem non habet ${ }^{\text {d }}$ : confequenseft, cum qui bellige- d 1 mandato randi caufa exercitui prxpofitus eft, pa- gencaii. 1. cem cum holtibus faciēdo, muneris fui fines excedere quod in re militari maximè vitiofum femper habitum fuit ${ }^{e}$. quā quidem obcaufam, pacem Caudinam a T. Veturio, \& Sp. Poftumio, cum Samnitibus factam, \& fœedusa C. Mancino, cùm Numantinis ictum, fenatus ratum non habuit:ficut nec Camillus dictator pactionem illam, qua inter Sulpitium quondam tribunum militum, \& Brennum Gallorum regulum conuenerat, vt Galli, acceptis mille pondo auri a Romanis, obfidionem relinquerent, negãs eam pactionem ratam effe, quæ poftquā ipfedictator creatuseffer, iniuffu fuoab inferio-

De ivreet off. bello atuimenc. 4 inferioris iuris magiftratu facta cffet? penes diçaroré enim fumma poteftaseb Panp,iml. rat b. \& Salluftius, inter Aulũ exercitus
 regem facta: Senatus, inquir, vti par erat, decreuit, fuo, atq; populi iniuffu, nullá potuiffe foedus fieri. Exquibus conftat, nemini licere, iniuffu principis,citracrimé læfæ maieftatis cü hofte pacifi: cùm clamazio- hocfitfummi principis $c_{\text {。 }}$ qua potiflimai
musp.$D_{6} d e$ patt. dderep. ith. 7 ratione, Ludouicū, ducé Aurelianéfem, iure cxfum fuiffe exiltimat Bodinusd: quòd fcilicet iniuffuregis Gallix foedus iniffer cum duce Lancaftri.quare etiam e in $1.1 . \mathrm{com}$ - Duareno, quem alij fequuntur e , affenwensiostrum.
fargumene 1. procurator sui gencrali. rer. $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{de}$ pro. curatcrib.eat 1. 2. C. de offe. cins quid vicä slit.et tsis Bar. © inl.x. 6. bis guibus.D. $4 \log 3$. gl.s.§.i.D. Esexft.oriwr. 1. cosmentio.
ni. D.depac. Pulchtè co-
\& cum
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 \& cum hofte facultaté non intelligicōceffam arbitror. nä ea, quafuntiuris regij, nö veniūt fub quibufcunq; generalibus verbis:feddemúfifpecialiter, \& exprefsè concedanturar \& quidé hoc cafu, imperatori vel duci fanciende pacis po. in cap? quod teftate exprefsè côceffa:qfit extra ordi- tranhaturnē; alteri mádare: quia non habet eamiure oftion ien. wi magiftratus \& imperii, fed nudam legis mandacof ision
 agit, vt inquit Iulianus ${ }^{\text {b }}$, fed proeo, cu- Em g. Montin in ius mandatum accepit. nam vt iam frope cofyetet. .ig: diximus, ius belli \& pacis apud fummú $\delta, 1$.glof.s. principem refidet, \& in alium transferri facitituxinl. non poteft. ficq́ue nimirū confules Ro- ne proct. deturar. mani, penes quos erat fummaauctori- fis tas, exercitu accepto,belli quidë gerēdi bor:D. deoffés. poteftatem habuerunt: vt't́; pro fuo ar- tuis cui mazd. bitrio lacefferent, aut inuaderent hofte, gf: wrisic, ac militarem difciplinam fua voluntate regerêt; bellium autē indicere, aut finire, nifiex fenatus auctoritate, \& iuflu populinon potuerût.itáq; inquitLiuius $c$, cis. 40 . decreuit fenatus, iubente populo, vt P. Scipio, ex decé legatorumfentétia, pacem cûpopulo Carthaginiéefi,quibuslegibuseivideretur,faceret:\&T. Quintio
liberum

De tive et offo sell. liberum arbitriü pacis ac belli cum Phitis whlaz lippo permiffum efta. ite Hetrufcis pax data eft, jis legibus,qux L. Emilio conf. viderenturloptimx : \& Æquisiex arbi-

 enim, neminem imperatoreverius aftimare poffe, gua mente pax peterctur, $\&$ quo flatu reseffent. Enimuerò de indutiis* ${ }^{*}$ idé quod de pace,plerisq́ue refpon6
© cal . ron. dendum placuit ${ }^{6}$ : licetcontrariumfen-
\&c fact. dati, ficut in fuperiore cafu, receditur: quandoquidè in ciuilibus negoriis,procuratori tempus ad foluendum dare, nö minus quàm tranfigere cum aduerfario d1. quiroo- interdiĉum fit d. quod equidē admitto,
me. O.Calls. acchaco.D.de ucrbar.oblig. Duarenses in d.l. concëtsio. Nmm. fi de longi têporis indutiisagatur, -quæ non tam ceffationem pugnæcōcedere, quàm bello fincm imponere videntur: quod fummi imperij eft.ideoq́ue iftiufmodi indutias nunquam a confulibus, aliisque Romanorū imperatoribus; fed a fenatu, populoq́ue Romano conceffas fuiffe legimus. itaq́; Samnites victi, pro fcedere, quod petebant,biennij indutias - Limim l.g. imperrarunt c. Similiter Veientibus, Volfinienfibus, ,equis, aliisć̣ue quamplurimis,
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plurimis, in annosaliquota fenatu, \&s populo indutię datę funtaillas verò indutias, qux in breue, $\&$ in prxfens têpus
4.78 .6
fiunt, ne hoftes inuicem fe laceffant, (de quibus Paulus loquiturb) cùm bello fi. nem non imponant (nam bellumanet; poffe, exiltimo: prefertim fi temporis fieri fuadet. imperio enim ducidelato, pro fuo arbitrio laceffere, \& inuadere hoftem poteft,\& omnia ea, qua ad belIumfpectant adminiftrare.folentitaque Romani duces, hoftibus pacem poftulantibus, propria auctoritate indutias concedere: dum Romam legati ad pacê petendam mitterentur. Itaque Scipio Carthaginienfibus in tres menfes indutias cöceffit : vt interim perlegatos Romx de pace agerent ${ }^{d}$. Libo quoque, \& dimius 1,40 Bibulus Pompeianarum partium, qui cum claffe erãrad Oricuın, cùm fummis angurtiis reram neceflariarum premerentur, \& velle fe de maximis rebus cum Cæfare loquidicerent, vt de compofitione acturi viderētur: interim indutias à M. Acilio \& Statio Murco,legatis Cæ. faris (quorum alter oppidi muris, alter

$$
L \quad \text { pron }
$$

a Crefar de
Gel, 5 su. 1 ib. 3 - dicere folent:quę fiacciperenturab hoftibus, indutias concedebant, dum de pace legatis fuis Romà miffis, in fenatu agerent.vt Scipio cum CarthaginienfibLiukut.too bus fecundò fecit ${ }^{b}$ : eodemq́ue autore, L.Scipiofrater, Antiochodeuittoconditiones pacis tulit,permifitq́ue velegactimiml 477 tos de pace Romá mitteret $\varsigma . \&$ T. Quintius Nabidi,laced $x$ moniorum tyranno, fex menfium indutias dedit, vtinterim cöditiones pacis, quasilliprefcripferat, d cimisus 1.44 a fenatu confirmarentur ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ \&idē Quintius, Philippo indutiis in duosmenfes datis, vt de conditionibus pacis,de quibus inter ipfosactum erar, Romam le-
 plerunque, confilio ducis,pacemab hoftibus petitam, dare vel negare;vt modò diximus.alijiverò populi non tantamfa. cuitatem fuis ducibus concefferunt. Legimus itaque, cùm inter Agidem laced $x$ moniorum regem, $\&$ Trafybulü, Alciphronemq́ue Argiuorum duces pacte effent quattuor mefium indutieffactum fuiffe, ratas non haberent, \& Lacedæmonios quoque easirritas feciffe: quoseam ob caufam adeo in regem fuum indignatos fuiffe fcribit Thucydides, vt lege lata, duodecim confiliarios ei adhibuerint, fine quorum confilio, neque indutias, neque quodcüque foedus cum hoftibus ferire poffet. reges autem Lacedxmoniorum, nullum imperium habuiff, neque fuiffe fui arbitrij; fatis conftat ${ }^{2}$. Sed cùm princeps, cui populus omne imperium in fe poteftatemq́ue conceffit ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, de negotiis ad remp. (pectantibus, rectèatque viliter pacifcatur, fiue pacem, fiue aliud quodcunque foedus cum hoftibus faciat $c$ : quærũt 1uris interpretes, an pa-
cem cum hoftibus faciēdo, priuatorum 7 damna* \& iniurias, ob caufam belli acceptas, poffit remittere, veletiam bona ciuium hoftibus concedere d. \& quidem a ind. 1. coos, quod ad resbello captas attinet, dubiū uintionumi, nô eft. cùm etiam fine vlla principis pa- Z Zenonec.C.de Ctione, ,ure belli, factx fint hoftium: idē-
 iuriis iure belli illatis. quod ad alia verò, qux non funt hoftibusacquifita, velab rimutis hoftibus ablata: fialiter cōmuni

De ivre et off. beel. omnium faluri confulinon poffre,tiam illa ciuibusadimi, \& hoftibus concedi poterunt. communis enim vtilitasfin-
a Authous. ghe res, $C$. com.deleg.l. aflionte. S. Labeo. D.pio focto. L.vnic. $\vartheta$.perne. C. de caduc. tollen. bepifl.4s. 7 . gulorum commodo prefcrridebet ${ }^{2}$. \& vtait Plinius b, oporter priuatis vilitatibus publicas, mortalibus ęternas anteferre. Quò fpectat quod Tacitus ait: Omne magnum exemplum habere aliquid ex iniquo, quod contra fingulos v tilitate publica rependitur. Itaque Trafybulus, cùm ciuitaté Athenienfem triginta tyrannorum fęuitialiberaffer, plebifcitum interpofuit, nequa preteritarum rerum mentio fieret:quẹ malorum
 cuffum \& labentem ciuitatis ftatum, in e val.marx, priftinum habitum reuocauit $\subset$. quod \& kib.4. cap. $1+$ Cicero aliquando Rom $\varepsilon$, publicę quieti d phiitpp,s. confulere volens,fequendüeffe cenfuit ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Sed hoc tum maximè neceffarium efle conftat, cùm occupata per iniuriă bona, logo temporis (patio poffffa funt: quar fia poffefforibus auferantur, reftituanturq́ue dominis, multa concuti, perturbariquuefit neceffe.vt in fpecie non abfimili, cōfultusa Plinio Traianusimper.
 prif, Lumy nifiexmaximanecefitate, $\&$ promagno reipub.

Liber primys. 82 reipubl. bono noa licet principi bona ciuium ipfis adimere:quod iuri naturali \& diuino repugnat ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Etenim quamuis a 1 . amm bos
 natura, vt Pyndarus dicebat, omnes re- $\xi_{5}$ prisuticus. ges, \& omnes principestenentur : \& vt ${ }^{\text {D.qui }}$ on
 menad ea quę iniufta funt, non extendi- D.deligetw. zur. eoq́; ¡pectat, quod Plinius ad Traianumfcribit ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ : Vt, inquit, felicitatis eft, cinisaresyr . poffequantum velis, fic magnitudinis, vellequátum poffis.vnde refcripta principumita intelligi debét, vt fine incom-
 illa refcripta, quxius alterius tollunt ${ }^{c}$. quisa pruciQuaratione donatio ducatus Mediola. - pe. Dece. nequid. nenlis abimperatore Maximiliano, Lu- rifripaca.c. douico xıs, Gallorum regi facta, caufa depti. belli fuit, propterius quod Sfortix in e $f_{2}, \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{d}_{6}$ cundem ducatum pretendebant: quod imperator non intelligitur voluife donare, nec poruit ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$. quamuis enim reges 9 dicantur dominiomnium *, hoc quoad
prechb, mig. offer. maieftate, \& imperium debet intelligi, non quoad proprietatem \& pofleffo- wesd, © © rut nem, qux eft fingulorum 3 . quod elegä- tit. D de acq。 ter Seneca expreffit ${ }^{\text {h }}$, cumait: Ad reges re, dom, poteftas omnium pertinet; ad fingulos et s.d. berne.t.

L 3 proprie-

De ivre et off. bell. proprietas : \& paulo poft; Omnia rex imperio poffidet,fingulidominio : omniafunt in imperio Cæfaris, in patrimonio propria. Quare Aratum Sicyonium a offc.ib,, , iurelaudatum fuifferefert Cicero ${ }^{2}$ : qui cùm oppreffo Niocle tyranno patriam liberaffet:quæ quinquaginta annisa tyrannis tenebatur, \& plurimosexules reftituiffet, quorum bona alij poffederant, \& tam lögo fpatio multa hereditatibus, multa emptionibus, multa dotibusdetineri,atque fine iniaria neque poffefforibusadimi, neq; his non fatisfieri,quorum illa fuerant videret:grandi pecunia a rege Ptolomxoiunatus, adhibuit fibi in confilium quindecim principes, cum quibus caufas cognouit,\&eorum quialiena derinebant, \& eorum quifua amiferant : perfecitq́ue $x$ ftimandis poffeffionibus,vt perfuaderet aliis, vt pecunia accipere mallent \& poffefforibus cederent;aliis vt cómodius putarent, numerari fibiquod tanti effet, quàm fuumrecuperare. itaq; perfectum eft, vt omnes conftituta concordia,fine querela decederent. Similiter Brutus \& Caffius,poit necem Cæfaris(quădoquidem actaeius rata haberie rep. vifumerat) veteribus poffer-

## Liber primys.

poffefforibus,quibus Sylla \& Cęfarbellis ciuilibus agros ademerät, faltem prccium ex publica pecunia numerari debere cenfebant: quo \& illis fatisfactum, \& aliis fecurior effet poffeffio", Porrò̀ a Appian. de Io quęritur an mortuo principe ${ }^{*}$, cum quo bell.cimbli,i,2. foedusictù eft, maneat foedus cum principequifucceffit. \& quidem, quamuis quoadalios contractus, diftinguendum videatur: fuccefferit ne tanquam heres,
 ex ftatuto, vel per electionem, qui factü tre, c. de rii defunctinon preftat : perfonalis enim
 hereditariür :etiam fiquis exprefsè promiferit pro fe, \& fuccefforibus fuis d. ta- beres D.add. men quoad foedera,alia̋fque conuentio- c. Ctebebre arez.
 fed reip.ineuntur (qux æquè reprefen- verbor,oblig. tatur per fucceffores, vt per cos qui funt ${ }_{\text {baturr }}^{\mathrm{e} . \text {. propose- }} \mathrm{D}$. hodie ${ }^{e}$ ) principem omnino ex cō tractu defunctiteneri dicendum eft, $\&$ foedera- $f$ c.,. .de pro-
 fuit legitima caufa rebellandi Veienti-
 filio, neque Latinis, regnăte Tarquinio D.diccounitis. Prifco, qui poft Anciobitū fe religione ${ }_{c}$ prizup.n.ntet rrelo foederis Iolutos exiftimabāt:neque He - vicesfmes,

L 4 trufcis,

De ivRe et off. beiz. trufcis, quidefuncto Tarquinio Prisco, cuius imperio fe fubmiferant, nolebant
a bian.Hal. ary.Rom.l.3. - 4. amplius ftare conditionibus foederis ${ }^{\text {a }}$. \& iure Romani Perfeo bellüintulerunt, quilegatisipforü, foedus cum Philippo patre ictum, ad fe nihil pertinere refpōb Lumul. 42 dit $^{\text {b }}{ }^{\text {. Si tame }}$ per iftiufinodi foedus refp. enormiter fitlefa, fucceffore non teneri
c Cyn. DD. 13 ladi. gmavox. C. deconff.prim sip. 3 ald.me 1.denat. feud d c.i.derer. permutat. $c$. shon liceat. 1. 12.9n. 1 , latè 1or. Papars.
 tic: t . ec.innotuit, de clect. 6 dixi $\overline{\text { g.c.s.s. }}$ plerifque vifum eft ${ }^{c}$. quod admitto, if hac conuentione quidex iis, que regia coronx funt annexa, fit alienatum, citra populi vel ordinum confenfum, qui ad hoc requiriturd :velfi maieftas principis fit imminuta, quod in preiudicium defunctifieri non potuite. \& ne abinitio quidem iftiufnodi conuentiones vllo modo fubfiftere potuerunt ${ }^{f}$. Ex his cōflat,omnium firmiffima effe illa foedera $a_{2}$ quxinter vtrumqueregem, \& vtrumq; populum hinc inde ineūrur.quò fpectat quod Hernici, legatis Romanorum expoftulantibus de iniuriis \& latrociniis, refponderunt, fibi nunquam foedus interceffife cum Rom.populo:quod auté cum rege ictum effer Tarquinio, iam fi-
 3is.8. Itaq; Eduardo eiusnominis quarto regi Anglix,regno pulfo, cùm ex foedereauxilium
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 xilium regis Gallix imploraret, refponfum fuit, antiquo foedere vtrumque regem, $\&$ vtrumque populum vtrinque obftrictos effe:atque ita pulfo Eduardo, foedus manere cum populo, \& rege qui regnabata. Latini verò, cùm Tarquinija a refre Boin exilium acti Romanis belliw inferrent, dm.derp. 1.1 vtrifque auxilia negauerunt, quòd reli. - ‘.7. gione vetarentur, tam contra Tarquinios, quàm cōtra Romanos bellum gerere : quandoquidem ex xquoverifque foedereiunctieffent b.b Dion.13ak
libs.

## DEINSIDIIS ET FRAVDE HOSTIX.

1 Exinfidies pugnarelicet.
2 Bonus dolus quis.
3 Siratazemata imperatori laudens parizüs.
4 Romaniveteres omnes fraudes ajpernats funt.
5 Vettres nonnunquam fola opinione fynceref fidei plus profecere, quaims armis.

Cap. octayya.
CVm quis bellumfofceperit, inquit D. Augutinus ${ }^{\text {c }}$, vtrùm apertè pu- \& ise.Dami. I gnetur;an exinfidiis*, ad iuftiriam nihil sumnoneriz 2 attinet: 2 bonum dolum* ${ }^{*}$ quod profo-
De fvre et off. bell. lertia accipiebant veteres) diciteffe V1. pianus, cum quis aduerfus hoftem, la2 in 1.1 . S. tronémue quid machinatura. itaq́; op-
 leonina pellis deficeret, vulpinam effe b plat in A- affuendam ${ }^{\text {b }}$. \& poëtæ finxerunt, HercuFoph. Lem Nemexi leonis pellem pro armis habuiffe, \& fagittas tinctas felle \&fanguine Hydrx Lernæ̨.quo indicant bonum imperatorem calliditatem fimul cum fortitudine coniungere debere:eoque fpectat illud Virgilianum:
Dolus anvirtus, quis in hoste requirat. Qur fententia veraeft, quatenus fides
cs.roti, 23. qu. \%. non violeturc. neque enim Dionyfio tyranno fimiles effe debemus, quidicebat pueros talis, viros iureiurando faldelus.defor lendos effe ${ }^{\text {d }}$ : aut Perfas imitari, quibus
e Iuflis.l.s. 2. fides dictis factisq́ue nulla erat, nifi quatenus expediret ${ }^{e}$. alioqui verò \& Iofue hoftibusfuis, ipfo auctore Deo, infidias
flofe 8. Itruxiffe legitur $\mathfrak{f}$. \& Pittaci Mitylenei (qui vnus ex fepté fapientibus fuit) ftraragema laudatur, quicum Phrynone Asheniéfum duce certaturus, cui in caffde picicis imagücula erat, rete in ftadium detulit,\& exclamansfe pifcé petere,eum reti inuoluit,ficg̣ue fe extricare nefcientems

Liber primvs. 85 tem, confecit. Rectè itaq; Agefflaus dicebat, impium quidem effe fidem datam violare, hofres autem decipere, noniuftum modř eflie, fed fuaue etiam, \& lucrofum ${ }^{2}$. Cambyles veròa Cyro apud a plua, inin Xenophontem interrogatus, qua potif- poph.-
fimum ratione vincendum effet, ,efpondit, fivictoria cupitur, quacunquearte capiendi funt hoftes, occultis infidiis, dolo, fraudeq́que fallendi, \& furto \& rapinis exhauriendi. fic oportunum aliquando mendacium imperatoris, victoriam dedit : vt illud Valerij Leuini, qui exclamauit Pyrrhum a fe interemptum effe,cùm militis cuiufdam cęde gladium cruentum haberet: quo factum eft,vtEpirote confternati, in caftra quamprimü pauidiac flentes fe reciperent. Similiter T. Quintius conf. cùm Volfcis pugnās, gradum referentibusfuis, falubri mendacio fugere hoftes a cornu altero clamitans, concitauit acié $\&$ impetu fâto,

3 dem fratagemata ${ }^{*}$ maximā inperatori laudem \& gloriam dare folent. Hac aute cauendi \& fallendi arte, Philippus Macedo infignis habitus fuit:gaudebat etenim longè magis, decepto per dolum hofte,

De ivre at opy. bell. hofte, quàm aperto Marte fuperato :vt qui diceret, victoriam per dolum \& calliditatem fine fuorum clade femper effes illam autem, quæ collatisfignis fierer, nunquam fine cruore. Poniquoque, inter quos Annibal clarus exftitit, \& Greci plurimum hifce calliditatis \& fallendiartibus gloriati funt.quod improbandum non effer, nifi etiam fidem tam facile violaifent. at veteres illi Romani* 4 omnes has fraudes \& fallacias a Ppernati funt, \& non nifi vera virtute, \& aperravi, Romanisq́ue artibus,vt illidicebät,vincere voluerunt. Fed pofterioribus temporibus Romani, [preta hac maiorum fuorum fententia, fallendi,decipiendiq́; artibus fibi, reique publica confulere potius habuerune itaque Q. Martius,\& A. Attilius, quilegatiad Perfen Macedonum regem mifierant, reuerf, nulla re magis in fenaru gloriati funt, quàm decepro per indutias, \& fe pacis hofte: adeo enim apparatibus belli fuiffe inItructum, ipfisnulla paratare, vtomnia oportuna loca prooccupari ante ab eo potuerint, quàm excrcitus in Graciam traijceretur : Spatio autem indutiarum fumpro, vêrurumillum nihilo paratiorem:
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 rem:Romanos omnibus inftructiores rebuscæpturos bellum. hec, vt refert Liuius ${ }^{\text {a }}$, vtif fummaratione acta, magna pars fenatus approbabat.veteresautem, quibus hxec noua minus placebant fapientia moris antiqui memores, negabant fe in calegatione romanasagnofcereartes: non perinfidias, \& nocurna prolia, nec fimulatam fugam, improuifosque in incautum hoftem reditus,nec vtaftu magis quàm vera virtute gloriarentur, bella maiores geffiffe : indicere prius, quàm gerere folitos bella: denunciare etiam : interdum locum finire, in quo dimicaturi effent. eadem fide indicatum Pyrrho medicum vitx eius infidiantem: eadem Falifcis vinctum traditum proditorem filiorum regis.hęc Romana effe, non verfutiarum Punicarum, neque calliditatis Gręcx, apud quos fallere hofté, quàm vi fuperare, gloriofius fuit. interdum in prefens tempus plus proficidolo, quàm virtute, fed ciusdemum animum in perpetuum vinci, cui confeffo expreffa effet, fe neque arte, neque cafu, fed collatis cominus viribus, iuftoac pio belloeffe fuperatos. Hxc,vt inquitLiuius,feniores,quorū fententiaDe ivre et off. bell. accepta non fuit. Legimus tamé veteres illos ${ }^{*}$, nonnüquam fola opinione huius $s$ verx virtutis, \& fynceræ fidei, plus profeciffe, quàm inuictis Romanorum armis.ıd ${ }^{\prime} u$ in primis probatur exemplo Camilli': nam cùm Falifcos obfideret, qui alioqui diuturnus videbatur futurus labor,tradēdóludimagiftrum,principum Falifcorum pueros prodentem, quòd fe non nifi Romanis artibus, virtute, opere, armis, velle vincere diceret: ita fides Romana, vt refert Liuius ${ }^{\text {a }}$, \& iufticia imperatoris, in foro \& curiaapud Falifcos celebrata fuit, vt confenfu omnium, legatiad Camillum in caftra, atque inde permiffu Camilli Romã ad fenatum, qui dederent Falifcos, profecti fint:qui in fenatum introducti, inter cetera, hæc verba protuliffe traduntur. Euentu huius belli, duo falutaria exempla humano generi prodita funt:Vos fi- $\%$ demin bello, quàm prefentem victoriä, maluiftis; nos fide prouocati, victoriam, vltrò detulimus. Sic quoque Fabritius, pari virtutisfpecie, Pyrrhum, quiarmis fuperari non poterat, vicit, prodens illi medicum vitæ eius infidıantem : cumq́; ci Pyrrhus captiuos gratis redderet, nö̀
accepit
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 accepit ea cöditione, fed totidem captiuos reddidit, ne mercedem: beneficijaccipere videretur, non gratia aliqua in Pyrrhum collati, fed ne putarentur Romanidolo interficere quenquam, tanquä aperto Marte vincere non valentes. idq́ue cius factum a fenatu laudatũ fuit, qui magnum dedecus\& flagitium iudicabant, qui cum laudis certamen fuiffet, eum non virtute, fed fcelere fuperatü̃. cui fimile eft, quòd Dauid cum Saule offlub.3. bellum gerens,cùm potuiffet illü femel, \&itcrum eximprouifo nullo negotioe medio tollere, noluit, \& jurauit, niff fortè in prolio defcendens Saul periret, aut dominus illum percuteret, fe non extenfurum manum in chriftum Dominib.Porrò Q.Seruilio Cæpionivitio breg.1c,z26 datum fuit, quod Viriatum per fraudem \& infidias \& domefticos percuffores fuftulifet, quare dicebatur non tam victoriam meruiffe,quàmemiffe ${ }^{c}$. Fabius ta- c Vai.max men Maximus fummus imperator ha- iib.9. sp. 76 bitus fuit, quòd non quidem dolo age- c.170 ret, fed facilè celaret, taceret, diffimula ret, infidiaretur, præriperet hoftium confiliad.$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} \text { cicer_offic, } \\
& u b_{0} s_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

DEIV~

## De ivreet offobele. DEIVRE LEGATORVM

r Legati inviolabiles.
2 Legatum bostium pulfans dedi hostibus folet.
3 Legati legationis munus excedere nons polfunt.

* Ius legaterum boftibus tantùm conuenit.

5 Rebellis, etiam fimunere legationts furgatur, non eft inuiolabiles.

- Lad rebelles legatos mittere, maieftati principis non conuentt.
7 In legatis /pectanda prudentia * dia gnitas.
8 Nonnüquam mabieribus legationes com. $m i \iint e$.

Caput nonvm.

LEgati apud * omnes gentes femper i tuti, \& inuiolabiles fuerunt, quod a l.vh. D.de iure gentium inductum eff ${ }^{2}: \&$ a Romalegatso c. ife-
wistrucudft.
nis fancti dicti, a fagminibus, qux legati populi Romani ferre folent: ficut legati Grxcorum ferebant ea, qux xиpúxela vobin 1.fantivi. cantur, yt refert Marcianus ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Itaqútuequi D.de ver.di- legatum hoftium pulfaffet ${ }^{*}$, aut iniuria ci.i.vis. D. affecifiet, dedi hoftibus folet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ : quod \& delegstio. iurefeciali cautum fuiffe diximus. Sic M. 正milio Lepido, C. Flaminio conff. L. Minucius Myrtibus, \& L. Manlius, quòd
Liber primys. ..... 87 quòd Carthaginienfium legatis manus intuletant,iuffu M. Claudij pr.vrbis, per feciales, traditi funt legatis, \& Carthaginem aucctia. \& Q.Fabius \& Cn. Apro- a limimh. 38 nius Ædilitij, quòdlegaros, abvrbe Apollonia, Romam miffos, orta contentione, pulfaffent, continuò per feciales deditifunt ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. \& quidem nifi dederentur, b val. Max.
 filio id factum effet, bellum legitimè inferrifolet. Itaq; Fidenatibus atrox bellumillatum a Romanis, quòd legatos eorum interfeciffent, iuflu Tolummij regis Veientium, ad quem defecerant ${ }^{c}$ : ctium 1.4 : $\&$ illyricis ob legatos fecuri percuffos ${ }^{d}$ d $1 /$ FEloms. $L$ Sed $\&$ Dauid intulit bellum regi Am- ${ }^{2 . c a p .5 .}$ monitarü, propterderifoslegatos fuose e eres. 2.c. 20 iniuria enim facta legatis, cenfetur facta principivel reipub.cuius legatione funguntur f . Sed etfi bello fubito exorto, fracieifatio. quiapud vtrumque populum inueniū- in fin, 2. 2.97 . tur, ex hoftibus Ierui efficiantur, licet in pace venerint 8 : tamen legatos liberos g limberlo. 6 manere, Pomponius refpondit : idq́ue ${ }^{\text {2.D.deciapti. }}$ iuri gentium conuenire h . Et hec certè hint.vit.D. fumma ratione legatis tributa funt : $\mathrm{vt}{ }^{\text {delggation, }}$ effent, qui vltrò citroq́ue, de cöditionibuspacis, forderis, indutiarum, tutò a-
 mani, cùm belli pacisq́ue iura, tum potiffimum ius legatorum inuiolabile effe voluerunt: víque adeò,vtScipio Aphricanus, cùm onuftä multis, \& illuftribus viris Carthaginienfium nauem, in fuams poteftatem redegifet, inuiolatam eam dimiferit: quia fe legatos ad cum miffos dicebant: quăuis manifeftum effet, illos vitandi periculi gratia, falfumlegationis nomen amplexos fuiffe:fed maluit Scipio Romani imperatoris potius deceptam fidem, quàm fruftra imploratam iudicari ${ }^{2}$. Itê cùm Tarquinij, iam regno pulli, Romälegati veniffent, res ablaras repetitum, occulteq̆ue cum nobilibus adolefcentibus, de rege reducendotractarent:re detecta, quanquam vifieffent commififfe, vthoftium loco haberétūr,
$b$ b, z, valuit tamen, vt ait Liuius b,ius gétium. Similiter cùm Volfci mififfent aliquos exploratores, \{pecielegatorumin caftra Romanorum, qui explorarent corŭ nu:merum, \& apparatum belli: quamuis conuictos \& cófeffos, tamen Poftumius dictator, a concitata ad feriendum mulcitudine, incolumesferuauit, inanilegationis

Libar primps. 88 tionis nominitantum tribuens:ne Ccilicet daretur hoatibus aliquis honeftus bellipretextus, fimulaturis legatos violatos, contra lus gentiuma. quo tamen a Dion, Hal. exemplo non putarem legatos fimilia wax,Ro.66,6 molientes, omnino iure tutos effe, cùm
3 legati nihil extra legationis munus*agerepoffint ${ }^{\text {b. I Iure itaq; Galli,cùm Clu- bipatw.r.r. }}$ finos inuafifent, vidiffentque Fabios le- D.deligatiso gatos Romanorum (quiad eos miffierant, vt peterent ne Clufinos focios offenderent) poft exequutam legationem, arreptis armis contra iusgentium, cum Clufinis in acie verfantes, miffis Romä legaris fuis,queftü iniurias, poftulatumq́ae, vt pro iure gentium violato, Fabij dederentur: cùm nō imperraffent; imo de quorum pœena agebatur, tribunos militum in fequentem annurn creatos audirent:flagrantes ira, ob honorē vitro habitum violatoribus iuris humani, elufamque legationem:omiffis Clufinis, Romam rectà perrexerunt, \& Romanis acie ad Alliam fufis, vrbem ceperunt \& deuaftarunt.quęcalamitas, vt inquit Liuius ${ }^{〔}$, Romanis fuperuenit, ob violatum cib.sh ius gentium. Porrò fciendumeft, hoc 4 íslegarorum ${ }^{*}$, quemadmodum \& reli• M 2 quaiu-

De ivrb et offo shll. quaiura belli, hoftibustantùm conuce nire, non etiam latronibus, rebellibus,alisíque, qui hoftium numero non con.
a loboftes.D. decapziel.bo fac. D.dever. fy gmf .
b c.2.006. c Phalipp.8. tinentur ${ }^{2}$. qua de realio loco diximus fuprab. vnde Cicerocreprehendit,quod tantum tributum effer legato Antonij, cui portas vrbis patere ius non erat: \& eidem reditumad Antonium prohiberi debere cenfebat. Iure quoque Theodofius imperator,legatum tyrannicuiufda fibi rebellis, in carcerem coniecit:\& Carolus V. imper. legatos ducis Mediolanewfis fibi fubditi, $\&$ bellum machinätis carcere cöclufitd. fed necciues, nec fubditi*, qui malo confilio, \& proditorisa - 5 nimo apud hoftes funt, quos hoftiüloco haberi voluit Paulus ${ }^{\text {e }}$ : etiamfi munere legationis fungantur, iure gétium tuti erunt. quamuis enim loco hoftium habeantur, non tameniure, quo hoftes vtuntur, vtalibi oftendimus ${ }^{\text {f }}$ funtenims rebelles, \& iniufti hoftes, neque fe vlla ratione, principis fui iurifdictioni, $\&$ poteftati,quæ vbiquelocorumfubditos renet, eximere poffunt ${ }^{5}$. non magis, quàm Barbarius Philippus ferwus, quis cum Romx prztor facuus effet t, \&a domino, quieum perfequebatur, in feruicutem

Liber primys. 89 tutem vindicaretur, precio fe redemit: vtex Suida refert Bodinus ${ }^{3}$.quaratione a thos.cap. 6 etiam iure cxfi videntur, a Cxfarianis, Rangonus \& Fregofa, Caroli v. imper. fubditi, legati regis Gallix ad Turcam: quamuis iniuffu Cxfaris id factum fit.
6 Ad rebelles* verò legatos mittere, maiefati principis nullo modo cōuenit. itaque Ciceroreftitit, quantum potuir, ne legati mitterětur ad Antonium, reipub. belluminferentem.non enim verbis rogandum, fed cogendum armis:quòd nō cum hofte, fed cum ciue reseffet ${ }^{b} . \&$ b philitphs.s. $^{\text {a }}$ quidé Deus ipfe adeo deteftatus eft re- $\begin{gathered}\text { uxij } \sigma_{1} \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$ belles, \& fchifmaticos, vt cùm homo Dei miflus effetad Hicroboam (ad qué decem tribus Ifraël relicto rege fuo defecerant ) qui ei peccata fua exprobraret; panem apud illosedere, \&aquäbibere vetaretur. \&, cum non cuftodiffet, \& cōtra praceptū domini prandiffer, vltione diuina, in reditualeone necatus fuerit ${ }^{\text {c. } . ~ © ~ R e g . ~} 13$.... neque folùm indignü eft maieftati prin- denque, $\boldsymbol{t}$. cipis, legatosad fubditos rebelles mirtere,fed etiam minimè tutum eft.non enim fperandum eft.iura gentium fancta fore apudeos, quiiura diuina \& huma7 na contemnunt. Ceterum inlegatis* $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ maximè

De ivae by off. bille maximè fpectanda eft prudétia \& dignitas. Carthaginienfes enimcùm Romam de pace legatos mififfent, non imperrauerunt: quòd iuuenes, \& nullius digni. tatislegati miffieffent, \& qui per xratẻ non meminiffe fe dicerent, eaqux fupesioribus foederibus acta effent: quade caula etiă fufpecti habiti, \& punica fraude electi putabătur: quiveterem pacem repeterent, cuiusipfinon meminiffent. tandem verò, cùmiam maximè attritis rebus Carthaginienfium, Hannonem Magnumz \& Aidrubalem Hxdum, aliofque $x$ tate dignitateq́ue confpectos ad pacem petendam Romã mitterent:tum demü Carthaginiéfes verè, \& exanimo de pace agere dixerunt Romani, quare a Lumish, so \& pacem dederunt ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Scitum quoqueilAppiad.debl. lud Catonis, de legatis Romanorú miffis ad componëdam pacem inter Nicomedem \& Prufiam : quorum vnus faxo quondam in caput percuffus, foedas cicatrices preferebat: alius laborabat pe. dibus: tertius wfque ad fultitià fimplex habebatur: isavt Cato inillam legationem cauillaretur, eam nec mentem hab Aptict de bere, nec pedes, nec capurb. Inlegato quoque nónunquam grodelt grauitas,
Liber primys.
\& reipub. caufafeueritas: exemplo ${ }^{90}$
Popilij: cui cùm Antiochus veniêti de-
xtram porrigeret, tabellasei Popilius $S$.
C. (quo iubebatur, vt rex bello, quo
Ptolernæum laceffebat, abfineret) con-
tinentes tradidit. quibus perlectis, cùm
fecumamicis confultaturum, quid fa-
ciendum fibi effet, Antiochus dicerer:
Popilius, procetera afperitate animi,
virga, quamin manu habebat, circum-
fcripfit regem, ac, Priufquam hinc dece-
das (inquit) redde refponfum fenatui,
quod feram. quo tam violento imperio
obfupefactus rex, cùm parumper hexi-
fitaffet, faciam (inquit) quod cenfer fe-
natus:ac tum demum Popilius dextram
regi, tanquam focio atque amico por-
rexit ${ }^{2}$. Nonnunquam verò etiam mu-a ziuwiw 145
8 licribus*, cum maximo fructu \& reipu- $\begin{aligned} & \text { Val Max.ibt } \\ & 6, \text { cap } 4.4\end{aligned}$
blicæ vtilitate, legationes cómiffr funt.
itaq́ue regnante Romulo, bello Sabino
S.C. factü fuit, quo Sabinis mulieribus,
filios Romx habétibus, facultas data eft,
relictis apud viros filiis,legationem ob-
eundi apud Sabinos: cuius legationis
princeps fuit Herfilia. quæ pacem com-
pofueruntinter Romulum, \& Tatium
Sabinorü regem. qua de caufa a regibus
M 4 magni

De inre et off. bill. a Dinn, Hal. magni honores illis conceffi funt ${ }^{2}$. Preant,Robib. terea cùm Marcius Coriolanus, iniquo exilio multatus, ad vindicandaminiuriam, fufis ac cafis aliquotexercitibus Romanorum, ad ipfa mœenia vrbisacceffiffet, \& neque legati ad eum deprecandū miffi, neq; ;acerdotes cum infulis quicquan proficerent: Veturia mater, Volumniam vxorēeius cum liberis fecum rrahens ${ }_{2}$ filium precibus fuis, \& lab Val. Max. crymis expugnauit, \& exarmauit ${ }^{\text {b. at }}$;
 cap.22. defendere vrbem non potuerunt, mucub.1. lieres precibus, lacrymisq́, defenderunt. Populus quog; Romanus Mutiam, matrem Pompeij, minis adegit, vt legationem ad eum fufciperet : quainftigante, vna cum Iulia vxore, foedus inter Pompeium, Antonium, \& Octauium Cæfa-
d Appian. de rem percuflum eft ${ }^{\text {d. }}$. eftque memorabello cikslolis.s bile, quod de Celtis legimus, quiconfultationibus de bello, \& pace mulieres fuas adhibebant, $\&$ lites cumfociis ortas, earum opedifcutiebant:ex eo,quòd quondam, cùme graui, \& implacabili difcordia, in bellum ciuile incidifient, mulieresinter media arma progreffecötrouerfias omnes mira quadam dexteri-

Liber primvs.
21
tate compofuerant : \& concordiam ftabiliuerant. vnde in fuo ctiam foedere, quod cum Annibale percufferunt, fcriptum fuit:fi Celta haberent, quo nomine Carthaginienfes acculasent, iudiciü fore Carthaginienfium ducum ac prefectorum in Hifpania: fi verò Carthaginienfes Celtis aliquid obijcerent, caufæ cognitionem fore penes Celtarum mulieres -. Ceterum prudenter M. Cato a plat dr cenfuir, non effe publicè audicndos tres virr.mul. oratores Athenienfium, Carneadem, Critholaum,\& Diogenem: quòd fciret eos tantùm valere eloquentia, vt quxcunque vellent, perfuadere poffent, tarn xqua, quàm iniqua.b



DEIVREET OFFICIIS BELLICIS, ET DISCIPLINA MI LITAKI,

Liber secundus. DE OFFICIIS BELLICIS.
1 Nonsifi ex iufiacaufa bellum gerĕdum.
2 Dominandi cupidztas mulia male fecum adfert.
3 Adbellum nos nifi neceffariò veniédum.
\& Konnunguam victoria plus amittisur, quàm acquiritur.
5 Secundamoderate, aduer $\int$ a fortizer ferenda.

- Romani nequevictores infole/cere, neque victi deprimi folent.
7 Dra pracepta Platonis ad officia bellica maximè /pectant.
8 Vir fortis nihil pro fua, omnia procommuni vtilitate faciet.

9. Quacunque ratione patria con fulédum.

10 CMori prorepsblica gloriofum.
15 रon funt damnandi, qus in bello fe obyciunt mortispericulo.

De tyre ey offo belr.
12 Pro patria falutelicet patrem vel filium occidere:
13 In bello non est fufcipienda defenfoearum, que commodé defendi non pof Juriso 14 Nontemeré deferenda, gua hofitium tmo petum ferre po (Junt.
Is Xon nimium Sauiendum in vetcos.
Capvt primym.
ofictith. 1 ,
$V_{\text {as }}$ primum iufticiæ munus fit, vtinquit Cicero a, ne cui quis noceat, nifi laceffitus iniuria : ad officia bellica in primis fectat*, vt non nifi ex iufta caufa r bella geratur, ne ius humanx focietatis violetur: \& abfit omnis dominandi cupiditas, qur non folùm cum iußticia pugnat, fed folet etiam fecūadferre multa incömoda ${ }^{*}$ nam, vt inquit Livius, nulla 2 mag na ciuitas quiefcere poteft : fi foris hofté nou habet,domi inuenit: vt prxualida corpora ab externis caufis tuta videntur, fed fuis ipfa viribus onerätur: \& vt legati Darij Alezandro dicebant, periculofum eft pragraue imperium: 8 b ountum difficile continere,qux capere non pof-
 bet.36, 650. (inquit) in corporibushominum, fatius eftmo

Liber secyndys. 93 eftmodicam faturam cum fanitate ham bere, quàm ad molemaliquam giganteam perpetuis afflictionibus peruenire: nec cum perueneris quiefiere; fed quanto grauioribus membris, täto maioribus agitari malis: fic imperium paruum \& quietum, praftat magno \& inquieto. quod indicaffe quoque videtur Calanus Indus, in philofophix ftudiis magni nominis : qui ad Alexandrum Magnum veniens, bubulam pellem aridam, in medium coniecit, obambulanfque eius extrema pedibus premebat: pellis cumad vnam tantum partem calcaretur, reliquis in altum attollebatur. eo facto, mediadeinceps firmus inflitit, atque ita pellis vndiq́ue immota conquieuit, tali exemplo Alexädrum commonens, ne in longinquis locis tempus tereret, fed imperij medium tueretur.ita enim in cius finibus quietura omnia ${ }^{2}$. 2 in vite is idq́ue etiam Lacedxmoniorum exéplo leanad. fatis docemur:quicùm terra mariq́uevniverfæ Graciæ imperarent, tantaque iam dominandi cupiditate flagrarent, vt Afiam omnem fuo imperio fubigere affectarent:tantum ex ea re inuidix,atque odjicontraxerunt, vt \& imperium, \& libertatem


#### Abstract

Da ivatet offobell. bertatem amiferint. Prudenteritaque Theopompus, Spartanorum rex, cüm primus Sparia regibus Ephorosadiunxiffer, exprobrantivxori, quòd flilis regnum minus, quàm accepiffet, relicturuseffet: refpondit, tantoid maiuseffe


 ${ }_{a}$ Plut. ad relicturum, quanto firmius $a_{i} \& \frac{\text { hanc }}{}$ primop, ouvd. quoque fentêtiam comprobaffe videtur armatus Annibal, cùm ad Scipionéait: Optimum fuerat, eam patribus noftris mentem datam a diis effe, vt vos Italix,,, nos Africæ imperio contétieffemus: ita,, aliena appetiuimus, vt de noftris dimi- ,, 6 Limunho caremus ${ }^{\text {b. Cum itaque in omnibus ne- }}$ e offichb, , gotiis, vt inquit Cicero ${ }^{\text {ch}}$, tum prefertim in bello, priufquáaggrediare,adhibenda eft præparatio diligens, \& percipienda cogitatione futura : \& aliquando antè conftituendum, quid accidere poffit, in vtramque partem, \& quid agendum fit, cùm quid euenerit : nec committédum aliquid, vt aliquandodicendum fit, Non putaram: quam Iphicrates turpiffimam d paxa lua. effe vocé imperatoris dicebar ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Et qui. peph。 dem,vtad fecandum \& vrendŭ medici*, 3 fic nos ad bella raro inuitiq́; veniemus, nec vnquam, nifineceffario, finulla reperietur alia medicina, exepplo Augufti:
## Librr secundys.

quiadmodū bella omnia execratuseß, \& nō nifiex maxima caufa vnquam bellum alicui genti indixit. iactantis enim ingenij, \& leuiffimi effe dicebat, ardore triumphandi, \& ob lauream coronam, in difcrimen, per incertos euentus certaminum, fecuritatem ciuium præcipitare. Bellum quoque non fufipiédum, nifi maior emolumenti fpes, quàm däni metus oftendatur. alioquivero, vt Ar chidamus Eleos inconfideratè bellum mouentes, monuit, bonum erit quiefce. rea. nam vtidem Auguftuis dicebat, mi- a plus, in an nima commioda non minimo fectantes poph,ico, difcrimine, fimiles funt hamo aureo pifcantibus, cuius abruptiamiffǵque detrimentū, nullo capturælucro penfari poteft ${ }^{\text {b }}$. quare non erit confultum iis, qui inopes funt, bellum inferre, Cuius rei exëplo effe poteft Sefoftris rex Ægypti, qui primum Scythis beilú intulit, miffis prius legatis,qui hoftibus parêdilegem dicerent. quibus Scythæ refponderunt, fe mirari tam opulenti populi regé, folidè aduerfus inopes occupare bellum, cùm magis domi fuerit illitimendum, quòd belli certamen anceps, pramia vicorix nulla, damna manifefta effent. igitur

De ivki at off. bell. igitur non exfpectaturos Scythas, vtad fe veniatur: cùrn tăto fibi in hofte plura concupifcéda fint, vltroque prodituros obuiam : quod \& fecerunt, \& regem in àuftin. $l$ b. 2 fugam verterunt ${ }^{2}$. Expetenda eft itaque magis decernendi ratio, quàm decertädi fortitudo : \& prius omnia experini, quàm armis certare fapientē decet. quare Spartanorũ mos iure laudari poteft, quiante hoftium congreffum, Amori facra faciebant: \& reges Lacedxmonij, antequam figna inferrent, Mufis immolabant, Clementix \& Amicitix, non Marti : fatius ducétes pactione hoftium animos, \& pace incruenta conciliare, quàm prelij fubire aleam. fed vbi tépus, neceffitasq́ue poftulat, decertandū manu erit, $\&$ honeftum bellum, ex Demofthenis fententia,fem per turpi paci proferendum erit. prudenter quoque Spar* tana ciuitas, feueriflimis Licurgi legibus obtemperans, aliquandiu ciuium fuorum oculos a contemplanda Afia resraxit, ne illecebriseius capti, ad delicatius vitę genus prolaberentur.quod eos non fruftra timuiffe dux ipforum Paufanias fatis oftendit: qui magnis rebus geftis, vt primùmfe Afix moribus permifit,

Lteer secundys. 95 mifit, fortitudinem fuam effeminato eius cultu, vtait Valer. Max ${ }^{\text {a }}$. emollire a lib. 3, cap.a non erubuir. Fititaque plerumque, ve
4. plus iftiufmodi victoriis amitcatur ${ }^{*}$, quă quaratur, vteadem Alia teftis eft : qux facta Romanorum, cum opibus fuis, etiam vitia Romam tranfulit ${ }^{6}$ Eft verà $b$ rufini,h,36 fortis animi, qualē in bello requirimus, fecüda moderatè, aduerfa fortiter ferre: s non perturbari in rebus afperis, nec tumulsuantem de gradu deijci, vtdicitur; fed pręentis animi vti confilio:neca ratione difcedere, fed in omni fortuna eüdem animum gerere. \& is dema vir erit, vtinquit Liuius, cuius animú nec profpera fortuna flatu fuo efferet, nec aduerfa infringet: qualis Camillus defcribitur, cùm ait : Nec mihi dictarura ani, mosfecit, neque exilium ademit, exfătque in hanc rem memorabilia Romanorum exempla, vedubium fit , an admirabiliores in aduerfis, an verò in fecüdis rebus Romanifuerint. de quibus fer-
6 tur*, Neque, $f i$ vincerentur, animosillis minuifolere, neque, fivincerent,fecüdis rebus infolefcere ${ }^{c}$. Itaque Albino con- c Iffin. $l_{31}$ fule, exercitu a Pyrrhocxfo, \& clade Cannenfi accepta, qua certe grauiffima N fuir,

De tyre et off. beil. fuit, iam tertiū victis ab Annibale: nulla vel minima quidé abiecti animi fignaedita: nulla métio pacis Roma fuit:quin potius magno animo de bello reftaurädo cogitatum, \& octo millia captiuorü, quòd perdeditionem, \& fine pugna, in poteftatê Annibalis veniffent, cùm paruo poffent redimi, non placuit. fed octo millia iuuenum validiorum ex feruitiis empta, publicè armata funt. Hic miles,
a ilib,22. Vt inquit Liuius a, magis placuit, cùm precio minore redimédi captiuos copia fieret. Similiter Romanis prolio equeftri victis a Perfeo Macedonú rege, cùm Perfeus confilio amicorum, fuadétium, $v t$ fecunda fortuna in conditione honeftx pacis vteretur potius, quàm fpe vana eueçus, in cafum irreuocabiléfe daret, ad P. Licinium cont. legatos mifffet: quipacem iifdem conditionibus peterent, quibus Philippo data erat:fummotis arbitris, cùm confultarent, vicit Romana confantia in confilio, inquit Li-
bub,42, uius ${ }^{6}$ : \& refponderi placuit, ita pacem dari, fide fumma rerum liberum fenatui permittat rex, defe, deq́ue vniuerfa Macedoniaftatuédi ius: victivictoribus eas leges, quæ victis prxicribifolent,ftatuẽ-

Liber secyndys. 96 res. ita namq́ue tum moserat, in aduerfis vultum fecundæ fortunę gerere:moderari animos in fecundis. cuif fimile eft factum Marcelli, qui exercitufuo pridie fufo, fugatoque, poftridie grauter increpitis militibus, in acié prodirr: quod cùm nunciatum effer Annibah, cum eo nimirum (inquit) hofte reseft, quinec bonam, nec malam fortunam ferre poteft : feu vicit, ferociter inflatvictis; feu victuseft, inftaurat cum victoribus certamen Marcellus verò magna victoria potituseft a, itaq; Pyrrhus, fufisaliquot a Limim L. 27 Romanorum exercitibus, cùm videret, corum qui fupereffentín reparando exercitu feltinationé : Video me (inquit) plane herculis fydere creatum, cuiquafi ab angue Lernxo, tor cxfa hoftiū capita de fanguine fuo renafcuntur ${ }^{\text {b }}$.quę certè $b l$ FForrus.de animi magnitudo, \& conftantia Roma- gef. Romm. L, norum tantum potuit, vt Pyrrhum, quamuis victoré, $\&$ magnis copiis aucto eius exercitu, priorem ad pacem petendam cópulertt.cui, Jicet æquiffimas conditiones pacis petédi,auctore Ap. Claudio cxco refpōderi placuir, vti rex Italia excederet:tum, fie refua videretur, de amicitiaac focietate verba faceret. quoad

| verò in armis effet, populum Rom. aduerfus eum belligeraturum, etiâfímille Albinos pugna luperaffeta quemadmodum rebus adueris, non $f$ acile Romanorum animos confternari folere fuperioribus exéplis oftendimus: fit, quod Scipio Africanus Antiocho victo, pacem petenti refpondit: Romani (inquit) eotdee in omni fortuna ${ }^{\text {genimos }}$ cund $\underset{\text { resextulerunt } ; n c q u e a d u e r f x}{ }$ minuerunt. eius rei, ,vtalios omittam, An , nifi vos ipfos dare poffem. poftquä Hel-, lefpontum traiecimus,priufquam caftra, regia,priuquaam aciem videremus, cum communis Mars,, incertus bellieuen-, tus effer, de pace vobis agentibus, quas, paribus ferebamus conditiones, eaddem <br>  tio, non folum magno virodigna: (nam deber modicè, $\&$ malum fortiter, acleniter) fed fole etiam plerüquein rebus gerendis, maximini effe momenti. ferme enim fit, vt fecundx res negligentiam |
| :---: |

Liber sacundvs. 97 creent. qua fiducia L. Marcius exllinctis Scipionibus,'cum reliquiis exercituum; milites hortatus, bina caftra Ponorum in Hifpania, vbi omnia neglecta \& foluta inuenit : vna nocte inuafit, \& cepit, hoftibuscęfisa Amyntas,verò cùm prælio a Liшimi.3s fuperior, Egyptios Memphin, quam obfidebat, compuliffer, \& fuos ad populädum agroseduxiffet: ab hoftibus,eruptione facta, quòd victores palantes \& victorix fiducia incautos viderent , cum omnibus fuis ad internecionem cæfus
 dicebat, fe magis profpero Minucijcollegæ, quàm aduerfo euentu, aliquid timere mali : nec eum Ceritentia fefellit. nam fecundis rebus elatus collega, temerè cum hofte conflixit, \& fe cum exercitu in euidês periculum cooiecit: led a Fabio feruatus fuit c . Sic quoque cùm c Liwimul. 22 Terétius Varro conf.homo temerarius, in prohibendis prædatoribus, tumultuario quodam prelio fuperior fuiffet: haud ægrè Annibal id damnü paffus eft; quin potius credebat, velut inefcatam temeritatem ferocis confulis, \& nouorum militum, vt certè accidit.namfolidaillorum temeritate, necquicquam re$\mathrm{N}_{3}$ luctante

De iver bt obf. bell. luctăre collega L. Emilio Paulo, clades illa Cannéfis Romanis illataeft.\& idem Varro, quemadmodum ante cladem ferox, ita clade accepta humilis, nimirum nudãdo cladem, Campanorum animos
a Limimul.22 a Romanis auertira. Eft itaque viro for-
 33 omprinc. frangatur aduerfis; fed etiam, venon extollatur fecundis: coq; magis,quod plerüque meliùs malam, quàm bonam fortunam ferre poffumus.vnde Epaminendas, Leuctrica victoria potitus, poftridie proceffit fqualidus, $\&$ humilis, folitus alias vncto corpore, \& vultu fereno videri : inquiens, fe, quòd animo élatior pridie fuiffer, immoderatum illudgaub Plut, in a. dium caftigare ${ }^{\text {b }}$. \& prudēter Philippus,
poph. cùm Athenienles, quibus fe aliquot ciuitates Grxcię iunxerant, magno pręlio viciffer, ita vicir, vt victorem nemo fentiret : \& itaintertacitamixticiam, \& dolorem hoftium temperauit, vt nequeapud fuos exultaffe, neq; apad victos infultaffe videretur:cùm taméillavizoria Philippo vniuerfx Gracix imperium ${ }^{6}$ rufin, 1.9 . dederit ${ }^{c}$. Porrò ad officia bellica, vel maximè fpectantduo illa praceptaPlatonis*: qux iis, qui reipubl. prafuturi 7 funt,
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 funt,prxfcribuncur:vnum, vt vtilitatem ciuium fic tueantur, vt quidquid agant, ad eam referant, oblti commodorum fuorum : alterum, vt totum corpus reipublicę curent:ne dum partemaliquam tuentur, reliquas deferät.vt enim tutela, fic procuratio reipubl. advtilitatem eorum, quicommiff funt, nonadeorum quibuscommifla, gerenda efta. Quare a cic, offco8 cauendum erit viro forti*, vt Cicero ait, ne pro fuav vilitate potiùs bella gerat, \& periculis fefe offerat, quàm pro vtilitate communi. nam animi magnitudo, qua cernitur in periculis, \&laboribus, fi iufticia vacat, pugnatq́ue non folum pro falure communi, fed profuis cōmodis, in vitio eft. Siquidem rectè Stoici,fortitudinem virtutem effe dicunt, pugnantem pro $x q u i t a t e$ : nihilque honeftum effe poffe, quod iulticia vacat. Animus itaq́ue paratus ad periculum, vs idem Cicero air, fif fua cupiditate, non vtilitate cōmuni impellitur in pericula : audacix potiùs nomen habebit, quam fortitudinis. Vnde Callicatridas Lacedxmoniorum dux, meritò reprehéfus: qui claffis, quä priuatx glorix iacturam facere maluit:atqueitem Cleombrotus, quiinui-

De ivre et off. bell. diam timens, temerè cum Epaminonda conflixit, quăto rectius Q . Fabius Max. qui fuum imperium minui per vanitaté populi maluit, quàm fecunda fama malè rem gerere. idem L. Emilium Paulum, ad bellum contra Annibalem cum C. Terétio Varrone collega,temerario homine,proficifcentem monens, vt fededo cum Annibale bellum gereret, neque temerè cum hofte confligeret : ita allocutusef: Refiftesauté, inquit, aduerfus „, famam, rumoresque hominum, fifatis \% firmus fteteris:fite, neque colleg $x$ vana,", gloria, neque tua falla fama mouerit. $2 \%$ veritate laborare, iusfope aiunt; extin-» gui nüquam.gloriam quif preuit, veram " habebit. fiue timidum pro cauto : tardü $"$ pro confiderato : imbellem pro perito " bellivocent:omnia audentem contënet,
a Limuta 1.22 Annibal; nihil temerè agétem metuet ${ }^{2}$. \# Exftat quoque in hanc fententiam præclara Lentuli principis viri oratio, Romanis ad furcas Caudinas inclufis, \&a Samnitibus legatione accepta, non alias conditiones pacis effe, quàm fiinermes cum fingulis veftimétisfub iugum mitterentur: in hæc verba: Patrem meum, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ inquit,confules,fæpe audiuimemoran-, „, tem, tem, fe in capitolio vnum non fuiffeauGoré fenatui, redimendx auro a Gallis ciuitatis, quando nec foffa valloq́uc, ab ignauiffimo ad opera ac muniendú bo. fte,claufieffent: \& erumpere,fi nonfine periculo magno, tamen fine certa pernicie paffent. quod fi,vtillis, decurrere ex capitolioarmatis in hoftem licuit (quo fxpe modo obfeffi in obfidentes eruperunt)ita nabisæquo aut iniquo loco dimicanditantummodo cum hofte copia effet: non mihi paterni animi indoles in coniluo dando deeffet. Equidem morté pro patria preclaram effe fateor, \& me vel deuouere pro populo Rom.legionibusq́ue, vel in medios me committere hoftes paratus fum. fcd hic patriă video, hic quicquid Romanar. legionumeft: quafi pro feipfis ad morté ruere volunt: quid habent quod morte fua feruent? tecta vrbis,dicat aliquis, \& meenia, \& eã turbăa qua vrbs incolitur. imo herchle produntur ea magis omnia, deleto hoc exercitu non feruantur . quis enim illa tuebitur?imbecillis videlicet \& inermis multitudo? tam hercle, quàm a Gallorū impetu defendit. an a Veijs exercitum Camillumq́ueducem implorabunt?hic N 5 omnis

De tiveet off. eele. omnis fpes, opesque funt:quas feruädo, "n patriam feruamus; dedendoad necem; 2 patriam deferimus ac prodimus.at foeda ${ }_{2}$ atque ignominiofa deditio eft. fed ea, $»$ charitas patrix eft, vt tam ignominiaeă,, 2 quàm morte noftra, fi opus fit , ferue- $\#$ mus. fubeatur ergo ifta, quantacunque $>$ eft, indignitas, \& pareatur neceffitati, $\%$ a Linimen,, , quam ne dij quidem fuperant ${ }^{2}$. Qua- \% cunque igitur ratione patrix \& reipub.* 9 confulere debemus, \& pro ea defendenda, nullam infamiam, nec ipfam quoque mortem, fires ita poftulat, recufare debemus.fed, vt inquit Plato:Si patria mädarit verberari te, flue in vincula conijci, fue in prxlium miferit, ad vulnera accipienda, mortemque fubcundam: abediendum eft omnino, $8:$ neque tergiuerfandum, neque fugiendum erit: $\int$ ed $\%$ in bello, \& iniudicio, \& prorfus vbique, ea quax refpub. vel parria iufferit,facienda funt. quecunque enim a nobis gesuntur, non ad notaram vtilitatem \& cōmodum, fed ad parrix falutem conferre debemus ${ }^{b}$. moriauten pro patria* glo 10 $V$ stim.
c l. bello a. mißi, D. d extu.tus. dPhilpp,14, que naturę debita, pro parria eft poulfi-" mùm

Liber secyndvs. $\quad 100$ mùm reddita.turpiffimum verò eft,cùm pollis cum fumma virtute \& honore, pro patria interire:malle perdedecus \& ignauiam viuere a. Qui veró pro defen- a Auflor ad fione ecclefiæ moritur, cælefte regnum cero ait c:Illi igitur, quos cxeciditios,etiā , ad inferos poenam parricidij luent : vos , verò, qui extremum fpiritumin gloria effudiftis, piorum eftisfedem \& locum confecuti.\& quidem Cimbrorū antiqui milites, adeo gioriofum duxerunt pro repub.mori:vt non exiftimarent, nifi in bello morerentur, fe felices, quafi ad fine vitæ non perfeuerảtes.vnde in acie gaudio exultabant, tanquam gloriosè, \& feliciter vita exceffuri, lamentabantur in morbo, quafi turpiter, \& miferabiliter perituri d. Itaque non fatim mortalis d Val. Mäк, II criminis damnandi* funt duces belli, vel Lb, 2,cap, $\boldsymbol{G}_{3}$ milites, quivt vactoria potiâtur, fe maximo committunt prelij \& conflictus periculo,ex quo certior eft mors, quadmeuafio. nam, ve inquir D. Auguit.c Non elib,22.conculpatur in bello, quòd moriantur qua. doque morituri, hoc enim reprehẻdere, tra Fanf. Man. c.quid eft magis timidorum, quàm religioforü. culpazur, 23. quãuiscnim nulliliceatlibi ipfi mortem confif-

De ivre et off. bell. confcifcere: (quo cafu mortale crimen $Z$ c.monlict. contrahitur ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) licet tamen, ex iufta caufa, 23. 94.2. D., veluti pro patrie, aur Catholicx eccledie Didinc, 20 defenfione, fe mortis vel maximo periG21. culo obijcere. itaq́ue Eleazarum, virum fortiffimum, a peccato defendit, \& laubdeoffc.,.,., dibus effert Ambrofius ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : qui fuperemicap.40. nentem ceteris elephantem, lorica veftitum regia, arbitratus quòd in eo rex $\underset{\text { cap. } 6 \text {. }}{ }$ Mab.. . effet, interfecit, \& a cadente beftia op${ }^{\text {cap. } .6 .}$. minime. preffus eft ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Pro patrix quoque falute ${ }^{*}$, 12 de erig. © If quis patrem occiderit, velfilium, non furmprib. fit folùm fine fcelere erit, fed etiam pręmio ${ }^{c}$ ciker, off. afficiendus. ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ quod ita verum puto, fires ${ }^{2 l} b \cdot 3$ $\mathrm{f}_{\text {in in i. veluti. }}$ omnino ad perniciem patrix fpectabit, D. de inft. $\sigma$ neque filius monendo, neque minitādo zi.C.deadus. diucer. ind 1 cio. © 4. 1 minimè. Borradus in additton. ad Terrarubeam.traft. 3 art. 3 faciunt zradiea a C'a poin $\{$ - Feudis g.23. ne.4. $g$ de republ. l.1. cap.4. h Salugfies і̀ cöru Catil. il.tor D . g.d.lul.Mar, eft ${ }^{i}$. Ceterum, quodad fecundum Platonis tonis preceptum attinet: illud maxime cauendum, ne,dumfingulas partes tueri volumus, totum corpus reipub, in periculum trahamus. Quare in bello nō te-
13 meré fulcipienda ${ }^{*}$ eft defenfo fociarum vrbiü,aliorumq́que oppidorum,quæ cōmodèdefendinon poffunt : preftatq̣ue illa deferta hoftibus relinquere, quàm nec quicquädefendēdo, de fumma rerū in difcrimé venire, aut etiā famæ pericuJum facere: qux plerunque latius, inflatiusq̆;,quàm res eft, percrebrefcerefolet, \& fape ad vniuerfi belli euentū, maximi effe momenti, itaq́; famam bellü̆ gerere aiunt,\& \& parua mométa, in fpé,metumq; impellereanimos. Qua de caufa PhilippusMacedonúrex, a Romanis pręlio victus,vrbes focias, aliaq́; oppida, qux defendere nô poterat, incendit ac vaftauit: homines,qui fequipofent,exciuit:retú fuarũ, quas poffent,ferendarum fecŭ dominisius fiebat: cetera militis preda erat. quę quidé faciêti Philippo acerbaetär, fed e terra mox futura holiũ,corporafalté eripere volebat ${ }^{3}$. in quo Sucuo- a Lisiwl.32* rum moré imitatus videtur,qui cŭ bellĭ ipfis inferretur, cōflilio habito, núcios in omnes partes dimittebät, vti de oppidis demi-

De ivre et off. berl. demigrarent:liberos, vxores, fuaq́; omnia in filuas deponerent: atque omnes, quiarma ferre poffent, in vnum locum a cas de bel. conuenirent ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Simili ratione Verfingetorix, nouis rebus ftudens, \& Gallosad defectionem a Romanis follicitans, Bi turigibus perfuafit, vt oppida incenderent, quæ non munitione, \& loci natura ab omni effent periculo tuta : ne fuis effentad detrectandam militiam receptacula : neque Komanis propofita ad copiam commeatus, prædamq́ue tollenb caynde dam ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Cauendum tamen omnino erit, ${ }^{6}$ bello Galli. 7 ne quid horum crudeliter, aut auaritia caufa fiat, nam cùm Annibal, quòd neq; omnes Italix populos, qui fe illi coniunxerant, tenere præfidis, nifi vellet in multas paruasq́ue partes carpere exercitum, quod minimè tum expediebat, poffet : neque, deductis præfidiis, fpeiliberam, vel obnoxiam timoris fociorum relinquere fidem: precepsin auaritiam, \& crudelitatem ad fpolianda, quæ tueri nequibat, inclinaflet : quam foedumid ibib,20. confilium effet, inquit Liuius ${ }^{c}$, exitus docuit - nonenim indigna patientium modò abalienabantur anımi, fed ceterorum eriam : quippe ad plures exem. plum,

Liber secundys. 102 plum,quàm calamitas pertinebat. $\mathrm{Co}-$ fultius verò Komani, quiaccepta Cannenfi clade, \& circumfpectis omnibus imperiif fui viribus, löginquis fociis prafidium implorantibus, non quidem miferunt, quòd vires non fuppeterent, fed cöfulere fibi feipfos iufferunt:nihil crudele in illos exercentes ${ }^{2}$. Solerter verò a Liwimh 23 M. Porcius Cato conf.in Hifpania bellü gerens, cum legati ab Ilergetum regulo Biligafteadeum venitent, querentes caftella fua oppugnari, nec fpem vllam effe refiftendi, nifi prefidio Romanus miles effer, \& confulem anceps cura agitaret: nolle deferere focios:nolle minuere exercirum: quod aut moram fibiad dimicandum, aut in dimicando periculum afferre poffet, \& fatuiffet non minuere exercitum, ne interim hoftes quid inferrent ignominix:fociis fpem prore oftédendam cenfuit:fape vana pro veris maximè in bello valunfe: : $\&$ credentemfe aliquid auxilij habere, perinde atq; haberet:ipfafiducia, \& fperando,\& audendoferuatum. Itaque legatis refpendit, quanq̧am vereretur, ne fuas vires, alijis eascommodando, minueret :tamenillorum, téporis, \& periculi, magis quàm

De ivre et off. bell.
fui, rationem habere: \& denunciari mılitū parti tertiæ ex omnibus cohortibus iuber, vt cibum, quem in naues imponant, maturè coquāt, nauesq́ue in diem tertium expediri pracepit, legati non antè profecti, quàmimpofitos in naues milites viderunt, id pro haud dubioiam nunciantes, non fuos modo, fed etiā hoftes, fama Romani auxilij aduentantis impleuerunt.conful verò, vbi fatisquod in 1peciem fuit, oftentatü effet, reuocari ex nauibus milites inffit.qua ratione fociis, , pe auxilij,ad obfidionem ferendam animum dedit, hoftemq́ue ab obfidione deterruit: \& ipfe integris viribusad hoftem profectus, pręclara victoria potitus blimens .34 $_{4}$ eft a, Porrò quemadmodūnon eft fufcipienda temerè defenfio eorü, qux tueri 14 non potes : fic nec facilè deferenda funt, qux hoftium impetum excipere \& frangere poffunt.in quo Antiochus turpiter lapfus fuit, qui prelio naualia Romanis victus, quia poffeffione matis pulfus, lōginqua tueridiffidebat fe poffe: prefidiū ab Lyfimachıa, ne opprimeretur ibi a Romanis, deduci prauo, vt res ipra poftea docuit,cōfilio iuffit.non enam tueri folùm Lyfimachiā a primo impetu Ro. manorum
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manorum facile erat, fed obfidionemetiam per totam hicmem tolerare, \& obfidentes quoque ad vitimam inopiã adducere, extrahendo tempusa. Inter ce- a aiwiw1.37 tera denique officia bellica refert Cice- Appia,debld is ro ${ }^{\text {b }}$, ne fxuiatur ${ }^{*}$ fupra modŭ in victos. 5boffc.ib, , cùm nihil laudabilius fir, nihil magno \& preclaro viro dignius placabilitate, \& clementia, \&

Parcere devictix́, of debellare fxperbos. Parcendum itaque multitudini, \& ij qui in imperatorum fidem cöfugiunt (quáuis murum aries percufferit, vt inquit Cicero) recipiendi, \& auctores tantùm turbarū puniendi. nam, vrinquit auctor
 contendunt, eos hoftes putare;qui victi Gunt, eos homines sudicare:vt poffit belluns fortitudo minuere; pacem humanitas augere. De euertendis autem, diriprendisq́ue vrbibus valde illud confiderandum monet Cicero, ne quid temerè, nequid crudeliter fiat. Itaque M.Marcellus maximam cöfecucus ef laudem: qui antequam Syracalas vrbem opule. tiffimam, prędx pro concione militibus d refer $L$. ediceret, fleuit, edictoq́ue cauit, te quis Augumid. icis


De ivae et offabela. capta Carthagine, quæ repleta erat fa* tuis, donariisq́ue Gracanicis, ex Sicilia aduectis, edixit, vte fingulis vrbibus venirent, quidinofcerent, fuaqueauferrêt: \& nihil quicquam fuorum quemquam paffus eft,vel liberum, vel feruü de prodaaccipere, nec emere quidem, aliisdia plus, in a. reptionivacantibus ${ }^{2}$. C. Cæfar quoque sopb. dicere folet, nihil fibi gratius effe, quàm multitudini parcere:isq́ue Treboniolegato, Maffiliam oppugnanti, per litteras magnopere mandauit, ne per vim oppidum expugnari pateretur: ne grauius permoti milites, \& defectionis odio, \& côtemptione fui, \& diutino labore, omnes puberes interficerent: quod fe factu-
b cafarde bel.awililib. 2 ros minitabanturb. Eft itaque clemếtia, non folùm magno viro digna, fed folet etiam plerumque effe fructuofa, \& gloriofa. quò focctat illud Philippi patris Alexand.Magni, qui fuadétibus quibufdam,yracerbè in Athenienfes ftatueret: abfurdè eos fentire dixit, qui tot,tantaq́; glorix caufagentem, actolerantem,iu-
c Plut. in apoph. berent glorix theatrum euerterec. ${ }^{\text {. Spar- }}$ tani quoque, cùm Athenienfes addeditionem compuliffent, \& quidem cenferent, infeftifimam vrbem, cum ipfo nomine
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 mineabolendam:negarunt fe permiffuros, vteduobus Greciæ oculis, vnuserueretur ${ }^{\star}$.\& Macedonum reges aciede- a Paun,oros. bellare folitos fcribit Liuius ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$; vrbibus b 4.3 bl . parcere, quantum poffent: quo opulentuus imperium haberčt.nam de quorum poffefforie dimicetur, tollenté nihil fibi prater bellum relinquere, quod confiliū cffe? Itaque tertium Romuli inftrutum fuiffe frribit Dionyfius Halicarnaffequsc ${ }^{c}$ camt, Nems ne captas bello vrbes funditus euerterent: fed bellorū ius effe Ccribit Linius d, dib,ro vt victivictoribus cum bona pace dominentur,\& imperent. Non alienum videtur, prudētem admonitionem Belifarij, qua Totilam a diruenda Roma auertit, hic fubijcere. cùm enim Totila vrbem victor ingreffus, eam omnino folo $\mathfrak{x}$ quare conftituiffet: monuit eum Belifarius perliteras, ne quid temerè faceret. nam, inquit, alterū eduobus neceffariò eueniet: aut victus in hoc bello fuccumbes; aut annuentefortuna fuperabis. fi vietor cualeris, Romamque deleueris, nöalienam, fed tuam perdideris; fin feruaueris, regiam certè omnium pulchertimamjarque ditiffimam feruaueris : fin verò a nobis deuictus fueris, feruatavr-$$
\mathrm{O}_{2} \text { be,at- }
$$

De rvele et offo beti. be, atque incolumi, gratias 1 mmortalesa viatore exfpectare debes; led ea diruta, nulla humanitate contra te agetur. \& hisliterismotus Totilaa diruendavrbe
a refirt Petri: Crunh, de hoo meftes difiplin.lub.s. ex Prucopio. deftitit ${ }^{2}$.Similidilemmate, principis Araufini efferatum animum emolliuerüt nonnulli preftantes viri huius Academix L.ouanienfis : cùm enimin expedituone illa nefanda contra pattiam, anno falutis cro, 10. $\mathrm{Ix} \times \mathrm{x}$, ciuitatem Louanienfem deftitutam prafidio, cum validiffimo exercitu oppugnaret, \&viderét viri prudentiffimi, fe vim hoftium ferre non poffe : quamuis turpe effet pacifci cum nefario, \& iniufto hofte, ne tamen viderêt diuina, humanaq́ue omnia violari: templa profanari:academiam lögè prętantiffimamdeuaftaria feelefiffimis holtibus: maluerunt neceffitati cedêtes, hac omnia oblato certo pecunix precio redimere, quàm crudelitatem hoftisexperiti. cumq́ue ille non contentus redemptionis precio, eò impudentix veniffet, vt etiam iufiurandum, quodcitra crimen lxæx maieftatis illi prxftarinon poterat. a ciuibus Louanienfibus exigeret: iidemilli viri, quimori maluffent, quàm täto feelerefe adftringere hacratione

Liber secundts. ros tione hoftis impudentiam reprefferūt dicentes: Aut in hoc pralio, quod tibi reftat cum regio exercitu, cui Dux Albanus preerat, vinces; aur vinceris: fiviceris, nihilcertè negotij tibi erit nobifcum:quivelimus, nolimus, in tua poteftate erimus. fin verò victus fueris, (vt dubiaeff fortuna belli) non eft curvelis nosindignationem incurrere, \& Regis, \& Ducis Albani, atque ita Regis maieftate illxfa,\& pactione neceffaria, neque turpi,maxima prudentia reipubl.confuluerunt. Eft tamen ita probanda mäfuetudo, atque clemétia, vt adhibeatur reipub. caula feueritas, prefertim fific omnino reipubl, conueniat . qua de caufa Romani Numantiam \& Carthaginem funditus fuftuleruat : quamuis Scipio Nafica pontifexmax. nolebat æmulam imperij Romani Carthaginem dirui, \& decernenti vt dirueretur, contradicebas Catoni, timens infirmis animis hoftem fecuritatem, \&, täquam pupillis ciuibus idoneum tutorem, neceffarium videns effe timorem : neceum fentétia fefellis. excoenim tempore coepit Romana ci uitas otio \& luxu diffluere, qua de re pulchrè D.Augult ${ }^{2}$ \& Samuel quoque bell.punic,

De fyrb at off. ball. fatis oftendit populo, Deü exciraffe hoftes contra Ifraëlitas, vt eos in officio
2. Regwm i. contineret ${ }^{2}$. folent enim homines, cùm ad externa bella fuperfunt vires, iisabuti, inter femetipfos certando : quxbella longè exitiofiffima funt. Pręclarè itaque Spartani,qui,cùm rex ipforum pollicesetur, fedeleturum vrbem, qux illis negotium exhibuerat, non permiferunt, $b$ plut, issa inquientes: Nolidelere cotem virtutis ${ }^{\text {b }}$, poph.

Porrò tempore belli, fif ic reipubl.expediat, non folùm hoftium, fed etiam ci. uiumxdes, ad moenia cöftitutæ, ex qui-

DEIMPERATORE VELDVCE EXERCITVS.
I Nibilrarius perfecto imperatores.
2 Imperatoris zomen quibus commune.
3 Praftat babere bonum duccm, quàm banos milites.
4 2ua atate eligendi duces.
5 Leges annales Romanorum.

- 2uatsor in imperatore requiri.

7 Virtutes imperatoris.
\& Imperator non termerè cum boffecöfiget.
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- Preffat ingenio fuperare quim gladio.

10 Cautes imperator preferendus audaci.
II Hoftus refrumentaria probibendus.
12 abinccptes non facile dif fedendum.
13 Confätitia \&o fiducia imperstoris in bello.
${ }^{4} 4$ Militurn animi ante conflitum variis modis confirmandi.
is Exercitus incommodategenda.
so optimbus imperator qui maxime cognitas babet res hoftium.
17 Non temere trans fugst credendum.
88 Imperator adomsia afpera fe ducemprabeat.
59 Imperator cauti ver fari debet in periculis.
20 Conflium Ansizoni.
25 Non foliumbellandivirtus, fed etiamslia virtutes quavende in imperatore.
22 Imperatori liberkm arbitrium permittendkm.
23 Carthaginienfesafperi in duces belli.
Capyt secvndym.
$S$ l bellumgerédumeft, in primiscertè videndum, quem exercitui preficiamus,tanti enim effe exercitum, quăti imperatorem verè proditumeft:nihilq́; rarius inueniri poffe perfecto impera$x$ tore ${ }^{*}$. quod nomé olim commune erat $\mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{iis}$, qui

De ivae et offozerg. iis, quiexercitui ${ }^{*}$ ad certum tëpus pre\% effent: quamuis poftea Cælar, quem alij fecutifunt, fummum principatumadeptus, perpetuum imperatoris nomen s Appias, is fumplerita. Itaque Philippus Macedo pronem Rom. bisf. Tacitus ann. 4 b. 3 . admirarife aiebat, quòd Atheniêfes fin. gulis annis nouosimperatores eligerët: cum iple in omni vita, non nifi vnum ducem bonum Permeniona inuenifet. \& idem dicebat, praftãtiorem effe exercitum ${ }^{*}$ crruorưimpcranteleone, quàm; leonum ducc ceruo atque ita C. Cæfar, cùm irct in Hifpaniam côtra Petreium, dicebat fe non magni eum facere, quòd iret ad exercitum finc duce. \& C. Fabricius, cùm audiret Romanos a Pyrrho victos:non(inquit) Epirote Romanos,
b put, ina. fed Pyrrhus vicit b. Et certè exemplo poph. Marcij Coriolani fatis apparet, ducibus fuperiorem, quàm exerciturem Roma-
c46.2. nam fuiffe, inquir Liuius c. Volfcienim, Semper antea victi, à Romanis; Coriolano duce, victoresexftitere. Hinc Epicrates de re militaridificrens, ait exercitum effe, quali quoddä animal hominiperimile, cuius caput fit imperatoracies infrructa, pectus, acthorax: pedites, manus: equitesautem, pedes: \& ficutin corpore
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 corpore conturbato, commotoq́ue capite, membra reliqua nullum officiuna prętare pomiant: fic perturbato imperatore, excrcitus omnis fluctuet, periclitetur, ac pereat neceffe eff. Et, mortuo Alexandro, exercitum eius vagantem, \& in feipfum impingentem, Leofthenes fimilend dixit Ciclopieffe: quiamiffo oculo, viquequaque manus intendebat, nullumad certum foopum direCtus: isa fublatoduce, magaitudinem exercitus incertis motibus agitari : atque adeo ficut anima deferente, cadauera non fubGiftunt, non cohxrent; led diffipantur, \&: diffoluuntur: ita exercitum Alcxanuri, eo mortuo palpitare, concuti, atque $E$. fuare a, Et qquidem, quo difficilius bellüa a Pur.d. forintar, eo maiori curaelaborandurn ctt, tux Auxand. vt fuperiorem; finminus, paremimpe- utatu, 2. ratorem hoftium duciquæramus. nant. quilibet nautarum, vectorumáue, vt $Q$. Fabius dicebat, tranquillo mari gubernare poteft : vbifrua orta tempeftas eft, ac rurbato mari rapitur véto nauis, rum viro \& gubernatore opuseft ${ }^{b}$. Quare btimuli.244 Platoante trigefimum xtatis annum*, neminemmilitix praficiendum fatuit: ctiamif fectate virtutiseffer, \& aliqua Os mili-


#### Abstract

Deftre et ofp, bell. militari gloria illuftris.Hanc zratê legio umà bellicis muneribus arbitrati quoque funt Athenienfes: tametf huic legi aliquandoderogatü fit: \& precipuèAlcibiadis gratia, qui adeò gratusfuir populo in adolefçétia, vt omnium ciu.um oculos, quoties in publicum prodiret, infe conuerteret:nemoque eipar Athenis cenferetur. is ante zratem bello Syxacufano prafectusfuit : cui ramen duo longè maiores natu collegx dati funt, Nicias\& Lyfimachus, vt tutius reipubl。 confuleret. Romani verò etiä fuas leges annales*habuere, quibus grandior 2tas s (quòd adolefcentix temeritatem verereatur) ad confulatum, aliosq́ue magiftratus confitura fuit. veterestamenillos admodum antiquos leges annales a phipss. non habuiffe dicit Cicero ${ }^{\text {: }}$ guas multis poft annis attulit ambitio, vt graduseffet petitionis intef æquales, quo factum eff,vtidem Cicero ait,vt fepe magna indoles virtutis, priufquäreipub. prodeffe potuiffer,extinincta fit. At antiquiilli, ab excelléri eximiaq̆ue virtute progreflum xratis exfipectari non debere exitiman. tes, nulla $x$ tatis, nec generis quoque, aut conditionis cuiufquam ratione habita:


Liser secundus. 108 fed virturis cuiufque veri $x$ ftimatores: vt quifque maximè virtute excelluit,ita quemquead honores prouexerunt : vt conftarex Dionyfio ${ }^{\circ}$. Et quidem, vtait ${ }^{\text {a ant. Rom }}$ Cicersb, Rulli,Decij, Coruini.multiq́; b bbup s. alii : recentiore autē memoria fuperior Aphricanus, T.Flaminius,admodum adolefcentes, confules facti, tătas res gefo ferunt, vt populi Romani imperium auxerint, nomen ornauerint. Alexander verò Magnus, cùm abineunte ætate res maximas gerere cœpiffet, nonne tertio \& trigefimo anno mortem obiit \} qua eft xtas Romanis legibus decem amnis minor,quàmconfularis.ex quo, veridem ait, iudicari poteft, virtutis effe, quā xtatis curfum celeriorem. Valerius porrò Coruinus, vigefimo tertio xtatis anno conful crearus, dicebat confulatum effe pramium virtutis, non fanguinis. \& L. Quintius Cincinnatus, pauper admodum, quattuor tantùm iugerum agrum poffidens,eundemq́ue fuis manibus colens, ab aratro ad dictaturam capeffendã Romä accerfitus eft : qui vnica fpes populi Rom.fuit. quod operę precium effe audiredicit Liuiusc, dis, qui omnia pre c cib.3. diusius humana fpernunt: neq; honori magno

De ifre er offo beiz. imagno locum, neque virtuti putăt effe, nifi ybi effusè affuans opes. Attilium quaque, quiad eumaccerfenduma fenatu miff erant, ad imperium papuli Romani fufcipiendum, femina fpargentem viderunt : fed illæ ruftico opereattritz manus, falutem publicamftabiliuerunt, \& ingentes hoftium copias peffum dederūt ${ }^{2}$. Porrò in fummo impera-
 bpro lege Itimar Cicerob:Icientiä reimilitaris,virtutem, auctoritatem, \& felicitatem : virtutes antem imperatoris*pracipuas has 7 effe :laborem in negotiis, fortitudinem in periculis, induftriam in agendo, celeritatem in conficiendo, confiliú in prouidendo.nihil tamen quicquam magna laude dignum, fine vfu, \& exercitatione confequetur, nam quemadmodum ia ceteris artibus, fic quoque in arte imperatoria tria ipeCtantur: natura,doctrina, \& vfus, natura ingenio, doctrina fcientia, vfus fructu diiudicanduseft. Ceterum cùm nuโquam minus, quàm in bello eucntus relpondeant:ideoque maximæ cuique fortuņ minimè credendum fit, wt Annibal Scipioni dicebat: omnino cauëdum erit imperatori, ne temerè
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 109 8 cum hofte confligat ${ }^{*}$, \& aleam bellifubeat, fed caura potius cum ratione, quaim profpera ex cafu fequatur: \& eum ratio ducat, non fortuna, qua fallaxeft, \& patuis momentis magnas rerum commutationesefficit، Itaq; Cxfaremlegimus, extreno vitx tempore cunctätiorem ad dimicädum factum: quo fxpiusviciffet, hoc minus experiédos cafus exiltimäs: neque fe tantum acquifiturumvictoria, quantum auferre calamitas poffers. Et a sumenisin. quidé ficut felicitas rerum geftarum, vt inquit Cxfar, exercitus benewolériam: ficres aduerfa, odia conciliant. quare confultius, tutius, \& gloriofius quoque 9 erit imperatori, vbicunq; poterit, ingenio \& ratione hoftéfuperare,ex Periclis fententia, quàm gladio, vtenim multo maiora funt opera animi, quàm corporis, veait Cicero; ficex res, quas profequimuringenio, gratiores funt, quàm illx quas viribus. $\underline{q}$ etiä fignificaffe vidētur Lacedęmonij:apud quos dux, $q$ fortiterdimicaffet,\& cruéto Marte,magnaque hoftium clade viciffet, trophæi loco gallü:quiverò deditione, \& pacto, induAtria fua, nec nimis cruéta victoriá potitus,bellimala vitaffet, boué immolabat.Dion

De ivre et off. bello Dion quoq; in librisfuis, quide regno infcribuntur, dicit longè maiora geri paucorum confilio, quàm multitudine, aut viribus،quodetiā Homerus indicat, cùm Agamemnonem inducitafferenté, fe longè facilius pofferem Troianameuertere, fi decem Neflores,aut Vliffes in cöfilio haberet, quàm fi totidem Aiaces, \& Achilles fortiter dimicantes quò fpeCant illa verba Vliffis ad Aiacem, apud
a mincarayp.
13. Ouidium:
(cundis
2uippemanu fortes, nec funt tibi Marte SeConfilisis cefferemeis tibs dexterabello $\nu$ tilis: ingeniü est g eget moderamine nostro. Tuvires ine mëte geris, mibicura futurieff: Tupugnarepotes; pugnandi tempora mecum Eligit Atrides: tu tantum corpore prodes; Nos animo: qtantog ratĕ qui temperat, ansteit Remiges offciuiu : quanto est dux milite mazor: $T$ äcium egotc fuperv: nec nö in corpore nositrd PeEtora funt potiora manu: vegor onis in illis. Et cetera, qux carmine profequitur Ouidius : vbi dicit arma Achillis, dequiBus cum Aiace contēdebat Vliffes,quxquedigniori erant propofita, in premia Vliffitributa fuiffe, non itaque tantùm in viribus corporis, \& lacertis fortitudi* ais gloria ef: ${ }^{\text {Ced magis in vartuteanimis }}$ vtin.

Liber secundys. xio vtinquic Ambrofrus ${ }^{2}$. Vnde quoque gi- ade off. 1,1 , ganıes, de quibus in facris litteris, quāuis viribus \& corpore preftarent : quoniam non habuerunt fapientiam, perierunt propterfuam infipientiam ${ }^{\text {b }}$.contrà b barchc. 3 verò de viro fapiente fcriptum eft: Surrexit filius fenfatus, \& propter illumide-
 Cautusergo imperator, \& prudens, ex Euripidis fententia, audaci \& temerario praferenduseft. confilio enim fapienti, vtidem dicebat, magna militum manus vinci poteft. fic Xerxes, qui tot hominū millia contra Grxcos duxerat, vnius Themiftoclis induftria fuperatus fuit. Quare Ariftides dicebar, non armis folum contrahoftes, fed confilio in primis vtendū effe. nam cùm confilio, \&induItria fuperiores cuadere poffumus, quid opus eft pericula fufcipere? Hinc rei militaris periti, in en precipuam imperauI toris laudem conflituunt*, fiea ratione bellügerat, qua ipfe, \& exercitus, quam commodiflimè re frumentaria, aqua, ligno, pabulo vtatur; hoftis verò iis omnibus prohibeatur: \& iñopia, fifieri poteft, ad deditionem compellarur: \& vt maximè fe tutò dimicåre poffe exiftimer,
De ivre et offo melz.
met, tamêtutius arbitretur,obleffis viiss,
comeatu interclufo, fine vulnere viço-
ria potiri. qux ratio Iulio Cxfari, fum-
mo imperatori maximè probabatur:
cuierat propofitum, vt non nificoactus
prelium committeret cum Afranio, \&
Perreio, Pompeianarum partium, nam
in cam fpem venerat, fefine pugna, \& fi-
nevulnere fuorum, rem cöficere poffe:
quòd re frumentaria aduerfarios inter-
clufiffet:cur etiä fecundo prẹlio aliquos
ex fuisamitteret, fecum penfitans: cur
vulnerari pateretur optimè meritosde
fe milites : cur denique fortunam peri-
clitarctur: prafertim cùm non minùs ef-
ret imperatoris confilio fuperare, quàm
gladio. \& tãdem omnium rerum inopia
coactos aduerfarios, ad deditionem có-
a cef.desel, pulit ${ }^{4}$. \& hac ratione facile fuiffer Pom-
moulhb,s. peio, Cæfarem ad Phatfalum egeftate
omnium rerum opprimere, vt propo-
fuerar:nifia fuis Dirachina victoria ela-
tis, fe a propofito dimoueri paffus tuifo
bappise.de fet ${ }^{b}$. Fabius autem Max. ex hoc genere
sodomelubh. 2 belli gerendi, fummam laudem meruit,
etiaipfius hofls Annibalis teftimonios
qui dicebat, fe magis a non pugnante
Fabio: quàm a pugnante Marcelio tio

Liber secvndys. III merea: neceum fententia fefellit. nam Fabius fuis artibus cò iam Annibalem fedendo redegerat, vt non folüm nihil ex raptis in diem cōmeatibus fuperaret, fed ne vnde raperet quidem, quicquam reliqui effer; omni vadiq́ue frumento, pofteaquam ager parum tutus erat, in vibes munitas conuecto, ve vix decem dierum, quod comperrū poftea eft, frumentumfupereffet, Hifpanorū obinopiam tranfitio parara fuerir, fi maturitas temporum expectata fuiffet : quam Te rentius Varro conf, fua temeritate impediuit: cuius aduentu Annibal, in hifce difficultatibus conftitutus, quäuis parte dimidia auctas hoftiú copias cernebat, mirè gaudebar, fperans, id quod accidit, fortunam prepoftero ingenio \& temeritaticonfulis materiam daturam: cuius culpa ingens illaclades Cannenfis Romanis illata fuit a. hoc quoque confilio C. Sulpitio dictatori aduerfus Gallos, neutiquam placebat, quando nulla cogeret res,fortuna fe cōmitrere, aduerfus hoftem, quem tempus deterioré in dies, \& locus alienus faceret, fine preparato commeatu, fine firmo munimento moranté: ad hociis animis corporibusq́ue,

De ivre et off. bill. quorum omnis in impetu viseffet: par2 Limim 1., ua eadem languefcere mora a, Alexäder verò cùm Leucadiam obfideret, paffus eft ex finitimis locis omnes eò confugere,vtcitius alimenta, quæ habebät, confumerentur: quibuscōfumptis, facilem victoriam confecutus eft. \& Antigonus vaftatis Athenienfium agris, fementis temporedeceffit, \&quod reliquum habebant frumenti, in fationes 1 parfo, reuerfus nouo vere adultam fegetem protriuit,\& ad famem redactos Atheniêfes ${ }_{\mathbf{i}}$ in fuam volūtatem pertraxit. Vnde prxcepti Cäbyfismeminiffe debemus, quo Cyrum filium monuit,nunquamexfpectandam effe egeftatem, \& in rerü copia, maximè de inopia cogitandum. æpius enim videmus exercitum confumi penuria, quàm pugna: \&,vtait Vegetius, ferro fruior elt fames. Itaq;,vtdiximus, hifce artibus pracipue fuperandi funt hoftes, neque temerè in acie verfandü, nifi vbi tempus neceffitasq́ue poftulat: exemplo Scipionis, qui in Numantinos miffus, cùm vidiffet coniunctă cuminfania hoftium temeritatem, dicebat fe tempore redimere hoftium temeritatê, \& quod loco lapientix eft, alienā operirí ftulti-
fultitiam. bonumenim imperatorem, itidem vt medicum, ad malum curandŭ vltimodemum loco ferrũ adhibere debere : nihilominus tamen fuo tempore adortus Numantinos fudita. His affribi poffe videtur confilium Sertorij, qui profrciptione Syllana dux Lufitanorü fieri coactus, cùm eos oratione flectere non poffet, ne cum vniuerfa Romanorum acie confligerent: duos in côfpectus eorum conftituit equos : alterum validiffimum, alterum infirmiffimum:ac deinde validi caudãab imbecillo fene paulatim carpi:infirmi a iuuenc eximiarum virium vniuerfam conuelliiuffit : Fubijciens, equi caudæ confimilem effe Romanorum exercitū,cuius partes aliquis aggrediēs opprimere poffit; vniuerfum conatus profternere, celerius tradiderit victoriam, quàm occupauerit. quo exéplo Sertorius barbaros, quos ratione flectere non poterat, in fuam fentétiam pertraxit ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Porrò quemadmodum non b val. Max. temerè fortuna tentäda eft, \& ardua fiff. 46.7 .1 caf. 30
$x 2$ cipienda: fic ab inceptis* non facile difcedendum eft, exemplo Marcelli.cùm enum multa, fuccedentes temerè ınoenibus, in oppugnatione Cafilini, RomaP 2 nimi-

De ivre et off. bell. ni milites acciperét vulnera,neque fatis cœptis fuccederet: \& Fabius omittenda rem paruam, aciuxta magnisdifficilem, \& abicedendum inde cenferet, quòd res maiores inftarent:Marcellus,multa magnisducibusficut non aggredienda, ita femel aggreffa non dimittenda effe dia cendo, quia magna fam $x$ momenta, in vtramque partem fierent., tenuit ne in-
aLimiws ${ }^{2} 24$ cepto abiretura. Quare laudandi quog ${ }_{i}$ Cæfaris midites, qui currm in obfidione Auarici,omnium rerum difficultate afficerentur, \& Cæfar ob inopiam fedimiffurum oppugnationé diceret: vniuerf ab eo, ne infecta re difcederet, petebant: hoc feignominię loco laturos, fiinceptă
bcef. Itbed. oppugnationem relinquerent, dicédob. sadlub. 7 . fama fquidem bella fare merito fummi ducesexiftimauere, \& eum, quirecedebar, fugere credi. Vnde cùm in reliquis rebus, tum prxfertim in bello cooftantia * atque fiducia imperatoris plurimum 13 praftant, $\&$ fæpead vniuerfi belli cuentū maximifunt momenti, cuiusrei fecciofa exempla nobis prabent Romani; $\%$ in primis, quòd Cannenficlade, exhauftis imperij viribus, fupplementūexercitus in Hifpaniam mittere aufi, fecerunt ne honti-

Liber secundvs. in3 hoftilium locus caftrorú , tum maximè Capenam portam armis Annibale puifante, minoris veniret, quàm fi Pœeni iliá non obtinuiffent. Ita fe gerere, inquit
 tem fortunam in adiutoriú fui pudore victam conuertere? Quò fpectat, quod Cæfar dicebat:finon omnia fecunda cederent, fortunam effe induftria fubleuãdam. Pari fiducia Scipio Aphricanus, fpeculatores Annibalis, in caftris fuis deprehenfos, necfupplicio affecir, neede confiliis \& viribus Pœnorum percúctatuseft : Sed traditos eos tribunis militü, iuffosq́; omiffo metu vifere omnia, per caftra,quo vellêt, duci iufit: percunctatusque fatin percommodè omnia exploraffent, datis qui profequerentur retròad Annibalé dimifir. quo tam pleno fiducix Poiritu Scipio prius animos hoftium, vt inquir Val. Maxim ${ }^{\text {b }}$. quàmar- b ilu, з.c.7. ma contudit:nam Annibal maximè hoAtis, fiducia perculfus, protinus näcium ad Scipionem mifit, vt colloquendifecum poreftatem faceret, $\mathfrak{x q}$ quiorem impetrari poffe pacê ratus,fi integer,quàm fi victus peteret ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Porrò fumini duces c Liziwe 1.30 facile percipientes, quantum haberet in P3 feboni

De inte et off. bele. feboni conftantia, atque fiducia, folent ante confictum magno fudio illud agere*, quò maximè confidentéfibi red- 14 derent exercitum, \& militum animos confirmarent, quod variis modis faciebant. Valerius Coruinus dictator, cum Samnitibus bellum gerens, priufquam Ggnum pugnę proponeret, leuibus certaminibus,tentandi hoftis caufa, aliquot dies moratus eft, ne fcilicet nouum bela Limum $1.7 \%$ lum fuos, nouusq́achoftis terreret ${ }^{2}$. Q . Fabius conful, decertaturus cum Hetrufris, quò animus militum multitudine territus reftitueretur, Samnitium bella, quę magna felicitate confecerant, extollebat, eleuabat Hetrufcos, nec hofté hofi, nee multitudinem multitudini comparandam effe aiebat: preterea telum aliud occultum fcituros in tempore, interea taceri opuseffe : quibus ambagibus prodi fimulabat hoftes, \& fuos mirü in modium confirmabat ${ }^{\text {b }}$. in quo certè folertia Fabij meritò laudanda, \& abimperatoribusimitandaeft. communied debel cizid, nim fir vitio nature, vt Cæfar dicebat ${ }^{c}$, ${ }_{i l}$ ib, . vt inuifis latitantibus, atque incognitis rebus magis confidamus, vehementiufq́ue exterreamur. \&, vtinquirLiuius, fæpe

Liber secyndys. 114 fepe vana pro veris maximè in bello valuerunt. Veteres quoq; ad confirmandos militum animos, caufam religionis peridoneam cenfebant.quò fpectât omnia aufpicia \& oracula, qux fif fortè aduerfi quid figni facere viderentur,folent nonnunquamimperatores, folerti quadam interpretatione, in bonum vertere, vt militibus timorem eximerent. cuius rei exftant innumera exempla. Et quidem, quemadmodum multa ad confirmandos militum animos facienda funt, fic quoque magno ftudio, illa qua metū 35 inferre poffent ${ }^{*}$, aut militü animos quacunque ratione alienare, fupprimenda funt, nam, vt Cæfar dicebat, vti corporis vulnera, ita exercitus incommoda tegê. da funt: ne ea nudando, militibustimor addatur, $\&$ hoftibus audacia crefcat. in quo, iure Terentius Varro reprehëdendus videtur.nam cìm accepta clade Cánenfi, Campani legatos ad cum Venufía mififfent, quò cum paucis, ac femiarmibus venerat: ita auxit rerum fuarum fuiq́ue contemptum,nimis detegendo cladem, nudädớque, vt qui prius x grè ferre fe,aduerfialiquidaccidiffe Romanis nūciaffent, pollicitiqque effent, omnia que P 4 adbel.

De ivra at offy bele. adbellum opus effent: reuerfis domum legatis(qui ex contêptu confulis, $8 x$ cius oratione, quæ defperationé rerú omniŭ continebar, quafi deletŭ videretur nomen Romanorum, referebant) omnes ad defectionem fpectarent:confifife totius Italix imperio potiri poffe, atq; ita a Lixisk . 22 foedus cum Annibale fecerunt ${ }^{2}$, Similiter Philippus Macedonum rex, impru. denticonfilio, aliquid ad charitaté fuosum, \& vt promptius pro eo periculum adirent, ratus profecturum fe, fiequitū, quiceciderant in leui quodá pręliocum Romanis, fepeliēdorum curam habuiffet: afferri eos in caftraiuffit, vt confpiceretur ab omnibus funeris honos. nam quod promptiores ad fubeundam omnem dimicationem putabat facturú, id metum pigritiamq́ue incuffit. quienim haftis, fagittisque, \& rara lanceis vulne-
pub.31. ra facta vidiffent, inquit Liuius b, cum Grecis, Illyricisq́ue pugnare affueti: poftquam gladio Hifpanienfidetrūcata corpora, brachiis ab/ciffis, aut tota ceruice defecta, diuifa a corpore capita,patentiaq́ue vifcera, \& foeditatem aliam vulnerum viderūt:aduerfus qux tela, quof q́ue viros pugnädum effet,pauidi vulgò cerne-

Liber sactiods. ifs cernebant. Itaque Romani, cùm P.Rutilij Lupi conf. bello focialicerfi, cadauere, \& aliorum non paucorum nobilium, in vrbem relato, viderēt populum co feectaculo commoueri \& terreri : S. C: decreuerunt, vt exinde mortuorum in bello corpora, ibi humarentur, vbicūque cecidiffent: ne ob eorum confpectū reliqui fegniores ad militiam fierent. quod decretum mox cognitū, \& hoftes imitati funt a. Ceterum Chabrias Athe- a Appianu,de nienfium dux, optimum hunc imperatorem effe dicebat, qui maximè co16 gnitas haberet res ${ }^{*}$ hoftium $^{3}$ : quarum $b$ plut, ins: certè ignoratione multos fugatos exer- poph. citus, $\&$ de fumma rerum non femel in periculum ventum fuiffe legimus. Itaq; Caffius a Cxfarianis in fuo cornu victus, \& caftrisexutus, credens Brutum quoque fuperatü, qui in fuo cornu vicerat, quafi defperatis rebus, fibi ipfi morré confciuit. Simili errore, cùm inter Romanos \& Volfcos magno pralio certaretur, \& nox incertos diremiffet: tantus abimprudentia euentus vtraque caltra tenuit timor,vt relictis fauciis, \& magna parteimpedimétorum, ambo pro viatis exercitusfe in montes proximos recipeP 5 rent.

De furbet off, bell. à rimulu. 4 renta. \& Curiolegatus Cæfaris, bello ciuili, temerè credens perfugis, quilubam regem (qui Pompeio cum magnis copiisauxilio venerat) reuocatum finitimo bello, \& Suburran eius prafectū cum mediocribus copiis miffum affererent : pralium temerè commifit, \&vna cum exercitua copiis regis circumuen${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ cef.fidgel. tus, adinternecionem crofus eft ${ }^{\mathbf{b}}$. Vnde cùm vitio naturx, vt ait Cxfar, qux volumus, \& credimus libêter, \& quæ fentimus ipfi, reliquosfentire fperamus, non temerè transfugis,\& exploratoribus*fi- 17 dem habere debemus. in quo Gallorū ci. bello gal morem idem Cæfar ${ }^{c}$ reprehendit : qui tion 4bst quibufcunque rumoribus, $\&$ auditionibus permoti, de fummis fape rebus cōfilia ineunt, quorum eos e veftigio pxnitere neceffeeft: cùm incertis rumoribus feruiant, \& plerique ad corum voluntatem ficta refpondeant, Quare expedit, vt illi, quicum imperiolunt, vbj duriora quędam occurrunt, fpeculatum ipfi prodeant : exemplo Marcelli, quiad collegamdicebat: Quin imus ipficum equitibus paucis exploratum: fubiecta enim res oculis, certius dabir confilium. Omnium autem maximè proderitimperator, ardua funt, fe ducem prebeat. fi quidenim iniungere inferiori velis, id prius in te, ac tuos fi ipfe iuris ftatucris, facilius omnes obedientes habeas. Imitari itaq; deber quilibet bonus imperator,fortem \& Atrennuum ducem, populo Ifraëlitico diainitusexcitatum \& datum, Gedeonem, fuos ita alloquenté: Quod me videritis facere, id vos quoque facite.a capiteenim, vt inquit Seneca, bona valetudo tranfit in reliqua inembra. funtq́ue in hanc rem preclara Valerij Coruini ,, verbainquientis: Cum gloria belli, ac virtute fua quemque fretos, ire in aciem debere; tum etiam intueri, cuius ductus aufpicioq́; ineunda pugna fit:vtrùm qui audiendus, duntaxat magnificus adhortator fit, verbistätùm ferox,operum mi. litariũ expers:an qui \& iple tela tractare, procedere ante figna, verfari in media mole pugnæ fciat. facta mea, non dicta, , vos milites fequivolo, nec difciplinam , modò, fed exêplum etiam a me petere, , qui hac dextra mihitres cōfulatus, fum. „ mamq́ue laudé peperi ${ }^{2}$. Sic certè C . C - a Liviur $1 . \%$. farinordinatä aciem fxpe reftituit,obfiftens fugientibus, retinensq́ue fingulos, \& con-

De fure et off. bell. \& contortis faucibus conuertens in ho-

2 Sueton, is Cafay. ftema. Itaque ancipitiillo prelio, quo cumiuniore Pompeio in Hifpaniaconflixit, fuis præ pauore trepidè pugnantibus, cùm res effet in maximo diffrimine, \& nihil exhortationibus proficeret; arrepto cuiufdam clypeo, increpitisq́ue militibus, procurrit in hoftem, dicens: Nunc \& mihifinis vitx crir, \& vobis mi- » litix. quo facto pudori cedente metu,aeiem refticuit, ducentis telis in clypeo b Appina.de acceptis ${ }^{b}$. In quo tamen maximè indubell, ciwll..z. Atriam imperatoris* requiro, vt fua qui. 19 dem alacritate militũ animos ad quoduis periculum fubeundū paratiores reddat: non temerè tamen periculo fefe exponat: prxertim ad quem fummarerum feectat : nifi maxima neceffitate id exigente, \& cùm de totius belli euentu agitur.multas enim vrbeseuerfas,plures fulos fugatosq́ue exercitus, imperato. rum temeraria morte legimus. Itaque mors P. Scipionis in Hilpania, dum lefe nimium hoftium telis offert, vt hoftes haud dubiè pro victoribus, \& Romani \& Limiml.2s pro victis effent, effecit ${ }^{c}$ 。\& vulnus Cn . Scipionis ad Mundam vincêtibus egregiè Romanis, feddefaluteimperatoris folli-

Liber secvndvs. 117
follicitis pauorem iniecit,\& haud dubig \& praclarx victorix impediméto fuita, a a cinies $L_{24}$ Sic quoque cùm Annibal in Sagūtiop. pugnatione, dum murum incautiusfubit, vulneratus caderer, tanta circa eum fuga atque trepidatio fuit, vt non multum abeffet, quin opera ac vineæ defererentur ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Sic cum Epaminonda, dum brimish,2, non ducis tantùm, vcrùm etiam fortiffimi militis officio fungitur, vires quoque reipubl. Thebanx ceciderunt, nam vtinquit Iuftinus c, ficuti telo fi primam cis.6, aciem perfregeris, reliquo ferro vim nocendifuftuleris: fic illo velut mucrone teliablato duce Thebanorum, reipubl. vires hebetatę funt: vt non tamillūamififfe, quàm cumillo interiiffe omnes vires Thebanorū viderentur. Nonitaque nimiú fidant faliaci fortunæ principes, neque etian clypeo Iouis: fub quo eos verâari dixit Homerus : fed in periculis fufcipiendis, vt inquit Cicerod, confue- dofichi.s. tudoimitanda medicorum, quileuiter ægrotantes leuiter curant, grauioribus auté.morbis periculofas curationes adhibere coguntur. Huc Spectare videtur, quod Emilius Paulus dicebar, cüvicto Perfeo progratulationeviCtorię coúuiuia cele-

Da ivRa et off, bell. celebraret : eiufdem fciliceteffe peritix, exercitum hoftibus valde terribilem, \& amicis conuiuium valde iucundum red${ }^{2}$ pluc. ina dere ${ }^{2}$. Et Scipio African.cùmeum quipoph. dam parum pugnacem dicerent: Imperatorem me mater, non bellatorem peperit,refpondit: vt oftenderet, vincendi rationem in vnius potius fcientia \& ingenio, quàm in multorum viribus \& armis effe non enim exdem militares, \& imperatorix artes funt. Pratereaimperator, vel potius fummus princeps*, me- 20 miniffe debet cöfilij Antigoni : quifrua tempeftate iactatus, cùm in eadem naue fecum fuos omnes habuiffet: procepiffe liberis dicitur, vt \& ipfi meminiffent, \& ita pofteris proderent: ne quiscum tota gente fimul in rebus dubiis periclitari auderet. cuins preceptimemor Philippus, Romanis bellum illaturus, duos fimul filios in aleam eius,qui proponeretar cafus, cōmittere noluit :itaǵue maiorem fecum duxit; minorem ad cufto-
bLimmb 40 diam regni remifit in Macedoniā b:prudentes patresfamilias imitatus,quiloliti funt, no om omem vnum in locum pecuniam reponere, ne fichafmate, incêdio, aut bello forrè periret, vniuerfa etiam interi-

Liber secyndvs. 118 interiret hominis fubftätia. quò fpectat iactaruminter agricolas adagium, cuius admonet Celfus a : Pecunialine peculio a in 1 l.ficho.
 plicat Corrafius b. Porrò nố folùm bel- b mikecuan.
${ }_{21}$ landi virtus*, vt inquit Ciceroc ${ }^{\text {c }}$, in fummo \& perfecto imperatore quærēda eft, c prolige fed multæ funt artes eximię huius adminiftræ, comitesq̣ue virtutis. In primis autem requiritur ineo, qui aliis imperare vult, ve fibi, \& cupiditatibus fuis imperare poffit,\& imperium,quodin alios exercere vult, omnium grauiffimè \& feueriffimè in feipfum exerceat. neque enim poteft exercitum continere is imperator,quifeipfum non continet: neq; feuerus effe in iudicando, quialios in fe feueros effe iudices non vult. refrenet ergo libidines, fpernatvoluptates, iracundiam teneat, coërceatauaritiam, \& ceteras animi labes repellat.cui quidem ita affecto, vt inquit Cicerod, quæ vim din Parahabere maximam dicitur, prxfertim in ${ }^{\text {dox. }}$ bello,fortuna ipfa cedit:que, ficut fapiés poëta dixit, fuis cuiq́ue fungitur moribus: quemadmodum de M. Porcio Catone traditur, viro omnibus virtutibus pradito, tantam in eo vim animi inge-
niiq̣ue
De ivreet off, bell.
niiq́que fuiffe: vt quocunque loco natus
effet, fortunam fibí ipfe facturus videre-
tur, tamin rebus bellicis, quàm ciuili-
a Lixim $l_{39}$ bus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& non temerè creditum fuir, feli-
ciorem futurum Alexádrum Magnum,
fi vicifferfuperbiam, atque iram, malain-
uicta, aliaq́ue animi vitia: :ed quem Per-
farum arma non fregerunt, vitia vice-
becion cur runt ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Agefilaus verò fummus dux, di-
vissib, 64 cebat, malle fe vincere malas cupidita-
tes, quàm maximam hoftium vrbem ca-
pere. meliusenim effe, fuam ipfius con-
feruare libertatem, quàm aliis cam adi-
${ }_{\text {pophos. }}$ pha- mere c. \& Scipio ex capta Carthagine
poph. non maiorem gloriam meruit, quàm
quod captiuä adeo eximia forma inter
ceteras, vt quacunque incederet, con-
uerteret in feomnium oculos, inuiolatá
\& intactam fponfo Allucio reftituerit,
dLuiusliz6 precio redemptionis illiin doté dato ${ }^{d}$.
\&idem Scipio,cöciliata fibi clementix,
\& continentix fama plus in Hifpania
profecit, quàm inuictis Romanorũ ar-
mis. Itaque cum poëtæ monftra ab Her-
cule domita, \& tyrannos occifos fingüt,
indicant bonum imperatorem, cuius
exemplum nobis Herculem proponút,
corruptos animiaffectusicópnmerede-
bere,

## Liber secyndvs. <br> II9

22 bere. Ceterum imperio alicuidelato*, confultum erit, liberum illide fumma rerum flatuendi arbitrium permittere, exemplo fenatus, populiq́ue Rom. qui omnium retum arbitrium, quod ad bellum attinet, imperatori permittebät, \& vtrü fedendo, an dimicando rem gerere, hoc velillud oppidũ oppugnare vifum effet, ipfius iudicio relinquebant, neque quicquam fibi referuabāt, quàm auctoritatem noui belli indicēdi, aut foderis fanciendi cum hofte: qux fummi imperij effe alio loco oftendimus ${ }^{2}$. Itaque Q. a з,i.i.c.c. 6 . Fabius conf. in Hetrufcos miffus, vt fociisSurrinis, quos Hetrufci obfidebant, opem ferret, Hetrufcis pralio fufis, fugatisq́ue, inconfulto fenatu, perfiluam Ciminiam hoftes perfecutus, in Hetruriam bellum tranfulit ${ }^{6} . \& \mathrm{Cn}$. Manlius ${ }^{\mathrm{b} \text { twime } 1.9 .}$ conf. Antiocho deuicto, Gallogrecis, quòd Antiochum auxiliis iuuiffent,fine fenatus auctoritate, \& iniuflu populi, bellum intulit : \& nec quicquam criminantibus cum legatis, quicum eo fuerant, triumphäs in vrbem inuectus eft ${ }^{c}$. ${ }^{\text {c Lixiss }} 1.38$ Similiter Cæfar, cui Gallia prouincia obtigerat, Germanis Gallia expulfis Rhenum trafiuit, vt cum Sicambris bel-


De ivre et off. bell.
lum gereret, quòd illos, qui fibi Gallizq́ue bellum intulerat, $\&$ in fines Sicambrorumfereceperanr,dcaere nollent:\& in Britanniam quoque exercitum tranftulit, quòd omnibus fere gallicis bellis, hoftibus Romànorū inde fubminiftrata auxilia intelligebat : hxcq́ue omnia ex a ${ }^{2}$ affr de fuo tantum arbitrio fecit ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Cn.autem bell.gal. lib. 4 Pompeio bellum aduerfus pirataslege Gabinia in triennium ita mandatû fuit, vt toto mari, quod eft intra columnas Herculis,\& in maritimis prouinciis,vfq; ad quadringentefimum a mari ftadium, poteffarem haberet imperandi regibus, prafidibus, ciuitatibus,vt fe omnibus ad cius belli adminiftrationem neceffariis ${ }^{6}$ plut inpö iuuatét ${ }^{\text {b }}$ \& Q.Fabio Max.dictatori perpe. Cice. pro
lugemen. blicaduceret. \& T. Quintio conf.liberü arbitrium pacis, ac bellicum Philippo permiffum fuit ${ }^{c}$. in quo meritò prudentiam fenatus populiǵue Rom. laudes. nam qui exalieno metu, voluntateq́ue pender, nihil magnum praftare poteft. Difficile quoque fuifferfenatui, ea qux oculis fubiecta non funt, fuo iudicio adminiftrare : \& vbi caftra locanda effent, fcire : qux loca præfidis occupanda: quando

Liber secvndys. 120 quando cum hotte configendum: quädo quiefcendūeffet, pręcribere:\& e terra,quod aiūt,gubernare: præfertim cùm puncto téporis, vt inquit Liuius, cuius prateruolat opportunitas, fil cunctatus paululū fueris,nequicquā mox amiffam queraris. Porrò quò maiori animo, \& omnibus curis libero, ad firmmã rerum imperatores confulere poffent, ne metu fupplicij a regerenda deterrerentur: fenatus,populusq́; Romanus,re fortè malegefta, fortunā tantùm belli incufans, nunquam crudelius quicquä in cos ftasuit: nec vnquam atrociorin eos, quitemeritate, atq;infcitia,exercitus amififsét, fuit, quävt pecunia cos multaret: capite anquifitum ob rem bello male geftä, de imperatore fere nunquä fuit : ipfam calamitaté fatis pøenæ effe exiflimantes, \&o laude fruftrari. cuius rci exéplo funt M. Sergius, \& P. Virginius tribuni militum côfulari poteftate, bello contra Veiētes, nā cùm fortè hoftes ea regione, qua M. Sergius præerar, caftra adorti effent:nec fe iă Romaniab hoftibustueri poffent, \& fola fpes effet, fi a maioribus caftris fubueniretur, quibus Virginius pracratpriuatim Sergio inuifus $\&$ infeftus:


De ivre et off. berl. noluit Virginius collegæ auxilium fubmittere, nifi peteret:\& Sergius, nequam opern videretur ab inimico petinfle, vinciab hofte, quàm vincere per ciuê maluit:ita vt huius pertinaciam arrogantia alterius æquaret: quo factū eft, vt milites diu in medio cxfi, poftremò defertis munitionibus perpauci in maiora caftra, pars maxima atq; iple Sergius Romam pertenderet:vbiacta vtriufq; caufa in fenatu, \& apud populum die dicta, tätùm denis millibus æris grauis rei dama Limishs.s. natifunt ${ }^{2}$. Similiter M. Poflumius tribunus militum cōfulari poteftate, quòd ad Veios eius opera male pugnatū effer, decem quoque millibus grauis æris: \& C. Sempronius conf. ob ignominiam Volfcici belli, quindecim millibus æris blimes $1.4 \cdot$ damnati funt ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. folum inuenio $\mathrm{de}_{\mathrm{Cn}}$. Fuluio prętore, obexercitum in Apulia amiffum (cùm omnibus probris oneraretur, \& iurati multi dicerent fuga pauorisq́ue initium a prxrore ortum, ab eo delertos milites, cùm haud vanum timorem ducis crederent, terga dediffe) capite anqusfitum fuiffe:qui priufquam dies comitiorum adeffet, exulatum Tar-
 Sp.Ser-

Liber secyndis. 121 Sp. Seruilius, vt confulatu abiit, reus capitis fuit, a trib. pleb.die dieta, quöd He trufcos in caftra fugientes audacius quá prudentius perfecutus, robur juuentutis amififfet.fed cùm id indigniffmè ferrent patricij, rem noa ferendam dietitantes, eosquile pro republica impigrè hoftibus obyciant, fifortuna minus fauear, ignauix accufariab sis qui nunquá in acie fteterint: tātum potuerunt apud populum, vt ornium calculis reus abfolueretur: oftendëtes non effee repub. damnari duces obinfortuniuma. Atqui a dion $\mathrm{H}_{4}$. in C. Terentium Varronem confulem, snt,Ro.45. 8 cuius temeritate, inconfulto collega L . Emilio Paulo clades illa Cannéfis Romanisillata eft, ex qua relicto exercitu, $\&$ collega fortiter mortuo profugit, nō folum non eft animaduerfum ob rem male geftam, fedetiam ex tanta clade redeuntiobuiam irum frequenter et, \& gratix actx, quòd de republ. non defpesaffer: cui, fi Carthaginienfium ductor faiffet, nihil recufandum fupplicij fo-
23 ret ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Fuere enim * Carchaginienfes in htiwimh. 22 militię negariis tam afperi, vt imperatores,qui prauo cóflio, quamuis profpero cuentu remgeffifent, in crucem rolleQ 3 rent:

De ivre et off. bell. rent: quod bene gefferant, deorum im mortalium adiutorio, quod male admiferant, ipforum culpx imputantes ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Ita-
a Vale.Max. lib.2. cap.7. Liuins lib. 38 imperator, quiprimus omnium imperıum Pœnorum ordinata dicciplina militariconftituir, viresq́ue ciuitatis non minus bollandi arte quam virtute firmauit ${ }^{b}$, ob rem male geftam contra Syracufanos, metu fupplicij feipfum interfecit: quo non contenti Carthaginienfes, c Pltitinvi. mortui corpus in crucem fuftulerunt ${ }^{c}$. Tamoleonis Sciendum autem hanc laxam, \& largam defumma rerum liberè confulendi poteffatem, imperatoritributam, in magiftro equitum, tribuno, velalio quiimperatore inferioreft,admodum reftriēā fuiffe: vt fuo loco dicemus ${ }^{\text {d. \& }}$ \& quidem, quantumuislibera permittatur duci rerum omnium, ex arbitrio fuo adminiItratio: nihilominus, fi quid fraudulenter fecerir, de eo tenebitur. nam plenè quidem, vt inquit Scruola, fed quatenus res ex bona fide agenda eft, mandatum cenfetur ${ }^{\text {e }}$. \& quodin arbitrium alius
d jude offic.
legatit tribini
$i l^{2} .3$. c in $l$ credi tor. $\$$. Lucrus. $D$ mandets $\mathrm{f} l$. in perfo nam. K.genevalstr. D de reg.iur. l.bec véditio. D.de coner.emptio. i.fin ilege. D. locati. I./f $\mathrm{J}_{0}$. cietatem. $D_{\text {, }}$ pras focio.
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SEQVVNTVR PROPO-
SITIONES QVAEDAM-bEL
LICA, ETCAVTIONES IMPERATO-
rix, veterum exemplis ftabilita.
Vnum non plures exercitui prefici debere,
cum poreftate libera,cundem'q́ c tinuum,
nec eum quem prius offenderis, ignomi-
niáue affeceris.
r Plurium imperiumbello inutile.
$z$ 2uando dictator creari folitus apud Ro-
3 Dictatoris potestics. (manos.
4 Nonnif confecto bello fucceffor impers-
sori mittendis.
s Monarchia optima reipub.fatus.

- Periculofum cum guem offenderis fum-
ma rerumpraficere.

$Q^{2}$Vattuor tribuni militum confulari poteftare Romx creati, quorum tres delectu habito profecti funt Veios, documento fuere, inquit Liuius ${ }^{2}$, quàm a 16.4 .
I plurium imperium * bello inutile effer: tendendo ad fua quifque confilia, cùm aliud alij videretur, aperuerunt ad occafionem locũ hofti:incerra namq; acie,fignüaliis dari, receptui aliis cani iubêtibus, inuafere opportune $V$ eiéres, $\&$ in fugä egerc.Cöfiniliter L: 厄imilius Paul', Q 4 acTe.

De ivre et off. bell. ac Terentius Varro aduerfus Annibalé: nec ita pridem Germanorum principes aduerfus Carolum v.magno fuo damno expertifunt, nihil a pluribus recté imperari poffe. Itaque Greci \& Romani* ${ }^{2} 2$ cùm graue bellum, aut feditio ciuilis rēpublicam perturbaret:ad vnius dictatorisaut Archi, aut Harmoftx imperium, velut ad facram anchoram confugiebät. vnde Romanisa Veientibusvictis:Moe-
a ib.4. fta ciuitate, inquit Liuius ${ }^{2}$, vinciinfueta, addictatorem creandum recurfum eft. \& alibi,Tumultuante Romx plebe,cùm res ad maximam feditionem fpectaret, b $u$ b.6. trepidi patres, inquit Liuius b,ada duo vLtima auxilia, fummumimperium, fummumq́ue ad ciuem decurrunt, dietaroré dici placet: dicitur M. Furius Camillus. Item Flaminio cum maxima parte exercitus ad Trafymenum cxfo, cùm magna trepidatio Rom $x$ effer, ad remediumıä
c lb.22. din defideratum, inquit Liuius ${ }^{c}$, nec adhibitum, dictatorem dicendum ciuitas confugit. \& vtidem refert d, cùm Præneftini difcordia Romanorum freti, raprimagmine facto, peruaftatis agris ad portam Collinam figna inferrent, Romani afeditione ad bellum verfi, dictatorem

Liber secyndvs. 123 toremT. Quintium Cincinnatum creauere : quod vbiauditum eft (tantuseius magiftratus terror erat) fimul hoftes a mœenibus receffere, \& iuniores Romani ad edictū fine detrectatione conuenere. Itaque non temerè creditum eft, quod Liuius fenfit ${ }^{\text {a }}$,Gallos vrbem Romānon a ${ }^{\text {ab.s.s. }}$ capturo fuiffe, fi, quëadmodum aduerfus Fidenatem ac Veientem hoftem, aLiosq́uefinitimos populos, vltima experiens auxilia, multistépeftatibus ciuitas fecerat: dictatorem dixiffet, contra inufitatum, \& inauditū hoftem, ab Oceano, terrarumq́uc vltimis oris bellum cien-
3 tem. Dietator verò ${ }^{*}$ optima lége creatus,fummum impcriumbelli,pacis, poenarum ac pramiorŭ fine prouocatione habebat: vt liber impedimentis omnibus melius ad fummam rerum cōfulcre poffet ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Quaratione T. Quintio, \& A-b Pomp.ini.
 fcos profectis, cùm duo côfules in exercitu Romano pari poteftate effent,quod faluberrimum in adminiftratione rerŭ magnarũ eft, inquit Liuiusc, fumma im- clib.3. perij, concedente Agrippa, penes collegam erat. Similiter Ariftides, fummus vir, \& Athenienfium imperator bello

De thre et off. bell.
Marothonio, cùm plures imperatores more Athenienfum creati effent, pari poteftate, Milciadi collegx, vt folus 1 m peraret, conceffit, atque reliquis collegis, vt idem facerent, auctor fuit. idq́ue confilium conftat fublatis contentionibus, gloriofiffimam de Medis victoriam a Plus in A. Athenienfibus peperiffe ${ }^{3}$. Quàmautem expediat, duci exercitus liberam defumma rerum cōfulendi poteftarem permittere, fuperiore capite oftēdimus. Porrò cùm minimè conueniat, interrumpi tenorem*rerum, in quibus pera- 4 gendis continuatio ipla efficaciffima eft; non nifi confecto bello fuccefforemimperatori mitti expedit. nam inter traditionem imperij, nouitatemq́ue fuccefforis: qui nofcendis prius, quàmagendis rebus imbuendusfit: Æpe bene gerendx rei occaliones intercidunt. Quare Fabius Max. monuit populum, vt magno iudicio confules crearent, qui poffenteffe pares Annibali: Nam, in- 2 quit, cum, qui eft fummus in ciultate $s$ dux, cundem elegerimus, tum repente $\Rightarrow$ lectus in annum, aduerfus veterem \& $\%$ perpetuum imperatoré comparabitur $z_{2}$ nullis neque temparis, neque iuris in- n clufum

Liber secvndrs. 124
, clufum anguftiis, quo minus ita omnia gerat, adminiftretq́ue, ve tempo", ra poftulabunt belli : nobis in appara,, tu ipfo, ac tantùm inchoantibus res an", nus circumagitur a. Vnde etiam Li- a Limius 1,24 uius ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, cùm Romanos duces cum Ale- b 4b.o. xandro comparat, eo mirabiliores illos quàm Alexandrum, aut quemquam alium regem facit, quòddenos vicenofque dies quidam dictaturam, nemo plus quàm annum confulatum geffit: ab tribuno pleb, delectus impeditifunt : poft tempus ad bella ierunt : ante tempus comitiorum caufa reuocati funt: in ipfo conatu rerum circumegit fe annus : col$\operatorname{leg} x$ nunc temeritas, nunc prauitas impedimento aut damno fuit : male rebus geftis, rebus alterius fucceffum eft : tyronem, aut male imbutum difciplina exercitum acceperunt . At hercle reges (inquit) non liberi folum impedimétis omnibus, fed domini rerum temporumque, trahunt confiliis cuncta, nonfequuntur. preterea fupra hxc omnia incommoda, quam arborem quis conferuit, $a b$ ea legere alium fructum indignum videtur. vt Qu . Fabius Max. dicebar, perens Hetruriam, extra ordiné prouin-

De ivaeet off. bell. prouinciam fibidari : qui aperuiffet $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ miniam filuam, viamq́ue per deuios fala tium t.o tus Romano bello feciffet Et his quidemarguinentis, \& exemplis, multum iunatur fententia eorum, qui monarchiam* \& regiam poteftatem vnueræ 5 narurę congruentem,optimum reipub. ftatum conftituerunt. Quare etiam Sibylla carminibus fuis augurata dicitur reipub. Romanx falutem in regno pofitam effe,\& ciues aliter feruari non poffe, quàm firegem haberent. Nam vit Tiberius dicebat, fieri non potefl, vt refpubl. bene conftituta, quod vnum tantümeft corpus, plura capitáhabeat. Ceterum, periculofifimum quoque erit *, eum 6 quê offenderis, velignominia afficeris, fumme rerum pręicere.cuius reiexernploeffe pore! M. Liuius:quicŭ ex confulatu populi judicio damnatus, ignominiam $x$ grè ferèns, in rus migraffer, \& octauo polt damnationernanno, in vrbé reductus, iterum conful factus effer: memorix prodirum ett, plenum adhuc ira in ciues $M$. Liuium, ad bellum proficifcentem contra Annibalem, monéri Q. Fabio, ne priufquam genus hominum cognofceret, temerè manum cöfereret, refpon-

Liber secvndys. 125 refpondiffe, vbi primùm hoftiū agmen confpexiffet, pugnaturum : cumq́ue quareretur, quæ caula feftinandi effet: Aut exhofte egregiam gloriam, inquir, autexciuibus victis gandium meritum certè, etfin non honeftum capiam ${ }^{2}$.Simi- a Lisww $1,2 g$ liter Harpagus,cùm Aftyages Medoium rexilli infeftus, in vltioncm feruati ne. poris Cyri,filium eius interfeciflet,epu. landumque patri tradidiffet: ad tempus diffimulato dolore, odium regis in vindictę occafionem diftulit: \& poftea cùm Cyrus Aftyagi bellū inferret, \& Aftyages fummam belli Harpago cōmififfet: exercitum acceptum, fatim Cyro per deditioné tradidi,, \& regis crudelitatem perfidia defectionis vltus fuit. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ bInstilib.s

Vtrum lenitate \& beneuolentia : an verò feueritate \& fxuitia plus proficiet imperator.
) 2Vullum animalmaiorearte tractădum, quàm homo.
2 Scupio humanitate, Annibalcrudelitate, parem in rebus belicies gloriam confecusi funt.
3 CManlius feueritate, Valerius benignitate malites in officio continuerunt.
\& Caxendurn ne nemia leritas pariat con-

De ivRe et off, bell. temptum, negue nimia fauitia odium. s In proncipe requiritus beneuolentia.

- 2 Lon potest amor cum timore mifceri.

7 Imperator apud fuos milites plus proficiet comitate, quàm morum afperitates.
8 Homo beneficio of beneuolentiainuitari cupit.
9 Obféquio mitigantur imperia.
10 Beneuolentia etiam apud hofes multum potest.

Cap, evartvm。

NOn folùm bellādi virtutem in fummo,ac perfecto imperatore quærḗdam effe alibi diximus: fed multasalias effe artes eximias, huius adminiftras, comitesq́ue virtutis. \& quidem, cùm nullum fit animal ${ }^{*}$, vt fenfit Seneca, ma- it iore arte tractandum, quàm homo:quéri folet, vtrùm in imperatore requiratur magis alperitas \& fæuitia; an verò humanitas, \& beneuolentia. qua dere in ytramque partem innumera poffent adduci exempla : \& in primis fefe offerunt Annibal, \& Scipio ${ }^{*}$, fummiduces, 3 quidiuerfiffimis ingeniis, longè diuerfiore modo, acratione, paremex rebus belli-

Liber secundys. 126 bellicis gloriam reportauere. hic enim in Hifpaniam miffus, innatafibi humanitate, \& beneuolentia, non folum militum animos fibi deuinxit : fed etiam conciliata clementix fama, totam HiSpaniam Romanx ditionis fecit: ille cōtrà, magna violentia, crudelitate, \& rapina Italiam deuaftauit, \& omnes populi ltalix, omnia fere oppida adeum defecere : itavt, quemadmodum Hipania, beneuolentia Scipionis, fic Italia, timore Annibalis fubacta fuerit. Simile exemplum habemus in Manlio Tor3 quato, \& Valerio* Coruino, qui pari virtute \& gloria vixere Romx : difpari tamen ingenio, $\&$ ratione procedendi. Manliusenim ferox natura, maximè feuerus in difciplina militari fuit: Valerius verò omni genere humanitatis, \& beneuolentix milites profecutus eft. vfque adeò, vt ad milites in officio continendos, ille filium victorem, \& \{peciofa fpolia referentem, quòd eius iniuffu pugnaffer cū hofte, virgis cafum fecuri percufferit: hic verò neminem vel offenderit quidé. attamen protam diffimili rationeagendi, non diffimilé fructū
\& gloriam retulere.nulla enimynquam feditio

De ivre et off. bell. feditio inter vtriufque ducis milites orta fuit : nüquam vllus pugnam detrectauit, aut dicto minus obediens fuit: \& v terque maximas res geffit. Manliusitaq; fua feueritate \& imperiofis edictis,difiplinam militarem mirumin modū ftabiliuit: Valerius verò, tátum in militari dicciplina feruans, innata fibi humanitate $\&$ lenitate militumanimos flexir, \& obedientiffimos habuit:\& fi quos forte legum, \& difciplinæ contemptorespuniebat, non hoc imperatorisfeueritati, fed conftitutioni legū affribebant.non enim vbi imperio, vbilege agi poterat, volebat. \& hxc exempla propofitáquxftionem fatis dubiam relinquunt. Porrò illud certè* magnoiudicio, veramuis viā fequamur, cauendum : ne nimialenitas pariat contemptum : quod Scipionieuenit : inter cuius milites maxima feditio mota eft in Hifpania : neque nimia Exuitia odium excitet : quod Annibali accidit : qui crudeliter \& auarè fpoliädo ea, quæ tueri nequibat, vt vafta hofli relinqueret, omnium animos a feabalienauit, nequc indigna patientium modò, fed ceterorum etiam : quippe ad plures exemplum,vt inquit Liuius ${ }^{2}$ s quàmca-

Liber secvndys. 127 lamitas pertinebat. Ceterum quide in5 fitutione principis * fcriplere, potius Valerium, \& Scipionem imitädos proponunt, \& humanitatem, bcneuolentiä, \& fimiles virtutes in principe requirŭt: vt de Cyro frripfit Xenophon. maluse6 nim cullos ${ }^{*}$, vtinquit Cicero ${ }^{2}$, diutur- a offr, ll, 2 . nitatis metus, contraq́ue bencuolentia fidelis eft, velad perpetuitatem. \& Prxtextatus apud Macrobiü ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Qui colitur, b satww. Lb,s inquit, etiam amatur : non poteft amor ${ }^{\mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{c}}$ cum timore mifceri.vnde putas arrogā, tiffimumillud manaffe prouerbiú,quod ,iactatur : Totidĕ nobis hoftes effe, quot ", feruos? non habemusilloshoftes;fed fa", cimus, cùm illis fuperbifimi, contumeliofiffimi,crudeliffimi fumus. Itaq; præclarè Ennius.
2 wem metuunt, oderunt;
2uem qui que odit, periffe expetit.
Etinultorū odiis nullas opes poffe obfiftere, interitu C. Cxfaris oftendit Ci ceroc. atque hanc fententiam, etiam in $c$ offc.l. ${ }^{c}$, . .
7 Imperatore* confirmat exemplum Appij Claudij, \& T. Quintijconff. Appius enim in Volfcos miffus, vexando fruo imperio exercitum, hoc fua acerbitate effecit,vtmilites omnia fegniter,ociosè, R negli-

De ivre et off. belz. negligenter, contumaciter agerent : \& eos nec pudor, nec metus coērceret, odio confulis exacerbatis omniü animis: vfque adeo vt Romanus exercitus in a. ciem productus, non folùm vincerenoluerit, fed vinci voluerit, \& turpifuga petierit caltra, Quintius verò in Volfos miffus, naturalenior, comitate \& beneficiis animos militum fibi concilians, præda omni militi data, \& laudes addens, quibus haud minus quàm pramio gaudent militum animi, rebus e. s Lixiws 3 ib. 2 gregiè geftis, in vrbem rediit ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ : Item Dunn Holo Cæfo Fabius conf. in Veientes miffus, 86,9. cùm prater ceteras imperatorias artes, quas parando, gerendoque bello ediderat plurimas, ita inftruxiffer acié, vt folo equitatu emiffo, exercitum hoftiü funderer, infequifufos, pedes odio confulis, propter morum afperitatem, noluit, \& iniuffu figna retulit: nec huic tam peftilenti exemplo, inquit Liuius ${ }^{\text {b }}$, remedia ${ }_{3}$ vlla ab imperatore quæfita funt : adeo, excellétibus ingeniis citius defueritars,, qua ciuem regant, quàm qua hoftemfu-, s perent. Similiter L. Papyrius dictators ob fxuitiam, qua M. Fabium magiftrum equitum(quòd cius iniuflu quảuis pro.

## Liber secundvs. I28

fperè pugnauerat cum hofte)conftanter ad fupplicium popofcerat, neque militibus gratus fuit, neque hoftibus terribilis:quam tamen maximam imperatoris laudem effe cêfet Agefilaus. itaque cùm hoftes ad caftra Papyrij acceffiffent; tantum momenti in vno viro Papyrio erat, inquit Liuius a, vt fi ducis confilia tauor a $\overline{\text { bi, }, \text {, }}$ fubfecutus militum foret, debellari eo die cum Samnitibus potuiffe pro haud dubio proditum fit: ita inftruxerat acié loco ac fubfidiis, ita omni arte bellica firmauerat: fed ceffatum a milite, ac de induftria, vt obtrectaretur laudibus ducis, impedita victoria fuit. verùm fenfit peritus dux, quæ res victorix obftaret: temperandum ingenium fuum effe, \& feueritatem mifcendam comitati:atque ita maxima dexteritate, fauciorum precipuè curam fufcipiés, tantum profecit, vt medédis corporibus, anitni prius mifítum imperatori conciliarentur : \& refecto exercitu, cum hofte congreffus, haud dubia fpe fua militumque, fudit fugauitq́ue Samnites. Eft itaque comi-
8 tate condicnda* grauitas, nam vt fapientera Xenophonte Criprum eft: cùm tribuspręcipuè rebusad obremperandum $R 2$ addu-

De ivre et off. bilz. adducantur alia animalia, cibis abiectio. ra, delinimentis erectiora, verberibus contumacia:homo, quodeft animal generofifimum, neque minis, neque fuppliciis cogi vult, fed beneficio \& beneuolëria inuitari cupit. Cùm enimbruta fevi trahi finant, homo non niff ratione reduci patitur.Nihilominus taméfcien- 9 dum eft, regum, ducumq́ue clemétiam, vt Alexander Magnus dicebat, non in ipforum modò, fed etiam in illorü, qui parent, ingeniis fitam effe : obfequio enim mitigantur imperia. vbi verò reuesentia exceffit animis, \& fumma imis confundimus, vi opuseft, vt vim repela ceurr. 1.8 lamus ${ }^{3}$. Ceterumbeneuolentia ${ }^{*} \&$ hu- 10 manitas, nō folùm apud milites \& ciues prodeft, fed etiam apud hoftes nō femel plus potuit, quàm inuicta Romanorum arma,vt exemplo Scipionisiam oftéfum eft.\& facit quoque exemplum Camilli, cùm Falifcos obfideret, qui alioquidiuturnus videbatur futurus labor, nifi fua beneficentia, tradens proditoremfiliorum regis, iplos ad deditionem pellexif-
blimisslios. Retb. Fabricij quoque liberalitas, cùm infidiantemvirx Pyrrhimedicum, ipfindicaret , regis animum fregit $\&$ vicit.

Liber secundus.
Humanitas itaque, vt inquit Valcrius Maximus ${ }^{1}$, vincit iram, profternit odiā, a $2 b$, s,ce., hortilemq́ue fanguiné hoftilibus lacrymis permifcet.

Temporum rationem prxcipuè in bello habendain.

I Aliter in belto, aliter in pace agendum.
2 In pace confuetudini, in bello vilitiati pa. rendiam.
3 Sententia Fabï Max. de Altixiotranffuga.
4 Marcellus L. Brantium beneficio jibiconciliare, quàm prena cobibere maluit.
s Scipio 2afica, priuato conflitio conuocatis bonis, Gracchum oppreßit.

- OEtauius Cafar fua foonte bellimm intulit Antonio.
7 Silent leges inter arma.
$s$ Neceßitas exillicito facit licitum.
9 Nondicitur firr contralegens, quodvygeate necepisitate fit.
so Exocajionibus belle ratio commutarda.
in Fabius natura cunetator non uudicio.
Cap. qyintym.

0Vi de officiis feripiere, in omnibus actionibus maximam rēporumra-
$\mathrm{R}_{3}$ tionem

De ivre et off. bell. tionem habendan cenfuerunt, prefertim in iis, qux in cafu confiftunt. vnde, yt Q. Fzbius Max. Q. Fabio Max. flio conliuli dicebar, aliter in medioardore belli ${ }^{*}$, aliter in pace tranquilla a arbirran- r dum, \& agendū eff. in pace cnim liberè, prout requitas \& iuftitia dittat, confulèdum eff, $\&$ legibus infiftendum:in bello autem, $\&$ turbulentis temporibus, ordinariis hifce remediis, irritantur fepe magis, \& accenduntur bella \& feditiones, quàm fedantur. Vnde fit plerunque,vt leges gux in pace late funt niniforte exs qux perpetux vilitatis caufa in xternū late fint) bellum abroget; qux in bello a L m, impr, pax ${ }^{\text {a }}$. vt in nauisadminiftratione alia in C.ducadil. Pecunda,ytinquir Liuius, alia in aduerfa tempeftate vfuifunt. Itaq; maiores noftrinquit Cicero ${ }^{b}$, femper in pace confiserudini*, in bello vtilitati paruerunt: 2 femper ad nouos cafus temporum nouorum cōfiliorum rationes accommoc Pbilipp.ry. darũt. \& alibic: Neceffe eft, inquit,in täta concurfatione perturbationum ac re. rum, temporibus potius parere, quàm moribus. Qua ratione Q.Fabius Max.*. 3 pater, Claflum Altiniuiu Arpinatem (qui clam nocte in caftra Romana venerat, promit-

Liber secundys. 130 promittensfifibi pręmio foret, fe Arpos proditurum effe) noluit protransfuga verberari necariq́ue, quod aliis videbatur, quia poft Cannenlem cladem, tanquam cum fortuna fidé ftare oporteret, ad Annibalem defeciffer, traxiffetqúue ad defectionem Arpos: \& tum polteaquam res Remana, contra feem votaq́; cius, veluti refurgeret, nouārefert proditionem. documentum enim ftatuioportere cenfebat Fabius, fi quis refipifceret, \& antiquam focietatē refpiceret. nam fiabire a Romanis liceret, redircad cos non licerer, cuidubium effet, quin breui defertaab fociis Romana res, focderibus Punicis omnia in Italia iuncta vifura effer. non tamen fidei quicquam Altinio habendum céfebat, fed mediam contecutuscōfilij viam, neque eum pro hofte, neque profocio habédum ${ }^{2}$. Item a Lisisurliza. 4 cùm in maximo difcrimine Nola effet, quam non tam fiducia fuipręfidij, quam volūrate principum Marcellus habebat, plebe ad defectionem fpectâte, qux plurimŭ timebatur, \& ante omnes L.Brantius iuuenis acer, quem confenfus attētatæ defectionis, ac metus a pratore Romano,nuncad proditionem patrix, nüc R 4 adtranf

De ivre et off. bell. ad transfugiendum fimulabat: \& Marcellus eum aut pona cohibendum, aut beneficio conciliandum videret : fibiaffumpfiffe, quam hofti ademiffe, fortem ac frēnuum maluit focium, accitumq́ue ad fe, ita comitate fua, additis etiam præmiis, ferocis iuuenis animum emolliuit, vt nemo inde fociorum, rem Romanam fortius ac fidclius iuuerit. De ceteris verò Nolanis proditionis reis Marcellus, Annibale demum prælio vi\&to, claua Linius 1,23 fis portis quæftionem habuita. Et huc quoque fpectare videtur, quod de Scipione Nafica proditum elt * nam cùm 5 Tib. Gracchus, in tribunatu profufis largitionibus, fauore populi occupato, rempub. oppreffam teneret, palamq́ue dictitaret, interempto fenatu,omnia per plebem agi debere, \& confentientibus cunctis, vt cőful armis rempub.tueretur, Mucius Scæuola conful negaret fe vi quicquam effe acturum:tum Scipio Nafica: Quoniam, inquit, conful,dum iuris ordiné fequitur, idagit, vt cum omnibus legibus Romanum imperium corruat: egomet priuatus voluntati veftræ me ducem offero: \& fublata dextra proclamauit: Quirempub,faluameffevolunt, me fe- bonorum ciuium difcuffa, Gracchum cum fcelerata factione, quas merebatur pøenas foluere coëgit ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Hinc quoque a Val max. 6 Ciceroblandar Octauiŭ* Cæfarem, qui non exfeectato fenatus decreto, bellum contra Antonium fua foõte fufceperat. Decernendi enim tempus, vt ille ait, nódum venerat;belli autem gerenditēpus, fi pretermififfet, videbat repub.oppreffa nihil poffedecerni. Silent crgo nōnun-
7 quam leges*inter arma, nec fe exfpectari finunt : cùm ei quiexfpéctare velit, ante poena luenda fit, quàm iufta reperenda ${ }^{c}$. Eoq́ue fpectat, quod de C. Mario prodiCicerogro Mione. tumeft, qui cùm duas cohortes Camertium, quod mira virtute vim Cimbrorü in acie fuftinuiffent, aduerfus conditionem foederis, ciuitate donaffer : excufauit factum dicendo, inter armorum ftrepitum, verba feiuris ciuilis exaudire nö potuiffe. eratenim id tempus, quo magis defendere, quam audire leges oportebat ${ }^{\text {d. Et certè neceffitas } \not x p e f a c i t l i c i-d ~ V a l ~ M a k . ~}$
8 tum ${ }^{*}$, quod alioqui effet illicitum ${ }^{\text {e }}$. Itaqueclade Cannenfi affictis Romanorū é c.dicipul : viribus,eò resdeducta eft, vt M. Iunij di - 4. cap.fiquis. Etatoris opera, £polia hoftium affixa tē-

$$
\text { R } 5 \text { plis, }
$$

De fyre et off. bell. plis, deorum numini confecrata, infrumenta militix futura, conuellerentur: ac pratextati pueri arma induerent :addictorum etiam, \& capitali crimine dánatorum, atque feruorum aliquot millia (cùm adid tempus capite cenfos habere milites faftidierant) confcriberena zim. Lb. 23 tura. Quæfiper feafpiciantur, vt inquit bub.7cap. 6 Val.Maximus ${ }^{\text {b }}$, aliquid ruboris habent: fi autem adiunctis neceffitatis viribus, ponderentur, fruitix temporisconuenientia remedia videbuntur. nam vt ıdé ait : Cedendum fortunæ eft, vbı nifi sutiora eligantur confilia, fpeciofa fequenti concidendum eft. Sic quoque C.Mario, $\& \mathrm{Cn}$. Carbone confl. bello ciuili cum Sylla diffidentibus: quo tempore non reipubl. victoria quærebatur, fed præmiü victoriæ res erat publica: SC.ta aurea arq̧; argentea templorū ornaméta, quę Numa Pōpilius folénibus deorūfacris dicauerar, ne militibus ftipendia deeffent, conflata funt, tanta fuit in fumma egeftate honoris publiciratio. non aute patrü conicriptorum voluntas,vt inquit
sili.9, cap. 6 Valerius Max ${ }^{\text {c. Fed tua teterrima neseffi- }}$ tas, truculenta manus illiconfulto fylŭ impreffit.Neq; etiam diciturfiericontra

## Liber sacyndvs.

 1329 legem ${ }^{*}$, quodvrgenteneceffitate fit, \& fuadēte vilitate publica.Supremo enim iure, quod Iuppiter fanxit, vt inquit Ci cero $^{2}$,omnia qux reipub.falutaria funt, a philipp. o $_{0}$ legitima \& iuftahabentur. Itaq; Scipio Africanus, cùm ad neceffarium reipub. vfum, pecuniäex çrario fpmiopus effet: idq́; queftores, quia lex obfare videretur,aperire non auderét, protinus claues popofcit,patefactoq́; ærario legé vilitaticederecoëgirb.\& Lacedęmonij,necef- b Val. Max: fitati leges porthabere coacti, dixiffe fe- ${ }^{\text {bib }}$; 3 , cap. 70 rütur: Dormiăt leges hodiec ${ }^{c}$. Hinc nö- c Appian.de nulli exiftimät, fí fubitū \& improuifum bellü timetur,vel alias ex maxima neceffitate, teneri ecclefiä ad contributionê.d d Ab.Panor.

Ceterū ctiam $q$ ad ipfam belli admi- inos.fictur- $\mathbf{2 l}$. niftrationéattinet, vel maximè imperatori, velduciexercitus, tépori feruiendü to eft*, \&ex occafionibus, belli ratio cōmutanda eft, \& nüc impetu quodam \& alacritate animi ferociter ręporis beneficio vtēdo: nunc cunctando \& fedēdo, cautè res geri debet. quod quia difficile eft,repugnante natura, cùm non omnia eidé, vtinquir Liuius, dij dederint, magna cura\&diligëtia, vt ingenium tempori accömodemus, enitendum:nequefemper

De fvreet off. bell. exemplo Fabij bellum trahamus; neque exemplo Marcelli, Scipionis, \& aliorü, ftudio pugnädi facile pralium committamus. Nam Fabius*natura cunctator, II non iudicio,temporis beneficio tantam in rebusbellicis gloriâ meruit : qui impetum hoftis Annibalisbellicofi, \& 2 aliquot victoriis ferocis, cùm prexio facile vinci non poffet, afflictis Romanorum viribus,fedédo fregit.fed idem naturam fecutus, non tempus etiam reftauratis Romanorum viribus, in eadem fentétia pertitir, \& Scipioni Africam prouinciam fibi decerni petenti, cōtradicebat: cùm tamen ca fola ratio effet trahendiex Italia Annibalem, vt euentus docuit : \& Scipio fi co tempore, quo Fabius in Annibalem miffus fuiffet, verifimiliternimioftudio pugnæ,exemplo Flaminij,\& aliorum, qui Fabium precefferant, rem Romanam amplius affixiffet. adeodifficile eft ingenium \& naturam mutare. Qua ratione Monarchix preferre folët liberam rempub.in qua inuenias diuerfiffima ingenia temporibus apta. Ceterum quàm plurium imperium bello ina furpa 5.3. utile fit,alio loco obiter oftendimus. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Liber secvndys. 133
Contentiofas \& lentas de rebus bellicis deliberationes admodum noxias effe, preffrtim vbifacto, magis quàm confulto opus eft.
I Saluberrimum in confultationibus, ws onsres unum fentians.
2 Tarda ó léte deliberationes perniciofa.
3 In rebres afperis fortißima confliastutiffima funt.
4 Praclarum Scipionis facinus.

> Cip, sextiv.

Cvм in omnibus deliberationibus \& confultationibus, tum profertim in I bellicis, faluberrimum eft ${ }^{*}$, vt vnum omnesfentiant, neque diffentione \& pertinacia (quæ plerumquerem in fummum difcrimen deducere folent) bona confilia corrumpantur. Quod Appollonides principumvnus, Syracufanis, mortuo Hieronymo Syracufarum tyranno, maximaq́ue inter cos ortadiffenfione,aliis Carthaginienfium, aliis Romanorum amicitiam expetentibus, in tanta perturbatione rerum, cùm roon procula feditione reseffer, oratione falutari, vt in tali tempore difertè oftendiffe videtur, „ inquiens: Necfpem falutis, nee perniciē » propioré vnquam ciuitatum vlli fuiffe:
fi enim

De ivra bt offo bell. fis enim vno animo omnes, vel ad Ko-" manos, velad Carthaginienfesinclina- „ rent, nullius ciuitatis Statum fortuna- $\#$ tiorem gratioremq́ue fore : fi alios aliò, trahat res, non inter Ponos Romanof- $\Rightarrow$ que bellum atrocius fore, quàm inter $\%$ iplos Syracu\{anos:cùm intra eofdé mu- ", ros, pars vnaquęq; fuos exercitus, fua ar- „ ma,fuos habitura firduces. Itaq; vt vnü $g$ omnes fentiăt, fumma vi agendum effe: » vtra focietas fit vtilior, longè minoris a-, „ a Li4, $4,24 \cdot$ deo tem momenti confultatione effe a., \% Porrò quemadmodum contentiof hx, fic \& tard $x$ lent $x^{*}$ deliberationes per- 2 niciofiffimæ effe folent, pręfertim in bello, vbi non femel confilium, alioqui per fe tutum, \& falubre, mora temerarium \& infoelix factum fuiffe legimus. cuius rei documento fuere Lanuuini, qui cùm deliberando tempus terunt, Latinis victis demum auxilium ferre coperunr. itaque cum iam portis prima figna \& pars agminis effer egreffa: \& nuncioallato de clade Latinorum, cōuerfis fignis retro in vrbem redirent, pretorem eorum Milonium dixiffe ferunt, pro pau- ss lula via, magnam mercedé Romanis effe ss b Lie.ub.s. Soluendamb. potuerätautem Lanuuinis is

Liber secvedvs. 134 velquiefeçndo Romanorum amicitiam conferuare, vel fuo temporc auxiliũ ferendo Latinis, coniunctis viribus fuperiores effe. Et huc facere videtur, quod Latinisad defectionem a Komanisfpectantibus, \& decem principibus corum Romam euocatis,cófultantibus quidad ea,quę fecum actum iri crederent, refoóderi placeret: Annius prator corumdicebat: Quanquà ipfe ego(inquit)retuli, quidrefponderi placeret:tamé magisad fummam rerum noftrarū pertincre arbitror, quid agendum nobis, quàm quid loquendum fit: facile effe explicatiscōfiltis, accommodare rebus verba ${ }^{2}$. Nul- atia, ibis, 8 . lus itaque cunctationi locuseft, vt inquit Tacitus, in eo confilio, quod non poreft laudari, nifi per actum.\& in rebus 3 alperis, \& tenuibus*, vt inquit Liuius, fortiffima quæq; confilia tutifima funt: quia fi in occafionis momento, cuius pręteruolat opportunitas,cúctatus paululum fueris, nequicquai mox omiflam queraris. Vnde C. Cxfar, audacia \& magna facinora facienda, non deliberanda effe dicebat. cftque in hanc rem 4 praclarum P. Cornelij Scipionis ${ }^{*}$ facinus, nam cum polt Cannenfem cladem, ij qui

De fvrbet off. bell. ij qui Cannufium perfugerant, ad $A p_{\text {. }}$ Claudium Pulchrum, \& P. Cornelium Scipionem tribunos militum, fummam imperijdetuliffent, \& iis confultantibus de fumma rerum, P. Philus cófularisviri filius, nequicquam eos confultare nunciaret, perditam fpem fouere, defperata effe rempub. nobiles iuuenes quofdam, quorum princeps effet L. Cæcilius Merellus, mare ac naues fpectare, vt deferta Italia ad regum aliquem confugerent: cumq́ue tam malo nuncio omnes ftuperent, \& qui aderant concilium conuocandum cenferent, negabat confilij rem effe Scipio iuuenis fatalisillius belli: audendum atque agendum, non confultandum, in tanto malo effe: irent fecum armati, qui rempub.faluam vellét: nufquam verius, quàm vbiea cogitarentur, hoftium caftra effe. pergit deinde ire fequētibus paucis in hofpitium Metelli, \& cùm concilium ibi iuuenum, de quibus allatum erat, inueniffet, ftricto fuper capita confultantium gladio: Ex mei a- , nimifententia, inquit, iuro, vt ego rem- $\Rightarrow$ pub. non deferam, neque alium ciuem Romanum deferere patiar : fifciês fallo,,$\%$ tum me Iuppiter opt, max. domum, fae, ${ }_{2}$ miliam,

Liber secvndvs. I3S miliam, remq́ue meam, peffimo leto af, in hunc gladium frictum effe fciat. haud fecus pauidi, quàm fi victoré Annibalem cernerent, iurant omnes, cuftodiédosq́ue femetipfos contra Annibalem Scipioni tradunt ${ }^{\top}$, Quod certè a Lin. 66.21 facinus maiorem Scipioni gloriả peperit,quàm omnes poftea actiab eo trumphi. Non itaque cunctatione opus eft, vt inquit Tacitus, vbi perniciofior eft quies, quàm temeritas . quod omnium maximè in difcordiis ciuilibus \& rebellionibuslocum habet : in quibus, vtidē Tacitusair, nihil feftinatione tutius, $8 \dot{x}$ triagis facto quàm cōfulto opus eft. omne enim malum nafcens, vt inquit Cicero ${ }^{b}$, agens de bello Antonio quàm pri- b fbitiop $s$ s. mùm inferendo, facilè opprimitur, inueteratum fit plerumque robuftius.atq; ita Cæfar, Gallis belliconfilia renouantibus, coniurationesque faciétibus, magnis itineribus, repente omnibus locis occurrit, nec dabat vlliciuitati fpacium dealiena porius, quàm dedomeftica falutecogitandi: qua celeritate, \& fideles animos retinebat, \& dubitantes terrore, $S$ adcon-

De ivre et off. berl. ad conditiones pacis adducebat, \& maxima facilitate Gallorum conatus compefcuita, Quare vt eft in prouerbio,tépus noffe debemus: ne vt in infelicibus confiliseuenire folet, optima videătur, vtinquit Tacitus,quorum tempus effugit,\& inutili cunctatione agenditépora confumantur.

Dum res adhuc funt integre, ne minimum quidem regi, vel reipubl. de maieftate fua concedendum effe: \& errare eos qui arrogantiá hoftium, modeftia \& patientia vinci poffe exiltimant.
I Regum maieftas vbicupit labi,facilé pracipitatur.
2 Hostinon facili guid concedesdum.
3 Prastat bello viltum cedere regno, quàm fine certarnine.
4 Patientia or modeftia bostes fiunt ferociores.
s Males obuiam eundum in tempore.

- Apolozus de cane.

7 Malis conatibus bostium nunquam belle metu concedendum.
8 Apologus de lupo, pafloribos \& canibus.
Cap. septimva.

NIhil quicquảverius profectò,quàm quod Scipio Africanus Antiocho dicebat,
dicebat, monens ne conditiones pacis, quas dabat, refpuerer: regum filicet $I$ maieftatem ${ }^{*}$ difficilius ab fummo fartigio ad medium trahi, quàm a mediisad ima precipitari ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Quaredum res adhuc a tiw, 6,37 funt integrx,tum reges vel refpubl. fecú reputare debent, quicquid de maieftate 2 fua cefferint *, cumeo fimul de fumma rerum periclitandum effe: quod iis quibus cefferint, animum ad plura confequenda accendant; ac fuis ad ea defendendaadimant,\& omnibus contemptui effe incipiant. Preftat itaque pro fortu-
3 na , dignitateq́ue, vt viro fortidignum eft, quodcunque belli cafus tulerit, quädiualiqua fpes in armis eft, pati, quàm fingula concedendo,fine bello, to ta poffeffione: excidere, \& id de quo cōtenditurbello,omnibus nequicquam tétatis, amittere potius eft, quàm metu bellicócedere. ita enim bello \& pace quæritur, vt inquit Liuius ${ }^{\text {b }}$, ve inter omnes con- b $_{6 \text { b. } 34 .}$ ueniar, nec quicquam turpius effe, quàm fine certamine ceffiffe regno, nec pręclarius quicquam, quàm prodignitareac maieftate omnem fortunam expertum effe. eoq́ue magis, quòd ita natura comparatumfit, viquorumarrogantiā pa$S_{2}$ tientia

De ivre et off. bell. tientia* $\&$ modeftia vincere arbitramur, 4 ferociores nobis plerunque reddamus: quod pulchrè oftenditur exemplo Latinorum. nam cùn Latini vna cum Campanis bellum Samnitibus inferrent, $\&$ Samnitium legati Romá miffinfenatu quefti effent, eadem fe foederatos pati, qux hoftes effent paffi, \& precibusinfimis peterēt, vt Latinos, Campanosq́ue, quilub ditione populi Romani effent, pro imperio arcerent Samniti agro, fin imperium abnuerent,armis coërcerent, \& aduerfus hxc anceps refponfum datü effet:quia fateri pigebat, in poteftate fua Latinos iam non effe, timerentq́ue ne arguendo abalienarent: Campanorum verò aliam conditionem effe, qui non foedere,fed per deditionem in fidem veniffent: itaque Campanos, feu vellent, feu nollét, quieturos: in foedere Latino nihil effe, quo bellare cum quibus vellent, prohiberentur. Refponfum hoc,
d his.s. inquir Liuius ${ }^{2}$, ficut dubios Samnites, quidnam facturum populum Romanü cenferent, dimifit : ita Campanos metu abalienauit: Latinos, veluti nihil iam concedentibus Romanis, ferocioresfecit. itaque per fpecié aduerfus Samnites
belli parandi, crebra concilia indicētes, omnibus cōfultationibus inter fe principes Romanum coquebant bellum: ad quod Annius prator hac oratione eos " accendit:Siquando, inquit, vnquā con"fociandi imperij, vfurpandx libertatis \# tempus optaftis: en hoc tempusadeft, \& , virtute veftrạ, \& Deûm benignitate vo\% bis datum. tentaftis patientiam:negädo $\%$ militem, quisdubitat exarfiffe eos, cùm " plus ducentorum annorum morem fol„ueremus? pertulerunt tamen hunc do, lorem: bellum noftro nomine cum Pe„ lignis geffimus,qui ne noftrorum quidé , finium per nos tuendorum ius antea dabant, nihilintercefferunt. Sidicinos in fidem acceptos, Campanos ab le ad nos , defciffe, exercitus nos parare aduerfus , Samnites,foederatos fuos audiuerút, nee „ mouerunt feab vrbe. vnde hæcillis táta \% modeftia, nifia confcientia virium fuarü \# \& noftrarum? Atque ita Romanis tum anceps cum Latinis bellum fuit, quod nimia patiétia Romanorum, qua fe bellum euitaturos fperabāt, infeftiffimua fuit : cùm alioqui, fi primis conatibus Latinorum obititiffent; paruo negotio eosin officio continere potuiffent. non

De ivre et off. bell. itaque Romani modeftia fua euitauerūt bellum, fed diftulerunt magno Latinorum conmodo, in tempus, quo hoftes omnibus rebus paratiores ad bellum ef. fent. Prxcipienda igitur funt cogitatione futura, \& aliquandoante con ltituendum, quid accidere poffit in vtramque partem, 8 quid agendum fir, atque antequam eueniat ${ }^{*}$, obuiam cundum, neque exfpectädum donec obruaris, \& malum in dies crefcat, \& incurabile fiat, quod in morbisetiam pręcipiunt medici, Itaque Regulusquidam in Gallia, Commano Segoregiorum regi dixit, \& affirmauit Maffiliam(quam Senanus,cui Commanus fuccefferat, Grecis cōdendam concefferat) aliquando exitio finitimis populis futuram, opprimendam ergo in ipfo ortu, ne mox validior ipfum obrueret,fuafit:fubnectens hancfabulam: Canemaliquando*partu grauidam, locum " ${ }_{6}$ a paftore precario petiiffe, in quo pareret: quo obtento, iterato petiiffe, vt fibi educare eodem loco catulos liceret: ad poftremum adultis catulis, fultam do35 meftico prefidio, proprietatem loci fibi vendicaffe . non aliter Mafflienfes, inquiens, qui nunc inquilini videantur, quan-

Liber secvndys. 138 quandoque dominos regionū futuros ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a a iffin. 1.43 Siitaque bellum timetur, prxftat imparatos hoftes inuadere, quàm belli inprefentiarum cuitandi caufa, logè infeftius bellum in futurum exciperc. neq; enim 7 malis conatibus* hoftium vnquam belli metu concedédum eft. nam hac ratione non tam euitatur bellü, quàm cum maximo incommododiffertur.\& fæpe etia te bellum oftendédo, potius pacem habiturum confidas, quàm hoftium arrogantix, armis pofitis, concedendo. quo non tamfedatur ferocia hoftium, quàm irritatur \& alitur. Quò fpectar quod Ci ceroait ${ }^{\text {b }}$, fuadens lenatui, ne pacem fa-b philipp. 7 . cerent cum Antonio: Cauete perdeos immortales,P.C.nefpe prefentis pacis, perpetuam pacem amittatis. Prudenter itaque Romani, Philippo, atque Antiocho occultè bellum molientibus, primi vitrò bellumintulcrunt, \& in Gr çciam bellum transferre, quàm in Italia excipere maluerunt . coq́; fpectar, quod Vegetiusait: Qui pacē defiderat, prxparet bellum : \& quod Manlius Capitolinus dicebat: Oftendite modo bellum, pacem habebitis: videãt vos paratos ad vim, ius ipfir remittent. Salutaris enim feueritas, S $4 \mathrm{vt} \mathrm{in}-$

De ivre et off. bell. $v t$ inquit Cicero, vincitinané fpeciem clemétix. Quod fi clementeseffe voluerimus,nunquam deerunt bella ciuilia.\& a epje.lib. 10 vt idem alio loco ait ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : Hoftibus nonaliter pax dari debet(de bello ciuili agens) quàm fi armis pofitiseam petāt:fin auté pugnantes eam poftulent, victoria pax, non pactione parienda eft. Quò /pectat guod Mimus ait : Ignofcere humanum, vbi pudet, cui ignofcitur: alioqui verò veterem ferendo iniuriam inuitas nouā, \& huc etiam referri poffe videtur apologus ille a Demotthene prolatus. nam cùm Alexander Macedo, fub pretextu quodam libertatis,magnam Gręcię partem inuafiffet, \& Athenas obfideret, exeufaretq́ue fe nó ea facere, vt libertatem eis eriperet, vrbémue in feruitutem redigeret: $\int$ ed quòd decem corum ciues infenfos haberet, qui eum continuò maledietis incefferét: proinde fi pauciillidederētur, fore vt ab obfidione decederet, populumque a bello \& fame liberaret: pofcebat verò in primis Demofthenem, \& nouemitidem alios ciues preftantifo fimos: cumq̆; anceps effet fenatus, multiq́ue acclamarent multitudini potius, quàm paucis effec confulendum :formi-
darént-

Liber secvndys. 139 daréntque pauciilli, qui ad fupplicium pofcebantur, vixq́ue fari auderent, quòd corum interitu reliqui omnes pacemac libertatem fperarent : ventum fuiffet in fenrentiā vt dederentur, nifi Demofthenes huiufmodi fabella regis dolü \& inquit paftoribus, quorum diligentia , decipere cupiebat, perfuafit, vt fecum in , amicitiam conuenirent, ea conditione, vtcanes qui fibi infenfi erant, \& caufas \% inimicitiarum prabebant, obfides tra, derentur.audiunt paftores,canesq́ue ob , fidem feruand $x$ pacis traduntur, qui o, uium diligentiffimi cultodes erant.tunc Lupusadempta formidine, omne pecus profacietate \& libidine lacerat, ac deuo„rat, \& paftores ipfos laniat. Sic etiam inpræfentiarum, inquit, viri Athenienfes Alexander facit: quiomnes illos, qui in cius conatus concionantur, quiq́que eius infidias pandunt, depofcit, quò facilius vrbem cuftodibus fpoliatã inuadere ac diripere polfit, ${ }^{3}$

Anpraflet bellum domi excipere, an verò in boftilem agrum inferre.
1 Scipionis fententia.
2 2. Fubjucrax. Sensentia. $S_{5} 3$ Athe-

De ivre et off. bell.
3 Atbenienfibus feliciora bella fuere domi guàm foris.
4 Fabula de Antreo.
5 Muliumanimi addit patrie afpecturs.

- Agathocles cùm domi obfidonem ferre non poffes, tame $A$ frica bellum intulit.
7 Confilium Axnibalis.
8 Sententia Agidis.
9 Confitium Hieronis Syracufarum regis.
so Aliter faris, aliter domi bella tractari.
11 Melior miles exira patriann.
12 Fabijexemplum vilil.

> Cap, octavym.

FVit hxe quxftio variè admodum agitata a fummis ducibus, multis in vtramque partem adductis argumentis $\&$ : exemplis: vt vera fententia lequenda fit, non facilè dixeris:fuitqúue in fenatu Romanorum olim magna hac de recōtentio, inter Q. Fabium Max. \& P. Scipionem, qui Africam prouinciam fibidecerni petebat : non aliter afferens finem belli cum Annibale fore ${ }^{*}$, quàm fi exer- 1 citus it: Africam deportaretur, $\&$ eo bellum ex Italia auerteretur: referens quale effer, vltro metum inferre hofti, \& ab fe remoto periculo, alium in difcrimen adducere: ducere: idq́; exemplo ipfius Annibalis: \& multum intereffe, alienos popularifines; an proprios vri excindíque videas. plusenimanimi effe inferéti periculum, quàm propulfanti: tum etiam ad dignitatem populi Romani, famamq́ue apud regesgentesq́ue externas pertincre, non ad defendendam modò Italiam; fed ad inferenda eriam Africx arma videri Romanis animum effe: caftraq́ue Komana potiuseffe, fi Carthaginis portisimminerent, quàm Romani iterum vallum hoftium exmœenibus fuis viderent : Africa effet reliqui belli fedes, illic terror fugaq́ue, populatio agrorum, defectio fociorum, ceterabelli clades. Contra ea
${ }_{2}$ Fabius * Annibalem recto itinere Italia prius expellendum, quàm per circuitus in Africam trahendum cenfebat: idq́ue natura prius effe, tua cùm defenderis, aliena irc oppugnatum, \& pacem prius in Italia optandam, quàm bellum in A. frica: \&vt ipfis prius decederet timor, quàm aliis inferretur : vbi nō portus vllusclaff Romanx apertus, non ciuitas focia, non rexamicus, non confitendi viquam locus, non procedendi:quacunque circumfpexeris, hoftilia omnia at $q_{i}$ infefta:

De ivre et off. bell. infefta : aliter quoque Carthaginienfes mœnia patrix, templa Deûm,aras \& focos defenfuros,quàm Hilpaniam defenderant:vbi Annibal effet, ibi caput atque arcemillius belli effe : quem in Africam tractum propinqua Carthago , \& tota focia Africa potentiorem armis virisq́ue a Lim, $, 1,28$. faceret ${ }^{2}$. Et pro Fabio quoque facit exéplum Athenienfium ${ }^{*}$, qui quoad domi 3 bellagefferunt, victores femper exftiterunt: fed magna claffe in Siciliam tranfmiffa, vna nauali pugna forentemrempub. fuam in perpetuum afflixerunt, facit quoque quod de Antao * Lybix re- 4 gefertur:quiab Hercule Ægyptio,dum in fedibus fuis bellum geffit, fuperarin nö potuit: fraude verò Herculis, extrafines fuos tractus, cefus fuit, \& vitam cum regno amifit. quod fecit locum fabulx, qux fingit Antæum Neptunie Terra filium fuiffe, robore \& fortitudine nemini cedentem : cuius membra quotiés labore defecta effent, tactu Terrx matris fux recreabantur: quem Hercules cum eo pugnans, medium complexus,quòd aliter fuperari non poffet, e terra fublab Lura. de tum, aftrictum pectori expirare coëbelocombib. 4 git $^{\text {b }}$. Thomyris quoque Scytarum regina $_{3}$
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 gina, nequaquam Cyri aduentuterrita, maluit aduentum eius operiri, quàm obuiam ire, feliciorem fibi pugnam intra regni fuiterminos futuram rata, vbi Cyrum in anguftias pertractum ex infidiis cum ducentis millibus Perfarum trucidauit a. Item Lacedxmoniis a - a Iffin. l., . lia bella aduerfus finitimos gerentibus, cùm Thebani Epaminonda duce, occupandx vrbis corum fpem cepiffent, tacitić̣; Lacedæmona proficifcerentur: non amplius centum iam effoetæ ætatis viri,quidomi manferant,aduerfus quindecim millia militum pugnæ fefe obtulerunt. tantum animorum viriumq̣́ue patrix \& penatum confpectus fubmini5 ftrat*, tantoque prefentia, quàm recordatione fui maiores (piritus largitur, inquit Iuft.b nā cùmviderent, intra quę, \& b bib,6. pro quibus ftarét, aut vincendūfibi, aut moriendum cenfuerunt. Et huc fpectare videtur confiliũ T. Quintij Flaminijcôf. quo Achæos bellum inferre Zacyntho molientes, monuit, ne inftar teftudinis (quę vbi collecta in fuû tegmé eft,tutaad omnes iCtus êft;vbiexeritaliquas partes, quodcūq; nudauit,obnoxiüatq; infirmü habet)prolato extra Peloponesú capite,De ivre et off. bell.
a Lim. 1b. 36. periculo fefe exponerēt ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Pro Scipione Pimar, im A. verò facit ipfe euentus belli, quo Annibalem (quitredecim annis in Italiaimpunè bellum gefferat) tractum in Africā fuperauit, \& preclara vittoria potitus eft. Similiter Agathocles tyrānus *, cùm 6 Poni Syracufas obfidione cinxiffent, \& fefe nec viribus parem, nec ad obfidione ferēdam inftructum videret : mira prorfus audacia(vt qui fua tueri non poterat, impugnaret aliena) bellum in Africam trantulit, \& caftraquinto lapide a Carthagine pofuit : \& Hannone Ponorum duce fuperato, vaftata Africa, plurimas \& nobiliffimas vrbes, amicitiarum iura non fide, fed fucceffu ponderätes, a Pœbupf.hb. 22 nis deficientes, ad fe pellexit ${ }^{\text {b }}$.Facit quóque pro Scipione cōfilium Annibalis*, 7 quo cum ipfe primùm in ltalia per trèdecim annos cum maximá gloria bellü geffit: tum etiam Antiocho confilia volutanti de Romano bello, auctor fuit,vt in Italia bellum gereret: Italiam \& milites, \& commeatum prębituram externo hofti:in Italia Romanos, fuis opibus, fuis viribus, fuis armis vinci poffe: finihilibi moueatur,licerétq; populo Rom. viribus \& copiis Italiæ, extra Italia bellum ge-
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 lumgerere, neque regem, neque gëtem vllam paré Romanis fore ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& hxc quo- a Linsb. 34 que fuit fentētia Agidis Lacedxmonio8 rumregis*, quidicebat Ætolis intra fines fuos bellum inferendum, nee permittendum, vt Peloponneficlauftraintrarentb. Idem confilium poft ceedem C. bpurtinvio Flaminij, exercitusq́ue Romaniad Tra14 Agidis. fymenum, populo Romano dedit Hie-9 ro Syracularürex ${ }^{*}$, amicus populi Rom. vt fillicet protor, cui Sicilia prouincia eueniffer, claffem in Africam traijceret, vt hoftes in terra fua bellum haberent, minusq́ue laxamétidareturiis, adauxilia Annibali fubmittendac. Hoc quoq; ctin.lh. 22 confilio fenatus populusq́ue Rom. Macedonicum bellum indixit,perfuadente Seruio Sulpitio conf. cui Macedonia prouincia euenerat: qui populo, vt rogationem de bello Macedonico indicédo iuberent, his rationibus fuafit: non hocagi, vtrum bellum, an pacem haberent Romani (neque id liberum iis permiffurum Philippum,quiterra mariq́ue ingens bellum molirctur) fed vtrum in Macedoniam legiones tranfportarét, an hoftes in Italiaacciperent. Hocquätum intereffet, Punico proximo bello expertos effe.
$D_{\text {b ive eit off, bell. }}$ toseffe. quis enim dubitaret, quin fi Sagütinisobleffis fidem Romanorumimplorãtibus impigrè tuliffent opem,ficut parres ipforum Mamertinis tulerant,totum in Hifpaniam aucrfuri bellum fuiffent : quod cunctando cum magna clade Romanorum in Italia acceperunt. Nec illud quidem dubium effe, quin Philippum pactum iam per legatos,literasq̣ue cum Annibale, in Italia traijcere, miffo cum claffe Leuino, qui vitra ei bellum inferret in Macedonia, continuiffent. multo magis florentem Italiam, multo magis integras res, faluis tot ducibus, faluis tot exercitibus, quos Punicum poftea bellüabfumpfit, aggreflum Pyrrhum, tamen cöcuffiffe, $\mathbb{\alpha}$ vidorem pro peadiplam vrbem Romam veniffe : nec Tarentinos modò, oräquueillam Italix, quam maiorem Graciam vocant, fed etiam Lucanos, \& Brutios, \& Samnites abipfis defeciffe:qux quoque, fi Philippusin Italiam tranfmiffurus effer, quietura, aut manfura in fide non effe:quemadmodum nec bello Punicomanferūt. fi piguifet Romanos in Africam traiicere, etiamnum tunc in Italia Annibale futurum fuiffe,\& Carthaginiefes hoftes habi-
habituros.potius igitureffe, vt Macedonia, quàm Italia bellum haberet, hoItium vrbes agrosque ferro \& igni valtari : expertos iam effe, foris ipfis, quàm
 Exquibus certè conftat, id quod Aga10 thocles quoque dicebat: Aliterforis*;2liter domi bella tractari : domi ea fola auxilia effe, quæ patrix vires fubminiItrent : foris hoftem etiam fuis viribus vinci. His adde, quòd melior procul II a domo futurus fit miles*, vbi nullum eft refugium, \& neceffitas pugnandi imminet. qua ratione Annibal in Hifpania bellum gerens, Afrieam Hifpanorum prafidiofirmanit: \& procofuppiementum ipfe ex Africa petiit ${ }^{b}$. Sed \& tanto audacius, fortiusq́ue pugnaturus eft miles in hoftili agro, quanto maior fpes, maiorq́ue animusinferentis eft bellum, quàm arcentis. hucaccedit, quòd holtes denicti, extra fines fuos, facilè reftaurare bellum pofint, \& fines fuos tueri: vbi fi domifuxhoftes fuperaueris, nullo \{pacio recreandidato, facilè eos opprimere poffis, \& bello finem imponere. quod Annibali victori ad Cannas non difficile fuiffer,

De pive et off. bella fi victoria \& beneficio fortunæ vfus fulf fet: \& Catis conftat moram tantum fuam
a Limub, 22 falutivrbiat $q_{\text {; }}$ imperio Romano fuife ${ }_{\text {a }}$ Et his quidem argumentis, \& exemplis in medium prolatis, vnicuique liberum hac dere conftiruendi relinquimus arbitrium. illud tamen etiam atque etram penfitandum eft, fide bello inferédo, vel excipiendo confultetur, an facilisfitacceflus in fines hoftium, an arcibus muniti, an plani campi, anloca montuofa, vbi infidix timeri poffenr, an cum hofte armaro \& bellicofo; an verò inermi \& imbelli, \& cuius vis omnis in pecunia confiftar, resfit:quifuis viribusdomi fue facile fuperari poterit,exemplo Cai thaginienflum : fecus fi cum hofte armaro, \& bellicofo, cui domiomniaad bellum parata funt, qualesfuere Romani, resfit. Ceterum fi cum hofte bellicofo \& vincere folito domi tux bellandum erit, nó inutile videbitur Fabij exéplum fequi*, 12 qui Annibalé iam aliquot victoriis ferocem, cum facilè certamine vinci non poffe videret, fedendofregit, $\&$ vincere Annibalem prohibuit, vt ab aliis vinei poffet: fatis cito incipi victoriam racus, vbi prouifum foret ne vinceret : quaratione

Librr secvndys. 144 tione imperium Romanum multis cladibus affictum reftituit. Suntq́ue preclara in hanc fententiam eiuldem Eabij verba, quibus L...Æmilium Paulum contra Annibalem cuntem ita affatus eft: Vna ratio belli gerendi aduerfus Annibalemef, qua ego geffi,neceuentus modò hoc docet : ftultorunifte magifter eft: , Fedę̨dem ratio qux fuit,futuraque, do„, nec res eadë manebunt, immutabilis eft. in Italia bellum gerimus, in fede ac folo naftro, omnia circa ciuium ac fociorum funt : armis, viris, equis, cōmeatibusiuuantiuuabuntq́ue.idiam fidei documétum, in aduerfis rebus noftris dederunt. Meliores, prudentiores, conftantiores, nos tempus diesq́ue facit, Annibal contrà, in aliena, in hoftili terra, inter omnia inimica infêtaq́ue, procul a domo, procul a patria, neque illi terra, neque mari eft pax:nullx cum vrbes excipiunt,nulla mœnia, nihil vfquam fui videt. in diem rapto viuit, partem vix tertiam exercitus eius haber, quem Iberum amnee traiecit: plures fames quàm ferrum abfumpfit:nec his paucis iam victū fuppeditat. Dubitasergo quin cum fedendofuperaturifumus, quifenefcat in dies? non cō-

De ivreet off: bell. meatus, non fupplementum, non pecu-, a Lim.ibi.22 niam habeat a? Et certè eò Annibalem tunc redactum fuiffe conflat, ve nullo negotio fuperari potuiffet, nifitemeritas Terétij Varrònis occafionem rei bene gerendx hofti prabuiffet. Simili ratione Cn . Sulpitius dictator, aduerfus Gallosin Italiabellum trahebat, nolens fecommittere fortung aduerfus hoftem, quem tempus deteriorem in dies \& locusfaceret: fine preparato commeatu, fine firmo munimento morantem : ad hociisanimis corporibusq́ue, quorum omnis in impetu viseffet, parua eadem b Lim. 16.7 . languefcere mora $\mathrm{b}_{0}$

An praftet initio pralij magno clamore, \& concirato curfu in hoftes pergere; an yerd loco manere, \& hoftium impetum excipere.
I LeniSima queque in bello nonsunquame magni momenti funt.
2 Samnites of Galli primo impetu feroces.
3 Fabixs suftinuis impetüh boftium Covicit.
4 Decius impetumn faciendo in bostem vzcturs fuit.
s Faby exemplam fecutus T. Veturiuct.
6 A.Cornelius Coffus ductator bostiwnsimpesums excipicendo vicitio.

> 7. casar

Liber sucundys. 145 1 Cafar yeprebendir conflium Pompeij, qui preduxerat ifus, vt impetemi Calarts exciperent.
8 CMos Gallorum \& Germanorum initio praly.
g Syri ex clamore indicium fumebant de futura victoriavel clade.
10 Cyri conflium.

> Cap, nonvm.

'I$N$ bello nihil tam leue eft*, quod non magnx interdum rei momentú faciar. cuius nobisfidem faciunt Q. Fabius, \& P. Decius conff. bello contra Samnites \& Hetrufcos. inftructa enimacie, ita vt Fabius aduerfus Samnites in dextro cornu, \& Decius aduerfus Gallos in finitro cornu coffiterer, haud fimilis pugna, inquit Liuius ${ }^{3}$, in dextro lyuoq́uecornu a $k 5,10$, fuir. Romaniapud Fabium arcebăt ma-, gis, quàm inferebant pugnam, extrahebantớ; in quàm maximè ferum diei certamen: quia ica perfuafum erat duci, \&
2 Sảnitcs \& Gallos * primo impetu feroces effe, quo fultineri fatis fit : longiore certamine fenfim refidere Samnitium animos: Gallorum quidĕ etiam corpora intolerátiffima laboris atq̧; æeftus fluere, T 3 prima-

De ivre et offo bele. primaq́ue corum prelia plus quàm virorum ; poftrema minus quàm feminarum effe. in id tempus igitur, quo vinci folebat hoftis, quàm integerrimas vires militi feruabat Fabius*. Ferocior De-3 cius, \& xtate \& vigore animi, quantumcunq $q_{i}$ virium habuit, certamine primo effudit** impetum faciendo in hoftem: 4 quodilli nā bene ceffit: nam fui milites, primo cōtictu in fugamacti funt, \& diffipati : quos cùm nulla vi fuftinere poffet, vt morte fua eam, quam per victoriá confequinon poterat gloriam, fibi pararet,exemplo P. Decii patris,fe legionefq́ue hoftium proexercitu populi Ram. quiritum deuouit : \& quà cónfertifima cernebat Gallorum aciem, concitauntequam, interensque fe-ipfe infeftis relis_ interfectuseft: \& Romani amiffoduce, quæ res terrorialias effe folet, fiftere fugam,ac nouam de integro inftaurare pugnam cceperunt : quibus cùm fuperueniffent fubfidia ex nouiffima acie iuffu Fabijad prafidium college miff, victoria potiti funt. Et Fabij exemplumffecutus T.Vetufius cäf.* in Volfcos miflus,cum ad dimicandum hoftes acle exciuiffet. \& multitudine aliquantulum Volfci fuperarent:
rarent: nec promouit aciem, nec clamo. rem reddi paflus eft, fed defixis pilis ftare fuos iuffit: vbi ad manú veniffet hoftis, tum cohortes tota vi gladiis rem gererent : in quo genere pugnæ Mars eft atrociffimus. Volfci curfu 8 clamore fef. fi, cum fe velut ftupentibus metu intuliffent Romanis, poftquamimpreflioné fenfere ex aduerfo factam, $\&$ ante oculos micare gladios, haud fecus quàm fi in infidias incidiffent, turbati verterŭt terga, \& ne ad fugam quidem fatis virium fuit: quia curfu in prælium icrant. Romani contrà quia principio pugnæ quietifteterant, vigentes corporibus,facilè adepti feffos,\& caftra impetu ceperūt, \& caftris cxutum hoftem, Velitras perfecuti, vno agmine victores cum victis irrupere ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a Lius,li,2 6 Similiter A. Cornelius Coffus dictator*, acie decertaturus cum Volfcis, quorum ingens erat exercitus, milites fuos ita af, fatus eft : Noftra victoria eft milires, fi „, quid dij vatesq́ue eorum in futurum vident. itaque vt decet certę fpei plenos, $\&$ , cum imparibus manus conferturos pilisante pedes pofitis, gladiis tantum de, xtras armemus: nec procurfari quidem , ab acie velim, fed obnixos vos ftabili T 4 gradu

De frie bt offo bell. gradu impetum hoftium excipere: vbi illivana iniecerint mifilia, \&effufiftantibusvobisfeintulerint, tum micêt gla- ${ }_{2}$ dij, $\&$ veniat in mentem vnicuiquedeos effe, qui Romanum adiuuent, deosqui fecundis auibusin prolium miferint. \& fic vt praceperat pugnant, nec dux le2 Lim, $6.6 . \sigma_{i}$ giones, nec fortuna fefellit ducéa. Hoc quoque conflio Pompeius, prælio decertaturus cum Cæfare ad Pharfalü, fuis prædixerat, vt Cæfaris impctum exciperent, néuefeloco mouerent, aciemq̣ue eius diftrahi paterentur: idq́; admonitu C. Triarij feciffe dicitur, vt primusexcurfus, visq́ue militum infringeretur, aciesq̆ue diftenderetur: leuiusque cafura pila Tperabat, in loca retentismilitibus, quàm fiipfi immiffis telis occurriffent: fimul fore, vt duplicato curfu, Cxaris milites cxaminarentur,\& laffitudine cōficerentur:quod C æfari tamen nullocōfilio factum videbatur*: propterea quòd eft quedam animi inciratio, atquealacritas naturaliter innata omnibus, qux ftudio pugnx incenditur : hanc non reprimere,fed augere imperatores debere, neque fruftraantiquitus inftitutum effe, vt figna vndique concincient; clamoremq́ue
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xemq́ue vniuerfi tollerent : quibus rebus \& hofes terreri, \& fuos incitari exi8 Itimauerüt ${ }^{2}$. Sic Galli \& Germani ${ }^{*}$, qui- a casdeber bus inconfulta ira \& furor erat, fi quãdo cimis.ab.3. cum hofte congredi pararent, diffono \& truci cantu, quem harritum vocabant, cum vlulatu \& tripudiis fcuta quatientes, prolium inibant, ex quo futurx pugnæ fortunam augurabantur. Similiter 9 Syri$^{*}$ primo congreffu, Solem venerati, cum claffico figno dato pugnam inirent, primo occurfuad ardorem excitandum, ardentiffimo clamore concurrebant, 8 figna inferebant : qui fi confona voce editus, neque impar, varius, vel difo fonus foret, haud dubiè fatis animorum ad certamen effe, \& futuram victoriam foondebant. nam is erat index voluntatis \& animi cuiufque : fi verò excitatior crebriorque ab hofte fublatus effet : quò eucntus prælij cafurus foret, indicium haud dubie probebat. Quò fpectat quod Liuius ${ }^{\text {b }}$ refert, in- b lib.4، cautè, inconfulteq́ue a $C$. Sempronio confule prolium commiffum cum Vollcis : Clamor, inquit, indicium primum fuit, quò res inclinatura effet, excitatior crebriorq́ue ab hofte fublaT 5 tus:

De fvre et off. bell. qus: ab Romanis diffonus,impar,fegnis, „, fape iteratus incerto clamore prodidit, pauorem animorum. Cyri verò confiliū," fuit*, vt fi hoftes tumultuofius irruerét, io taciti milites impetum exciperent : fin autē taciturni, clamore \& frepits acies
a Alex.ab A. lex. gasal. dikr.b.4.c.7. inuaderenta. Plurimum certè refert, vtrum cum tyronibus, \& militix infuetis, quifacilè inufitato clamore, \& impreffione commoueri poffint, an verò cum veteranis \& bello affuetis, qui non Eacilè terreri folent, res fit,

> Non effe confliij, inuicem infenfos ciuilibus diffenfionibus hoftes, fola difcordia fretum inuadere.

1. Seditioremp. alisqui aternam, mortalems facis.
2 Fouenda feditiones lioffium.
3 Hastes diffentuentes nan funt inuadendi.
Cap. dectimy.
A Ternam effe magnam rempub.* nifi I ciues inter femeripfos fedirionibus fruiant: id vnum venenum, eam labem ciuitatibus opulétis repertam, vt magna imperia mortalia effent, non temeréab antiquis creditum eft, cuius reiexemplo effe

Liber secundvs. 148 effe poteft Romana refp. qux tam diu imperium totius orbis tenuit, donec ciuilibus, \&inteftinis bellis, fuis viribus confumpra, \& ad nihilum redacta eft. Vnde folent prudentes duces fouere fe2 ditiones hoftium*, fiquando poflent:ve hac ratione incruenta victoria, hoftium viribus pouri poffent. Quo côfilio Marcius Coriolanus, victor ad vrbé pergens, cùm agrum Romanum popularetur, a Patriciorum agris abftineri iuffit, quò illos fufpectos plebeiis redderet, \& dif. cordiam ciuium iam ante natam incitaret ${ }^{2}$. Et Annibal cùm videret $Q$. Fabiũ a ti.i., ib.i., folerticunctatione illi victoriam prariDurz, Hal. pere, quibellum ratione, non fortuna gereret : quo nomine contemptuierat Romanis, \& timidus dictus, ad augendä Fabij inuidiam ab agro ipfius omné vim hoftilem abftineri iuffir, omnibuscirca folo æquatis, vt occulti alicuius pacti ea merces videri poffet $b$. Quam inuidiam bLin.ib. 22 vt euitaret Fabius, fertur agros illos vendidiffe, \& precium in redemprionem captiuorum conuertiffe : Periclem imitatus, cuius agros in populatione ceterorum Spartani intactos reliquerăt, fperantes acquirere fe illi poffe,aut periculū exin-

De fube et offo bele ex inuidia,aut ex fufpicione prodicionis infamiá:quod ante perfpiciens Pericies, \& futurú populo prædixcrat, ad inuidix impetum dechnandü: agros ipfos dono reipub. dederat, atque ita vnde periculú quæfitum fuerat, ibimaximam gloriam a hision, 13 . inuenit ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Porrò non erit confultum feditiofos hoftes *, fola difcordix fiducia 3 inuadere. Nā externus timor, vtinquit Liuius, optimum concordix vinculum. Itaque cùm Preneftini, ex difcordia Romanorum, occafionem fibidari rati, raptimagmine facto perwaftatis protinus agris,ad portä Collinarn figna inferrét: ingensque in vrbe,trepidatio effet:conclamatum ad arma, concurfumq́q in muros atque portas eft: tandemq̣́ue ab feditione ad bellum verfigdictaroré T, Quintium Cincinnatum creauere. quod vbi auditum eft(tantus cius magiftratus terror erat) fimul hoftes a mcenibus recef fere, \& iuniores Romaniad edictum fine b Limbib,6, detrectatione conuenere ${ }^{b}$, ciuilia enim certamina terror externus cohibet, Sicque cum Cafar Britannis bellú inferret, fummamimperij belliq́ưue adminifträdi Britanni communi conflio permiferút Caffinellaunoscui cum reliquis ciuitati-

Liber secvndus. 149 bus continentia bella intercefferant:fed aduëtu Cæfaris permoti, eum toti bello imperioq́; pręfecerunt ${ }^{2}$. Similiter Vciéti bello, Cn. Manlio, \& M. Fabio conff. cùm ciuilibus diffenfionibus exafperatis militum animis,confules caftris fe tenerent, nec prolio rem committereauderent : quòdarma militi non crederét: \& his freti hoftes,omnibus modis lacefferent ad pugnam Romanos, probraingerentes, \& Romanis infultantes: Romanus non vitra contumeliam pati potuit ; cumq́ue externa \& domeftica odia certarent in animis, tandem fuperabant externa : adeo fuperbè infolenterque hoftis illudebat. itaque acie inftructa, irtitatis in hoftern, \& fibi inuicem reconciliatis militumanimis, non alic ante bello, inquir Liuius ${ }^{\text {b }}$, infe- $b$ bata ftior Romanus pralium iniit. cum fife continuiffent hoftes, propriis ipforum viribus, \& fuo milite facile Romanos fuperare potuiffent : vt exemplo funt Equi \& Volfci : interquos agrum Romanum ingreflos ex certamine Volfci, Æquise imperatorem coniuncto exercitui darent, feditio deinde arrox, prelium ortum, \&inuicemhoftes perniciofo

De ivreet off. belle niciofo \& pertinaci certamine fe confecerunt, \& Romanis victoriam tradia timin. 2. derunta. Prudenter itaque Scorio DaDion. Hat. 166.8. corum dux, cùm fciret ciuilibus armis diftineri populum Ro.nontamen occafione inde arrepta laceffendum arbitrabatur, profpiciens externo bello inteftinum plerumque reftingui: $\&$ fuorumanimos, quiftudio pugnæ ardebät, lepidè repreffir, reprehenditq́ue : canes fiquidế duos ipfis infpectantibus commifit: iifque moxacriter pugnătibus, lupum ingeffit:quem canes,omiffa protinus inter leira, communem hoftem alacriter in-
b ciel. Rhom deg. lef7.amsig. .1.9.c.50. uadentes confecere.b

Necefsitatem pugnaudi magno ftudio imponendam militibus effe, \& hoftibus remittendam.
I Vis receßßitatis.
2 Milites nece Sitate ad certamë cŏpellendi.
3 Fuge /pecics omnibus modis adimédamilitibus.
4 Neceßitas puynandi hoftibus remittéda.
s Hofitibus aperienda via,qua fugiant.
Cap. vndacimym.Vanta fitvis neceflitatis*, a Philo-1 fophisabunde fatis difceptatumeft,
qui ex neceffitate omnia fieri conten dunt. Itaque Thalesinterrogatusquid validiffimum effet, refpondit neceffitas: eam enim folăfuperari non poffe. Cuius vi ac virtute cognita, \& quantum cùm in reliquis omnibus actionibus, tū precipuè in bello poffet, quätum animiadderet, confiderantes fummiduces,folent hoc fludiosè agere, vt milites fuos ne-
2 ceffitate aftringerēt ad certamen*. nam, vtinquir $Q$. Curtius, neceffitas anterationem eft, maximè in bello. Atq; ita $Q$. Fabius dictator, cùm per aliquot dies, poft ancipitem pugnä cum Samnitibus, cōtinuiffet fuos intra vallum, obfeffi magis quàm obfidentis modò, fignum repentè pugnæ propofuit: \& efficaciusratus ad accendendos virorum fortium animos, nullam alibi, quàm in femetipfo cuiquam relictam fpem, de C. Fabio magiftro cquitum, nouoq́ue exercitu, qui Romaaduenerat,militem celauir,\& tanquam nulla nifi in eruptione fpes effet, locorum anguftias, \& commeatus inopiam, militibus expofuit: \& nifiquam victoria patefacerent, viam nullam habere : caftra quoque, ne infecta victoria, ficut priftino die, inea fercciperent, in-

De ivke at off, bell. cendi iuffit:armis munimenta, non munimentisarma tuta effe debere inquiés. itaque orationedictatoris, qua-necefflo tatis index erat, accenfi milites, ibant in hoftem: \& refpectusipfe ardentium caftrorum haud paruum erat irritamétum a Lin. 8 b, . . ad victoriam ${ }^{2}$. Sic quoque M. Portius Cato in Hilpania bellum gerés, circumducto exercitu, procula nauibus fuis caAtrisque, vbi pem nifiin virtute haberēt, inter medios hoftes prelium commifit, hac exhortatione vfus adfuos: Nufquă „ niff in virtute fees eft milites; \& ego fe- \% dulò ne effet feci. inter caftra noftra \& :, nos,medij hoftes, \& a tergo hoftiü agger," eft. quod pulcherrimum, idem tutiffi-, \% b tio, ib, 34 mum, in virtute fpem pofitam habere ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$; \% Et Annibal fuperatis Alpibus, cum P. Scipione dimicaturus, neceffitatem pugnandi fuis expofuit, inquiens : Dextra \# lxuaq́ue duo maria claudunt:nullam ne,, ad effugium quidem nauem habemus: \%, circa Padus amnis, maiorac violentior \% Rhodano:a tergo alpes vrgent, vix inte- $\%$ gris vobis ac vigentibus tranfitx. hic vo- \%, bis vincendum, autinoriendum milites, eft,vbi primùm hofti occurriftis: \& \&eadé „, fortuna, quę neceffitatem pugnandiim- \% poluit,
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31 ", pofuit, quibus ampliora homines ne a diis quidem immortalibus optare folēt. Duos confules huius anni, vnum in Africam, alterum in Hifpaniam Romaní mifere: nihil vfquam nobis relictum eft, nif quod armis vindicauerimus, illis timidis \& ignauislicet effe, qui receptum habent, quos fuus ager, quos fuaterra, per tuta, per pacata itinera, fugientes accipient : vobis neceffe eft, fortibus viris effe, \& omnibus inter victoriam mortémue certa defperationeabruptis, aut vincere, aut fi fortuna mutabit, in prolio potius,quàm in fuga mortem oppetere. Si hoc bene fixũ omnibus, deftinatumq́ue in animo eft, iterum dicam viciftis. Nullum incitamentum ad vincendum, inquit Liuius ${ }^{2}$, homini a diis immorta-a a $l i b, 21$, libus acriusdatũ eft. Item Vectius Meffius Volforum dux, cùm videret fuos in medio circumuentos a Romanis,eosin, crepans clara voce: Hic perituri, inquit, „ vos telis hoftium eftis, indefenfi, inulti? \% quid igitur arma habetis? aut quid vitro „ bellum intuliftis, in otio tumultuofi, in $\nRightarrow$ bellu fegnes? Quid hic ftätibusfpeieft? ${ }_{2}$ andeumaliquem protecturum vos, ra*
De ivie et off. berr.
prurumq̆uc huic putatis?ferro via facie- „
da eft. hac qua me progreffum videtis,a- ",
gité, qui vifuridomos,parentes, coniu- $\%$
ges, liberoseftis:ite mecum.non murus, $\Rightarrow$
nec vallum, fed armatiarmatis obftant, $\%$
virtute pares, neceffitate, quæ vltimum
a tie. Lib.4. ac maximum telum eft, fuperiores eftis ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
32
Porrò vt neceffitatem militibus impo-
nerent fummi duces, folēt variis modis,
fuga femadimere*. itaq́ue Cæfar pri- 3
mùmiluo, deinde omnium e conipectu
remotis equis, vt æquato omnium peri-
culo, , pem fugę tolleret,cohortatusfuos
bceffabel., pralium commifit cum Heluetiisb.idq́;
gal.40, it apud Germanos frequensfuit. Agatho-
cles tyrannus cùm in Africã traiecifer,
omnes naues confentiente exercituin-
cendi iuffit:vt omnes fcirêt auxilio fugæ
adempro, aut vincendum,autmoriendū

- Infti.l.22. effec Afyages Medorum rex cùm Cyro
\& Perfrs pralio decertans, pugnantibus
fuis partem exercitus a tergo pofuit, \&
in tergiuerfantes ferroagi, vt in hoftes
iuffit:ac fuis denunciari, ni vincerent,nō
minus fortesetiam poft terga inuentu-
ros, quàm a fronte viros: atqueingens
ex neceffitate, pugnandianimus militi-
d Lsfiob, ,1, bus acceffitd. Etfuit apud Romanos fre-
quens,
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 152 quens, vt fugientes pro hoftibus cxdi iuberentur : profuitq̛ue frpius falubte confiliū, quod in magna côfternatione, abfciffis rebus, ac defperata falute, a Romanis factitatum legimus: vt difpofitis equitū turmis ante munimenta, fugientes temerè ex prolio ad caftra, pro hofte haberent; illosq́uc ftrictis gladiis inuaderent, ve non minus a tergo, quàma fronte infeftas acies viderét. Hxc vltima defperatio nonnunquam militū animos ita incendit, vt inclinatas, \& turpi fuga profligatas acies plerumque repararit: tantoǵue ardore pugna fuerit reftituta, vt milites ancipiti coacti metu, victoriā ex hoftium manibus fape eripuerint. Ceterum eadem ratio, quæ neceffitatem pugnandi militibus imponendā fuader, 4 eandem hoftibus remittendam*effe oftendit. fit enim plerumque, vt defperatio in virtutem conuertatur, fecundum illud Maronis, Vna falus victis nullam fperare falutem. Itaque bello Veienti,cum hoftes ex pręlio fubtractis fubfidiisad caftra Romana oppugnanda iuiffent, \& Cn. Manlius cöful euectus in caftra, ad omnes portas milite oppofito, holtibus viā claufiffet: V 2 hreDe ivre et off. belt. hxe delperatio hoftibus rabiem magis quàm audaciam accendit:ita vt cxfo cófule, vis hoftium ampliusfuftinerinon poffer, \& ad extrema ventum foret, ni legati patefeciffent vna porta holtibus
a Lis. lib.: Dion. Hal. 46,9. viam,qua erumperent a. Quare meritò Scipionis fentêtia laudata fuir, qui viam hoftibus, qua fugerent, effe muniendam dicebat*. Quanto enim plus fpei, vt in- 5 quit Tacitus, tanto minor ad refiftêdum animus erit: namignauiam quoque neceffitas acuit, \& fæpe defperatio fpei caufaeft: aut faltem, vt Q. Curtiusait, magnum ad honeftè moriendü incitamentum. Vnde prudenter Themiftocles, Gracis volentibus Xerxis pralio victi tranfitum impedire, ipfumq́ue regem cumexercituintercludere, timens neinterclufi hoftes defperationem in virtuté verterent, \& iter quod aliter nō pateret, ferro patefacerent, cùm vincereceteros confilio non poffer, per feruum Xerxem monuit, vt maturata fuga, tranfitum oc-
b winion $L_{2}$ cuparet ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Prudenter quoque M. Furius Camillus dietator,cùm Veios oppugnaret, \& cuniculo per Veientanam arcem acto, per quë electos milites immiferat, vrbemfuis militibusimpleffer,\& omnibus

Liber secvidus. 153 bus locis pugnaretur, multa iam edita cæde, quofacilius vrbe potiretur, necerfitatedefendendi hoftubus remiffa, edicere per procones iuffit, vt ab inermiabftineretur: quo factumeft, vt finis fanguinis effer, \& dediinermes inciperenta. a Liw, ib.s. Similiter cùm Fregellæ Colonia, nec opinatoaduentu Samnitium, nocte occupata effer, \& Fregellani pugnam aliquá diu $x$ quam (quòd pro aris $\&$ focis dimicaretur, \& ex tectis adiuuaret imbellis multitudo) fuftinuiffent : fraus deinde rem inclinauit, inquit Liuius ${ }^{b}$, quia vo- b $b$, , cem praconis audiri paffi funt, incolumem abiturum, qui arma pofuiffet: eaq́; fpes remifit a certamine animos \& paffimarmaiactari cœpta. Eodem aftu C. Fabius Ambuftus, in Auxuris oppugnatione, cùm vrbe capta, magna adhuc refiftentiū(quia cedentibus nihill fpeierat) pugna effet, pronunciari repente iuffit, ne quis prater armatos violaretur, reliquam omnem multitudinem voluntariam exuitarmis : qua ratione facili vitoria potitus eft ${ }^{\text {c. }}$. Simili modo cùm ${ }^{\text {ctin. } 16.4 .4 .}$ Sutrium ex fociis populi Rom. codem die, quo ab Hetrulcis oppugnatum erat, Camillus eximprouifo recepiffet, \& paf-

De ivre et off. belt.
fim trucidatis Hetrufcis portas claudi iuffifer,fpe fugæ adempta, reftitutum \& accenfum defperatione hoftium proliü a $\frac{L L, 6 .}{}$ fuiffe refert Liuius ${ }^{2}$, ni precones pervrbem dimiffi, poniarma, \& parciinermi iuffffent, nec prxter armatos quéquam violari.tum verò etiam, quibus animi in fpe vltima obftinatiad decertādum fuerant, poftquam data fpes vitæ eft, iactare paffim arma, inermesq́ue, quod tutius fortuna fecerat, fe hofti offerre. Cxfar quoque prolio Pharfalico inclinata iam acie Pompeij, prudentiffimo confilio,ne hoftes rurfum inftaurarent ordines, quoq́ue facilius victoria potiri poffet,dimiffis circumquaque preconibus,edixit fuis, ve ciuibus parcerent, $\&$ in fola fauirentauxilia:fimulq́ue victores victis occurrentes, iubebant ftare fecuros, quo facto hac vox, Sta fecurus, Pompeianis cœepiteffe pro teffera : itaque Cxfar vib appas,de ctoriam obtinuitb. huc fpectare videtur, belciumbli.2. quod Lycurgus fuis precepir, ve fi proxlio hoftes fudiffent ac viciffent, tantifper modò infequerentur fugientes, dum victoriam ftabilirent, deinde ftatim fereciperent: nō modò quia græcanicum non effer, cedentes interficere, fed \& vtilis
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haceffet ratio. hoftes enim, fif firent cedentibus parci;repugnantes occidi,fugá pugna vtiliorem fibi arbitraturos. ${ }^{2}$
a Plut.in ©. poph.

In victoria potifsimum de pace cogitandum.
I Melius malam, quam bonam fortunam ferre poffumus.
2 Nec fecunde, nec aduerfares immoderaté fcrende.
3 Invictoria paci fiudendium.
4 Inbella nibil miferius victoriat.
Caf. dvodecimva.
$I$ Ta profectò narura comparatum eft, vt melius malam, quàm bonam fortunam ferre pofimus. etenim, vt inquit Tacitus, fecundæ res acrioribus ftimulis animum explorant, quàm aduerf $x$ : quia miferix toleranturs felicitate corrumpi. mur. quod cognofeens $A b d o l o m i n u s e$ ftirpequidem regia, red inops admodü, \& pauper, à Sidonibus permiffu Alexädri rexconfticurus, rogatus ab Alexandro, qua parientia inopiam tuliffer, refpondit: Vtinam eodem regnú pati poffimb. \& huius quoque rei exemplo eft b b curtius ipfe Alexander Magnus, qui priufquam fefortuna animo cius infudiffer, orienté

De ivre et off. bbll. eam moderatè \& prudentertulit ;advltimum verò magnitudinem eius nô tulit ${ }^{2}$. Fitenim plerunque, vtrebus profperisinfolefcat animus humanus, vtiā nec alios ferre poffit, necfe . vbitamen maxim $x$ cuiq; fortun $x$ minimè credenduin fit: cùm quid vefper feret, incertum fit. Vnde vt aduerfas res* fic fecüdas im- 2 moderatè ferre maximxleuitatiseft . \& rectè precipere videntur,qui monent,vt quanto fuperiores fimus, tanto nos fubmiffius geramus. Sicutienim equos, vt ait Cicero ${ }^{\text {b }}$, propter crebras contentionespreqliorum ferocitate exultantes,domitoribus traderefolent, vt his facilioribus poffint vti : fic homines fecundis rebus effrenatos, fibiq́; prafidentes,tanquam in gyrū rationis, $\&$ doctrinæ duci oportet: vtpercipiant rerum humanarü imbecillitatem, varietatemq́uefortunx. nam non fatuendo tandem felicitati modum, nec cohibendo effcrentem fe fortunam, quanto quisaltius clatus fuerit,eo foedius corruet. Itaq; in viCtoria*, 3 qux alioqui infolens \& fuperba eft, pctiffimum pacifudendum: neque exfpeEtandum, donec (vt Demades de Athenieñ. intempeftiuo belligerandi ftudio deditis

Liber secyndvs. 155 deditis dicebat) atrati cādem petere cogamur ${ }^{2}$.nufquam enim minus, quàmin a paud.des bello euentus refpondet. Quare cùm nis. whe. Magonúcius victoriæ Annibalis ad Cänas, Carthaginem veniffet, \& fenatu ei dato, res fratris in Italia ampliffimis verbis extollerer, \& quo propior fyes belli perficiendi effer, co magis omni fpe iuuandum Annibalé effe diceret: his nihil motus Hanno, qué femper bellifufcepti aduerfus Romanos panituit, prudenter monuit, vt in fecundis rebusfortuna vti vellent, \& de pace potius, quàm de bello hoc tempus,quo magis dare quàm acci\#, pere poffumus videri pacem, vereor ne hxc quoque latitia luxuriet nobis, \& vana euadat ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. cuius fententia tunc tem-b biushb, 23 poris fpreta, poftea fed ferò nequicquam a fenatu laudata fuit: cum eò adactio effent, vt quas dare potuiffent cöditiones pacis, poftea fibi dari petentibus, denegatx fuerint: \& eo ftatu effent, quo de Latinis refert Liuius, vt neque pacem, nequebellum ferre poffent, qui ftatus rerum omnium miferrimus eft. Idem euenit Antiocho, cui(iam Romanis in Afram contra ipfum tranfuectis) de pace V 5 . compo.

De ivre et off. bell. componendaagenti, refpondtt Scipio, hoc prius faciendum fuiffe; non iam, vbi a Put, ina- frenum, fefforemq; rexaccepiffer ${ }^{d}$, Non pooph. App.de bel.Syr, Lun. eft itaque fapientis, pacem certam, qua $W_{6,37}$ in victoris manu fit, fallaci fpe incerta victorix, qux in fortunx manu fit, redimere. Quare Hafdrubal Hodus Carthaginieffum legat", cü de pace in fenatu Romę ageret, dicebat, raro fimul hominibus bonam fortunam, bonamq́ue mētem dari,\& populum Rom. oò inuictum effe, quòd in fecundis rebus faperet, \&s confulere meminiffet:fin fecudis rebus bonam quoque mentem donarent dij, non folum ea quæ eueniffent, fedetiam ea qux euenire poffent, reputaremus. Et bepipf.fam. Cicero bad Marcellum fribens: Licet, h. 4.4 ff f.3. inquit, omnia fint mifera in bellis, miferius tamen mhil, quam ipfa victoria ${ }^{*}$ : 4 quæ etiam fi ad meliores venit, tamen cos ipfos ferociores imporentioresq́ue reddit : vt etiam fi natura tales non fint, neceffitate tamen tales effe cogätur. Itaque Cęfar memor huius impotentię humanianimi, hoc vnumeffe tempus, de pace:agendicum Pompeioinquit, dum fibi vterquecöfiderer, \& pares ambo viderētur: fiveròaiteri paululum tribuif-fetfor-

$$
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$$ fet fortuna, non effe vfurum conditionibus pacis cum, qui fuperior videretur, neque fore $x$ qua parte contentum, qui feomnia habiturum confiderct. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

> a Caf.debel, cond. 46.3 ,

Deuictis holtibus, qua potifimum ratione perpetua pacequietiobtineri polsint.
I Non menor virtus parta tueri, guàm ac. quircres.
2 Conflium Herenny Pontÿ de Romanis ad Furcas Caudinas incluffs.
3 Sententia Camillide Latinis viftis.

* Iudicium fenatus de Priuernatibus ad deditionems coactis.
s M. Catonis fententia in rebellantes.
- Romani non permiferunt focius fine Ro. mano duce bellwim gerere.
7 Mos deducendicolonias.


## Capyt xifi.

${ }^{1}$ C Vm non minor fit virtus *, quàm quęrere parta tueri: \& victoria rectè vti, quàm vincere, (nāvr rectè Ouidius: Cafus inest illic, bic erit art is opus:) ideoq́; bella geramus, vtin pace viuamus: deuictis hoftibus tota cöfultatio effe deber, qua potiffimum ratione in perpetuü a rebellando coscontineamus. Quidenim profuit Pyrrho, in deuincendis hoftibus

De fure et off. bell. Atibus magnū fuiffe, cùm acquifita tuerì non potuerit:tanto melius Itudebataca wwfil.25. quirere imperia, quàm retinere a.quamobrem collatus fuit ab Antigonoindocto lufori, qui cùm \& multa \& feliciter edat, iis tamen nefciat vti. in quam rem longèvtiliffimum cenfeo confilium He rennij Pontij*C. Pontij patris.nam cùm 2 Samnites, duce C. Pontio, Romanos fraude ad furcas Caudinas inter duos faltus pertraxiffent, $\&$ inopes confilii in tam lætis rebus Herēnium Pontiū confulendum cenferent, confultus a nuncio filij Herennius, ce̋fuit omnes indequàm primùm inuiolatos dimittendos. qua vbi 臽reta fententia effer, \& iterumiterumq́ue eodem remeante nuncio confuleretur, cenfuit ad vnum omnes interficiendos : indicans priore cöfilio, quòd oprimum duceret, cum potētiffimo populo, per ingens beneficium perpetuam firmare pacem amicitiamq̣ue: altero in multas $\mathfrak{x t a t e s ,}$, quibus amiffis duobusexercitibus, haud facile receptura vires Romana res effet, bellum differre: tertiū nullum confilium effe.\& cùm filius, alijq́ue principes percűctando exquirerēt, quid fi media via confilium caperetur,vt \& dimit-

Liber sicvndvs. 157 \&dimitterenturincolumes, \& leges iis iurebelli viatis imponerentur : Ifta, inquit, fentētia ea eft, qux neq; amicos parat, neque inimicos tolli, feruare modo quos ignominia irritaueris. ea eft Ro\% mana gés, qux victa quiefcere nefcit: vi\# uet femp in pectoribusillorū, quidquid mifthuc præfens neceffitas iniunxerit, ne\# que cos ante multiplices pœenas expeti\% tas a vobis quiefcere finet. At neutra fententia accepta fuit : fed Romanis fubiugum miffis, paxilla Caudina facta fuit: qua poftea fpreta a feriatu, quòd minus ritè facta effet, deditis pacis auctoribus: \& Samnitibus pro fuperba pace infeftiffimum cernétibus renatum bellum,omnia qux inde venerunt, non in animis folùm, fed propè in oculis erant : \& fero nequicquam laudata fenis Pontij vtraque confilia : inter quæ fe inedia lapfos victorix poffeffionem pace in certa mutaffe, \& beneficij \& maleficij occafione omiffa, pugnaturcs cum iis, quos potuerant in perpetuum vel inimicos tollere, vel amicos facere ${ }^{\text {a }}$. a Lin, itio. prudentius verò Romani . nam cùm
3 Camillus * victis \& perdomitis Latinis, ad fenatum quid de iis fieri pla-

De ive eet off. bell. ceret, referret, ita locutus fuiffe fertur: ,s Reliqua confultatio eft, quoniam rebel- $\%$ lando fæpius nos follicitant I atini, quo-, nam modo perpetua pace quietos $\mathrm{ob}-\%$ tineamus. Dij immortales ita vos po- $\%$ tentes huius confilij fecerunt, vt fir La- ${ }^{2}$ tium, deinde an non fit, in veftra manu, pofuerint. Itaque pacem vobis,quod ad Latinosattinet : parare in perpetuí, vel〔xuiendo vel ignofcēdo poteftis. Vultis crudeliter confulere in deditos victofque? licet delereomne Latium, \& vaftas; inde folitudines facere, vnde fociali e-,, gregio exercitu, per multa bella magna- ", q́uefrpe vfi eftis. Vultis exemplo maio-" $"$ rum, augere rem Romanam, victos inci-" ", uitatem accipiendo ? materia crefcendi $"$ per fummam gloriam fuppeditat. Certè ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ id firmiffimum longè imperium eft, quo ", obedientes gaudent. fed maturato opus, eft, quicquid fatuere placet. Tot popu- , los inter (pem metumq́; fufpenfos ani-", mo habetis: \& veftram itaque de iiscurā ${ }^{\prime}$ quàm primùmablolui, \& illorúanimos, dum exfpectatione ftupét, , Cu beneficio,", feu pœna prooccupari oportet.hæcille. cuius relationem de fumma rerum fenasuslaudans, fed quòd aliorum caufa alia effer,

Liber secvndys. 158 effer, ita expediri poffe confilium dicere, vt pro merito cuiufque ftatueretur, relatione habita de fingulis populis. Quo facto exdecreto fenatus, aliis ciuitas data, facraq́ue fua reddita: aliis ciuitas quä habebant feruata, crimenq́ue rebellionis a publica fraude in paucos auctores verfum: aliis muri deiecti,\& alio habitari iuffi ${ }^{2}$. Eft quoque in hanc rem memo-a Lim $\mathrm{kb}, \mathrm{g}$ rabile iudicium fenatus de Priuernati-
.4 bus*, quibus ad deditionem coactis, \& ex fenatus decreto dirutis Priuerni muris, Vitrubioq́ue corü duce necato,cum fociis cius noxx:cùm Plácius conf.quid de reliqua multitudinefieri placeret, ad fenatum referret:vnus ex Priuernaribus legatis, magis conditionis, in qua natus effet, guàm prefentis neceffitatis memor, interrogatus a quodam ex fenatoribus, quam poenä meritos Priuernates cenferet : eam inquit, quam merentur, quife libertate dignos cenfent. cuius feroci refpôfo cùm infeftos factos videres conful eos, qui Priuernatium caufam impugnabant, vt ipfe benigna interro. gatione mitius refpöfum eliceret: Quid (i poenâ, inquit, remıtrimus vobis, qualem nos pacem vobifcü habituros fperemus?

De tive et off, bell. remus? fibonam dederitis,inquit, \&fidam, \& perpetuam; fi malam, hauddiuturnam. Quibus verbis concitatisnonnullorum animis, pars tamen meliorfenatus ad meliora refponfa trahere, $\&$ dicere, viri $\&$ liberivocem auditam $:$ nec credi poffe, vllum populum,aut hominé denique, in ea cöditione;; cuiuseum $p x$ nitear, diutius quàm neceffe fit, manfurum:ibi pacem effe fidam,vbi voluntarij pacati funt:neque eoloco,vbiferuitutê effe velint,fidem fperandam effe. Itaque exauctoritate patrum latum ad populũ eft, vt Priuernatibus ciuitas daretura. M. verò Cato ${ }^{*}$ ita maximè rebellantes contineri in officio poffe enfebat, fieffectum effer,ne poffent rebellare. itaque arma omnibus cis Iberum Hirpanis ademit, vna die murisomniumdirutisz quam rem adeo xgrè paffif funt, vt multi mortem fibimetipfis confcifcerent: ferox gens nullam vitam rati fine armis b c.i.lu. 34 effe. In quo Cato videtur fecutusexēplum Cyri, qui Lydis iterum rebellantibus arma \&equoşademit, iuffitǵ; cauponias, ludicras artes, \& lenocinia exercere. Qua ratione effeminati mollitie, priitinam vistutem perdiderunt, $\&$ quos

Liber secvndvs. 159 quosante Cyrum inuictos bellaeffecerant, in luxuriam lapfos ocium atque defidia fuperanit ${ }^{2}$. Annibal autem Sagunto capto, figno dato,omnes puberes a Luriz.at t: interfici iuffit. quod imperium crudele, vtinquit Liuius ${ }^{b}$, ceterum prope necef. $b$ ib. io : farium cognitum in ipfo cuentu eft، cui enim parci potuit ex iis, quiaut inclufi cum coniugibus, ac liberis domos fuper reipfos concremauerunt,autarmatinullum ante finem pugne, quàm morientes fecerunt? Quò Spectare videtur Agefilai dictum, difficile efle mifereri fimul \& fapere ${ }^{c}$. Hoc quoque confilio Romanis, a putis in A vt omnem rebellandi occafionem fociis rpph.
6 adimerent, non placebat ${ }^{*}$, focios fine Romano duce exercituq̆ue propriis viribus confilioque bella gerered. Vnde dDinintat: cùm Æqui Latinum agrum inuafiffent, ${ }^{i b, 8}$.
\& oratores Latinorum a fenatu pererét, vt aut mitrerent fubfidium, aut feipfos tuëdorumfintū caufa capere armafinerēt:tutius vifum eft defendi inermes Latinos, quàm pati retractarearma ${ }^{\circ}$. Mos clir, $u^{\prime}=$ quoq; vetuftuserat Romanis, cum quo nec fredere, necrequis legibus enet amicitia, non prius imperio in cum tanquă pacatum vti, quàm omniadiuina huma$X$ naq́ı

De ivre et off. bell. naq́ue dediffer, obfides accepti, arma adempta, prefidia vrbibus impofita foa Lim. L6.8. rent ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Fuit pręterea mos Romanis,nunc hos nuncillos Italix populosfubiugando, parte agri multare ${ }^{*}$, in eumq́ue co- 7 lonias ducere : aut in iam ante condita oppida nouos colonos fui generis affcribere. hx colonix tanquam prefidia, ${ }^{6}$ Dion.Bal. partis bello prouinciis imponebantur $b$.
 cwillb, 1. misoppreffa republ. eos,quorum opera in eo bello vfus tuerat, colonos deduxit in agros \& oppida corum, quilli reftiterant: qui opportunis locis difpofiti, in ipfus poteftate continerent Italiä, tranflatis ad eos vererum poffefforum prxdiis: quo beneficio eos per totam vitam habuit obnoxios, \& dominationem fuá mirum in modum ftabiliuit. cùmenim res fuas, nifi actis Sylle ratis, tueri nequirent, propugnatores eius dignitatis fuerunt etiam poft illius obitum:neque illi, quorum arces, mœnia, pecunias, immunitates luftulerat, propter ino piam no${ }^{6}$ Appian, de cere poterant ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Perlco antem victo, \&z bel cunk.,4b. 1 Macedonibus liberis effe iuffis, regis amicos,purpuratosq́ue,duces exercituũ prefecios nauium aut prefidiorum, aliosq̆ue

Liber secvndvs. 160 liosque miniftros omnes, regi feruire humiliter,aliisque fuperbè imperareaffuetos, Macedonia excederc Romani,\% in Italiam migrare iufferunt : ne fortè
 Huc fectaffe videtur Lycurgus in legibusfuis, quas Retras vocant, quibus vetuit fxpius córra eofdem bellum gerere: ne ijbellandi rationem difcerent.Itaque Agefilao continenter cú Thebanis bella gerente, $\&$ in pugna quadam vulnerato, Antalcidam ei dixiffe ferunt: Pulchram a Thebanis mercedem reportas, quos nefcientes pugnare docuifti, nam reuera narratur, nunquam bellicofiores fuiffe Thebanos, quàm tum temporis, ob crebras Lacedxmoniorum aduerfus eos expeditiones ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. fortuna enim belli, $\underset{\text { poply. }}{\mathrm{b}}$ p A . vt inquit Q. Curtius, atrem vitos quoque docet.
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## DE IVRE ET OFFICIIS

## bellicis, et disciplina mi.

 litari, Libertertivs.
## DE DISCIPLINA MILITARI.

1 Difciplinamilitaris magni est momenti.
2 Tyrones \&́ imbelles difciplina militari fiunt bellicofi.
3 Capuanie delicisperdiderunt Annibalis excrcitum, alioqui inuictum.

* Neglecta velresense difciplina militaris testimonium.
s Mulierescafira fequiturpe.
- Cura recidesadorum impedimentorum.

7 Muli Mariani.
8 Exercitus unde nomen babeat.
, Leges militares.
Io Tria curare debent milites.
I 1 CMilitaris difciolina.
Cap. primvm.
1
Vantum momenti ${ }^{*}$ in difciplina militari politū fir, docéc nos hiftorix, \& ipfa quotidiana experientia. \& certè nulla alia re populum Kom. fibi orbem terrarum fubegiffe, nifiarmorum exercitatione, difciplina caftrorum, vfuque X 3 militix,

De ivre et off. bell. a vegatius de militix, fatis conftata, idq́; pulchrè exint mult.l. I 1 lisub inproor. hif. b in milite Marian. preffit Quintilianus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ cum ait ; Si verè ,, exiftimemus,imperium populi Rom.ad," hanc diem militaridifciplina ftetit. non " enim nobis aut multitudo maior, quàm, Cimbris : aut maiores opes, quàm locu-\% pletiffimis regnis:aut mottiscontéptus", facilior, quàm plerifq; barbaris, caufam," vitę non habentibus.principes nos facit " feueritas inftitutorü, ordo militix, amor" quidam laborss, quotidianæ exercitatio- \% nes, affidua belli meditatio. VndeValeris, ${ }^{2}$
© lib.2, e.,. Max ${ }^{c}$.pracipuum decus,\&ftabilimentū Romani imperij, difciplinæ militaris tenacifimum vinculū fuiffe dicit:in cuius finu ferenus, tranquillusquebeatx pacis ftatus acquiefcit. Et quidem pluresexercitus feruorum*, tyronum, \& imbelliü, 2 fola difciplina \& imperio inuictos, \& bellicofiffimos factos fuiffe leginus: \& econtra, plurimos exercitus veteranorū militum, inuictos alioqui, fola licentia, omnibus vitiis, neglecta difciplina militari, corruptos \& confumptos fuiffe, \& longè ante periiffe, quàm hoftem vidiffent. cuius rei fidê facit Tullus Hoftilius Romanorum rex, qui Romanos quadraginta iam annos in ocioagentes, ad bellum

Liber tertivs. 162 bellum exciuit, $\&$ belli expertes, fola di-
 Sempronius Gracchus, cùm ei feruorum exercitus datus effer, brcui effecit exercitatione \& ordine militis, vt nemo corum generis ac fanguinis fui memor in acie effer, prefidıo fociis, hoftibus terrori effent b. Sic quoque Pe- b tiu,bb, 26 lopidas \& Epaminondas, liberatis Thebis, a feruitute Spartanorum, facilè cos inftitutis militaribus ex imbellibus optimos milites effecerunt : ita vt non folùm pares effent Spartanis, fed etiam fuperiores. Scipio verò Æmilianus, optimus imperator, \& qui imperatorias artes optimè callebat, vt Numantinx vrbis magnos firitus fuperiorum ducum culpa nutritos contunderer, collapfam militix difciplinam, vt primùm caftra intrauit, ciectisduobus millibus fcortorum, \& omnibus iis qux volupratis caufa comparata erant, reftituiffe fertur. qua ratione acremillam \& animofam Numantiam, incendiis exuftam, suinisq́ue proftratam, folo æquauit. ita vt neglectx militaris difciplinx iudicium, vtait Valerius Maxc. Mancini mi- c bu.2.c.7. ferabilisdeditio, feruatæ merces,Scipio-
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 nis fpeciofiffimus triumphus exftiterit. quem fecutus Metellus, cùm exercitum in Africa Iugurthino bello, nimia Sp . Albiniindulgentia corruptü accepiffer, difciplinam militarem in ftatum fuum redegit : \& edicto primùm adiumenta ignauix fuftuliffe dicitur : atque pracla- ras ex hofte victorias adeptus cft ${ }^{2}$. Et Scipio Africanuspofterior,conful in Africam miffus, videns corruptam difciplinam militum fub Pifoneaffuctorum ocio, rapinis \& auaritix, \& nunquam le deuicturum hoftes, nifuos in poteftate haberet : caftigatis graui oratione militibus, omnibusq́ue, qui non militarent, exactis, difciplina militari ftabilita, potentem illam Carthaginem Rom.impeb App.debl. rij æmulă euertit b. Annibalis verò exerpun. citum, aduerfus omnia humana mala fxpe acdiudurantem, bonis inexpertum atque infuerum, quem nulla malivicerat vis, perdidere nimia bona ac voluptates immodicx Capuan $x^{*}$.Somnusenim, \& 3 vinum, \& epulx,\& fcorta, balneaq́ue, \& ocium cöfuetudine in diesblandius, ita eneruauerant corpora, animosq́ue, inquit Liuius ${ }^{\text {c }}$, vt magis deinde praterita vietorix $\cos , q u a ̀ m$ pręfentes tutarentur vires; vires:maiusíque id peccatum ducis apud peritos artium militarium habitū,quàm quod non ex Cannenfiacie protinus ad vrbem Romāduxiffet: illa enim cunctatio diftuliffe victoriā videri potuit : hic errorvires ademiffe ad vincendum. Itaq; hercle, velut fi cum alio exercitua Ca pua exiffet, nihilvfquam puftinæ difciplinx tenuit. nam \& redierunt plerique fcortis impliciti: \& vbi primùm fub pellibus haberi cœptifunt, viaq́ue \& alius militaris labor excepit,tyronum modo, corporibus animisq́ue deficiebant : \& deinde per omne xftiuorum tempus, magna pars fine commeatu ab fignis dilabebantur, neque alix latebre, quàm Capua defertoribuserat. Itaq; M. Marcellus in aciem copiis fuis eductis apud Nolas, vt fuorum militum animos erigeret, hoftiexprobrabat Capuam Annibali Cannas fuiffe. ibi virtutē bellicam, ibimilitarem difciplinam, ibi prateriti temporis famam, ibi futuri extinctam: atque ita prxlio commiffo hones fudit. \& quemadmodum Annibalis exercitui Capua, fic militibus Alexandri Babylonia plurimum nocuit, \& difciplinammilitaremadmodum corrupit:vfqueadeo, $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ vt Ale-
## De ivreet off. belr.

 vt Alexandrum ad difcrimina, qux poftea lequebätur, hauddubiè debiliorem futurum fuiffe, fi hoftem habuiffer, fcria ilibs. bat Q. Curtius a. Et vt breuiterdicam, nullum maius neglectx, vel retentodifciplinæ militaris teftimonium * habere 4 poflumus, quàm de Romanis : quicùm ante iufticix opinione, ac belli glorta omnes populos fuperarent : poftea labente dificiplina, abomnibus fuperati funt. Itaque Cato apud Salluftium: Nolite, inquit, exiltimare, maiores noftros," armis rempubl. ex parua magnà feciffe. ," fiita res effet, multo puicherrmam eam, haberemus: quippe fociorumarque ci- ", uium, praterea armorum, atque equo- $\%$ rum maior nobis copia, quam illis eft. ", fed alia fuere, quxillos magnos fecere," qux nobis nulla funt: domi induftria,", foris iuftum imperium, animus in con- $\#$ fulendo hber, neq̣ue delicto, neque libidini obnoxius. pro his nos habemuslu-,$\%$ xuriam, atq; auaritiam: publicè egeftaté; ${ }^{2}$, priuatim opulétiam: laudamus diuitias; ", fequimur inertiá : inter bonos $\&$ malos difcrimé nullum eft. omnia virtutis prę- $\%$ mia ambitio poffidet. Scitè vero Fabri- " cius,legat ${ }^{\circ}$ ad Pyrrbû profectus,cú apud$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Liber tertivs. } & 164
\end{array}
$$ cum Cyneam Theffalum narrătem audiffer, quendam Atheniéfem clarum fapientia, fuadere, ne quid aliud homines, quàm voluptatis caufa facerent : pro monftro eam vocemaccepit, continuoque Pyrrho \& Samnitibus hoftibus iftá fapientiam deprecatus efta. Prudenter a val,Max: quoque Spartana ciuitas, diu ciuiū fuo4b.4.cap.z rum oculos ab Alię afpectu retraxit,quia inde omnes manare delicias, certa corporis \& animi venena perniciofiffima cognofcebat, \& plus fimilibus victoriis amitti,quàm bello quærib. Hinc quoq;

 ximæturpitudinis fuir, \& \{cuerifimæ animaduerfionis. \& militivxorem vel familiam apud fe habere non'conceditur,

 Sed \& procöful tenetur de delictis vxo- dlectf ciotra: ris, quam fecum in prouinciam duxit ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$. Melius quoque effe dicit Vlpianus f , vt mppt. procóful fine vxore in prouinciam pro- $\begin{gathered}\text { fita } \\ \text { sof }\end{gathered}$, pro ficifcatur. idq́ue Seuerus Cxcina apud off. Ifows de Tacitum 8 cenfuit : ineffe enim dicebat find for pro mulierum comitatui, quæ pacem luxu, g Aunall. . 3 . bellum formidine morentur, \& Romanum agmé ad fimilitudinem barbari in-. ceflus

De ivre et off. belx. ceffusconuertant. Olinqquoque excóflitutione Conflantini,abiectarum mulierum coniunctiones, quibuidam militibusinterdicebantur. quod tamen imperator Iuflinianus correxit,concedens militibus, vt quafcunque vellent, modo a Authevt 2 - ingenuas vxores ducerenta. Præcipuè e-
 ance. g. llo indubusanser. itacalogito. C.denupt. cura recidendorum impedimentorum, quibus maximè agmen grauari folet. Vnde Philippus Macedo, cùm primùm exercitum confriberet, vehiculorum vfum interdixit: equitibus non amplius, quàm firgulos calones habere permifit, peditibus verò denis fingulos, qui molas \& funes ferrent. Scipio culcitras haberi prohibuit, primusq̆ue ipfe culcitra fonea vfus eft : milites initinere afinos, aut mulos inequitare vetuit, dicens parü abeo homine in bello exfpectandū effe, bappiande quifuis pedibusire non poifet ${ }^{\text {b }}$. C.Ma bell. Hisp. rius recidēdorum impedimentorū gratia , vala \& cibaria militum in farciculos aptata furcis impofuit, fub quibus $\&$ habile onus, \& facile effer : unde \& in proc Reg. ib. .1. uerbium tractum, Muli Mariani* ${ }^{*}$ idq́ue 7 sts.22. par. 3 . d Tu\{c.qual. sth.z. \& conftitutionibus tegni Hifpanix cautumeft ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Quò fpectare videtur Cicerod, cùm
$8 \%$ cùmait : Noftri exercitus* vnde nomen habent vides:deinde qui labor,quantus agminis : ferre plus dimidiati menfis cibaria, ferre fi quid ad vfum velint, ferre vallum. namfcurum, gladium, galeam, in onere noftri milites non plus numerant, quàm humeros, lacertos, manus. arma cnim membra militis dicunt : qua quidem, inquit, ita feruntaptè, vt fivfus foret,abiectis oneribus,cxpeditisarmis, \% vtmembris pugnare poffent. Alexander verò cùm graue foliis apparatuqúue luxurix agmé vix mouerctur, torius exercitus farcinis, exceptis admodum neceffariis, conferre iuffis,primũ fuisface fubdita, ceteras incendi pracepit, vt potius farcinarum, quàm difciplinæ iactura fieret ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Prxtereaquodad militarem difci- a o. wntins plinam attiner, lege militari cautú fuit, 9 ne ${ }^{*}$ lixæ permixti cú equitibus vagêtur, néue frumentum publicè datum miles vendar, aut pradas pecorum vel mancipiorum vino commuter, néue gregarius miles inacie, vel in caftris feruum aut iumentum habeat, neu quis, vbi tribunus militum fuiffet, poftea ordinum ductor effer, neque qui ordines duxiffer, miles

leronem

De ivreet off. bell. leronem quendam de plebe hominem, qui fuperioribus expeditionibus ordines duxiffet, inter gregarios afferiptum, recufaffe militiá : quia negaret, eo quòd ordines duxiffer, fe militem fieri debere, a Dion.Hal, nullam ignominiam in militia meritū ${ }^{\text {a }}$. L6.9. Miles quoquequi fuo tempore annonā $b^{\prime}$ forts limi. non exegiffer, ea fraudabatur ${ }^{b}$. Docen-
 ,0,46,12. roces aduerfus hoftes effent ; humani ergaciues ac focios : timidi ad iniurias inferendas;promptiverò ad vindictam:vtque nihil magis cuperent, quàm laudem \& gloriam adipifci. Sedulò quoq;olim cauebatur, vt primum vinculum militü putarent facramenti religionem, fecundum fingulorum amorem, velut inexplicabilem nexum, tertium deferédinefas. L.たmilius Paulus dicebat, vnūimperatorem in exercitu prouidere $\&$ cöfulere, quid agendum fir, debere, nüc per fe, nunc cum is quos aduocauerit in cōfilium : qui non fint aduocati, eis nec palam, nec fecretoiactare confilia fua: militem hęc cria curare debere*: corpus, 10 vt quàm validiffimum, $\&$ perniciofififimum habeat:armaapta:cibum paratum adfubitaimperia : ceterafcire de fe, diis immor.

## Liber tertivs. 166

 immortalibus \& imperatori fuo curę effe debere. in quo exercitu côful \& imperator rumoribus vulgi circuiageretur, $\mathbf{i b i}$ nihil falutare effe ${ }^{2}$. Nam, vt inquit Taci- a Lim, bb .44 tus, tam nelcire quedam milites, quàm fcire oportet. Ita fe ducum auctoritas,fic rigor difciplinx habet, vi multa per centuriones tribunosq́; tantum iuberi expediat Parendo quoq, potius quàmimperia ducū frifcitando, res militares continétur: \& fortiffimus in ipfo difcrimine exercitus eft, qui ante difcriméquietuffimus. Itaque Cæfar apud Auaricū temeritatem, cupiditatemq́; militum reprehédit,quod fibi i pfiiudicaffent, quò procedendū, aut quid agendũ videretur:neque figno receptuidato cöftitiffent, neque a tribunis militú, legatisq́; retineri potuifsêt : \& quátopere corī animi magnitudinē fe admirari dicebat, $q$ non caflrorū munitiones, nōaltirudo montis, nō murus oppiditardare potuiffet: tâtopere hcentià arrogátiamq́; reprehédere, क $\mathrm{pl}^{9} \mathrm{fe}, q u a \bar{a}$ imperatoré, de victoriaatq; exitu rerülentireexiftimafsêt:nec minus fem milite modeftiá,\& continentiā,quä virtutē atq; animi magnitudiné defide- b ceffidebl. rare ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$,Ceterūexftat apudFlauiū Yopifcü gal lib. 7 .De ivreet off. bell. Aureliani Cæfaris epittola ad tribunum quendam: quadifciplina militaris* bre- II uiter perftringitur, in hæc verba : Sivis, tribunus effe, imo fi vis viuere, manus, militum cótine : nemo pullum alienum $\%$ rapiat, ouem nemo cótingat, vuam nul- \% lus auferat, oleum, fal, lignum nemo exi- „, gat, annona fua contentus fit, de preda " hortium, nö de lacrymis prouincialium," habeat : arma terfafint, ferramenta fan- " nata, calciamenta fortia, veftis noua, ve- „, terem veftem excludat,ftipédium in bal-, teo non in popina habeat,torquem bra-, chialem \& annulum apponar, equum $\mathfrak{a}$ - ", ginarium fuum defricet, animal non vē- , dat, mulum centuriatum comiter curet,, 2 alter alteri quafi feruus obfequatur, a " medicis gratis curetur;atufpicibus nihil,, dent, in hofpitiis caftè fe gerant, quilité, fecerit, vapulet. hæcille. Sed \& quò me- » lius milites in officio contineantur, precipitur prxfidibus prouinciarū,per quas fit militum tranfitus, vt in parato habeát vnde exercitus ali poffir, fine querela prouncialium : \&expenfo eo nomine factx imputantur tributo, quod forte principidebetur: milites quoque iubétur iis cibariis contenti effe, quæ in fintgulis

Liber tertivs.
tranf, mslit: coll. 10.

De officio legati, tribuni \& aliorum qui militibus prefunt.

I Pracipua militis mania apud Rom.
2 Legati qui.
3 Alia legati partes, alia imperatoris.
4 Mandati fines in bello non licet excedere.
5 Vtrum ex nowa yei bene geresde occafions a mandato recedi pofit.

- Officiumregentisexercitum.

7 Commeatus parcè dandus militibur.
8 Milites promeritis promouendifust.
? Tribunimilatum imperium babucrunt in milites, izsdémque vites praferrijolent.
1o Tribunorum munus.
II Falfum numerum militum referens quo. modo puniarur. .

Capltif.

$S_{m}^{v}$ve pręcipua fuerint olim apud Romanos* militiæ munia, fatis indicât hæc Papyrij dictatoris verba a Liuio „ prolata ${ }^{\text {b }}$, cum ait: Non milescenturio- b lib.s. „nis, non centurio tribuni, non tribunus m legati, non legatus confulis, nō magifter Y equitum

De ivre et off. bell. equitum dietatoris pareatimperio: non $\%$ edicta imperatorum obferuentur. De » officioautē imperatoris feu ducis exercitus, \& eius poreftate alibi diximus.Legati verò erant ${ }^{*}$ comites expeditionis \& 2 adiutores negotiorum, qui confulibus, \& imperatoribus decernebãtur, vt ipfo. rum vices gererent, \&eorum cöfilio res adminiftrarent. confiliorum quoque, 2 fortium factorum, ac meritæ virtutis \& ignauix cuiufque, qua cura, qua fide, \& diligentia, quaq́; difciplina militariducesexercitui \& caftris preeffent, fi quid fictum vanumq́ue, aut parum integra veritate afferrent,locupletiffimi tefteserant legati. quorī etiam auctoritas, tam inadminiftranda prouincia, quàm ducendo exercitu,acieq́ue inftruenda tanti fuit, vt abfentibus, velimpeditisconfulibus vel imperatore,fummam imperii tenerent ${ }^{2}$. Porròillud conftat, alias effe lelex gen. docтй lib.6.6.c.3. $b$ de bell.ci.ci vil.ab.3. gati ${ }^{*}$ partes,atque imperatoris,vtait $\mathrm{Ce}-3$ far ${ }^{\text {b }}$.alter ominia ad profriptum agere, alter liberè ad fummam rerum cöfulere debet.Ideoque CæfardicebatSyllx,quĕ decedens caftris prafecerat, confilium non reprehendendum videri, qui fuos longius Pompeianos perfequentes reuocauit:

Liber tertivs. uocauit:quamuis tamen plerique exiftimarent, fiacrius infequi voluiffer, bellü codie potuiffe finiri. Sylla enim a C 盾recaftris tantum relictus, liberatis fuis, hoccontentus fuit, neque praliodecertare voluit. Simili ratione Q. Titurius Sabinus, legatus Cxfaris, bello Gallico caftris fe continuit, hoftibus iam advallü caftrorumaccedentibus: quòd cum tãta multitudine hoftium, prefertim eo abfente, qui fummam imperij teneret, nifi xquoloco,aut opportunitatealiquadata, legatodimicädum non exiftimaret a. a Cafar de Et quidé quemadmodü Romani in im- bel.gal.l6b. 3 . peratores (quibus liberă de fumma rerū confulendi poteftatem concedebant) re male gefta,remiffi admodum fuere, vtaliolocodictum eft: fic imperii fpreti vel non feruati, in legatos, tribunos, \& alios imperatore inferiores, afperi vindices 4 extiterür.Mandatierim finesexcedere* in re militari vitiofiffimum femper habitum fuit, \& capite vindicatum, etiam re bene geftab. Qua potiffimú ratione, \& veteriinftituro vicir cōtentio Papyrij dictatoris, pofcétis Q. Fabium magiftrū equitumadfupplicium, quod cótraeius imperium exercitumeduxiffer, quamuis

$$
Y_{2} \quad \text { fulis }
$$

> De ivre et off. bell.
> fufis Sänitibus in caftra redierat : intercedente etiam pro Fabio populo Rom. tribunisǵue plebis: quibus tandem oratione Papyrij victis, \& ad preces \& obteftationé verfis, vt libi pœonam magiftri equitum remitteret, dictator conceffir, teftatus tamen, non noxæ eximi Q. Fabium, qui contra edictum imperatoris pugnaffer, fed noxx damnatum donari populo Rom. donari tribunitix poteftati, precarium, non iuftum auxilium ferenti: fibi fufficere viciffe difciplinam militarem \& imperij maieftatem,qux in
> a Liw.lb.8. difcrimine fuerata. Sunt tamen quiexiftiment, fi noua occafio rei bene*gerēdx s offeratur, recedia mandato poffe,fi puta repentinus aliquis cafus felicem fucceffum \& pxne certam victoriam pollicea-
> b Argumento l.f. huminem. ff. mandati. Latè Felinus in'c.1.col.r4 de cons?st, un c. quod juper. col.3.demaio. or ubed. Cre. men. Fing.iso C Rochus Cust.inc.yls. de cöfuet. col. 2. tur: ne oblata diuinitus rei bene gerédx occafio amittatur b. quod tamen fcrupulo non caret, prefertim fi alicui Papyrio, Manlio, vel Poftumio, hominibus duris \& inexorabilibus ratio reddenda fit : qui exiftimauerunt corrumpiatq̆ue diffolui officium omne imperätis,fiquis ad id, quod facere iuffuseft, nō obfequio debito, fed confilio non confiderato refpondet. Etenim diligenter cuftodiendi funt
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funt fines mandati, \& nihil contrafaciêdum eft, etramfieo facto videri poffit res euentura profperius, exq́; vilitate cius, quiid tibi negotium mandauit ${ }^{3}$.fuit bre.quxftio etiam a philofophis variè tractata ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Papyrij certè feueritas, alios a ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ nowt. cal. re bene gerenda, occafione oblata deter- ${ }^{\text {copp. } 1.23 .}$. ruit: atque ita M. Valerio legato, qui cafris preerat, ablente Papyrio, in oculis exemplū erat Q. Fabius,inquit Liuius ${ }_{2}$ c cib.s. ne quam vim holtium magis, quàm trucemdietaroris iram timeret : itaque frumentatores cùm circumuéti ex infidiis, cxfi loco iniquo effent, creditum vulgò eft, fubucniri eis legato potuife, ni tri6 Atia edidazexhorruiffet. Porro officium ${ }^{*}$ regentis cxércitum, non folùm in dāda, vtMarcianus refpondit, fed ectiam in obferuanda difciplina confiftit.\& qui mili7 tibus preeft, quàm parciffimè*iis commeatum daredebet : vfque adeo, vtneq; pifcatum, neque venatum liceat militem mittere:quod in difciplina Augufticautum fuid. Et quidem, vt maximè omnia tuta fint,nö plaribusquàm trigintatri- mo c.d.re bunus commeatum dare poreft.fi plurimmisi. Li. 12 . bus datus fuerit,eorum ftipendia in filcú defetuntur : iubeturq́ue tribunus, qui

Y 3 commea-

De ives et off. bell. commeatum dedit, illa militibus refun-
a l. inbemus. $\$$ sumer $h u$. $\$$ non däda. C. do croy mil: imminétis, nulli omnino a fignis abeffe
 cönest.1.12. Adeo autem odiofum eft, a fignis abeffe, vt miles, quiin commeatu agit, non vicli. If. dere deatur reipub.caufa abeffe ${ }^{c}$. Non poteft preterea is, qui exercitui prxef, milites a numeris ad alios numeros prolibidine *transferre, nifivetilitatis publicx caufa 8 princepsconcefferit. Namvtaitimpedinliconta rator ${ }^{\text {d }}$, honoris augmentum, non ambipristicam C. tione, fed labore ad vnumquemque cōno.12. uenit peruenire : \& non debet quis ad dignitatem fuffragio, fed laboribusatq;
e h. 0 z. ordine numerorum prouehi ${ }^{\text {e }}$. Is namq; c.dof.mut. cereros debet antecedere, quem Atipen-
 prif. pratiA- Itaque Q.Metellus conful; quäuis nulla lege impediretur, quin filium contubernalem perpetuum haberet, maluit tamé eum in ordine merere. \& egregio fratagemate vfus fuiffe Theogenes Atheniéfis dicitur, qui cùm exercitum Megaram duceret, perétibus militibus ordinesrefpondir, ibi fe daturum : deinde equites pramifit, eosq́ue hoftiom fpecie impetú infuos

Liber tertivs. 170 in fuos retorquere iuffit. quo facto, quos tum habebat tanquam ad hoftium occurfum præparatos, permifir ita ordinari aciem, vt quo quifque voluiffet loco cōGifteret : \& cùm inertiffimusquifque re. tro fe dediffer, ftrenui autem in frontem profiluiffent, vt quemque inuenit ftantem, ita ad ordines militix prouexit. Veteri quoque inftituto ad tribunatū nemo admitti poterat, nifi prius alamduxiffet : neque alam ducere nifi cohorti præfuiffet. fuitḉue antiqui moris, vt miles in caftris ad centurionem, centurio ad tribunum mane falutatum iret, $\& \mathrm{ad}$ edictum płæfto effet. Hadrianus verò Cæfar, virosætatis exactę, \& fummę prudétiæ, doctos ętate\& vfíad tribunatum cuexit, vetuitq́ueedicto, ne imberbisadolefcens tribunusfieret. \& Alexander Macedo tanti tribunos $\&$ cẻturiones fecit, vt neminem, nifi fexagenarium, ordinesducere permiferit. conftat tamen \& olim nōnunquam in indignos,imo in impuberes has dignitates collatas fuiffe a. Fuit quoque lege militari cautum, mifer. $s, i, m$ ne quis vbitribunus fuiffer, poltea ordi- mukem. If de
 curio, \& ordinum ductor tribuno infe-biff. milt.

De ivre et off. bell. riores erant. id tamen non in fauorem trıbunorum,led in odium P.Salonij, qui alternis fere annis \& tribunus militum, \& primus centurio erat ad poftulatione coniuratorum militum, lege facrata mi246.7. litari cautum fuiffe, auctor eft Liuius ${ }^{2}$. Aclicet tribunis, militum centuriones \& decuriones fubfint, ipfitamen legati imperio parent. Porrò tribunos militū, quicaftris $\&$ legionibus prxerant ${ }^{*}$, im- 9 perium in milites habuiffe, peruulgatū eft: iisq́aenon virgas,vt confuliaut pratori,fed ad terrorem audacie, vites lictores pręferebant:contumacesq́ue milites Romaninon virgis, fed vitibus per centuriones; externos verò fuftibus plectebant. quę pœena minus infamabat, quàm b plin. Lu. 14 quxa lictore effetillata ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Sciendum eft cap. 1. ramen, non licuiffe tribunis in caput ciuis Romani anımaduertere, neque altecy. de iedi- riusquidē militis,vtalio locodicemus ${ }^{\text {c }}$. ceps ad tribunatum quépiameueheret, gladium illi in manu darét : quo fignificabatur in milites iure militari habere imperium. Præcipuum verò tribunorŭ inunus* fuir, caftrorum \& exercitus cu- ıo ram gerere, ne fine munimento, fine cufodiis

Atodis fint : peruigiles excubias iubere, ne quid occuttè hoftes moliri poffint: milites in caftris haberedicto audientes, \& intentos imperio, ad exercitationes ducere, in ftationes mittere, claues portarum fufcipere, vigilias circumire, frumentationibus cōmilitonum intereffe, frumentum probare, méforum fraudem coërcere, querelas militum audire, eorumq́ue delicta, fecundum fux auctoritatis modum caftigare, valetudinarios infpicere, fauciorum curam gerere, in primisq́ue milites ad folenni iufiurandum adigere ${ }^{3}$. Prxterea a tribunis, fiue a. 1 offrium. in hoftesducere, fiue caftra metari, fiue of officium. in prima acie, \& fronte locari, aut in fubfidijs poni, vel in ftationes, \& vigiliasire conueniret, tefferam milites petebant: ipfi verò ab imperatore, quod dari oportebat fignum,affumebant.Milites quoq; in conflictu praliorum fingulos \& vniuerfos hortari,et monere, atq; inceffantes animaduertere tribuni propriu munuserat. miffionem quoque militibus perfunctis militia, fi caufam probarent, exauctoritate \& iuffu confulum dabăt: propria verò auctoritate hoc facere tribunis non licuit. Itaque $Q$. Fuluius Y 5 Flaccus

## De ivre et offo bell.

 Flaccuscenfor, Fuluium fratrem, quòd cohortem legionis, in qua tribunuserat, iniuffu confulis miffam fecerat, fenatu ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Val.Max. mouit ${ }^{3}$. Deniqueducibus, tribunis \& erat, in locisvbixftiua habebant,cogere tyrones milites, cùm primum merere cœpiffent fipendia, per turmas hincinde crebro concurrere, decurrere, clamare, locum tenere, imperium facere, iuffa exequi, feq́ue in orbem colligere, cuneum facere, \& phalangem, \& fequifigna, \& pati txdium, xftus ac vigilias affuefcerent: ne fine confilio, fine imperio in hoftestenderent, ne pulfiloco cederent, $\& \mathrm{vt}$ in acie quifque agnofceret ordinesfuos, ita vt vfuquotidiano, non minus ipfífibi prefcriberent, quid facto opus foret, nec hoc incitante vllo, nec imperante quoquam ab aliis difcederét. Qux difciplina prxcipua Iphicrati traditur, ve fine ducis opera, milites ita inftitueret in acie confiftere, vt peritiffimè difpofiti viderêtur. Ceterum tribunus*, in vel centurio, velalius, qui refertfalfum
bl.vts. ©pro numerum militum, ftipendia intercilumutamis c. piens, condemnatur in quadruplum, \& Afric: dignitate priuatur ${ }^{\text {b }}$ :ex conftitutionibus verò
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 verò Gallix capite punitur. Item lege litem Icgendum mittendúmue pecunia ff.adlıg.tw. acceperit ${ }^{2}$ : ideoq́ue exilio, vel etiam grauius puniri folet ${ }^{\text {b }}$. \& tyrocinij caufada- 6 . bed indis. tum,repeti poteft, tanquam datü ob tur- adeg. ivhre: pem caufam ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Porrò qui occafione tranfitus, ab vrbibus vel prediis perconcuf. fionem quid accipit, tenetur in duplūd. qui verò prouinciam nudauit, folet eo remitti, \&quadruplú reftituere iuberie

## de metatoribvs Sive men-

 soribys.I Metatores finc menforesqui.
2 Hofpitiaquomodo defignentur militibus.
3 2uiliberi fint a molestia accipiendihoJpitio.
4 solumbo/pitiummilitibus concedendum. s Metatorurn qui abbofpitibus pecuniams accipiunt, paena.

> Caprtinio.
$I$

MEtatores, fiue menfores ${ }^{*}$, non folùm illidicti, qui caftris ponendis, tentoriis ac tabernaculis collocandis locum deligunt, ac metas præfiniunt : qua de re pulchrè Polybius ${ }^{f}$ : fedetiam qui fderommini hofpitio excipiendis militibus, in vr- $\frac{\text { iitere caffro. }}{\text { mege }}$ bibus domos defignaturi pracedunt, tims lib. 2.s., \& notas
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 \& notas prafigũt,adiecto nomine eius, qui in quaquedomo hofpitio accipienduseft: quas qui delere aufus fuerir, vt 2l.1. C. de falfir reuscödemnatur a. Porrò ex relcrimetat. © E pide. pto imperatorüAicadij \& Honorijb, do- litideputata fuit : ita tamen vt dominus primam haberet eligendi facultatem, fecundä hofpes, tertia rurfum domino relinqueretur. Tabernx quoq; mercibus deputatę liberę ab hac diuifione manere folét: nifif fortè ftabulum militi in tertia dom ${ }^{9}$ parte deeffer.Illuftribusautē viris, nó tertia parsdom', fed dimidia deputari folet: hac videlicet conditione, vtalter
c d.l.2. eligeret, alter $æ q$ uis partibus diuideret ${ }^{c}$. Antigonus verò edixit, ne quis minor quinquaginta annis hofpitio matrisfamilias vteretur: \& cūfiliŭ diuertiffe audiffet in domum cuiufdam, cuitres filix infignes fpecie effent : Audio, dicebat,fili, angutè habitare te, pluribus dominis domú poffidentibus, horpitiū laxiusac-", cipe:\&cömigrare iufit. Miles prxterea, qui in ea vrbe domum habet, non poteft peterealiam fibi hofpitij gratiadefignari d. Sunt autem liberi*ab hac hofpitio 3 accipiendi moleftia, medicifacri palatii,
\& vrbis $\underset{\&}{\text { accipiendi moleftia, medicifacri palatii, }}$
d l.ommes, C.
de metat. epid.lib. 12.
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\&vrbis Romx magiftriliberalium artiŭ, \& pictores ingenuia. vacant quoqueab ${ }_{\text {a }} l$ Archimhac moleftia confulares, patricij, confu- sor.c.d.su. les, corumq́uc heredes, $\&$ alij qui dignitate prafulgent : quibus omnibus magnamimmunitatem tribuit Arcadij imperatoris conftitutio ${ }^{\text {b }}$. \& Claudius im- bin 1. actles perator partüdecreto prohibuit milites s.C.C.dut. domos fenatorias etiam falutandi caufa ingredic. Tenues quoque vitæ homines c sumt in wicoginon debent ad fufcipiendos hofpi- ${ }^{t a} \mathrm{C}$ land. tio milites ${ }^{d}$. Ceterūin vrbibus vbifunt $d t$. dicicures. 4 milites *,folum hofpitium illis conceditur:ita vt nihil ab hofpite, quod velipfis, vel animalium paftui neceffarium fit,pee l.denotumb. tere poffint, nec quidem volentibus ho- er tprd.l.,.1.
5 fpitibus accipere ${ }^{\text {c. Metatores verò }}{ }^{*}$ qui ab hofpitibus per concuffionem pecuniam acceperint, duplum eius reddcre l.3.C.C.l.ix cogentur, $\&$ exilio plectenturf: $\&$ conftitutionibus quidem Gallix capite puniuntur.

> DE MILITIBVS, ET QVI MILI- tare poss vnt.
I Militare non ef peccatum.
2 Militt permiffum hositem occidere.
3 Malitesqui dicantur.
4 Clerici in molitzam non funt recipiendi.

De ivreet off. bril. s Nec coloni. - Nec Serui.

7 Nec capitalis criminiss rei.
8 Necharetici.
, Nec infamia laborantes.
Io Nec membris debilitati.
II Militesprobibentur agricultură exercere.
12 Ducs militias duuer/jgeneris nemo babere potef.
13 Miles non poteftefe procurator.
14 Deatate milltari.
is Veteranilegendi.
16 Proprio milite potius quàm externo vtendum.
17 Romani quamdiuf uo Jumptumeruerint. 18 Stipendsum militum Rom.quantüfueris.

## Captrinio.

MIlitare in bello iufto delictum nó a eft, vtalibi oftēdimus: fed propter 1 c.militare pradam militarem peccatum eft ${ }^{\text {a }}$. vnde 23.9\%i. virille Deo acceptiffimus Ioãnes, rogacus a militibus de falute follicitis, quid facerēt: non prohibuit illis militare, fed
b Luce ci3. dixit, Neminem concutiatis, neq; calüs.paratus. S.
nam $\{$ fi.
niam
faciatis, fed eftote contenti ftipennam fichri. frana. 23.5 9 . diis veftris ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Itaque tam lege canonica, quàm ciuili permiflum eft militi ${ }^{*}$ hofté 2 in bel-
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in bello occiderea : imo nififecerit, reus a c.miden. $f$ fi eritimperijdeferti vel contempti ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. Mi- homiadum. 3 lites autem hidemum dicuntur*, qui fa- ff.ad 1. Com. cramento rogati,adactiq́ue, \& in nume- de fifar: 1 .
 remiges funt milites ${ }^{c}$. Porrò non quiuis ${ }^{516 . \text { mintes. }}$
 4 cunque cogendi. nam clerici*in primis militix vacationem habent : quod olim Druidıbus apud Gallos, quirebusdiuinis intererant, conceffum fuit, qui omnium rerum immunitatem habuerunt ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ Sacerdotes quoque, quos Romulus cō- bel.gal.hb.b. ftituit, a bellicis muneribus liberi fuere e. Cui tamenlegi poft captama Gallis e Dion. Hal. vibem, adfcriptum fuit: ita facerdotibus militiæ vacationemeffe, nifi bellŭ Gallicum oriretur ${ }^{\text {t. }}$. Cicero verò̀ ${ }^{8}$ dicit bel- fapp.decel. lo Gallico vacationes valere folitas, tumultu verò Gallico \& Italico nō valuiffe. vnde etiā infert grauius effe tumultũ,

De ivke et off, bele. debet negotiisfecularibus. Quodfivetò clericus,militauerit, amittet gradúa: in minoribus tamen cöftuturus, in bello iufto pugnans, nifiquem occiderit, aut b c. pesitio.
de bomicu.

A c.clerici.c. flatrmm 23. gmesf. 8. glof. in c.fij. cisatis.7.g. 1 refcripto Traiani, voluntarij fe obtulerint, an lectifint, vel etiam vicarij dati. lecti enimfifint,inquifitor peccauit:fivicarij dati, penes eos culpa eft, qui dederủt: ffi ipfi cū haberét conditionis fux cõfcientiā,venerunt, aduertendū in illos eh plio.gipf. rit ${ }^{\text {h }}$. Et quidem fif fiente domino, ferui ii:.10. obtinuernt militiam, priuabitur domiilpe.C.eui nus $\&$ dominij \& patronatusiure $!$ Pormilis. pof .1 liz tò primùm M. Iuniusdietator, \& T. Sépronius magifter equitum, poft Cannéfem cladem, delectuedicto, neceffitate cogen-

Iiber tertivs. 175 cogente, octomillia iuuenū validorum exferuitiis, fcifcitantes fingulos, vellent ne militare, vnde volones dicti, publicè empta armauerunt ${ }^{2}$ : coldemq́ue poftea a tio. Lli. 22 difciplina \& imperio optimos milites effecere. \& idem T. Semproniusconful factus, legatis tribunisq́que pracepit, ne qua exprobratio cuiquam veterisfortunx, difordiam inter ordines fereret • ve vetus miles tyroni, liber voloni fefe exęquari fineret: omnes fatis honeftos generofosq́ue ducerent, quibus arma fua Gignaq́; populus Rom.commififlet:quæ fortuna coëgiffet ita fieri, eădem cogere tueri factum ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Neque folùm ferui, fed quiftatus controuerfiam patiūtur, prohibentur perid tempus, nomen militix dare:licetrcuera fint liberi, Item necingenui quibonafide feruiunt, nec quiab hoftibus redemptifunt, priufquam fe luant, inter milites recipiêdi funt ${ }^{c}$. Le - cl. qui fad gimus quoque olim populum Rom.de- $\begin{aligned} & \text { tum. If. dere } \\ & \text { mit }\end{aligned}$ diffe operam, ne imperatoribus capite cenfos facramento rogare neceffe effer, quorū nimia inopia fufpectaerat:ideoq́; his publicaarma non commitrebantur: \& primus C. Marius haric diutna vfurpatione firmatam cófuetudinem, capite Z cenlum

De ivre et off. berl. 2. Va.max. cenfum legendo militem abrupit ${ }^{2}$. Pre4i6.2, cap.3. terea rei capitalis ${ }^{*}$ criminis, voluntarij 7 bl.quicimm. milites capite puniuntur b. Similiter \& y. remilitf. ${ }^{\text {de }}$ ij qui ad beftias dati, vel in infulam deportati militix fe dederint, lectíue difficl.quicim. mulauerint ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Adte̋pusverò relegatus, 5. Ad befiais: fi expleto fpacio fugx, militix fededit, ff. id.tit. infle. caufam damnationis quærendā refpondit Arrius Menander: vt ficontineat infamiam perpetuam,facraméto foluatur: alioquin in ordinem redire, \& honores d d.l.qui iu. militix petere non prohibeatur ${ }^{d}$. Sed \& g.ad lempur. is qui cum adulterio vxoris fux pactus erit, facramento foluideportariq́ue iuel.miles:ff: betur ${ }^{\text {e }}$. nihilominus tamen M. Iunius adleg.Iul. de adull. dittator, poft Cannenfem cladem, cùm populus bellis attritus, milite indigeret, edixit, ve qui capitalem fraudēaufi, quiq̆; pecunia iudicati in vinculiseffent, qui corum apud fe milites fierent, eos noxa pecuniaq́; exolui iuffurum ${ }^{f}$. Pro-
g l.gurcura;. C. deherrt. hibentur prxterea militare hxretici ${ }^{*}, g 8$
o ma.
m
 ler.ff. dehis ignominia miffi in milites recipiendinō
 \%. quxmmimia rum, nemoarmatx militix, nomen afluPf.diremilati mere poteft ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ 。\& qui litis caufa militiam mid.pofi,i,2 appetierit, poftulante aduerfario folui facra-
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facramentodebet². Sic \& quimetu cri- a 1 .r. $\mathbf{c}$. ow minis, in quo reus fucrat poftulatus, no- mil.pof.i.i.12 men militix dederit, ftatim facramento sio omni. If.
 nus non debet in tyrocinium affumi ${ }^{c}$. . 2 mumbt indignus etiam militiaiudicädus eft, qui ci. cri. C.de parétes, a quibuseducatus eft, maleficos
to appellauerit ${ }^{d}$. Porrò mëbris debilitati ${ }^{*}$, dı, . inf.f.f. itavt inhabiles militix fint, in milites re- de obles. hib.
 habendus, qui cum vno tefticulo natus f.deremiut. eft, quíue amifit. namiure militabit, fccûdum Traiani refriptum. Sylla enim, \& Cotta duces memorantur co habitu fuiffe naturx ${ }^{\text {f. Legimus }}$ quoque Narfe- $f$ f. qui inmm tem eunuchüa Iuntiniano exercitui pre- - $\begin{gathered}\text { derermpitif. }\end{gathered}$ pofitumfuiff, qui bello Oftrogotorum in Italia finem impofuit. Neque enim ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{g}$. Pompomorbofus vel vitiofus eft, quivnum tan- ff.de Aedditt. tum teftem haber, cùm generare poffitg, ediff. Cyrus autē cuftodes corporiseunuchos hed.C.Crii., habuit, quo magis in officio effent, eos 1 l.ven.c.de prxter illecebras voluptatum firmifft - erog. milanmum prxfidium ratush. Ceterum ne o. of ib. 12. \%. miffo armorum vfu, ad aliud opus fecó- ff dermitit. for nemomiles. in ferant milites, prohibentur*agriculturā c.deremitr.
 negotia gerere ${ }^{\text {i }}$. Nam vt $P$ lato in fua re-- locat:-

## De ivre et off. belz.

 publ:conftituit, fingulos fingulasartes exercere conuenit . vnde etiam milesagrum in prouincia comparare non poteft, ne ftudio agriculturæ militiä neglia t.milises, gat ${ }^{2}$. hinc quoque duas*militias diuerfi 12 ff.dermit. generis, aut dignitatem \& militiám eobl.s.c. wi. dem tempore quis habere non poteft b. mili.pof.4.12 \& ex eadem caufa miles procurator*effe ${ }_{13}$ non poteft, ne quidem pro patre, vel el. milizem, matre, vel vxore,fed tantüm in rem fuäc. l.quw fipprodiaiar. Er quidem ratione poffeffionum, quas C. deprocar. fibidonatas afferit, fii iudicij mutādi caufa donatio facta fuerit, agere miles non ${ }^{\text {d }}$ l pana.f.f. de poteft d : fed prior dominus debebirexalie.indomut. casfa. periri, ne magislitem, quàm tem in miel. live. C.ve litem trantuliffe videature. Prohiben- fare. ff de mine lafix maieftatisg, vel fuam vel fuo-
 ad leg int. milites priuatis negotiis implicarint, \&

 pofface.no liquumeft, vt dextate ${ }^{*}$ militari aliquid 14
 de milutub. quenquam minorem feptem \& decem annis, aut fex \& quadragintamaiorem, tyrocinio affcribi voluiffe conftat.idq́ue a Seruio Tullio vetere cenfione caurum fuiffe
fuiffe prius: quod xuo fubfequentea C. Graccho lege facrata Eancitū fuit a. La- a plat. in 2 \%. borantibus tamen Romanis contra Ve- ia Gracti. ios, delectu habiro, non modòiuniores confcriptos refert Liuius ${ }^{\text {b }}$, fed etiam fe- b th. s.cors. niores coaCtos nomina dare, vt vrbis interea cuftodian facerêt, quod. \& Camillusiuffit. Rurfumq́ue poft Cannenfem cladem Decius Iunius dictator, iunio resdecem \& feptemannis, imo \& pratextatosmilitiæ affcripfit, notatiq́; funt, quimaiores annis fexdecimillo bello nā militaffent ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Phociō verò, vt Athenien- ctimhb.32. fes nimis bellicofos, a belli furore, delectuacerbo cohiberet, lecta iuuentute, etiäoctogenarios emeritis flipédiis coëgit nomina dare. Exflat tamen conftitutio Frederici imperatoris ${ }^{\text {d }}$, qux dicitde dis f.onduelloagens, maiorem fexaginta annis, de qual. $\sigma$ \& minorem viginti quinque non teneri fanofifub. few, in per §eipfum pugnare. Hiftoria verò facrae dicit, Dominum pracepife Moyfi, s num. $\mathbf{r}$. vtomnes Ifraëlitas vigefimumętatisannum excedentes, milizix afferiberet. quã xtatem etiam idoneam militix cenfuit Plato. Vevefit, bene pracipitur, vt tyronem querat, qui veteranum non habet. 15 Longè ${ }^{*}$ enim pluris faciéda eft exercita. $Z_{3}$ torum

De ivre et offoball. torum vetcranorŭ parua manus, quàm indocta \& belli expers multitudo, qua cædi expofita minima quęque formidat, \& fugam magis quàm gloriam medita-
a Verget.dere miks.li.I.c. 1 tur ${ }^{2}$. Itaque Alexander, mortuo patre Philippo,cum quadraginta millibus veteranorum, quos illi pater optimè eruditos, $\&$ rei bellice peritos reliquerat,varias innumerabilesq́ue hoftium copias fuperauit, \& plurimas orbis terrarũ prouinciasfubegit. \& idem ducturus contra Darium veteranos peritos belli, magna cura militix affcripfir, \& parua manu ingentem Perfarum numerum fudit, fugauitq́ue. Solët quoque veteres ex corporishabitudine, fiaptimilitix, filato pettore, fi ceruice erecta, fi fortes, filtrenui, quæ fpecies, qualisq̣ue vigor, \& quod robur virium foret, internofere, vtillorum forti fideliq́ue opera refpubl. vti poffet. Vnde Cato pinguē militem, velut reipubl.inutilem cenfuit, cuius inter guttur \& inguen cuncta fub ventris dominio forent. Admonendi quoque funt principes, vt potius proprio milite*, quàm externo,quinon tam proglo-16 ria, quàm ftipendio militant, in bello $v$ tantur:exemplo Tulli Hoftilij: qui cùm

Romani

Liber tertivs. 178 Romani quadraginta annis in pace \& 0 tio vixiffent belli expertes, \& bellum gerere inftituiffet, afpernatus externa auxilia, fuos tâtùm ad arma exciuit, \& breui tēpore difciplina optimos milites effecit. Longè enim tutiffima funt domeftica prafidia, \& longè aptiora funt propria arma, quàm aliena. quod nobis quoque oftēdiffe videtur hiftoria facra. nam Dauid cùm fe offerrer ad fingulare certamen cum Goliath Philifixo: \& Saul, vt illi animumadderet, fuis armis illü armaffer: Dauid noluit iis vti, quòd iis impediretur, fed propriis tătùm, funda fcilicet, \& baculo congreffus hoftem profrauit ${ }^{3}$. Et quidē fiomnino externis akg.,.r.as auxiliis dux belli vti velit, aut neceffitas cogat : illud cauendum pracipuè, ne ita illis credat, ve non plusfui roboris, fuarumq́ue virium in caftris habeat: ne meritò ei eueniat, quod Cn.Scipioni in Hifpania cum Aidrubale bellum gerenti. nam Aldrubal, animaduertens exiguum Romanorum exerctum in caftris Cn . Scipionis', \& Spem omnem in Celtiberorū auxiliis effe, per occulta colloquia cum Celtiberorum principibusconuenit, magna mercede, vt copias inde ab-

Z 4 ducerent,

De thre bt offo berz. ducerent, néuc bellum gererêt: nec metusa Romanis erat, quippe tam paucis, neferetinerent. Quod exemplum pra documento habendū Romanisducibus fuadet Liuius ${ }^{2}$. Conftantinopolitanus autem imperator, cùm propriisarmis careret, accercitis auxiliis Turcarum, vt fea vicinis tueretur, eofdem finito bello expellere nö potuit.vnde vniuerfa Grecia Turcarum dominio fubacta eft. Non itaque Cyri confilium probo, quinon exciuibus legendos milites, fed velut 0 ptimos equose longinquo quarioportere dicebat: neque Alexädrinorum vetuftum morem, qui nullos milites nifi peregrinos admittebant. Rectius Romani qui quò milite proprio abunda. rér, in foedere quod cum Anriocho percufferunt, cauerüt ne milites fubditione populi Rom.conducere, aut volútarios b Liu. 4 b. 38 habere liceret ${ }^{\text {b }}: \&$ cùm bello cum Pyr-
 victi, auxilia ramena Carthaginienfibus clef.lub.18. miffa remiferuntc. Ceterum notatudignumef, milites Romaninominis *, a 17. condita vrbe, perannos fere trecentos quinquaginta, fuo fumptu $\&$ impenfa meruife. partem enimanni fub pélibus miliza-

Liber tertivs. $\quad 179$ militabant;partem verò liberis,vxori, $\&$ penatibus,fibiq́ue ac familix, agrum colendo \& rei rufticæ nauädo operam parabär, Quoautem temporedebello indicendo Veiis agebatur, vbi diutina \&c difficili obfidione opus erat, 8 hyemando continuare bellum, Romani vtili \& neceflario exéplodocti, fenatusdecreto militibus ftipendium de publico collata ftipe ftatuêre: cùm anteid tëpusde fuo quifquè functuseo munere effer ${ }^{3}$. Por- a Liu.ab,4: rò poft Cannenfem cladein illatam, tảta inclinatio animorum fuit, ad fuftinendza inopiam ærarij, vt non eques, noncenturio, fipendium acciperent : mercenariumq́ue increpantes vocarent, quiac18 cepiffer ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Stipendium verö ${ }^{*}$ militum b Lu., 1.24 Rom. fuiffe decem affes diurnos indicat Tacitus ${ }^{\text {c, cun ait: }}$ Milites in illa feditione cater. ab
 diem affibus animam \& corpus æftimaxi: hinc veftem, arma, tentoria, hinc fxuitiam centurionum, \& vacationes munerum redimi: itaq; petiiffe vt fingulos denarios mererent. Ex quibus apparet quod quamuis denarius primum $x$ ftimatusfuerit decem affibus, quapropter \& decuffe $x$. fignabatur: tamen poltea. Z 5 tempo-

De fyre et off. bery: temporibus auctam fuifie denarij xiti* mationem. Itaque Q. Fabio Max. dicta. tore, cùm Annibal premeretrempubl. denarium xvj. afibus permutatū fuiffe fribit Plinus: quiramenin militariftipëdio denarium pro decem affibus fem-
 b і̀scitu rò cohortes binos denarios accipiebätb.

Amna. 46.1.
cde vete.colb num. cap. 2.
 noms. Hunc verò denarium ficum noftri temporis moneta conferamus in pondere \& valore, fere $x$ qualem fuifferegali Hifpanicopoft Endrum \& alios aperrè oftendit Couarruuias ‘:ita vt fipendium noftrorum militum, nihil aut paruma Ro. d Akc. is mano Atipendio differat ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Stipendium Toc. verò equitum Rom.quantum fuerit, nō fatis conftat, quàm quòdafignabantur illis equi publici, \& in pradxdiuifione nonnunquam triplum, nonnunquäduplum cius quod pedites ferrent. Itaque ex prada,quam L. Emilius Paulus fece-
elib.ss. rat, Perfeo viEto, pediti, inquit Liuius ${ }^{\text {e }}$, iningulos centeni dati, duplex centurioni, triplexequiti, ex qua nonnullide ftipendio equitis coniecturam faciunt.
fiu.ss. red alio loco idem Liuius ${ }^{6}$, expræda Epiriciuitatum,quæad Perfeadefecerant, tantum fuiffe,vt in equites cccc.denarii, pediti- peditibus duceni diuiderentur. Itaque nihil certi hac de re affirmare aufim. illud certè cōftat, equites in maximo ho. nore apud Romanos fuiffe.

DESACRAMENTO MILITARF.
t Militie facramcrio'non afforiptus militare non poterat.
2 Sacramentum quomodo prastari folitum. 3 Varia formule iurandi.
4 Quale iuramentum apud Lacedemonios prestars /olitum.
5 QuovituStannites iurarent. $\sigma$ Iufiurandumpuberum A thenienfum. Capytro
r JEmini apud Romanos* militare permiflum fuit, nec quidem hoftë gladio petere, qui facramento militari non effet affriptus: perindeque ing cum militaris animaduerfio fuit, qui hoftens militix facramento non affriptus occideret, quàm qui ciuĕ aut focium iniuria affecifet. itaquecùm Pompilius, vel ve alijlegunt, Paulus Æmilius, vnanılegionem, \& Catonis quoque filium, quiin eadem legione militabat, dimififfet, \& Catonisfilius ardore pugnandi in exer.
Detine er off. bell.
cisu manfiffet:Cato od Pompilium feri-
pfit,vti, fieum patereturin exercituma-
nere, fecundo cum obligaret militix 「a-
cramento: quia priore amifo, iure cum
hofte pugnare non poffet. Idem quoq;
fcribebat ad filium, vt caueret, ne praliī
iniret, negans iuseffe, qui miles non fit,
${ }^{3}$ cic.offc. pugnare cum hofte ${ }^{2}$.Sed prius $*$ fua fpō-
tedecuriatiequites, , centariati pedites ${ }_{2}$
facramenta mutuò praftabant. Paulo
deinde. Amilio \&Terentio Vatrone có-
fulibus, primùm, quod nunquam ante
faqum erat, milites a tribunis militum,a
voluntario facramentoad legitimūiuf-
jurandumadacti fuere. Quod quidem
imperator praftare tenebaiur primus,
fioo mox ordine fubfequebantur legai,
deinde tribuni,deinde centuriones, po-
fteadecuriones, mox prafecticaftrorí,
demum milites: quod finguilsannis,ca-
lendis lanuariis denuo preftabatur, Iu-
xabant autem perdeos" ºlenne iuramē-
3
rum; ;eiuflu confulam conuenturos,ne-
22
que iniuflu abituros: Eefe fugx neq; for-»
midinis ergo non abituros, nequeex or-",
dine recefluros. nifiteli fumendi, aut pe-,
rendi $i_{2}$ aus hoftis feriendi, autciuisferuäe ${ }_{2}$
b Li.bb,zz di cuufáb: Pofterioribus verò tệoribus, \%
vire*

Lliber tertivs. 18 s verefert Vegetius ${ }^{2}$, , iurabant milites per a dermict. Deum, \& Chriftum, \&Spiritum fanctū, bb,2,ceq, s: \& per maieftarem imperatoris (cui, inquit,tanquam corporalideo fides $\&$ deuotio praftanda eff)fc omniaftrenuè faCuros, qua imperator iuberet, militiam nunquam deferturos,neque mortem recufaturospro Rom.rep. Nonnunquam verò cùm ingens fubeüda dimicatio effer, $\&$ in extremü difcrimen res veniflet: milites nifi viatis, debellatis, fufisq; hoftibus viftores forent, fe in caftra nun. quam redituros, iurare folitos fuiffelegimus. Quod M. Fabio \& Cn. Manlio confl. bellocötra Veientes \& Hetrucos factum fuit. nam cùm confules caftrisfe tenerent, neque militiarma crederent: (quòd priore anno odio Cafonis Fabij confulis, fufos hoftes ab equitatu pedes infequinoluiffer, iniufluquue confulis fignain caftra retuliffent) primus M. Flauolenus, ardorepugn $\mathfrak{x}$ : Victor, inquit, M. Fabireuertar ex acie : fif fallar, louem patrem,gradiuumq́ue Martem, aliosq́ue iuratos intuocar deos: \& idem deinceps
 Similiter cùm prope Dyrrachium Pōpeius conftiifift, caftraq́ue metari iuffiffer,

De ivre et offe beli. fiffet, perterrito exercitu, Labienus vt exercitum côfirmaret, princeps iurabat fe Pompeium non deferturum, eñdemque cafum fubiturum, quemcunque ei fortuna tribuiffer : hocidem reliquiiuzabant legati, hoc tribuni militum,centurionesque fequebantur, atgue idem ${ }_{2}$ Caferde omnisexercitus iurabata, Et idem Lasth similhi.3 bienuis, cùm Pompeius prelio decertare cum Cxfare cöftituiffet,primus iurauit, fenifi victorem in caftra nō reuerfurum, reliquosq́ue vtidem facerent, hortatus ef. hoc laudàs Pompeius, idem iuraurit, nec ex reliquis fuit quifquam, qui iurare ${ }^{5}$ cefficbod dubitaret ${ }^{5}$. Petreius quoque legatus Pompeij, fuisde tranfitione ad Cęfarem per colloquia agétibus,ab omnibus iufiurandum exegit, fe exercitum, ducesq́; non deferturos, neque prodituros, neq; fibi feparatim a reliquis cōfliuin capturos: \& princeps in hxc verbaiurabatip. fe, \& adidem iufiurandum adegit Afranium:fubfequebantur tribuni militum, centurionesq̣ue : centuriatim producti ccesfat $b$ d, militesidem iurabant ${ }^{c}$. Alexandriverò cimis.16. milites in verbaipfius ipfo precunte iurabant, eofdem fe inimicos amicosqúue do. Cortium 'abituros, quos ipfe habuiffet d. Hoc

Ligar tertivs. 182 4 etiamiuramentūapud Lacedæmonios* preftare foliti funt milites, cofdé fcilicet cumimperatore amicos inimicosq́; habituros: vtq́uebonorum, malorumq́ue firma cófenfio inter eos \& foederatio el5 fet. At Samnites*in magno difcrimine, ritu quodam lacramenti vetufto, velut initiatis militibus, delectum habebant; \& facrificio folenni more perfecto, per viatorem imperator acciri iubebat nobiliffimum quemque genere, factisq̆ue, \& circumftantibus cēturionibus,ftrictis gladiis, admoucbatur altaribus miles, magis vt victima, quàm vt facri particeps : adigebaturq́ue iureiurando, quæ vifaauditaque in eo locoeffent, non cnunciaturum: deinde iurare cogebatur, diro quodam carmine in execrationem capitis,familix \& ftirpis compolito, nifi iffet in prolium quò imperatores duxiffent: \& fiautipfe exacie fugiffer, aut fi quem fugientem vidiffer, non extemplo occidiffet. idq́ueabnuentesiuraturos fe obtruncabantur circa altaria : iacentes deinde inter ftragem victimarum, documento ceteris fuere ne abnuerent ${ }^{2}$. Iufe a $\operatorname{tin}$. H. $_{10}$
6 iurandumautem* puberum AthenienGū,ex 压chino tale fuifle conftat: Arma fancta

De ture at off. bell. fancta neutiquam cum dedecore tractabo, commilitonem, quicunque mihiin acie adiunctus fuerit, in periculo nô deferam. pro aris \& focis, tam folusquam cum multis ftrenuè pugnabo. patriam, qualem \& quătam inuenero, neque minorem, neque deteriorem, led \& maioré \& meliorem relinquam. Memorix quoque proditum eft, Atheniêfes, cùm propter quotidianas contentiones, \& violatum ius gentium, infenfi Megarenfibus, $\&$ animo hoftili effent : quoties milites folito militari facraméto adigebant, hoc etiam iufiurandum illos fubire coëgiffe, fe intra militix tempus, quotannis quoquo modo poffent, Megarenfium agros, finesq́ue infefto exercitu inuafuros, \& peruaftatisagris, nihil intactum relicturos, atque in eos omni clade belli graffaturos. Ceterum illud notandū eft, quòd fiab vniuerfo exercitu Romano iufiurädum confuli prextädum effer, fatis fuiffe ficenturio, vel tribunus, exercitus nomine, conceptrs verbis fublimi voce iuraret: omnisq́que multitudo, \& finguli milites communiconfpiratione, $\&$ confenfu in eadem verba filentio in fe quilq; iuraret: hocq́ueiufiurandum, acficon: cepta.

Liber metive. $\quad 183$ cepta verbafinguli expreffifient, perinde tenebat, atquefanctum \&illibatum habebatur. Non omittendum quoque folere tribunos conuenientes, vbi caftra metati effent, abomnibus, qui in caftris effent, liberis pariter \& feruis, fingulatim iufiurädum exigere, fe nihile caftris furto ablaturos: imo fiquisetiam inueniffet quippiam, id allaturum ad tribunos ${ }^{\text {a }}$

## DE MISSIONE.

a Polyb.de
Rom,cafr.

I Militia femelaffreptus delerinequibat.
2 Miles a fignis abeffe non potest.
3 Tria misionum genera.
4 Honeflamißio.
s Caufariami ßio.
$\sigma$ Ignominiofamifio.
7 Ignominiadiffert ab infamia.
8 2ui ignominia mitti poßint.

- 2 uartummißionis zenus.
so Solus imperator mi Sionem dare poseff.

> Capryyi.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{M}$Ilitix femel affcriptus*, nifi propter demerita exauctoratus foret, ipfo nolente deleri nequibat fuitenim latalexfacrata militaris,M.Valerio Coruino dictatore, ne cuiufquam militis a fcripti

De ivre et off. belz. Scripti nomen, nifi ipfo volente, delerea Lin.lib.\%. tur ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Sed nec miles*, donec facramento ${ }^{2}$ Colutus effer, a fignis abeffe, vel militiam b 1.pan. ff. deferere poterat ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Fuere autem tria* mil. 3
 nes. If: dere batur, \& facraméto foluebatur: honefta,
 grum $\bar{q} . m_{1}$, emeritis Atipendiis, \& functis commodis finnum. ff de $_{\text {re milte } l \text { l.2. }}$ miffionum, vacationis munere donabaff de bi gus tur. quo tempore fcuta, gladios, \& arma nootionfa. dicarefolent Laribus, ficut gladiatores Herculi: quòd diis iuuantibus perfuncti militia, rudem accepiflent,quod miffonis tempus militi quinquagenario fuit definitum. Auguftus tamen his, qui maiores quinque $\&$ quadraginta annis equum retinere nollent, reddendi equi gratiam fecir, illosq́ae velut emeritis Âipendiis dimifit. Alij nifi decennio ftipédia merniffer, miffionem petere neminé putarunt poife. More tamen Romano militare facramentum in vndecimí diffundebatur annum, quo exacto, militia perfunctis miffio dabatur. Deinde vt decimus fextus annus itipendij finem faceret, Tyberio imperante cautum fuit, qui etiam militix tempus ad vigefimum annum produxit : cauitq́ue vs nifi poft vigefimum

Liber tertivs. 184
gefimum annum miffo militi daretur,
 habet a ciuilibus muneribus vacationé, lites. 6. g.gans, \& plurimis priuilegiis gandet ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. Caufaria dogue. fo. de 5 verò ${ }^{*}$ miffio dicitur, cum fenecta, aut va- vut. C.dehin
 nus idoneus militix quis renunciatur. Funt, itio io. Qux non facilè concedi folet, nifir renū- doque. $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{d}, \text { guam } \\ \text { ol }\end{gathered}$ ciantibus medicis, \& iudice diligenter pern C. C.d.t.t: examinante vitium : \& femel conceffa, de hinguino nō foler miffus iterum admitti pretextu recuperatæ valetudinis c. fed \& ex qua- c 1. famel. C. cunque caufa miffus, denuò ir militiam, derenul. 1,12 fine mandato principis,admitti non poteft d. \& quidem ex hiscaufis miffus, habebit quoque vacationem a muneribus,
 priuilegiis fruitur, quibus $i j$, qui imple- dog, tis ftipendiis miffi funt : aliis tamen ve- $\begin{gathered}\text { If. de excuf. }\end{gathered}$ teranorum priuilegiis gaudebit, \& prxmia veteranis conceffa percipiet f . $\mathrm{Cau}-\mathrm{f} l$,miltusa faria enim miffio, nullam exiftimationis girm. 5 ithd maculam afpergits: \& honefta quoque miffio diciturh. Ita verò miffos vocar Linius icaufarios, cùm ait: Tertius exercid l.2. C. de diuer.off. 1.12 tus excaufariis fenioribusq́; a T. Quin- afimmiles. ©.
 6 fidio fit, lgnominiofaverò ${ }^{*}$ mifio, qux i $i 4 b .7$.

De ivre et off. bele. \& probrofa dicitur, eft cùm miles obde. lictum, vel crimen facramento foluirur. $\&$ ita miffus ex edicto pretoris fit infamisa: \& dignitaté confequinon poteft ${ }^{\mathfrak{b}}$ : nec in vrbe cöfiftere poteft, nec alibi vbi princepseft ${ }^{c}$. Et quidem fine ignominix mentione miffus, nihilominus ignominia miffus intelligitur, vit Marcianus voluitd. Vlpianus tamé caufam ignominiz addendam miffioni cenfet ${ }^{\text {c. Ad tempus }}$ verò miffis, tempore impleto non porrigiturinfamia ${ }^{\text {f. Sciendum autemeft }} 7$ ignominiam ab infamia differre, vt ex Cicerone fatis côftat8: quidicit animaduerfionem cenforis non eam vim, quam res iudicatæ habere.cenforis enim iudicium nihil fere damnato adferre, quàm ruborem, \& ignominia notare : quæ in nomine tantummodo verfatur : \& fic notatis ad honorem aditum, \& in curiá redirum effe:alias verò turpi iudiciodänatos, in perpetuum omni honoreacdignitate priuari, \& infamia notari . infamia enim non nifi per fentétiam inferri poteft ${ }^{h}$. Sic ignominia miffi olim non erant infames, donec prætorisedicto effecti effent infames ${ }^{1}$. Itaque ignominia illa dici poteft, quam interpretes noftri
vocant infamiam facti:infamia verò, qua infamiam iuris appellant. Non ignoran8 dum quoquect**, non folùm gregarium militem, led etiam centurionem, tribunum, imo etiam qui exercirui prxeft, licet confularibus vtatur infigniis, ignominix caufa $a b$ imperatore mitui poffe, $\&$ ita miffum nota infamix laborare ${ }^{2}$. Itaque C. Cæfar C. Anienum tribunum ff.de his qui decimx legionis in prefentia exercitus ${ }^{\text {notainf. }}$ „cumıgnominia dimifit, his verbis: C.Aniene, quod in Italia milites populi Ro. contra rempubl intigaiti, rapinasq́; per municipia fecifti,quodq́ue mihi, reiq́ue publicx fuifti inutilıs, tuaq́ue opera mi- b Ant. Hir. litibus tempore neceffario refp. careat, his.s.se bell. obeas res, caufa ignominix, ab exercitu $\mathrm{Hi} / \mathrm{p}$ meo res, beffe, \& quanto longius potes, proficifci not in inf. iubea ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Vltra hæc tria miffionū genera, $d$ d $\delta$. igno9 qux enarrauimus, Vlp.adiecit ${ }^{*} q u a r t i{ }^{c}{ }^{c}$, muse. \&o $l$. Si quis enim euitandorum munerū gra- 0 nov onmis.
 quæ miffio exiftimationem non lxdic ${ }^{e}$, minis. et d.t. so Cetcrumfolus imperator*, vel dux exer - quetioratus. citus miffionem dare poteft ${ }^{f}$. Vnde $Q$. ifide rem'ire. Fuluius cenfor Fuluium fratrem cohor- flis. ff. de temlegionis, in qua tribunus militum "rs.
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 erat, iniuffu cölulis domum mittere au-a Val.Max. hi.2. cap.7. fum, fenatu mouit ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

## DE PRIVILEGIIS MILITVM.

1 Miles ex caufa aris alieni in carcerem duci non poteft.
2 An nobilcs idem priuilegium habeant.
3 Priuilegium ne quis cöueniatur, ni ${ }^{2}$ quatenus facere pote ff, quale fit.
4 Militis ab/cnis bona creditoribres addici nonpoffunt.
s Milesexcufatur a tutela do a dicendo tefimonio.
6 Res militis non cadit in commi $\int$ um obnö folutumvectigal.
7 Resemptapecunia militis, eft militis.
8 Milestransfert onus probandiindebitum in aduerfartum.
9 Militi non nocet iuris ignorantia.
10 Iurssignorantia indelictis non excufat.
1 I Miles non fubücitur quaftioni nec plebeiorum panis.
$12^{\circ}$ Militia nonliber at filiü a patriapoteftate.
13 Filiusfamilias miles, quoad castrenfepec culium, propatrefam, babetur.
14 2uidcenfeatur castrenfis effepeculy.
is Deteftamentis militum.
If Ex militaridelictocapite damnatus tefla-
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 mentum condere potest.17 Bona milutus, qui fuccefforem non babet, deferuntur legioni.
18 Miles qui incon/ulto adiis bereditatem, non teneturvliravireshereditarias.
19 Mulieri concubina donare nor poteft.
20 Mortsus in bello in sternum viuere intelligitur.
21 Miles in bello mortuses prodest patri ad ius trium liberorum.
22 Priaidegia mulitum ad aliorum iniuriam non porriguntur.
23 Premiamortuis debita, dantur filios.
24 Milutaria priuilegiais tanturm, gui firenuèmelitant prastantur.
2s Non folismmilutibus, fedctiam aliis qui caftra fequuntur, gusedam pruilegia militaria conceduntur.
26 Muheres quacafira fequuntur, qua priutlegua habeant.
27 Anmalites noplritemporis gavdeant priwelegias miltusns.

## Capveril.

Vm, ve recte Cicero ait ${ }^{\text {a }}$, honos alat a Tuful. dia gloriax, iaceantó; ea lemper,quæ apud quofque improbantur: prudenter pro-
a 4 fectò

De fyra bt ofp.ball. a L.mikst. Lh: fectò Romani(qui belli gloria pracipuè dere iud. b Dro. ficul. lib.z. re ksdic.
C. Qui bo. ced porf. alcex. inl.certmris. colosoimp.ff. de voly. et jupil.
ad tantum faligium peruenere) magno in honore difciplinam militaré habuere: vnde etiam nuilitibus plurima \& amplifrima priuilegia conceffere, quorú fumma tátùm capita breuitatis caufa hic perftringemus. Et in primis miles* ex caufa xris alieniin carcerem duci non poreft:
\& non nifiquatenus facere potcft,coue-
niria ${ }^{\text {b }}$ quod \& apud Egyptios lege cautŭ
fuit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ non conuenitur, inquam, nifiquatenus poteft, id eft quatenus faculrares eius patiuntur, vtait Iuftinianus ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ habita fcilicer ratione ne egeat ${ }^{\text {d }}$. vade bonis cedere nó tenetur, vt eft communis doCtorum opinioe. Quod tamenita plerique procedere arbitrantur, fidebitum fit cötractum in caftris \& ex caufa militia $f_{\text {. }}$. quod in dubio prafumitur ${ }^{3}$. Erquidem huic privilegio renunciari non poteft h, cùm perfonæ cohxrear, non cốtractuis. Idem putant effe plerique in priuilegio corum, qui*nobilitatis dignikate deco- 2 ranturt, Quod licet iure communi dubiumfit, regia tamen Hifpaniarum lege confitutum eft in his, quos Hidalgos vocantl. Sed neciure communi, rec Hifpano, hi nobiles habent priuilegiumne conue-
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conueniantur vltra, quàm facere poffunt, cùm id nullibi expreffum fit:fed tätùm neex caufa æris alieni in carcerem ducantura. Arma tamen, \& equi, \& do- a cimater mus vbi hi nobiles habitant, oppigno. alsyand. 2 mj rari, vei in caufam indicatiaccipi nô po:- senturno. funtb:nifi forte regi quid debeaturc. Iure quoq; communi, arma militū in caufam judicatiaccipi nō poffunt ${ }^{\text {d }}$ :idq́q́; meritò: arma enim veteres mébra militum effe dicebant. Sed nec in tipendia militum fieridebet executio, fi aliūde poreft cre3 ditorifatisfieri ${ }^{e}$. Sciendum veròiftud* priuilegium, ne quis conueniarur nifi badi.filunfico quatenus facere potef, noneffe obliga- $C$.qusbo ced. tionis, necactionis, nec iudicati, näactor sakc. imis. poreft folidum petere, fediudex cōdem- C.d.t.cor. 13 . nabittantùm in id, quod facere poreft $f$. C.de cece, reio Etquamuisiudex pererrorem condennauerit in folidum, non poteft actor iu- fi.farmat, mat dicatüexequi, nifiquatenusteus facere vermm. verf. poteftg. coq́ue fenfu dicitur fpectari tē- findernoforts. pus rei iudicatah. Vndequannuis tem-gl. Nefonisius
 derit nikilominus exceptio ${ }^{i}$. Porrò fa- caia. ff: ol uore militię non folum milites, fed nonnunquam etiam militare volentes, car-tépu. If.gro cere folutifucrunt, nam P.Seruilius cô. focio.
as ful, Vol-
De ívee et off. bell.
ful, Volfcis bellum inferentibus, edixit,
ne quis ciuem Romanum vinctum, aur
claufum tencret, quo minus sinominis
a Lis,4,6.2. edendi apud confules poreftas fierer ${ }^{\text {a }}$. \&
deinde M. Iunius dictator, pon Cannen-
fem cladem edixit,vt quicapitalem frau-
dem aufi, quiq́; pecunia iudicati, in vin-
culiseffent, qui corum apud le milites
fierent, cos noxa pecuniaq́ue fe exolui
b Lia.bib. 23 Iuffurum ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Superiori primx legiaffine
eft \& iftud ${ }^{*}$, quod fcilicet, fi non detén- 4
${ }^{6}$ C.dergnorare. datur miles abfens reipublicæ caufa, pof-
C.dereft.mas-
lut.
d l.vilt. C.de
iur.dum.nup.
el.ficimmo
lutarbus. C.
de reft.milit.
flate in tit.
C.de reft.mi.
let. of C.de
sip. an integ.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { rofth. © } C \text { C. } \\ & \text { Qui nun ub }\end{aligned}$
Quis nun ob
los.tip. praf.
$g^{2}$ Lis. idem.1.
5 is ridulem inf.
de cxcuf. tut.
il. 3 S.his
giu ff.dcmu.
mir. et honor.
${ }^{2}$ l.3.3. S.out.

1. inumiti.ff.de
teftrb.
fideri quidem eius bona ex caufa iudica-
ti poffint, non tamen omnino creditort-
busaddicic.$\&$ fiquidem creditores mili-
tis bona diftraxerint, iure pignoris, fecū-
dum normam ' reftituetur miles, perfo-
luto debito, vel refufo pretio véditionis,
fi fortè minoris veniit pignus quàm fit
debitum ${ }^{\text {e. miles enim dum rcipublicx }}$
operam fuam preftar, quomodocunque
lafus, in integrum reftituiturf.Itaque P.
Seruilius conful, bello Volico edixit,ne
quis militis, donec in caftris effer, bona
poffideret, aut venderet 3 . Pręterea miles
excufatur a tutela ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~h}, \& \mathrm{ab}$ aliis muneri- 5
bus publicisi.miles quoque non cogitur
inuitus teftimonium dicerek: ne propter
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 priuatam vtilitatem cogatur abeffea fignis,vbi tuetur rempublicā vniuerfam². 6 Item quamuis miles non fit liber *aveCtigalibusb: non tamen cadent res fux in in bex pres. commiffum ex co quòd nô profiteatur jratoone c.de nequefoluat vectigal ${ }^{c}$. Et quamuis res ${ }^{\text {c } 1.2}$ C. de empta pecunia mea non fir mea ${ }^{5}$ : res ta- dilific ea,7 men empta* pecunia militis, poteft a miC.dercevor. lite védicaric. Et licet ei quife indebitas cl.fintpro. pecunias foluiffe cōtendit, probatio in- ponn. c. de
8 cumbat,miles tamen ${ }^{*}$ eo onere leuatur, quod in aduerfariū transfert, quife bene accepiffe probare debet ${ }^{f}$. quod ita verū eft, fi totam fummam indebitam cffe cö tendat miles, non etiam fi partemtantumg. Eft quoqueinter priuilega mili- g d.s.f. fase
9 taria, quod militi*non nocet iuris igno-
rantia, magis enim arma fcire tenetur, quàm leges h . vnde permittitur militi, $\mathrm{fi}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{h} 1 \text {. vegule. }}$ exceptionem peremptoriam per iuris ff.de int. © ignorätiamnon obiccir, vt eam poft fen $\begin{aligned} & \text { fuct } \\ & \text { lult } f \text { for odete. }\end{aligned}$ tentiam poffit opponere '. idem confti- 1 i.t. c. .dew. tutionibus regni Hifpanix cautume ft k . in igo $\sigma$ fati non tamen exculat iuris ignorantia in k Reg. 1.24 .
 dum eft in co tamé fauetur militi, quòd mivt. . imves. is non fubiiciatur quxftioni*, nec plebeio- in prosçppo. rum pœnis, etiamli emeritis ftipendiis de addere. miffus
 virum \& vxorem, qux alioquin non va- ${ }^{2}$ mikspraciI4 let ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, confiltit ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$. Caftrenfis verò peculij* pe.ff. decefré. cenfetur, quidquid filiusfamilias occa- pectl. fone militix acquifiuit d. Sed \& heredi- donass witer tas vxoris, ex refcripto D. Hadrianimi- vir. litiæaffectione marito filiofamilias reli- $\frac{c}{} 1.1$ forme. mese, . de Cta, caftrentis peculij erit ${ }^{c}$, quod tamen fecuscft in dore marito data, vel promif- $i f$. caffrije. fa, qux cohærens matrimonij oneribus, liberis communibus, qui in auifunt po- el. diems. $f f$. de teftate, conferturf. Fratris quoque pa- caff.pectl. truelis, vel alterius commilitonis hereditas commilitoni delara, iure caftrenfis g l.cimallepeculij habeturg. Quod ita verument, fI gasme. . pecul.
 fectione militix relinquatur : alias fe - filum. in in in. 15 cush.Porrò quod ad teltaméta* militum attinet, tam larga illis teftandi facultas permittitur, vt omnes omnino folenni- ftraif.pectul. tates, in teftamentis requifitæ, illis remif-
 fint. Nam D. Traianus permifit mili- : inl, ff.de
tibus, vt quoquo modo vellent, faccrent
inff.demplit. teftamenta,fufficereq́; nudă voluntatem voluit i.vnde Papinianus refpõdir, mulitis voluntatć efleteftamentū ${ }^{k}$. Quodita demum verum elt, fidum in expeditio. ters.
pceul.
$k$ l.cies mili-
tis.ff. desest. 1d. $\leqslant$ I. et 1 . perult. C.esp ne agunt, teftentur ${ }^{1}$ : \& duobusad hoc demtit,
a s. cateriu.
siatits. de mi. Mitseffa-idi: mes l.Lucims. ff. de tef.mil. bl.melitect. If de tef. miler.
c 6. illis ax-
tem. munt. de milic. seffa.
d Reg. l.4. zif. 1. par 6. c $\$$ poft misfronem inflit ds muse. sef? 1. tefla. eoriio. If eodern eus.
flames 2 .
min.ffodeteFt.
midit. l. 1 .et 2 C.eod titulo. $g$ lin frase dem. $\overline{\text { g maxles. }}$
I.mosita.ff. de midet. teff. $h l$. Fi dus. bso. Lex milt sani. $\checkmark$ filṻ.
ff de meil. tef f. il. ficut cum. d. Jegk. C de milas testa.l. gui ineremils 1. malitis. 6 . miles. D. eod. kl.2. $\$$ bereditas. D. de iave codsch. 1.
hereditatem.
C. de codicil.
6. codsillis auté.inftot de codich.
1/.matiticto-

De ivre et off. bell. vocatis teftibusvoluntas militis probetur, quod in fauorem eorum inductum eft ${ }^{2}$. in ipfo tamen prolio teftamentum militis factum valer,etiam nullo vocato, \& fufficit fi in clypeo, vel in puluere heredem fcribatb.fed citra expeditionis neceffitatem, tenetur miles iure communi teftaric. quod \& regia Hifpaniarum lege decifum eff ${ }^{d}$. In expeditione verò teftamentum iure militari factum, valebit vfquead annum poft miffioneme. in quo tam laxa illi teftādi, prout vult, permiffa eft facultas, vt pro parte teftatus,pro parte inteftatus poffit decederef, $\&$ ad certū tempus poffit heredem inftituere,\& poftea hereditatem adimere, \& alijdirecto dare, \& fineminem vocauerit, legitimi heredes hereditatem capient g. neque iuri accrefcendi locuserit in teftamento militis $h$. Poteft quoque miles filium filentio praterire in teftamento, cuius pręteritio pro tacita exheredatione erit, modo prudens id fecerit : vnde \&agnatione filij teftamentum militis n万 rumpitur, fi de mëte eiusconftet ${ }^{i}$. Et quamuisin codicillis directo relinqui hereditas non poffit ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ : militi tamen id permiffument ${ }^{2}$. Miles quoque incertus de fatu fivo
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fit ${ }^{3}$ :milestamen mulier, in quam turpis a 1 . afficio: 19 cadit fufpicio ${ }^{*}$, nihil donare vel teftamē- ni. Liduratio: to relinquere poteft ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Militis quoq; di- nat. fpofitio, qux vel turpis, vel bonis mori- $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{b} \text { i.mikes. } 5 \text {. } \\ \text { meler } \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$ bus contraria cft, nihilo magis quàm a- manturer. .e.teame

 20 uilegiü, quòd fcilicet mortuus in bello ${ }^{*}$ dedinotst in:


 in obfidione, vel alias ex caufa belli.itaq; filiusfamilias miles, qui in acie pro repub. pugnäs cecidir, prodeft patri adius 21 trium liberorum ${ }^{*}$, quòd euma tutelaexcufat ${ }^{d}$ : \& aliorum munerum excufatio-
 maritandis ordinibus cautum eflet, vt fly ante. inpriori ex confulibus fafces fumendi po- fut: de exary. teftas effer, qui plures liberos quàm col- $\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{ijlit}$.D.de lega in poteftate haberet, corum quoq; ${ }^{\text {vact. etexacuf. }}$ ratio habita fuit, quibello amiffieffentf. f Ged.l. l. .c, idq́q;ad multaalia adaptari poffe videtur. ${ }^{15}$. atque ita fi forte flatuto cautum fit, vt certè multis locis eft, vt filius fecundò genitus patri fupertes, in fucceffioneeiufdem patris praferatur nepoti ex filio primogenito mortuo ante patrē:fifilius

De ivre et off. bill. ille primogenitus in acie pro repub. cecidit, putarem hoc cafu nepotem praferendum:quia fcilicet pater eius cenferur in $x$ ternum viuere, cui fucceffio auiiure primogenitura debetur . \& ita in prorfus fimilifacti fpecie iudicatum fuiffeaccepi , non obftat quòd priuilegia militum ${ }^{*}$ ad alienam iniuriam porrigi non 22 debeant ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& beneficia in damnû alterius
a $1 . i m p u b e r i$.
D deadm. $\sigma$. pertus. bl.fed cimm. D. ad S.C. Trév.l.nec a num. C. deemanc. l. reforpta C.de prec.mpp.off. l.imperatores D.de for.ruf. pre. cl. nö vidé. tur.D.de reg. sur.
dl.nö poteff. D.dereg iur. 'e in l. norz fraudantur. D. de reg iur. l. qui antem. in princ que in frath. credteo. f d. $1 . \mathrm{impu}$ bers. D. de adim. of per ? ${ }^{2}$ \%. neque porrigi, neque conferrifolent $b$. nam nulla inturia hic infertur filio fecūdò genito, cui nihil adimitur,fed tantùm non acquiritur. non enim, vt Vlpianus refpondit, vidétur rem amittere, quibus propria non fuitc. \& ex regula Pauli,nō poteft videri defiiffe habere,qui nunquá habuit d. Quò fpectat quod Vlpianus ait, non fraudari creditores, cùm quid nōacquiritur a debitore,fed cùm aliquid de bonisfuis diminuiture. Sed \& quod Papinianus refpondit, militum priuilegia ad alienam miuriam non porrigi, nó ita huc facit : nam loquitur in cafu, ad quem militis priuilegrum non extenditur, \& quo euidens fieret iniuria alteri ${ }^{\text {F }}$. Itaque in propofita facti fpecie neporem patruo in fucceffione aui, non obftante ftatuto, prxferendum exiftimo : cùm \&

Liber tertivs. $\quad 192$ a g.cimm for
 cum, etiam extrabellum mortui, fucce- meest.defe. dat ${ }^{2}$. vndeetiam ftarutum iure cômuni contrariũ quàm ftrictilfimè intelligi de - de decem, 4 pre bet $b$. His adde lege regia Hifpanix tatu23 tum effe, vt præmia*, quæ forte quis me. ruit obegregiam operam in bello præftitam, eo mortuo debeātur filiis \& proximis agnatisc. quod \& a Romanis obferuatum fuit, \& lege Solonis apud Athenienfes cautum fuit : vt illorum filij, qui occubuiffent in bello, publicè alerétur, \& bonis difciplinis imbuerentur . Conltătinusverò filios in paternam vocari milhtiam voluit d. Sciendum porrò 24 militaria hæc priuilegia*non aliter concedi militibus, quàm fi fortiter \& ftrenuè militent ${ }^{\bullet,}, \&$ ad veteranos non extédif: quamuis $\&$ veterani fua habeät priuilegia \&. In claflibus verò remiges \& nautæ funt milites, \& militari priunlegio fruuntur ${ }^{h}$. Pręterea nō folum militibus, 25 fed etiam aliis*, qui caftra fequuntur, mi- proc. litaria quxdam privilegia conceduntur.
 cius gradus, vt iure militari teftari non vet.mol.f.ucce. pofint, fi in hoftico deprehendantur, de veteral.i.12 quomodo velint \& quomodo poffint
b 2 refta${ }^{\text {inc. }} \mathrm{I}$ in in in. de filtup pref. byt. 46.6. CReg l.s.s.it. 27.par. 5. d 1.1. O2. C de fithn, off.mplit.1. 12 c l.vul.C de refitucmatr. 1 . cimm de nde. bito. 6. fin awem. D.de probat.
f 1. idim ght. af teffameto. 4.1 m. .frq. ${ }^{m} \cdot \mathrm{pras} \cdot \int f \cdot d \varepsilon$ matrar cefac. 1. vit. §. qum mikitibus. C. de snof. tefla. l.ad veetrani D. adl.Falc. 1. firm. $\delta .2$ etrañ. сй fequ. D. de
gtt. D. de 0.14 winff.de multiteft.l.fed of maditer. D.
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a t.vit.D.de teftabuntur ${ }^{\text {i. }}$. Ité mulieribus*, quæ cum 26 mourseffas maritis abfunt in bello reipubl. caula, in actionibus temporalibus,fi exclufx fue: rint, ad exemplum militum fubueniri fob as. C. ds lere Alexander referipfit ${ }^{b}$. \& Diocletia$\nu x o . m i l i t . \quad$ nus $\&$ Maximinianus mulieri, quę in expeditione fuit cum marito, non officere lögi temporis præfcriptionem refcripfecl.2. C. de runt c. Quò fpectar quod Vlpianus re2xor.muth. fpondit, bello amiffos ad tutelæ excufationem prodeffe, cuiufcunque fexus vel xtatis fint, modò in acie perierint. etenim mulieres in acie verfari folitas, \& egregiam nonnunquam in bello operam preftitiffe in veterum hiftoriis fatis obuium eft . itaque bello Sabino mulieres Sabinx, qux R omę crant, quarum exiniuria bellum ortum erat, crinibus paffis, fciffaque vefte, victo malis muliebri padib,s. uore, vt inquit Liuius ${ }^{\text {d }}$, anlx fe inter tela volitantia inferre, ex tranfuerfo impetu facto, dirimere infeftas acies, dirimere iras. Eftq́ue memorabile, quod de Cimbricis mulieribus memoriæ proditū eft, cum quibus non minor quàm cum maritis corum Mario pugna fuit: \& fpeciofior mors earum fuit, quàmpugna, nam cùm miffaad Marium legatione, liber.

## Liber tertivs. <br> $193^{\text {a } \text { 2. Flo. dc }}$

 tatem non imperraffent, fuffocatis elifif: gef: Rom 1.3 que paffim infantibus, mutuis vulneri- b Crivit. de bus conciderunt, aut vinculo ecrinibus longf. dife. fuis facto, ab arborıbusiugisq́; plauftro- ct.2 ¢ . . dein rum pependeruit ${ }^{2}$. Apud Germanos verò feminæ nō femel inclinatam aciem reftituiffe ferunturb. Ceterum licet a plerifquedubitarum fir, vtrum milites noftri temporis * gaudeant hifce priuilegiis militaribus, tamen verius eft,militibus, qui in numeros funt relati, \& fortiter \& Atrenuè militant, hæc priuilegia concedi, faltem ea quæ vfus nô coarguit. neque refert, quod quaxdam folenitates, quibus olim milites facramento militix affrribebantur, exoleuerint. Nam fimilic. afer vider d.demitef. ter videmus folennitatem actionuman- 1. mlikes.c. tiquam noftra tempeftate nullan efle ${ }^{c}$. bocati. cùm tamë eiufdem nihilominus fint efficacix ${ }^{d}$. Caufa quoque \& ratinconcedendi militibus tāampla priuilegia fuir, vt pro tutela \& defenfione Romani imperijomnibus foluti curis expeditiffimi operam armis darente, qux \& noftris militibus conuenit :ergo idem ius quoque manere debetf. \& hxc fententiaetia viris doctiffimis probatury.$f$ l.allud ques cum tamé eiuldem nihilominus fint effitumiapria. Danciaqual g lacob. de Rauen Cyr. Batd. Bort. Salcets, er aly in $1:$. C. decier.e Cfar: ${ }^{\text {igno }} \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}$ 2. mlitem,C.Ic
 uit 4 ; cil.seb. Aleb 3 DE IV- xander in $l$. centurio. nes. 23 .D.de vul.ó pup.Decius in Rubr.C.de mia 14 tel? quen refert Erancif.Zoannett, de Roma, imp.zin. So.
De pree et off. beil.
DEIVDICIIS MILITARIBVS.
I CMiles non ni $f_{6}$ ante fusm iudicem con-
ueniri poteft.
2 Fallit in milate defertore.
3 Item in coqui patiuseft fe non v/urrm
fori prafcriptione.
4 Itern in eo, quipoftytam in ius vocatus eff,
miles effecapit.
5 Item in coguifalfum testimonium dixit.
6 Item in milite negociatore.
7 Confules Romasi, non nifs incaffris inca-
put czues Roin.incöfultopopulo,animad-
uertere poterant.
\& Tribuninon babueruni wite necisğ; po-
teflatem in milates.
llites* ${ }^{*}$ on nifiante fuumiudicem I
conueniri poffunt, nec fi in culpa
$\underset{\text { reo. de exhb. fint, coërceria }}{\text { C. vnde capti a profide ad }}$

a $^{\prime} \cdot$ magisife.
c. de murf.
c.umis biad l.t.

## Liber teryivs.

habent. fi tamen miles in infrumento 3 profeflus fucrit*, fe non vfurum fori pręScriptione propter cingulum militie, pactum feruandum erit ${ }^{2}$. Sed $\&$ fiquis,po- a 1 . fíquis,
4 fteaquam * in ius vocatusef, niles effe c.depat. cœpit : in ca caufa eft, vtius reuocandi forum non habeat, quafi preuentus b. 6L. figuis nam vbilis cœpta eft, ibi finiri debet c. popeas. D. da 5 Prxterea miles*, qui falfum teftimoniū uli. viomdixit, fubiicit fe iurifdictioni illius iudi- plum. D. de cis, etiam fi paganus fit ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Miles quoque " dinit. nulü.c. 6 negociator ${ }^{*}$ fubeft iudici illius negocia- de tefibm. tionise. Porrò vnufquifque fuper caufis c $1 . v \mathrm{v}$. D.de publicis, quas dum militaret exercuit, \& imis.om.ind. fuper ratiociniis militaribus in militari iudicio refpondere debet, nec fori profcriptione vti poteft:etiam fi militię cin-
 reus, volūtarius miles (quiex D. Traiani deratio sam refcripto capite puniendus efl) non eft remittendus eò,vbi reus poftulatus fuit, red vbi accedente caufa militix audiêdus eft, puniri debet 9 . Sciendum autem eft, gl qui cim quamuis lege Valeria, quā Valerius pu- D.deremot. blicola tulit, \& L. Portia cöfulibus pro-
7 hibitum effet*, ne in caput ciuis Romani iniuflu populi animaduertere poffent,\& folùm illis relictú effer, vt coërcere pof-
b 4 fent,

De tvre et off.bell. a un $1.2 . \sin$ fent, $\&$ in vincula publicaduci iubere ${ }^{2}$ :
 Hal. 4 b .10 . fuo lupplicium fumere ${ }^{\text {b }}$.quod \& preto-
 domef.Roms. miffum fuit ${ }^{c}$. id tamen Venuileius I.C.


Philep. 3. d l. demilits bes. D. de cufl.et exhib, reo. C Auth.auib. mod. mat.eff. lejit. $\oint$. quif-habuerunt in milites, fed tantùm leuis qu:.
fin looffciu. in fir. D. dere mijit. coërctio illis permiffa eft. id quod Marcianus fatis indicaffe videtur $f$, cùm ait: Tribuni officiumeffe delicta fecundum fuxe auctoritatis modum caftigare : cui ganned. L.x. cöruenit, quod Tacitus air 8 de feditione illa Pannonica, frribens, Municiŭ prefectum caltrorum, penes quem erat tum fumma rerum, abfente duce, cœptam feditionem fedaffe, duorum militum fupplicio:bono magis exemplo quàm conceffo iure. Ceterum quod ad militaria iudicia attinet, ex D. Traiani refripto etiam diebus feriatis peragi poflunt ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$.

DEPOENIS MILITVM.
I Displex caufa in puniendis peccatis.

2 Panamilitares.
3 Milizum delicta aut propria,aut cömunia. 4 Fuftuarimm quid.
5 Deciratio.
6 Romanimilitaribus panis a/peri.
7 Capitãles poenaper vinum aut lafciviam lapfis remittitur.
8 Romani in iudsăado beneficia cum delictis non compenfabant.
9 Contrá Perfa.
Capvitix.

I Vplicem ${ }^{*}$ in puniédis peccatis caufameffecéfer Plato in Gorgia,vtrefert Gellius ${ }^{2}$ : vnä caltigädi $\&$ corrigēdi a wort.Ate.l: cum qui peccauit, quò̀attentior fiat, \& ${ }^{\text {ocapp. } 14 .}$ ad bene viuendum promptior. quòdin minoribus delictis fieri folet pecunia, vel leui coërctione, multa dicta. altcram exempli caufa, vt alija fimilibusdelictis metu peenx deterreantur: quod in grauioribus delictis fieri publicè intereft.
2 Itaque pœom militares*nonnullx maiores,quæ capitisfupplicio luebantur: alix minores, prout grauiter aut lcuiter delietum effet, delinquentibus militibus, neceffariòad difciplinam militarem ftabiliendam, aducibusinferrifolent. mibs litum

De ivre et off. bell. litum autem delicta*aut propria funt, 3 aut communia. Proprium militare dea 2.2. D. de lictumeft, quod quis vt miles admititit ${ }^{2}$ : fi puta aliter faciat, quàm difciplina militaris exigit ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Poenæ vefò militares, ve ait Modeftinus ${ }^{\text {c }}$, funt huiufmodi : caftigatio, pecuniaria multa, munerum indictio, militix mutatio, gradus deiectio, ignominiofa miffio, in metallumautem nondantur, nec torquentur, nec ad bed.1. 2. S. Finas,nec in furcam damnantur ${ }^{d}$, nec plegw. D.der beiorum pœenis fubijciüture. At ex graui milis. $\mathrm{elmoris}$.$\leqslant delicto folent milites capite puniri f$, aut ille. D.dep pa. fufte percuti. id fupplicium*huiufmodi 4 2.honor.C.de pen.òtis s̄.de proul.misitis. f d.2.3. 5. in bello.D, dere mones. $g$ de Romo. castrani. erat, vt refert Polybius3, accepto fufte tribunus vix rantừm attingebat damnatum:quod vbifactum erat,omnes qui in caftris erant, cadentes futtibus, lapidibusq́ue, plerosque in ipfis caftris conficiebant:at fiquieuafiffent, ne fic quidem feruari poterant, quibus nec in patriam redire licebar, nequealiquis ex neceffariisdomi cum recipere audebat, vnde penitus peribant, qui in talem calamitatem incidiffent. hoc genus animaduerfionis fuftuarium dicebatur, tefte Cice-
b philip. 3. ronet, cìm ait : Fuftuariú meruerunt legiones, qua confule reliquerunt. Simile

## Liser tertivs. 196

 pœnx genus ctiam num hodie in caftris invfueft.De hac poena cenfent I.C.cùm dicūt,fufte percuti,occidi,animaduertia. Alioqui verò, cùm dicunt futtibus credi, caftigari, admoneri ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ : non de capitali, fed de leuiori pœna agunt. nam multo leuiorem effe fuftium, quàm flagellorū pœnam iudicat Marcellus ${ }^{\text {c, Califtratus }}{ }^{\text {d }}$, \& Hermogenianus ${ }^{\text {c }}$ : vt annotauit Hicronymus Verrutius ${ }^{f}$, Porrò fi multi milites in aliquod flagitium confpirent, vcl fil legio deficiat, exauctorarifolents. nō- $^{\text {n }}$ nunquam auctores turbarum ad palum deligare, aut fecuri percutere, reliquę vero multitudinis decimum quemq; forte ${ }_{5}$ ductum*ad fuppliciū petere peruulgata pœena fuit . quod ex verbis Ciceronis bello. D.dere pro Cluétio intelligi poteft, vbi inquit: milt. $1.1 . \mathrm{c}$. Statuerunt maiores noftri, ve fia multis not. infa. effet flagitiü rei militaris admiffum, for- cinl.ur frue titione in quofdam animaduerteretur, vt metus videlicet ad multos, pœna ad paucos perueniret. Inter alias quoque in D.deran. dish Crapita- D. depais. poenas militares fuerunt hę:ftipendium annidifferre militibus, neq; his $x$ ra pro cedere, quixre dirutidicebantur, aut in politia,aut in frequentia notare, vel citra commodaftipēdiorum exauctorare, locum in

De ivre et off. bell. cum in quo tenderent in caftris adimere, vt humiac fubdio accubarent, extra vallum fine tentoriis relinquere, vitibus cxdere, haftas dareiubere, quax cenfio haftaria dicebatur,quę non pro leuinoxa, fed pro graui delicto animaduerfionis loco aducibusinferri folita eft. Haftas autem dare iubebantur milites, quibus propter probra ignominix \& luxurię hafta adimebatur.etenim ficut glorię caufa, fortes viri donabantur hafta pura, hi qui primü victores in prxlio fuiffent: itaignauis \& cõtumacibus adimebatur. hoc notabat, tanquam fpes merendiillis adempea forer. in politia \& in frequétia notabantur hi, quirudibus, aut parum nitidisarmis, vel frigofiore equo,\& macie confecto vtebantur,quiq́ue prediáo ad pugnă die, occafionibus quæfitis cona ake,rb A . tumacius parerent ${ }^{2}$. Fuit quoque anti-
 ignominix caufa venam militi folui, \& bnaftatitic. fanguinémitti. quod A. Gell ${ }^{\text {b }}$. prımùm ub,ro.cap, 8 in militibus ftupentis animi, atque a naturali habitu declinantis (vt non tam poena, quàm medicina videretur) factü fuiffe credit:poftea verò etiam ob pleraque alia delicta factitatum effe per confuetu. fuetudinem: quafi minus fani viderētur omnes quidelinquerent. Ceterum, quãuisalioqui Romanis mitiores pœeņ̧ placuerint: apud quos antiquitus cautum fuit, nequis multam indicendo, boucm priufquam ouem nominaret, grauiorü enim multarū $x$ ftimatio bos crat vnus, vel plures; minorum verò ouis: in militaribus tamen pœenis feueriores femper 6 fuere*; quòd afpero \& abfciffo caftigationis generé difciplina militaris indigeat. Vnde quamuis confulibus prohibitum effet, ne poffent animaduertere in caput ciuis Romani, in caftris tamen porerant pro arbitratu fuo fupplicium fumere:vtalibi diximus ${ }^{2}$. Hinc quoque a a. de ind perrarò bis delictum imperatores venia malts, dignum putauere.Nam.vtM.Cato inlibrode re militari fcripfit, aliis in rebus errata poftmodum corrigi poffunt ; in bello autem delicta emendarionem non accipiunt : quippe cùm poena ipfa e veAtigio crrorem fequatur. prato enimeft ignauię,ant imperitiz vltor, qui nunquā delinquenti hofti indulget. \& Lifimachusfummusdux dicere folet, non effe in bello biserrare, vbif quid offenditur perperam temeritate, velinfcitia, emen.

De ivre et off. bell. dari non poteft . nam parua in bello offenfa, magnarum fxpe rerum momenta facit. Nihilominus tamé quędam delicta pagano aut nullam, aut grauiorem pœnam irrogãt : militiverò grauiorem,aut leuiorem : vt Marcellus refpondit a. \& quidē conftat, nonnulla militaria delicta capite puniri,quæ non nifi culpa contrahuntur ${ }^{b}$. Capitalis tamen poena per vinum, aut lafciuiam lapfis*remittitur, \& 7 militix mutationem irrogat ${ }^{c}$. voluntas enim \& iudicium, quod nullum in ebrio eft,diftinguit maleficia ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Itaq; Pyrrhus adolefcétes, qui inter bibendū regé cond LI.D.D.ad. Comodefica. uiciis \& maledictis onerauerāt, ingenuè id fatentes, \& culpam omnem in temulentiam coniicientes, cùm dicerent fe longè plura dicturos fuiffe, fi plusvini habuiffent, imo ipfum regé interfecturos,nifilagena defeciffer, innoxios dimi-
e Vol.Max.
u.s.s.cap.I. fite. Carolus tamen V.imperator edicto cauit, necuiebrietas in delictis excu\{ationem præberer, propter nimiā in hifce regionibusinebriandifrequentiam, \& Pittacus legem tulit, qua ei, qui per ebrietatem deliquerat, duplam eius, quax
-in cous. fobrio infligererur, poenam conftituit $\mathrm{f}_{\text {. }}$ EA porrò valde memorabile, quod Ro. mani, mani, quialioqui maximam meritorum cuiufque, $\&$ virtutis rationem habuerūr, 8 in iudicandotamen ${ }^{*}$ non folent, etiam fi maxima rei in remp. exflitiffent merita, vero criminiindulgere, aut beneficia cū delictis compenfarc.cuius rei fidem facit in primis P. Horatius, qui taigemina fpolia Cuiatiorum victor pre fegerens, quiq́ue imperium populo Rom, folus fua virtute pepererat, perducllionis reus, quod fororem ob mortem fpōfi Cuiatij flentem transfixerat, in maximo difcriınine fuit : plusq́ue ad falutē ipfrus profecerūr preces,\& miferatio patris, quàm recens rei bencficium a. Item M. Manlius a Liemum. 1
Capitolinus regni affectati reus, quăuis defenfo Capitolio Romam feruaffet, \& prope cccc. produxiffet, quibus fine foenoreexpenfas pecunias tuliffer, quorum bona venire, quos duci addictos prohibuiffet: ad hxc hoftium cxforum folia, dona imperatorü plurima confpicienda protuliffer, \& ea qua bello geffiffet oratione magnifica facta dictis æquädo memoraffer : nihilominus tamen crimé valuit,inquit Liuius ${ }^{\text {b }}$, \& populi iudicio dä- bub, $\sigma$. natus Manlius, de faxo Tarpeiodeiétus 9 eft, Contrà Perfénunquamquemquam condem-

> Da ivRe et offo belz.
> a Did.Stskl. lib.t. condemnabant, nifireus conuinceretur plus mali quàm boni feciffe reipub. ${ }^{2}$
> DE CONTVMACIBVS ET DVCVM DICTO NON PARENTIBVS eos'́s non protegentibus.
> - 2ui edicto non paret, capite punitur.
> 2 Miles qui tribuno, vel centurionicaftigare volentirefifit.
> 3 Tribuno non licet modum in caftigando excedere.
> 4 2uz prapofitum defendere noluerunt, vel deferuerunt.
> 5 2ui rem a duce probibitam facit. 6 Difciplina caftrorum Romanis antiquior, quim charitas liberorum.
> Caprtx.

A
D ftabiliendam difciplinam mili taré in primis fpectat, vt exercitui \& militibus prxpofiti, habeant milites dicto audientes. Itaque quiedicto mibl.3. 反. in nus paret ${ }^{*}$, capite puniri iubeturb. Au- I bello. D.dere milit. guftus verò decimam legionem contumacius parétem, cumignominia totam dimifit. Porrò vt ordine, ac fine tumultu omnia in agmine, ad nutum imperiumq́ue ducis fierēt, optimè prouidit L. $\bar{E}$ milius Paulus, in Macedoniam miffus.
nam nam cùm omnibus fimul pronunciarerur, fi quid agendumeffet, neque omnes exaudirent, \& incerto imperio accepto, alij plus eo quod imperatum effer, alij minus facerent, clamores quoque difloni orirentur omnibus locis , \& prius hoAtes quàm ipfi,quid pararetur, fcirét:placuit trib. militum primo pilo legionis fecretum edere imperium : illum, $\&$ deinde fingulos proximo cuique in ordine centurioni dicere quid facto opus effet: fue a primis fignis ad nouifimuũ agmé; fiue $a b$ extremis ad primos perferêdum imperiumeffet ${ }^{\text {a }}$. At verò tribuno, \& cé- a Liu, ib, 34 curioni permiffam fuiffe caftigationem 2 in milites alibi diximus ${ }^{b}$. Itaque miles* ${ }^{*}$, $b$ s. tribw deofss. quitribuno vel centurioni caftigare volentireftitiffet, probro notabarur: qui verò probro notabatur, eũ nemini igne, nemini alloquio impartirc licebat. qui vero vitem tenuiffer, militiam mutabat: fiex induftria fregiffer, capite plectebatur ${ }^{c}$. Tribunis auté vites prælatas fuiffe, el.mikes. $\wp$. non virgasalibi oftendimus. Cauédum de remusts. 3 tamen tribuno ${ }^{*}$, ne modum in caftigan- $\frac{d \text { li.officumm. }}{\text { in }} \mathbf{j}$. D. de do excedat ${ }^{d}$. $\&$ fi iniuftè militem inua- re milus. 1 iste
 aliquod metas officij fui excedat, puto D.icasti.

## c militi

De ivre et off. berz. a arymento militidefenfionem effe licitam ${ }^{2}$ : alioqui l.probibicistm. C. de iwr.fifs. ab. so. b c.quirefifitio. 2.qu 3. ci.omne. ¢. lis pœena fatuta eft in eos, qui manus in${ }_{\text {Dentmacial }}$ deremulut. di.lome. $\delta, \mathrm{s}$ qui eum defendere noluerunt ${ }^{*}$, vel de- 4 D. deremint. feruerunt,occidiq́ue paffifunte. idemq́; eld. in fin, regia Hilpaniarum lege conftitutum eft in fin. d der in eos, qui regem fuum deferuerunt $f_{\text {. }}$.
 19. par.2. uiffimè tulit, quòd Q. Petilium confulé fortiffimé aduerfus Ligures pugnātem, occidi milites paffieffent, \& legionineque fipendium anni procedere, neque xra dari voluit: quia pro falute imperan g val.Max, toris hoftium telis fenon obtuliffents. ش. .2.cap.7. Celtiberi verò nefas effe duxerŭt pralio fupereffe, cùm is occidiffer, pro cuius fah Val.max. Iute firitum deuouifent h. Cuius conub.arce.6. ditionis homines fuiffe apud Callos, quos illi Soldurios appellabant, refert
ide bel.gal. Labis.

Si l.3.6. in bello. D.ders nens. verò non erit refiftendum ${ }^{b}$. nam omnis contumacia aduerfus ducem vel prefidem, capitalis eft $\epsilon$. Neque folùm capitaulerunt prapofito ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ fed etiam in eos, fortifins Cęfari.Porròvfque adeofeuerè imperio ducis pareri, eiusqúue edicta obfernari præcipitur, vt capitis pœenam fubear, qui rem a duce prohibitam fecerit *, aurmä- 5 datacius nó feruauerit, etiam $\mathfrak{f}$ res bene cefferit ${ }^{k}$. Cuius difciphnx feueriffimi cuttodes

Liber tertivs. 200 cultodes fuere Poftumius, Tubertus \& Manlius Torquatus: quorum alter filiú, quia non fuoiuflu, fed foonte, prafidio progreffus hoftes fuderat, victorë fecuri feririi iuffit.alter verò, cui Imperiofo pofea cognomen fuit, filium, quòd prouocatus a Gerninio Metio duce Tufculanorum, addimicandum contraedictum patris defcenderat, gloriofan victoriam, \& fpeciofa folia referenté, in confpectu exercitus virgis cefum fecuri percuffit.\& Manlius filius exercitu pro fe aduerfus patrem feditionem parante, negauir täti effe quemquam, vt propter illum difciplina corrüperetur, \& obtinuitvtipfum puniri pateretur. ${ }^{2}$. Vnde Paulus ait, difci$\sigma$ plinä caftrorü antiquiorem Romanis* fuiff, quàm charitatem liberorumb. Nec minori feueritate Papyrius dictator Q.Fabium magittrum equitum, quòd cörra eius imperium exercitum eduxifa $08 . \operatorname{vin} 1.7$ © 8. Vakr. Max.l.2.c.7Gell. 9.9 .6 .13 b inl. poftio. minuum. $\S .5$. fet: : quäquain fufis Samnitibus in caftra redierat, neque virtute eius, neque fucceflu, neque nobilitate motus, nudatum, virgisq́; cæfun lecufi percuffurus; apopulo Rom. tribunisq́ue plebis, qui pro
 Perinde auté in cum militaris animad- 2 .cap.7.

$$
\text { c } 2 \text { uerfio }
$$

De ivre et off. bell. uerfio fuit, qui extra ordinem etianı in prouocantem, cōtraimperium pugnaffet, aut claffico reuocatus, tardius paruiffet, quàm ficiuem aut focium iniuria affeciffet. Itaque Cyrus laudaffe dicitur militem, qui cùm hoftem interfecturus gladium eduxiffet, claffico receptuiaudito ictus cohibuit,hoftemq́uedimifit.

DEEMANSORIBVS.
$t$ Sine commeatu miles a fognis abeffe nom potef.
2 2uiazmenexceßit fufibus ceditur.
3 Emanfor quis, © quomodo punitar.
4 2uivalium tran/cendit.
s Quiad commeatus diem non redit.

> Caprexp.

TVre militari apud Romanos cautum fuit, ne miles fine commeatu*ab fignis 1 abeat, néue pralio decedat, néue locum relinquat, aut e caftrisfugiat, néue decedat longius, fed explorato, \& fub fignis atquefubfidiis pofitis, non modo in acie procedat, fed \& lignatum aut populatū vadat, omnia denique, veluti ficoram hofte cauta præuifaque effent. qui verò longius a tubx auditu, nifi imperatoris aut tribuniduffu,extra munimentaabiiffet, in
fet, in eum militaris animaduerfio crat: ne fcilicet vagi milites folutiore cura obambulantes, in hoftili loco temerè progrefficrdibus effent \& periculis obnoxij: tum etiam vt a rapina contincrētur: 2 vnde quiagmen exceffiffet*, ex caufa vel fuftibus cxdebatur, vel mutare militiam folet ${ }^{2}$. Lyfander Laced $x$ monius egref- a 1.3 .5 .5 fd fum via quendam caftigabat, cuidicenti ${ }^{9}$ qui. D.dero ad nullius rei rapinam fe ab agmine exceffiffe, refpondit: Ne fpeciem quidem rapturi prabeas volo. Alezander Seuerus, fiquis de via in alicuius poffeffioné deflexiffer, eum fultibus credi iubebat, 2 dum cattigaretur, per preconem proclamari \& edici: Quod tibifierinon vis, alteri ne feceris. quod a Chriftianis aliquädo audiucrat. Pompeius verò miffus in Siciliam legatus, cùm audiret milites in itinere faciundo fape euagari,vimq́ue hominibus facere, \& prodas auertere, cos qui temerè oberrabant, fuppliciis compefcuit: fe featem mifforum gladiis $b$ plur. in afigilla impofuit ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Porrò qui diu vagatus poph.
 3 for*dicitur: cuius crimé leuiuseft, quàm mult: defertoris ${ }^{c}$ : vt erronis leuius eft in feruo, ${ }^{d 2}$. fugitions quàm fugitiui ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Examinantur autem figh.

$$
\text { c } 3 \quad \text { caufx }
$$

De ivre at ofpo bell. caufxemanfionis, \& cur, \& vbi fuerit, \&t quid egerit, $\%$ datur venia valetudini, affectioni parentum \& affinium, \& fi feruü fugientem perfecutus eft, vel fiqua huiufmodi caufa fit: fed \&ignorantiadhuc difciplinam tyroni ignofcitur ${ }^{3}$. Hoftibus tamen imminentibus, quiin exploratione emanet, aut a foffato recedit, ca-
a l.quis cions ins fin.D. dere milis. pite punitur ${ }^{b}$. hmiliter \& is qui vallum tranfcendit*, aut per murum caftrain-4 greditur ${ }^{\text {c }}$.muri enim facrolancti femper habiti fuered. Is quoquequi commeatu* s accepto ad diem commeatus non rediit, perinde in eum itatuendum Modeltinus refpondit, ac fiemanfiffet, vel deferuiffet pro numero temporis: facta prius copia dicendi, num fortè cafibus quibufdam detérus effet, propter quos venia dignus videarur ${ }^{\epsilon}$. Vfq; adeo verò odiofum fuit a fignis abeffe, ve miles quiin commeatu agit, non videatur reipúb.caufa abeffe,vt Vlpianusrefponditf: fi puta vagerur,aut domi fuæ ocietur, vt Iabolenus fenfits. nam vt Vlpianus ait ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Ij tancùm reipub. caufa abeffe intelliguntur, qui non fui commodicaufa,fed coactiabfunt, atcömeatum quäparciffimè dari debere militibus, alio locodiximus. ${ }^{\text {i }}$

DE DE-

Libir.tertivs.
DE DESERTORIBVS.
1 Dcferior ques of quomodo punitur.
2 2uide fertor cm ccculsant.
3 Defertor reStitusus non accipit fipendie temporis quo in de fertione fuit. 4 2uiflium milatia fubtraxit.

Caprtxif..

'DEfertor* in iure dicitur, qui per lógum tempus vagatus reducitur z. 2 !.defrorere. Semel autem militix affcriptus, nifi de- ©.defroror.D. bitè miffus, eam deferere non poterat: quod grauiffimum crimé habitum fuit. non tamen omnes defertores fimiliter puniendi funt. nam habetur ordinis, $\& 2$ Sipendiorum ratio, \& anteactæ vitæ, refert etiam num folus, vel cum pluribus, femel, auriterū quis deferuerí. aliúdue crimen defertioni adiunxerit. item temporis ratio habetur. nam qui in pace de. Seruit, eques gradu pellëdus eft, \& pedes binö ommes. milıtiàn mutat. in bello idé admiffum, D de remotro. capisale eft ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Grauius quoque in eofla- ${ }^{\text {cl. guı }}$ gum tutum fuit, qui poft defertionem in aliá pof.D. dere militiam fe dedic, legíue paffus fit c. Im- mutr. peratores verò permiferunt cuiliber de- quäd ult. vn. fertorem opprimere . quod Leoimpe- inc ind. ratoritareftrinxit, fin inuentus reftiterice. e defre...Cb.sz.

De ivre et ofp. bell. Defunctorum autem in defertione boaldsfunfoo. na publicantur ${ }^{2}$. Neque folùmipfideram. C.dere milis. ib. 12 . fertores puniendi funt, fed etiamij qui cos occultant ${ }^{*}$. item iudex, quigratia, 2 vel diffimulatione pœnam defertoriir-
8 l.1. C. de deferto. 16.12 c d.l.y. d linöomnes. 6. que mde. Jatione $l$ qui cum vno. cum rono. S. quideportari iubeture. Et quamuis dede remil. fertor ${ }^{*}$ ex indulgentia fuerit reftitutus, 3 el.multesa. non tamen ftipendia eiustemporis, quo grum
D.dermin
fin in defertione fuerit, exigere poterir $f$. $\underset{\substack{\text { fi. . . challegus } \\ \text { der }}}{ }$ Non minus grauiter quàm in defertoré, zim. C . der milit. Lub.12. rogareneglexit ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. qui verò defertorem prodit,premio afficitur c.defertoritamé quife obtulit, indulgeri foletd . nifif fortè quis poft quinquennium fe obculerit, ftatutum eft in eum, quif filium fuym mi2.penw. D.dz litix fubtraxiffet ${ }^{*}$. nam fi id belli tépore 4 feciffer, exilio \& parte bonorum muitabatur:fi in pace,fuftibus crdi iubebatur, \& requifitus iuuenis in deteriorem militiam dabatur. \& qui filium debilitauit delectu indicto, vt inhabilis militię effet,
 Cæfar autem cùm conueniret, in ceteris feditioforü \& defertorum acerrimus inquifitor \& punitor dicitur fuiffe maximus. \& Aufidium Caffiŭ memorix proditum eft, nouo exemplo multis defertoribus manus detruncaffe \& pedes, af-
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## DE TRANSFVGISETPRODITORIEVS.

- In iransfugas acerrime animaduer fum.

2 Quicum posef reaire, to non redir, pro transfuga habetur.
3 Exploratores quomodo punierdis.
4 Arcanaconfilia non junt eumlganda.
5 Speculatoreshoftium.
6 An fit noodi vire dignum Speculatorem cffe.
7 Ginime tutumperfugis credere.

## Capryxiri.

I N transfugas*, velut maiore crimine obnoxios, \& patrix acciuium proditores, acerrimè femper animaduerfum ${ }^{\text {an }} 1$. pofico fuit, $\&$ venia indignifuere nam vt Paulus aita: Transfuga, qui malo confilio \& proditoris animo patriam reliquit, hoftium numero habendus en, \& capire mes. D. dere punitur ${ }^{b}$. \& vbicunque inuentus, quali cl.3. $\$$. woo hoftisinterfici poteft ${ }^{\text {c }}$ : acleois luliéma- D.edl.Como. icftatisreus eft ${ }^{\text {d }}$ sorqueri quoque, $\&$ ad $\frac{d l, z \text {. Dad }}{}$ beftias, vel infurcamcödemnari poteft, l.Tul. nes. quamuis milites nihil corum patiāture. D.deremate.
c s Is etiam,

De yvaret opforell. Is etiam, quivolens transfugere deprea 1 .defritere henfuseft, capite punitura. Itaque Ful-
6. is qui ash \$oRec. D. de pemsicts.
b Selluft, in covaurat. Cs. uiumfenatorisfliumad Catilinam proficifētem retractum ex itinere iuffit parens necarib.P.autem Scipio Africanus, alioquin misiffrim ingenij, in perfugas \& fugitiuos acerbus fuit:fi quidể deuicta Carthagine ad firmandain difciplinam militarem,ex Romanis, qui rupro imperioad hottes transfugerant, in crucem efferri, nominis verờ Latini fecuri per-

 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~V}$ ai Mast elephantis. M. Marcellus Leontinis cauis. $\mathbf{2}$ cap.7. ptis, adduo millia transfugarum fecuri e $2,0,6,66,24$ percuffite. Mctiam verò Sufftium proditionis reü,quadrigis in diuerfum actis flis.in.zo difcerptum fuiffe legimus ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$. Eq ceclxx. perfugx, qui ad Annibalem transfugerant, receptia Q. Fabio Romam miffi, virgis in comitio cxfiomnes, ac de faxo 8 cin,ib, 24 deiectifunt8. Idem tamẽ Fabius Maxim. mitius agens, illorum qui contra religionemiurifiurandi zaansfugerant, deztras amputari mandauit : vetrunca prae febrachia geftanes, defectionis merum reliquis inijiccrent, \& ceteris, he idem
 Apud

Apud Athenienfes verò proditionis reŭ fepelire nefas fuit, eumque cum tota pofteritate inter infames refercbant : \& cadauera corum extra fines parrix depor- $t=0$ dere $\mathbb{R}$ vat tari iufferuntb. ei tamen, qui transfugit, ${ }^{\text {b Puu. defo- }}$ $\&$ poftea multos hoftes apprehendit, \& clinimess. transfugas demonftraucrit, poffe parci in fin D. $\mathbf{d}$ D. Hadrianus refcripfitc. Haberuretiam

2 pro transfuga is, qui cùm poffer ${ }^{*}$ redire, non rediit d. Et quidemab holtibus re, miffi milites, nonaliter reftituendi funt, quàm fi conititerit eos captos fuiffe, nō mad.
3 transfugiffe ${ }^{e}$. Porrò exploratores *, qui fecreta holtibus nunciant, proditorum ${ }_{c}$ loco habentur, \& capitis poenas luunt ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ : derismes.it. \& viui exuri, aut furca fulpendifolentg. Apud Carthaginienfes verò quodãpotentiffimo ea tempeftate Pcenorú pro-

 ranno aduentum exercitus, \& fegnitiem blaqud ex me: ducis pranunciaffet, S. C. factumeft, ne tacho s. traf. quis poftea Carthaginienfis aut Græcís faymmum . . 5 . litteris, aut Grxco fermoniftuderct : ne boises. D.de aut loquicum hofte, aut fcribere fine in- - panis. terprete poffet ${ }^{\text {h}}$. Neque folùm porna di-hinfien 20 gni fuerunt, qui hoftibus fecreta nunciatent : led etiam ii, qui apud fuos ea euul-

Dg fiveset off. bell. garent ${ }^{*}$. Itaque apud Egyptios legemi- 4 litari cautum fuit, vt quiducis cōfilium a Didedsiad. palam feciffer,lingua multaretur a. Perfe 26.2. verò veteri eorum difciplina arcana regǘ de.cm. vię periculo cuftodiri fanxerüt ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Et me-
morix proditum eft, multis feculis $P$. confriptorum arcana confilia a nemine fenatorum fuiffe euulgata. Itaque Q . Fabius Max. quòd de bello Punico indicēdo, de quo fecreto in curia actum erat, P . Craflo narrauit, quamuis per imprudétiam, memor cum triennio ante quętorem factum, ignazusqúue nondum a cenforibus in ordinem fenatorum allectum, vehementer a cöfulibus obiurgatus fuit.
 turnitatem, optimum ac tutiffimum rerumadminifrandarum vinculum, labefactari volebant. Sicqúue cùm Afize rex Eumenes amantiffimus Romanorü belluma Perfe aduerfus populum Roman. comparari fenatui nunciaffet, non ante fciri potuit, quid aut ille locuruseffet, aut patres refpondiffent, quàm Perfen d Val Max. captum cognitum efferd. Prudëter verò 4b,2،ap.2. Cęcilius Metellus tribuno militum percunctanri quideffer facturus: Sieius,inquir, rei firem mihi tunicam confciam, cxutam
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exuram in ignem conijcerem. indicans ducū confilia non effe euulganda. Porrò proditoribus annumerari poffunt, qui ad hoftes tela armáue deferunt:quod capirale eft, bonis eorum publicatis a. Et a la. C.que Paulus refpondit, ferrum hoftibus ve- recexppr, inen nundare fine capitis periculo nö licereb. Li.wli.me. quiverò operam dabant, vt barbari Ro- blicotem.D. manos depredarentur, \& predam cum hoftibus diuidebant, viui exuri folent ${ }^{c}$. clingwi.c.
5 Ceterum hoftiuffpeculatores*apud nos forte deprehenfos, non tanto odio dignos effe, quanto ij quiad hoftes tranffugiunt, \& fecreta hoftibus nuriciant, ratio ipfa fatis indicat, quippe qui nulla perfidia notantur. Vnde fpeculator quidam Carthaginienfis, qui per biennium fefellerat, Romædepreheafus, præcifis tantùm manibus dimiffuseft ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Solet hic d Lu, $, 16,2 z$
$\sigma$ quari, an fit nobilivirodignum*fpeculatorem effe, \& explorare hoftium confilia \& facta. Et certè ex profeffo hanc artem exercere mercedis gratia, nô puto viro nobili honori effe, neq; citra ignominix notam licere:vt colligere licet ex iis quę de nobilibuslatè tradit Tiraquellus. Si quis veròamore rcipub.\& profalute communi \& publica hoc faciat, non folùm

De ivreet off. sell. folum nô indignum viro nobiffifedetia maximè gloriofum iudico, nam \& Dominus preccepit Moyfiproficifenticum Ifraëlitis in terram promiffionis, vt prxmittexet fingulos de fingulis tribubus ex precipuis in terram Chanaã, qui confiderarent, qualis quantaǵue enlet, \& $\mathrm{Q} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { v }}$, trum fortis populus, an infirmus, paaci numero, an plures, ipfa terra bona, an mala, vrbes munitx, necne, ceteraq́ue
a Num.13. omnia explorarent ${ }^{2}$. Et Iofue duos exploratores mifit in vrbem Iericho, ante-
b Lofu.cap. a quam eam oppugnaret b.Itaq; Sex. Tar. quinius, fimulata patris fæuitia \& odio Gabios profugit: quoaftuduxab itliselectus, vno auraltero pralio profperè re gefta, cùm vniuerfa res Gabina illi creditaeffet, fublatis de medio primoribusciuitatis, fine certamine oppidum patri, quillud oppugnabat, in manus tradi-

- Lis, h6, a. ditc. Et Zopyrus homo nobilis, Darij Perfarum regis amicus,cùm videret regé longa obfidione Babylonis defeflum, \& difficilem admodú expugnationem fore, truncatis fibi ipfinafo \& auribus, lacero corpore ad Babylonios rransfugit, fimuläs fea Dario tam foedè cxfum. qua recredita benignè ab hoftibus exceptus, turmis turmis equitum præfectus, vno aut alrero pralio re bene gelta, tandé dux totius exercitusconftitutus, vrbem atque exercirum Dario tradidit a. Aralpa quoque a Infino. us.: nobiliffimus Medus, ex Cyricomitibus, iuffueiusad Affirium regem transfugir, \& exploratis holtium copis \& conflilis ad Cyrum reuerfus, in publica concione abeolaudatuseft ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Quibus etiam exem- b xero. im plis docemur, quamminimè tusum fit pad.Cyrib.
7 perfugis credere* ${ }^{*}$ quibuscertè, etiam fi fine dolo \& fraude ad nos profugiant, \& fuos prodant, non mulum fidei habendum erit, quomodo enim fperari poteft in alienos fidü fore, qui in fuos perfidus exiltit? Itaque Antigonusdicere foler, 1 e proditores amare dứ proderét, vbi verò prodidiflent, eos ommiodio perfequi. quò fpectat Auguttidictum : Proditionem amo, prodirorem non laudor. Er e plus in a. faccè̀ Philippus Macedo, quäquam dc- poph. cepto hofte femper gauderet, conquerenti cuidam, quod milites eum proditorem vocarent, co quòd patriam Philippo prodidiffer : Nolimirart, inquit, hebetes enim ingenio funt Macedones, \& agreftes admodum, vtpote quifcaphã fcapham vocant:his verbisdocens, pro-

De ivatet off. bell. ditores omnibus ludibrio effe debere: Romanis certè veteribus illis, infitum fuit Romanis, vt ipfi dicebant, artibus, ideft, virtute, opere, armis potius vincea F. de inffi re, quàm aliena iniquitate, vt alio loco filimot fred tho oftendimus. 2

| deseditiosis. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I | Intefina feditiones perniciofa. |
| 2 | In feditiofos acriter animaduerfum |
| 3 | Nontemere experiendumimperium. |
| 4 | Luxes of licentiamaxime forent fedistomes. |
| $s$ | Seditiomira dexteritate ¢edata. |
| 6 | Aliter in bello, aliter in pace agendum. |
| $7$ | Prius lenienda feditio, quedm in anciores animaduertatur. |
|  | 2 2romodo compe fatar feditio. |

Capyt xicif.

QVàm perniciofrefint*inteftine dify fentiones, difertè Menenius Agrippaorator, ad populum, qui infacrum mörem fecefferat, apologo fuo de membrorum humani corporis diffentione,
DLimim 1,2 oftendit $b$ : \& Scylurus fafcefuo haftaru, quem filiis fuis,quos octoginta habebat, confringendum exhibuit. Etenim haud pauciores exercitus difcordia \& feditio-ne,pro-
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ne, propriis viribus confumptos, quàm ab hoftibus proftratos fuiffe fatis cōflat.
2 Vnde in feditiofos*, \& feditionū auctores acriter femper fruitum eft, tanquam in criminis lxfx maieftatis reos ${ }^{2}$. itaque a 1 .r. D. ad capite puniri Modeftinus refpōdit cum, ${ }^{\text {1.ulw,weurf. }}$ quiatrocem militum feditionem concitauit : fin verò intra vociferationem \& leuem querelam feditio motaeffer, gradumilitix deijcib. \& Paulus feditiofos, ${ }^{b}$ l.3.inf in. pro qualitate dignitatis, in furcam tolli, aut beftiis fubijci, aut in infulam deportari voluit $\varsigma$. Ex cōftitutionibus quoque sint.f. quis
 perfonx, puniri iubetur. \& fiquidem eo muthers. D. animo feditionem mouerint, vt impedi- dep pren.1. , ds retur occafio rei bene gerend $x$, erutis o- tio. culis in carcerem conijciuntur, donec occafio reigerendę tranfierit:\& quidem fifintex dignioribus, captiuiconftituūtur, \& poftea in exilium mittuntur d. $\begin{gathered}\text { d Reg. } i 3.4 .4 . \\ 0 \text { s. } \\ \text { itrat } 28 .\end{gathered}$ Cxfar autem cum in ceteris criminibus pari. commueret, in feditiofos accrrimus vindex fuit, \& ex caufa feditionis, in tumultu ciuilium armorum, actum maximè tumentibusanimis, legionem totamexauctorauit, auctoribus feditionis fecuri percuffis: fed moxeos quos exauctorad uerat,

De ivre ef off. bell. uerat, ignominiam deprecätes reftituit, \& optimos milites habuit. Cn. Pöpeius minitantibus direpturos pecuniam militibus, quæ in triumpho ferebatur, Seruilio \& Glaucia hortãtibus, vt diuideret eam, ne feditio fieret, affirmauit non triumphaturum fe,fed potius moriturŭ, quàm licentię militum fuccumberet,caftigatisq́; oratione graui militibus, laureatos fafces obiecir, vtabilloruminciperent dıreptione, eaǵ: muidia redegit cos ad modeftiam. Monenditamen funt duces belli, ne temere expertantur imperium*, cuius vis omnis in confenfu o- 3 bedientium eft, if forte periculum fit, nö fore dicto audientes milites: vt prudēter legati App. Claudium monuerunt, feeuire volentem in milites, qui odio eius in aciem producti, turpi fuga petierant
a tiu, 36,2 . caltra ${ }^{2}$. Porrò Gemıtius conful millia hominum quattuor exauctorauit, \& in foro percuffit, quòd licentia corrupti R hegium, in cuias prefidio erant, occupauerant, \& eorum corpora fepulture ${ }_{\text {b Val. Max }}$ ib. mandari, mortemque lugere veruit ${ }^{\text {B }}$. 246.2. cap.7. Lim, $14 b_{,} 28$. Atquìn compefcendis cohibendisǵue militum feditionibus magna requiritur ducis prudétia,quix primisfciredebet, luxum

Liber tertivs. 208 4 luxum \& licentiam ${ }^{*}$ cxocio, vt fit, colleCtam maximè foucre iftiufmodi militä confilia:vti Liuius in fua hiftoria fatisoftendit ${ }^{2}$, cùm ait: Lam tum minimè falu - a lib.\%. bris militari difciplinx Capua, inftrumento omnium voluptatum delinitos milituanimos auertit a memoria patrix, inibanturq́ue confilia in Hibernis, codé „Icelere adimendę Campanis Capux, per "quod illi eam antiquis cultoribusade„ miffent . Cetcrum in hac coniuratione Capuana compefcenda, laudanda pru5 dentia C. Martij Rutilij con. ${ }^{*}$ qui \& $x$ tate, \& vfu doctus, optimum ratusdifferendo fpem, quandocunque vellent, cōGilium exequendi militarem impetüfruתtrari, rumoré diffipat in iifdem oppidis \& anno port prefidia hibernatura, diuifa enim erant per Campanix vrbes. coq́ue laxamentocogitationibus dato, quieuit in prefentia feditio: \& conful educto in $x$ ftiua milte, dum quietos Samnites habebat, exercitū purgare miffionibusturbulërorum hominum, miradexteritate inftituit, alios aliis ex caufis ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. Neque e- b Lusimut. 7 . nimfemper conuenit imperiä experiri. frpe enim, vt Tacitusait, quod in pacis ocio vindex difciplina perfequitur;inter
d 2 gladıos

De ivre et off. bell. gladios \& turbas cauta toleratur patientia. \& vt Fabius Max. dicebat, aliter in medio ardore* belli ; aliter in pace tran. 6 quilla arbiträdum \& agendum effe, vta-
a 5. Temporis rationems ha-
bendä, lib.2. libioftendimus ${ }^{2}$. Hincquoquc grauialiqua feditione exorta, candem, quacunque ratione, leniendam ${ }^{*}$, \& tum demum 7 in auctores animaduertendum cenfeo, ne alioqui magis irritetur \& accendatur, quàm fedetur aut extinguatur militaris furor : exemplo Scipionis, quiin caftris ad Sucroné in Hıfpania feditione orta, inter fuos milites feptē tribunos adeos mifit, qui placido fermone permulcētes lenirent militum animos, \& edicto propofito, vt ad ftipendium petendum conuenirēt, Carthaginem pertraxit:vbicircumfufo omni exercitu graui oratione caftigatis militibus, metutorpentibus, auctores feditionis in mediū protractos, nudos deligatos ad palum virgis cafos fecuri percuffit:cumque certatum fuiffet fententiis, vtrum in auctores tantùm feditionis animaduerteretur, an plurium fupplicio vindicanda tam foediexempli defectio magis, quàm feditio effet: vicit fententia lenior, vt vnde orta culpaeffet, bLialib.28. ibi pœna confifteret b: his adde quod Tacitus
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Tacitus ait ${ }^{2}$, faluberrimum effead con- a comel. 4, , $x$ tinendam militarem fidem, vbi feditio 8 timetur*, vtlongis fpatiis diftineaturexercitus, ne viribus \& vitiis milites mifceantur. fed \& cùm ocium militarem feditionem maximè foueat, illud bello, A lexandri exemplo, interpellare cōuenit. Prudenterverò Cæfar bello ciuili,vt fibi exercitum deuinciret, a tribunis militū, centurionibusq́; mutuas pecunias fumpfir, quas exercituidifribuit. quo facto duas res confecutus eft, quia pignore animos centurionum deuinxit, \& largitione redemit militum voluntates ${ }^{b}$. Eft $b$ Cefar a autem memorabile, quod de Annibale belcicurnill., 8 tradunt hiftorix: quippe qui cùm in hoftiú terra per annos tredecim, tam procul a domo, varia fortuna bellügereret, exercitu non fuo ciuili, fed mifto ex colluuione omnium gentium, quibus non lex, non mos, nonlingua communis; alius habitus, alia veftis, alia arma, alij ritus, alia facra, alij prope dij effent, ita quodam vno vinculo copulauerit eos, vt nulla nec interipfos, nec aduerfus descem feditio exifterct, cùm \& pecunia $\mathfrak{x}$ pe, \& ftipendium, \& commeatus in hoflium agro deefet $c_{\text {。 }}$ Idem de Viriato le- ctim, $, i b, 27$ d 3 gimus,

De ivre et off. bbll. gimus, qui per octo perpetuos annos, quibus bellü cum Romanis geffit, exercitum ex omni hominum genere conflatum, fine feditione obfequentiffimum a appian, de habuit a.quod certè non fine admiranda quadam virtute ducisfieri potuit. Scipio verò alioquifummus imperator, nimia lenitate fua militibus anfam ad feditionē prabuit.quo nomine Q.Fabius Max.eū ad corrumpendam difciplinam militaré natum arguebat, \& plus prope in Hifpania culpa Scipionis per feditionem militum, quàm belloamiffum effe. Adeo' natura infitum quibufdameft, vt magis peccari nolint, quàm fatisanimiad vindicanda peccata habeant.

> DEIIS QVIIN ACIE LOCO CEDVNT, AVT VICTI SE DEDVNT.
> I Imperator magis quàmbofis timendus.
> 2 Qui in acie prior fugit, capite punitur.
> 3 Seueritas adrembene gerendamprodef.
> 4 Quife boftibusdedunt, carēt pofliminio.
> S 2uimetubofium languorem fimulat.

> Capvityv.Learchus Lacedęmoniorumdux e• xercitui dicere eft folitus, impera-

I torem potius* quàm hoftem metuidebere,fignificäscos,qui in præliodubiam mortem timuiffent, certum, fi deferuiffent, manere fupplicium: vt fcilicet infitum effer militubus, aut vincendum, aut moriendum effe. Quecerre neceffitas in virturem vertifolet. de quoalio locodiximus ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Vnde iure militari caurumeft,
2 vt qui in acie prior fugam fecerit*, capite puuiatur ${ }^{b}$. Idem in nobilifugiéte, regıa Hifpanix lege fatutum eft ${ }^{c}$ : at iure canonico fit infamis ${ }^{d}$. lege verò diuina $t i$ midi iubebantur reuerti in domum fuá, nealiis timorem incuterēt ${ }^{\text {e. }}$. App. Clau- $\begin{gathered}\text { c.guffam. } \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$ dius exhis, quibello Volfca loco ceffe- c Deatr c. 20 rant, decimum quemque forte ductum ad fuppliciū legit $\mathfrak{f}$. idem fecit Antonius bello Parthicos.P. Cornelio Nafica, De-g Appiande cimo Iunio conff. qui exercitum defe-bello Part. ruerant, damnatı virgis cæh, publicè vænierūt. Atrilius regulus cùm ex Samnio in lucernam tranfgrederetur, exercitufq́ue eius obuiis hoftibus aduerfuseffer, oppofita cohorte iuffit fugiētes pro defectoribuscædi. Camillusdictaror Veiéti bello dictus omnium primum, in eos, qui a Veiis proximo prælio pauore fugerant, mose militari animaduertit, effed 4 citq́ue

De ivre et off. bill. citq́ue ne hoftis maximè timédus militi
a Lie.cb.s. effet ${ }^{2}$. T. Scaurus filium, quòd in faluu Tridentino hoftibus cefferat, in confpeCtum fuum venire vetuit : quare adolefcens verecūdia ignominix preffus, mortem fibi cōfciuit. Marcellus verò mitius agens, milites a quibus orta fuga effer, quiq́ue pauore figna reliquiffent, fequéti pugna inter primas acies frequentes induxit,vt quod ignominix acceperant,
b val.Max. aliis preliis periculo expiarent ${ }^{\text {b }}$.Senatus
4i.2. cep.7. autem Romanus eos, qui commilitones fuos pugniantes apud Cannas deferuerant, graui decreto vltra mortuorum cöditionem in Siciliam relegauit , \& acceptisa Marcello litteris , vt eorū opera ad expugnationem Syracufarum vti liceret, refripfit indignos effe, qui in caftra reciperentur, necrempubl. iiscommittēdam effe:ceterum fe ei permittere, vt faceret quod reipub.expedire iudicaret:dum ne quis ex eis munere vacaret, autdono militix donaretur, aut in Italiam, donec hoftes in ea effent, accedec Lis. $2_{25}$. ret c. idem decretum fuit in eos, qui de $\underset{\text { val. Max }, l, 2}{ }$ exercitu Cn. Fuluij pratoris in Apulia rap.7. foede crefi, fugatiq́ue fupererant : additumq́ue ignominiধ̧, ne in oppidis hibernarent,

Liber tertivs. 2 it
narent, néue hiberna propius vllam vrbem decé millibus pafliuum $\mathfrak{x d i f i c a r e n t . ~}$ \& Cn. Fuluius capitis accufatus, in exilium abiit ${ }^{2}$.Scipio tamen cùm in Africãa atiwiwh $2 \mathbf{2 6}$ tranfiret, non eft afpernatus miltres, qui ex Cannenficlade fupererant, vt qui neque ad Cannas ignauia corum cladëac. cepram fcitet, fed culpa ducis, neq; vllos xquè veteres milites in excrcitu Romano effe, expertosq́ue non variis preliis modò, led in vrbibus etiam oppugnandis ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Porrò quantum huius difciplinæ bliment. 29 feueritas ad rem bene gerendam profe3 cerit ${ }^{*}$,multis exemplis docemur. itaque Licinius Craffus contra Spartacum gladiatorem (qui cum magna manufugitiuorum \& fux cöditionis homınum Romanis bellūinferebat) aliquot viCtoriis ferocé profectus, legionibus decimatis, quòd male pugnaffent, effecit, vt magis ipfe, quàm hoftis timeretur: \& denuò in aciem fuis productis, quamuis hacdecimatione mulum imminuto exercitu, magna ftrage hoftiū facta, egregiè Spartacum vicit c.Similiter L.Apronius, fuis ${ }^{5}$ Apppien.de acie fugatis, a Tacfarinate magis decore in frume.
fuorum, quàm gloria hoftis anxius, raro ea têpeftate, inquit Tacitus ${ }^{d}$. \& evetere darnal. 1.3 . d 5 memo.

De ivre et off. bell. memoria, facinore, decimum quemque ignominiof cohortis forte ductos fufte necat:tantumq́ue feueritate profectum, vt vexillum vẹteranorum non amplius quingenti numero, ealdem Tacfarinatis copias fuderint. Ceterum non folùm loco cedere, \& fugere apud Romanos turpe fuit, \& animaduerfione dignum, fed etiam per deditionem in poteftatem hoftium venire . itaque eos, qui armis victi ${ }^{*}$ fe hoftibus dedunt,poftlıminio ca- 4 a in 2 popfli rere Vlpianus refpondit ${ }^{2}$. Et quidem $T$. minim. D. Vefpalianus tam feucræ difciplinx fuiffe de eaprow. 6 pofl.rewrr. traditur, vt militem ab hoftibus captum, qui folutis vinculis ad caftra refugerat, vitrà militare non fiuerit, net illi arma crediderit, quad viuusfe ab hoftibus capi permififfet. \& fenatus vel maximè attritis reip. viribus, cùm magnum feruorum numerum a Pyrrho rege vitro miffum recepiffent, decreuit ex fententia App. Claudij, vtij quiequo meruerant, peditum numero militarent:qui pedites fuerant, in funditorum auxilia transfcriberentur, néue quis eorum intra caftra tēderet, neuue locum extra caftra affignatum vallo aut foffa cingeret, néue tentorium ex pellibus haberet : \&ita demum

## Liber tertivs.

 212 cos in priftinum militix ordiné reftitui placuit, fibina fpolia ex hoftibus retuliffent ${ }^{2}$. Pari feueritate fenatus, cùm ei $\mathrm{An}^{-}$a $\mathrm{Val}, \mathrm{Ms}$ nibal octo millia Romanorum, qui in $4 b, 2$, , cap. caftris relicti polt Cannenfem cladem, fe Annibalidediderant, redimédorum poteftatem faceret, conditionem \{preuit, $\mathbb{K}_{2}$ in eorum locum octo millia feruorú publicè empta armauit : qui miles magis placuit: cùm tamen precio minore redimendi captiuos copia fieret : memor tätam multitudinem armatorū iuuenum, fi honeftè morivoluiffent, turpiter capi non potuiffe : vt infitum effet Romanis, aut vincere,autemori. qua quidéaudita re fractum animum Annibalis ferunt: quòd fenatus populusq́ue Rom. rebus affictis tamexcelfo animo effer ${ }^{b}$. Deni- b Lin. $1 / 22$. que ij quoque, qui metu hoftium lan- val Max 1.2 5 guorem ${ }^{*}$ fimulant, capite puniri iuben- ${ }_{-17} 7$ cicticroof luftius de veteribus illis Romanis refert, folet. D.dero quod in bello fxpius vindicatum fuerit in eos, qui contraimperium in hoftem pugnauerant, quia tardius reuocati pr $\varepsilon^{-}$ lio excefferăt, quàm quifigna relinquere, aut pulfiloco cedere aufierant.

DEIIS

|  | De fverety off, bell. de ifs gitarma alienant vel amitivnt. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | I Armain bello amitterevelalienare graute crimen. <br> 2 Arma pofliminio non redeunt. <br> 3 Qui cutsmvel gladiunn in acie amittit. |
|  | Capta |
|  | $\mathbf{A}_{\text {vma veteresmembra militum effe }}^{\text {Ren }}$ voluerunt : itaque graue crimé ha- |
|  | lienare ${ }^{*}$, \& capite punitum ${ }^{2}$. \& crimen : |
|  | auddefertioni xquiparatur, fiquidem |
|  | tota quis alienaflet, vel etiam fi partem |
|  | vel humerale amifit, vel alienauit, verbe- |
|  | cartigri folet:fiver |
|  | dium, fcutum, defertori fimiliseff: nifi |
|  | quod tyroni facilius |
| amma.D.de |  |
|  | tur $\mathrm{c}^{\text {.quare mirum quòd conft }}$ |
|  | ni Hippanix arma in bello am |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | caua inamia notam indrex |
|  | adimit ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Et |
|  | cre, ignominiof |
|  | femper |

Libar tektivs. 213 femper fuit. itaque M. Cato Cenforij filius, in acie cadente equo prolapfus,cùm ferccolligeret, animaduerteretq́ue gladium excidiffe vagina, veritus ignominiam, in confertiffimos hoftes fe immittens, aliquot vulneribus acceptis, recuperato gladio rediit ad fuos. quo (pectaculoatroniti hoftes, poftero die ad eos fupplices pacem petentes venerunt ${ }^{3}$. L.
 prafectumequitum, quòd fugitiuorum multitudine circumuentus, arma hofti cum fuis tradidiffet, to ga laciniis abfciffis amictum, diftincta tunica \& nudis pedibus ad principia per omne tempus militix adeffeiuffit ${ }^{\text {b }}$. App. Claudius a Vol b val.max. fcis exercitu eius fugato, inuectus in ${ }^{16,26.19 p .7 \%}$ proditorē exercitum militaris difciplinæ, defertorem fignorü, vbifigna, vbiarma effent, fingulos rogitás, inermes milites, fignoamiffo figniferos, virgis cxfos, fecuri percuffit, Lacedxmonij verò Archulochum poëtam vrbe exegêre, Dio.g. quòd carmé fcripletat, in quofuadere vifus fuit, fatius effe arma amittere, quàm vitam. At Spagrtanę mulieres exituros in militiam filios monebant, vt aut viui cumarmis in confpectumfuumredirēt, aut

De ivre et off. bell. aut mortui cumarmis referrentur. Ce terum apud Gracos militari lege cautu erat, vt miles, qui fcutum in acie amififfet*, capite lueret; quiverò gladium, le- 3 uiori pœna plecteretur: quia maioris difciplinx feab hoftibus tueri \& preftare incolumem, quàm cum fua pernicie hoftem perdere fummi duces exiftimauere. Quo fpectat Scipionis Æmilianidictū, Malle fe ciuê feruare, quàm multos hoftes perdere. Itaque Epaminondas traiectushafta, moribundus, primùm an clipeus fuus faluuseffet, deindean penitus fufi hoftes forêt, interrogauit.quæ poft: quam ex animi fententia cemperit, lætus obiit, inquiens:Nunc vefter Epaminona Val.Max, das nafcitiur, quia fic moritur ${ }^{2}$.

DE IIS QVII EXCVBIAS DESERVNT,VEL MINVSRECTEAGVNT.
1 Excubiadiligenter agenda.
2 2uiexcubias palacy deferuit, capite pusitur.
3 Dormiëtes in flatione quo modo puniëdi,
4 Captiuicusiodia militum commißijieuaferint.

> CAprixuit.
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {eft vigilias bene difponere, } \& \text { excu- }}^{\text {on minima difcipline militaris pars }}$
biasgentia plurimosexercitus cefos,proftra-tosq́ue, \& multa caftra capta, ac oppidaoccupatalegimus.Itaque Thomyrisre-ginæfilius fomno vinoq́ue fepulus, cūtoto exercitua Cyro oppreffus interiit ${ }^{\text {a }}$, a lofin.lib. 1\& L.Marcius,cum reliquiis exercituum,extinctis Scipionibus, in Hifpania binacaftra Pœenorum, vbi omnia victoria ne-glecta folutaq́ue inuenit, vna nócte cxlis

De ivrb bt off, bell. vigilem conijcientium culpam, deterritus, a ceteris abftinuit, \& reum hauddubium eius noxxapprobantibus cunctis, a Lumbib,s, de faxodeiecita.Epaminondas veròcùm cuftodias ftationes q́ue circumiret,vtdifciplinam exéplis fabiliret, fopitum militem gladio transfixit ${ }^{*}$, afferens, quod b phat, in o a qualem inuenerat, talem reliquerat ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. \& eqh. Auguftus manipulares milites ftatione deferta, \& centuriones morte multauit. Porrò ex conftitutionibuis regni Hilipanix,folent dormientes in flatione, tertiò demú admoniti precipitari de faxo, vel
 Qua autem ratione caftrenfes excubix conftituerentur apud Romanos, quod officium circuitorum, qui vigilias circūibant,quxúe pœena in eos, quinegligen-
$d$ de Roms. Caftrano tiores effent, tradit Polybius ${ }^{d}$. Ceterum Paulus Æmilius, vt attentius cuftodix contrafomnum pugnarêt, vigiles nouo more Cutum in vigiliam ferre vetuit:nō enimin púgnam vigilem ire, vtarmisvtatur, fed ad vigilandum, vtcùm fenferit hoftium aduentum, recipiaat fe,excitetg;

- Li.i.I.44, ad arma aliose. Epaminondas Thebanis diem feftum agentibus,omnibusǵ; vino \& conuiuio deditis, folus fqualidus \&

Liber mertivs. 215 cogitabundus ciuitatem obambulauit, \& familiari fuo miranti, quẹrétiqque caufam: Vt vobis omnibus liceat potare, \& animo effe ociofo, refpödit ${ }^{2}$. Prudenter a plut in a quoque Cambyles Cyri pater, interro- poph. gatus quonam pacto vrbes optimè cuftodirentur, refpondit: Si cuftodes nunquam fibi fatis cautumab hofte effe arbitrarentur. Solent etiam militum cu4 ftodix committi captiui*, quifi cultodiä eorum euaferint, pro modo culpæ caftigantur, aut militiam mutant ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. exquirē- 61 milibet .2 . dum tamen effe, an nimia negligentia flad.r.eo. militum euzférint, an cafu D.Hadrianus refcripfit.c
c dilmiles.
DEEO QVIARCEM, VEL OPPIDVM, CVIVSPRASIDIOIMPOfitus, elt,amittit, vel hoftibusdedit.

- Qui arcè nö tenuit, la fa maieftatis reus.

2 Proarcis defenfione mors recufanda non eft.
3 Si prafecto arcis ab hofle capte sulla culpa impiutaripoßit, un puniridebeat.
4 Prafectus gaando non teneatur arcem defendere.
s Arci male munita prafectus.
6 Vixeff vt citraignominiam arx bofitradatur.
e 7 Boni

De fyre et off. bell.
7 Boni ne un mali plus adferat reip. arcts exstructio.

## 8 Extraneis̀ non facile acceefjus ad arcem cöcedid debet.

## Capyt xuifi.

$S$I ferui dominis periculo capitis cuftodiam domus, tam a domefticis, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 2 1 in. in pris. S . quàm ab extraneis proftare coguntura: D.ad S. C. syilam. quanto magis illi, quibus cuftodia arcis vel oppidi, \& publicafalus commiffa eft: pro qua tuenda nullum periculum, nullos cruciatus, nec morté denique iplam quifquam reculare debet : quare exactifCima diligétia prixtari debet in arcis cub argurnenso l.s. . quia
fcend. D.
de
tur , qui arcem non tenuit, aut caftra ho- I prafice vigill cli.3.D.adl. tul maitf. ftodiab.Itaque lege Iulia maieftatis tenetur*, quiarcem non tenuit,aut caftra ho- I ftibus conceffit ${ }^{c}$. Itaque L. Rupiliuscōful eo bello, quod cum fugitiuis geffit in Sicilia, Q. Fabium generum fuum, quia negligentia Tauritanam arcem amiferar, d Val. Max. prouincia iuffitexcedered. C. Corta P. 46.2.cap.7. Aurelium Pecuniolā fanguine fibi iunCtum, quem Liparitanx obfidioni, iple ad aufpicia repetenda Meffanam tranficurus, p\$xfecerat, virgis cefum gregalis militix munere inter pedites fungi coēgit, quòdeius culpa aggerincenfus, \& pæne

Liber tertivs. 216 pxnecaftrafuerantcapta ${ }^{2}$.M. Antonius, a Val.max. cùm agger ab hoftibus incenfus effet, ex ${ }^{\text {lib.2. rap.7. }}$ his qui in opere fuerant, duarum cohortium legiones decimauit, \& in fingulos decuriones animaduertit, legatum cum ignominia dimifit, reliquis ex legione hordeum dari iufit b. T.Turpilius Syl- b appian. in lanus Vacce oppidi præfectus, quòd v- bell.parli. nus ex omnibus profugiffet, omnibus fuis militibus, qui in præfidio erant, per fraudem obtruncatis, iuffus a Metello caulam dicere, poitquam fe parum expurgat,cōdemnatus,verberatusq́ue pœnas capite foluit $c$. Q. Cincinnatus dicta- c Salluzf. in tor,eo tempore, quo deuictiÆqui, \& fub bel, lugurt. iugum miffi, L.Munitiun, quem ab obfidione hoftium liberauerat, cöfulatum dèponere coëgit,\& omnium rerū prædā fuo tantum militi dedir.indignum enim maximo imperio credidir, quem nö fua virtus, fed foffa vallumq́ue turum prętiterat, cuiq́ue verecundia non fuerat, arma Romana metu trepida claulis portis contineri ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Porrò Alexander Macedo ${ }^{\text {d Liu. } 16.3,}$ quendam caftelli cuftodix prxpofitum abdefertam ftationem nece multauit. \& Carthaginienfes, cùm Maınertini a Romanis prafidium accepiflent, Carthagi-
e 2 nienfrum

De ivre et off. bele. nienfium prafidio expulfo, prxfectum prefidij, quòd formidine atque ignauia arcem amififfet, cruci affixerunt ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Veriffimè ceriè Alexander audiens Aornum, faxum in India, natura loci inexpugnabilem effe, fed a formidulofo homine teneri : Hoc facit, inquit, vt locusifte iam b pust in e. facilis captu fitb. Et preclarè Lacedemopoph nius quidam nobilis, Philippo minitâti multis fe prohibiturum, nifi ciuitas Gibi traderetur: Num, inquit, \& pro patria mori prohibebis? Fortiter quoque \& prudenter L. Pinarius Ænnx in Sicilia pręfectus pręfidij, quod in arce erat.nam Ænnenfium principibus, quide proditione prefidij pacti erant cü Himilconc, cùm nulla occafio fraudis pateret, propalam agentibus vrbem, arcemq́uefux poteftatis efle debere, \& claues portarü fibi reddi cōtendentibus, eo quòd liberi in focietatem, nó ferui in cuftodiam traditieffent Romanis: ita Pinarius refpondit:Se in pręfidio impofitum effe abimperatore fuo, clauesque portarum \& cuftodiam arcis ab eo accepiffe, quam nec fuo, nec Ænnenfium arbitrio haberet, fedeius quicommififfer, prafidio excedere apud Romanos capitale effe, \& ne libero-
liberorum etiam fuorum caufa parentes fanxiffe.confulem Marcellü haud procul efle: ad eum mitterēt legaros, cuius iuris atque arbitrij eflet . Ainnenfibus verò negantibus fe miffuros, teftätibus fiverbis nihil agerent, vindictam aliquam libertatis fuę quęfituros: Pinnariusfaltem populi confilium fibidari poftulauir, vt fcirer vtrum paucorum ea denunciata, an vaiuerf ciuitatiseffent, \& confenfu eorum concione in pofterum diem indi©ta, $\&$ vna omnibusclaues reddi iubentibus, cunctantióue \& differenti ferociter minitantibus: tum prefectus, cirm nihil proficeret toga, fignum, vt conuenerat, militibus dedit, a quibus Ennenfesimpetufacto miferè trucidatifunt: \& Enna hoc facinore retéta eft.Marcellus factum non improbauit, \& prxdam Ænnēfium militibus conceffit:ratustimore deterritos temperaturos a proditione pręfidiorum Siculos a. Et hrec certè, que hacte- a Lim. i.24. nus dicta funt, conueniunt iis, quæ cōfttutionibus regui Hifpaniæ ftaruta funt, quibus cautum eft, non debere quem 2 mortem recufare ${ }^{*}$ proarcis defenfione, pro qua tuéda fortiter fequilibet gerere debet, etiam contra patrem, flium vel

$$
\text { e } 3 \text { quondam }
$$

De ivre et off. bell. quondamdominum : neque tanti quis facere debet mortem vel quodcunque periculum, qux funt tranfitoria, quàm infamiam, qux illi, pofterisq́ue fuis in perpetuum inuritur, fi honori fuo non a Req. 1 b. 12 fatisfecerit ${ }^{2} . \&$ quidem neceffitate famis cogente, potius filium comedere debet, quàm hoftiarcé dedat iniuffu Dominib. neque excufabitur fidedat arcem, exeo fortè quòd hoftes minitentur mortem vxori,aut liberis, aut quibufuis aliisc. falus enim reip. charitati liberorum prxferri debetd. Itaque Alphonfus Perez Guimannus, poft femeftrem Tarifx obfidionem, hoftibus, nequicquam omnibus tentatis,minitantibus, nifi oppidum dederetur, filium feillins, quem apudfe habebät interfecturum : refpõdit, filium patrix, neque cum immortalē genuiffe, quo fe orbari, $f 1$ fors ita ferret, quàm prefidio decedere, \& fidem frangere fibi potius effe.illud enim fieri vel cum fua gloria poffe, hoc fine fuo dedecore ac fcelere non poffe, atque ita filium in côfpectu fuo ab hofte nefario fcelere necari paffus eft. Et quidem huius difciplinx tam feuericenfores fuere Hifpani: vtex eorum conftitutionibusprxfectusarcis, etiam alio hoftibus tradidit, nihilominus fit reus criminislxf maiellatis ${ }^{2}$. Porro cuma iufticia alienum fit quem fine culpa puniri, \& quiculpa vacat, etiam a pœna liber effedebeat ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}:$ fi prefecto arcis fortè ab bl fancimus. holtibus capix, vel iis deditre nulla omnino culpaaut negligétia imputari pof- fine culpa do
3 fit ${ }^{*}$, eum etiam a peena fecurum effe debere æquitasfuadet ${ }^{c}$. cuius rei etiann a furit mi-
 certamen de M. Lino prefecto arcis Tarentinæ, aliis fententiis fuis notantibus prefectum, quòd eius focordia Tarétum prodirum hofti effer, aliis præmia decernentibus,quòd per quinquennium arcé tutatus effer, maximcq́ue vnius eius opera receptum Tarentum effet : mediis ad cenfores, non ad fenatum notionem deco pertinere dicétibus ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Et L. Attilio d Lim, 1,27 . prxfecto præfidij Locrenfis,cim videret deditionem a ciuibus ad Pœnos factam impedire non poffe, vitio datum nō fuit, quod fecum militibus Romanis, qui cü coerant, vt coscrudelitati hoftiü criperet, \& reipubl. incolumes feruaret, R hegium deuchi paffus eft ${ }^{e}$. Itaque quine- e Liu. 1.240 ceffitate coactus, quam ne dijquidem, vt
e 4
quodam

De ivre et off. bbil. quodam loco ait Liuius, fuperare po:funt, omni humana ope deftitutus, arcé
a c. vit. de feus. fins.cul. non amit. © ibi. Aluaroot alig Gloffer Bald, inc. 1 . in prin. dert. sis. b de exemp. illust. viro. lib.7.cap.6. c Matth, de A flic.adcö. ftre. reg. gua incipit dikbisatsonein. d loan. imol. consil. 34. Dectiss cons. 600. nu.19. Paner.conc. 3 deftitutum, quique vim hoftium ferre non poffit, pacto vel quocunque modo fibi, \& prefidio poffeconfulere, \& arcem relinquere, prefertim cùm omnibus nequicquam tentatis, arcem $x$ què in poteftatem hollium venturam fuiffe conitet. non enim exfpectandum eft id, cuiuseuentus nihil operari poteft ${ }^{2}$. Quò facit al.fitpuatio, quod debitor fpecici eriam in mora cöftitutus, non renetur de interitu rei, qux æquè apud creditorem erat peritura ${ }^{b}$. non tenebitur ergo arcis prefectus fe bideoo, i. il. præfidiumq́; indubitatę morti obijcere, hired, i. quod qua nontam rempub. feruat, quàm pro- tepp. D. fo dit. Vnde Callicratidas Lacedxmoniorû cert.petat. dux meritò culpatus fuit, qui claffis; quàm priuatæ gloriæ iacturä facere maluit: \& Cleombrotus, qui inuidiamtimens, temeré cum Epaminonda côllixit, \& Lacedęmoniorū opes euertirc.magni c cict. off: enim viri, vt inquit $Q$. Curtius, magis 46.1 . eft contemnere mortem, quàm odiffe vitam. \& ita quidem moriendum effe pro. patria docet Cicerod, fimortenoftra pa- a offocio.s, trix profuturi fumis. Quacunque itaq; ratione omnino falutircipubl. \& patrix confulendumerit, fiuededitione, fiue

$$
\text { e } \delta \text { morte }
$$

## De ivreet off. bell.

 morte, fiopus fit . vir enim fortis nihil fua, omnia pro communi vtilitate facere a $\overline{\text { a defficiiu }}$ debet, vt alio loco oftédimus ${ }^{\text {a }}$. His adde belluči lib.2. quod excöftitutionibus regni Hifpani $\varepsilon_{2}$ qux alioqui fatis feuerę funt, is qui mandato regis arci male cöftructx, \& immunitx, ita vt defendi non poffit, prefectus fuerit, idq́ue regi indicauerit, nö incidit an crimen lxfx maieftatis, fi hoftis vi, \&breg. Lb.4. fine eulpa preqfectiarce potiatur b. Poteft é 6. 1818.18. quoque prętectus arcis ex hac caufa, reddita ratione, curdefendinon poffit, etiā non expectato hoftium impetu, fi forte rex non miferit fuccefforem, arcem deferere, fecundum normam iifdem conftitutionib.præfcriptam:fi puta ipfe prefectus noluerit futcipere defenfionê ar-
 eit.18.par.2. iudicio ad arcis, vel oppidi cuftodiam quis accedere deber, \& ita le gerere, vt fi fortè quid aduerfic contingat, \& neceffitate ad deditionem compellatur: vtnon tả videaturid metu mortis feciffe, quàm vt fe fuosq̆ue reipubl. conferuaret, \& ex arce mox omnino futura hoftium, falté prefidium reipubl. eriperat. \& certèvt maximè viri fortis officio functus fit, tamenvixeft, vtcitra ignominix notam

6 arcem tradat*.nam fi turpe apud veteres habitum fuit, militem vnum in poteftatem hoftium venire, vt fuperius oftendimus2: quid de prefecto dicemus, qui prefidio impolitus \& iurciurando ad a c.de in qui defenfionem arcis fe aftrinxit. Nihilominus fubeundaerit potius hęc ignominia, f ita reipub.expediat, quàm nequicquam defendendo, \& fe fuosque dedédo ad necem, patriam deferere, ac prodere: vtalioloco diximus ${ }^{b}$. Sed \& alix funt partes prafecti, alix militis: hicfortiter pugnare, ille quod reipub. expedit curaredebet. Porro non mediocris difceptationis fuitintereos, quide republ. feriplerunt, boni ne an mali plus adferat rei-
7 pub.arcis exftructio*.\&quamuis pleriq; inutilem \& periculofam effe arcem in optimarep.exiftiment, Romataméa Gallis capta prafidio Capitoiij recuperata fuit: \& Ennz oppidum, de quả fuperius diximus, arcis \& prafidij beneficioferuata fuit. Multas itaque puto poffe exiftere caufas, ex quibus vtilis, imo neceffaria fit arcis cöftructio : tamad hoftium impetume excipiendum, quàm ad ciucs in pace conferuandos. Quod reliquä eft, arcis prefectos monitos velim, ne facile extra-

De ivre et off. bell. extraneis*, præfertim fortibus viris, $\& 8$ principibus, adituminarcem prabeant, memores cōfliij Pyrrhi Epirotarum regis: quicum Athenas veniffer, \& in arcé Palladis deductus effet, natura \& arte munitiffimã, quarn magna admiratione contemplatuserat;monuit principes ciuitatis, qui eum comirabantur, ne in po* fterum vlli regum poteftatem facerent amplius arcem ingrediendi, ne opportunitatem prefidij nactus quifpiameam inuaderet: quod confilium grarum fuit Athenienfibus.

## DEEVRTSETALYSS QVEBVS-

 DAM DELICTIS MILITARIBVS.I Milites in furto deprehenfiguomedo puniendi.
2 Tribuni quale ixffuramdurnexigerc folĕt.
3 TLon licsit longius a tubar audiste difcem dere.
Commilitonemg liadio ferire capitale.
Dare fe militem, cui nö licet graue crimé.
6 2uifepromilite gerii puevitur.
7 2ui fe prociucgerit pumizus.

> Capytixy.

NOn aliã ob caulam, vteftapud Pla. tonem de rep. homo in lupum conuerfus

## Liber tartivs.

 221 uerfus fuiffe a poëtis fingitur, quàm quòd rapinis \& furtisdediti, hominem \& humanitatem prorfus exuiffe videantur, fintq́; iam non tam reipfa homines, quàm nomine.ideoq́ue grauibus ponis coërcendifunt: \& quamuis nulla pœena corporalis ob furtum, iure ciuiliinter ciues flatuta fit: folent tamé imperatores, ad firmandam difciplinam militarem, a militibus in furto deprehenfis feueriolipenas exigere - itaque Tiberiusmilitem pratorianum, ob furreptum e viridario pauonem, capite puniuit a. Aufi- a suato in dius Cafflus milites, qui prouincialibus vim aut damnum inferrent, in crucem fuftulit : exrantque in hanc rem innumera veterum imperatorum exempla. Paulus verò I. C. militem in furto balneario deprehenfum, ignominia mittčdum refpondits. Solent autem tribuni, binh.vl.D. ${ }^{2}$ vbicaftrametata effent ${ }^{*}$, ab omnibus li- defor, bab beris \& feruis, qui in caftris erant, iufurandum exigere, fe nihil e caftris furto ablaturos; \& fi quis quid inueniffet, id ad
 tinerentur milites, non licuitlongiusa
3 tubxauditu*, nifi imperatoris aut tribuniiuflu,

De ivreet off, bell. ni iuffu, extra munimenta abire, neque 3 Fuprade e- agmé excedere:quade realibidiximus ${ }^{3}$. mungorib. M. Cato in furto comprehenfis intgr cömilitones dextras debere pracidicefuit. Modeftinus eum, qui arma aliena furribin l. 3. §. puit,gradu militię pellendum refpōditb. ques minit. Et fedulò olim cauebatur, vt maximum militum vinculum putarēt fingulorum amorem ${ }_{2}$ velut inexplicabilem nexum: vnde etiam cormilitonem gladio ferire * capitale fuit : gui autem lapide vulne- 4 e h. mus. ©. raffer,militia reijciebaturc. Præterea mifriqui. D. de
remutus. les honoris fui prodigus, qui pecunia tranfigit cum mocho vxoris fux, facra$\$ 1$.mides D. mentofoluitur, \& deportatur ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Graue numt. cui non licet: \& augetur, vt in ceterisdee 1.2.D.dere lictis, dignitate, gradu, fpecie militix. most. Qui autern pro milite fegerit ${ }^{*}$, cùm mi- 6 fluesisifnne. les non fit, punitur pœna falfí ${ }^{\text {. }}$. Quò fpeD.adl.Cor. ctat lex a Craffo \& Scxuola confl. lata: ${ }_{0}^{d e}$ acifro off: nefcilicet quis, quiciuis ${ }^{*}$ non eft, pro 7 ${ }_{h}$ hit ranquil ciue fe gerat : isque peregrinitatis reus dicebatur ${ }^{h}$. Eft autem poena falfi depor-
 de praemils militym.
$\qquad$ 2 Mili-

2 Milites premisexcitandi.
3 Multa pramia militibus propofita.
4 opema fpolia qua.
s Triumphusquandodecerni folitus.
6 Mostriumphandiquamdiu manferit apud Rom.
7 Romani ex prouinciis \&r oppidis subactus nomina fumebant.
8 Milutariadona varia fuere.
g Militarescorone qua.
10 Propofito premio primùm muros a/cendenti, fiplures fimul con/iendant.
II Pramia parentibus mortuis debitaconceduntur filies.

$$
C_{A P V T} x_{0}
$$

,Vela re melius remp. gubernari, quàm pœna \& pręmio*, mulcis philofophorum fententiis comprobatūeft. ex quibus Solon, qui fapiens vnusexfeptem, \& legum frriptor folusfuit, remp. conltare dixit. \& Democritus duos omnino deos effe cëfuit, Pœnam \& Beneficium. Ly fander rogatus, quam reip. formam maximè probaret : in qua, inquit, fortibus \&ignauisfuut tribuitur ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Theo- a Plat. in aphraftus interrogatus, quidnam vitam ${ }^{\text {poph, }}$ humanam maximè conferuaret, refpondit, be-

|  | De ivre et off. bille |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | dit, beneficium \& pœna. Cicero neque domum, neque rempub.ftare poffe, fin |
|  | ea nec recte factis prxmia exftent vlla, |
|  | nec fupplicia peccatis afferit ${ }^{2}$. Et nemo |
|  | in reip. periculis cûlaude ac virtute ver- |
|  | fatur, vt idem ait, quinfpe pofteritatis |
| rat | fructuqque ducaturb. Apud quos autem |
|  | maxima virtutis premia proponuntur, |
|  | apud illos etiam optimos $v$ |
|  | nare dixit Thucydides. vbi enim vbe |
|  | ma virrutis promia propofita effent, ad. |
|  | optimo quofque in rempubl. animo |
|  | contendere. \& vt Plinius ad Traianum |
| cinPamgry | fcripfitc: Præmia bonorū malorum |
|  | bonos ac malos faciunt : omnium |
|  | neficiorum, quæ merentibus tribuütu |
|  | non ad ipfos gaudium magis, quàm ad |
|  | fimiles redundat atque eò impend |
| \$ 3.4 .40 | boremac periculum dicit Liuius ${ }^{\text {d }}$, vnde |
|  | emolumêtumatque honos fperetur, ni- |
|  | hilq́ue non aggrefluros homines, fi ma- |
|  | gnis conatibus magna promia propo- |
|  | nantur, magnosq́ueanimos magnis ho- |
|  | noribus fieri. Itaque Socrates ciuitatem |
|  | optimáeffe dixit, in qua plurima virtuti |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | fthenese: Studio, inquit, \& magna cure |
|  |  |
|  | vobis elfe debet, Athemienles, cum.vt |
|  |  |

omnes leges veftra præclariffimx fints tum verò hx inprimis, quæ ciuitaté aut magnam aut paruam efficere poffunt.ex verd qux funt h hx nimirum, qux \& berie meritis honorem habent, \& improbos fupplicis coërcent. nam fi omnes poe. naruin metu, qux legibus fancitx funt, maleficiis omnibusabftineāt, \& omnes pramiis beneficiorum inuitati officium facerefudeant : quid obftat, quo minus ciuitas florentiffima efficiatur ? Quare quemadmodumafpero caltigationis genere militaris difciplina indiget:ita quo2 que præmiis ad virtutem ${ }^{*}$ excitandi funt milites. in quo a plerifque peccatur, eo quòd, vt Tacitusait, procliui9 fit iniurix, quàm beneficio vicé exfoluere: quia gratia oneri, vltio in quxłtu habetur : cũ tamen ideo multæ pereant refp. vtait Euripides in Hecuba, quòd qui bonus \& ftrenuus eft vir, nihil plus quàm ignauus fert præmij. Cyrus auté militarivirtute pręftantibus viris maximum honorem habuit,\& multis eos pręmiis affecit. Apud Romanos quoque plurima virtu3 tis præmia * militibus propolita fuere, quę hic obiter recenfebimus. Itaque inprimisducibus,regibusq́ue, quorumau-

De ivre et off. belz. fpicio res gerebantur, quiq́ue fuifmet corporibusdimicantes, fpolia hoftium ducibus detraxiffent, quæ opima* voca- 4 bant, promij caufatributum eft, vt opima illa fpolia loui Feretrio in capitoliū ferrent: quæ ter folùm a Romanis ducibus, qui fortem ftrenuamq́ue operam reip. nauarūt, delata fuiffe comperimus. Prima Romulus vrbis auctor $\&$ parens, de Acrone Cæninenfiü duce, pô̂t raptas Sabinas detuliffe fertur : quo tempore a Liv.lib.1. Iouis Feretrij templum dicauit ${ }^{\text {a }}$. neque magno poft interuallo, Cornelius Coffus, dux ea tempeftate inclytus, fecunda detulit opima fpolia de Larte Tolũnio, rege Veientium, quem acie vicit \& conb Liw. 16.4 . fecit ${ }^{\text {b }}$, tertia Claudius Marcellus manu ftrenuus, \& bonusimperator,de Viridomaro Gallorum duce, apud Padū victo,
c Val. Max. 4ib. 3.sap.2. opima fpolia deportauit ${ }^{c}$. Fuerunt prætereafummis ducibus ob rem bello bene geftam triumphidecreti*: quæ maxima 5 merces victorix fuit. fed non ex quibufcunque victoriis triūphare licitum fuit: fed cùm bellorum $\&$ victoriarum caufx eo munere dignæ viderentur. fuit enim lege cautum, ne quis triumpharet, nifi qui quinque millia hoftium vna acie cæ. cidiffer. cidiffet,\& magnas copiasiufta acie fudiffer. poena imperatoribus inflicta,qui aut hoftium occiforum in pralio, aut amifforum ciuium falfum numerum fenatui referrent. nam nec cum qui magnis acceptiscladibus, \& nimium cruéra victoria effet potitus, tanquam lugubri \& parui compendij, quòd multis funeribus, non multis commodis remp. affeciffer, triumphare paff funt. Fuit quoq; lege cautum, vt non nifi proaucto imperio, non pro recuperatis his quę bello amiffa erant, triumphus cuiquam decernerctur: $x$ quidē ita demum, fi fuoductu \& aufpicio, \& in fua, non inaliena prouincia, eaǵue pacata, \& nullo manente bello hoftes profigaffet \& viciffet. Preterea fic contra piratas, feruos, aut fugitiuos, vel aliud genus hominû Romano nomine indignum bellatuin foret, tanquam belli caufa erubefcenda, quamuis parta victoria, aut hoftibus fufis, euerfifq́ue, nemini triumphare licuit. Fuit quoqueanimaduerfum, tametfifulis fugatisq́ac hortibus restriumphodignas imperatores geffifent, fi tamen neque conful, neque prxtor exercitui prefuiffet: \& nifilegitimum haberet magiftratum, cu-

De ivre et off. bell. ius ductu \& imperio victi effent, \& profligati hoftes, triüphare nemini fas fuit. Sed \& exduobus imperatoribus in magiftratu pofitis, illi qui fupremograduerat, foli triumphare permiffum fuit: ne fcilicet in honore triumphi minor poteftas maiori ̨quaretur: néue aufpicia,quę maioris magiftratus erant, cum minoribusconfunderentur. ideoq́ueconful cü dictatore aut prxtore non triumphabat. ex his autem caufis, ex quibus Romani duces triumphare non potuerunt, minoribus triúphis \& ouatione honeftati funt. Porrò quantumuis quis preclaras res maximeq́; vtiles reipubl. ciuilibello geffiffet, imperator tamẻ eo nomineappellatus non eft, neque vilx fupplicationes decretx funt, neque aut ouans, aut triumphans vrbem intrauit : quia ve neceffarix iftx ; ita lugubres femper exiftimatx victorix funt:vtpote non externo,fed domeftico partę cruore. Conftat quogue ciuem Romanum, quamuis reipubl. perniciofum \& infeftum, belloviclum in triäpho duci nõ potuiffe. Manfit autē mos Rom $x$ de domitis nationibus bello victis triumphandi*, ad Probū 6 vfq; imperarorem,qui vltimus Cafarum
trium-

Liber tertivs. triumphaffe dicitur. Plura de iure triŭphandi, \& quo apparatu \& pōpa Romanitriumphos agerent: videre licet apud Val.Max ${ }^{2} . \&$ Alexand.ab Alexb. Solent quoq; Romani duces honoris caufa, ex oppidis \& prouinciis vi fubactis cogno7 menta delumere*, vt tres Metelli : vnus

Lb.2.cap. 8 bgmial dere. $4 \vec{b}_{1 . c a p .22}$. © ibs.6.c.6. 017. exdeuicta Numidia, Numidici : alter ex Macedonia, Macedonici :tertius ex Creta, Cretici cognomenta fumplere. Fuit quoque Metellus Balearicus, ex deuictis Balearibus denominatus : Martius Coriolanus, ex Coriolis oppido Volfcorŭ: duo Scipiones Africani,maior \& minor, ex Africa: \& Scipio quoquc Afiaticus,ex Afia cognomina tulere. fimiliter \& alij quamplurimi, quorum exempla fecuti poftea C arares, ex bello domitis gentibus nationibusq́que illuftria cognométa ad immortalem gloriă tulere. Sxpe populidecreto, nonnunquáacclamatione fenatus. vnde Arabici, Adiabenici, Parthici, Armenici, Germanici, Sarmatici, Africi diuerfis titulis cognomina ceperes.Porrò militaria dona, qux prifcis téporibus militibus fortem in bello operä nauantibus, a ducibus dabātur, fucre va- 16.2 .cap. it $_{0}$ 8 ria*: \& quidé precia militix inter alia hẹc f 3 habita

De trre et opf. bell. habita funt maxima : vt poft exhauftos labores, milites emeritis ftipendiis, fanguine \& fudore partis agris donarentur. hos enim latiffimos fructus, velut optimam prędam laborum periculorumq́ue
a pates ex 1. accipiebant ${ }^{2}$. Prater hxc, vt ad decora militix magis accenderent animos,quoq́ue honoratior teftatiorq́ue corum virtus foret, promio propofito militares coronas, amplifimaq́ue alia honoris munera inuenere : vtpote aureos torques, duplicem annonam, interdummilitix vacationem, nonnunquam duplex Atipendium ob virtutis præmium imperatores largiti funt : fuereq́ue illi, quorū opera in bello infignis exftitiffet, perditxq́ue \& afflictx res reftitutx effent, pluries donis muneribusq́ue affecti. Itaque legimus L. Sicinium Dentatum, virum clarum ingenio factisque, ob egregia facinora militaria, preter octo aureas caronas, ciuicas quatuordecim, murales tres,oblidionalê vnä,torquibusclexxiij. armillis clx. haftis puris duodeuiginti

$$
\text { Liber tertivs. } 226
$$ quibus duas infignes murales coronas, ciuicas octo ${ }^{2}$. Nemoautem, qui pręclarū a Lime 1.6. facinus gefferat , prout cuiufq; meritum virtusq; fuiffet, militaribus donis indo9 natus abiit. Militares verò coron $x^{*}$ fuerunt multifarix: triūphales,ouales, obfidionales, ciuicx, murales, nauales, caftrêfes,quibusdonabātur imperatores, \& alij, qui vrbes, caftra, aut exercitus obfidione liberaffent, ciuemq́ue feruaffent, primúmue muros hoftium afcendiffet $b$. 10 Porrò propofito pręmio*, primū muros Cell.noct. hoftium afcédenti, fi duo vel plures vna confcēdant eodë mométo, an prxmium omnibusdebeatur, quxri folet ; an verò nemini. \& videturneminideberic:quòd inuicē fibi obftāt, \& alteralterifitimpe- dubiche.


 torem vnitantüdari voluiffef: vtpore fic.l.frew. D. pro promio affignet talë equä:nec enim fedarob,reb: huiufmodi fpecies multiplicationé reci- di.l.nneism. pit.alioqui verò omnibus præmium deberiexiftimo. Nam, vt Africanus refpóditt, primus nó folüm is dicitur, qui ante $g^{\text {intl.ox duoc }}$ aliquëelt; fed etia is, ante quê nemoeft. viti.c poppil. \& Paulus ${ }^{\text {h }}$ : Proximuseft, inquit, quem

De ivre et off. bell. a mymmono dubium fit, quis prior confcēderita, idq̆; l. que dros. ils. D.de reb. etiam Scipio fecutus eft. nam cùm capta Carthagine noua, interQ.Tyberiliū cēturionem legionis quarta, \& Sex. Digitium fociū naualē, magna contētio,quæe prope feditionem effet, oriretur, \& quilibet profitereturfe primùm murum afcēdiffe: ideoq́; muralis coronx decus ad fe pertinere:Scipio'cõcione aduocata pronüciauit, fe fatis compertum habere, $\mathrm{Q}_{6}$ Tyberiliū \& Sex. Digitiū pariter in murum afcendiffe, feq́ue eos ambos virtutis
b Lin..26. caufa coronis muralibus donare ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Auguftus autem facilius phaleras, torques, \&quicquidauro conftaret, quàm murales,caftréfes,aut ciuicas coronas munera dedit. Ceterum conflitutiones quoque Hifpanię multa pręmia iis,quifortem in bello operam nauarunt, tribuit $^{c}$ : qux \&
c ì̀.27.p.2. filiis dona parétibus mortuis debita* ${ }^{*}$ co. 11
d Reg.1.5. cedit ${ }^{\text {d }}$. quod Pififtratus olim caulfe ferm.27 fer.2. tur. Lege verò Solonis apud Atheniêfes cautum fuit,vt preter eadona, quæ militibusduces impartiti fuerant, filij qui in bello occubuiffent, publicè alerentur, \& bonis difciplinis inftruerentur. \& Coneintin.ete.
cedif foffic, tātinus filios in paternam militiam voc.ad hboflate cari voluit.e
FINISO

# NOTE AS TO ERRATA IN THE ORIGINAL TEXT. 

[Extracted from Translator's Note in second volume of this work.]

## CORRECTIONS OF THE TEXT.

For the purposes of the translation the following corrections of the text as reproduced photographically in Vol. I have been adopted:

Page
13, l. 3 from bottom, read sine. .sine for siuc. .siue.
52a, 1. 15, dele ab.
53a, l. 7, read debitori for creditori.
$65 a, 1.7$, read inultum for multum.
65a, l. II, read fastigio for flagitio.
71, 1. 9, read induciis for iudiciis.
$98 a$, 11. 14, 15, read veritatem for a veritate.
98a, l. 15, read nimis for ius.
$98 a$, l. 17 , read sine for siue.
109, l. 12, read. mihi for tibi.
131, 1. 14, insert iniusta before pœna.
$133 a, 11.19$ and last, read Lavinii for Lanuvini.
136a, l. 2 from bottom, insert non before concedentibus.
144, l. 12, insert plena before ciuium. $146 a, 1.20$, read exanimarentur for examinarentur.

## Page

150a, 1. 15, read ager for agger.
151, 1. 6 from bottom, read prabituri for perituri.
184a, 1. 8, read Macer for Marcianus. 187, 1. 30, read Marcus for Decius. 194a, l. 10, read duces for duce.
2 IO, l. 7 from bottom, read Luccriam for lucernam.
211, l. 3 from bottom, read dedecore for decore.
215a, l. 8 from bottom, read Tauromenianam for Tauritanam.
218a, 1. 9, read Schodram for Schoram. 226, l. II from bottom, read pares for partes.
[Note also, p. 4, 1. 9, the usual reading is oratores, not oratorum. And, query, p. 146, 1. 4, read coortos for cohortes?]

## ERRORS IN THE MARGINAL REFERENCES.

These are not uncommon, and the second edition-which was published at Antwerp in 1597, therefore after Ayala's death-gives very little help in detecting them. The most usual error is in a number, say of a Book of Livy or of a Causa in the Decretum: sometimes a passage is assigned by mistake to the Digest instead of to the Code : sometimes a small, but disconcerting slip is made in the name of a Title in the Digest or the Code (thus de non forn. is given for de nautico fen.).

It is not proposed to give a list of such errors as have been found: instead, the translator has corrected them in the margin of the translation. In the few cases where he remains baffled (whether by an error or by an obscurity) he has printed the reference as it stands in the original (see, e. g., note $a$, p. rooa, vol. I, and note $a$, p. 219, vol. I.).
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## TRANSLATOR'S NOTE.

## CORRECTIONS OF THE TEXT.

For the purposes of the translation the following corrections of the text as reproduced photographically in Vol. I have been adopted:

Page
13, 1. 3 from bottom, read sine. .sine for siue. .siue.
$52 a$, 1. 15, dele ab.
$53 a, 1.7$, read debitori for creditori.
$65 a, 1.7$, read inultum for multum.
65a, 1. II, read fastigio for flagitio.
$7 \mathrm{I}, 1.9$, read induciis for iudiciis.
$98 a$, ll. 14, 15 , read veritatem for a veritate.
98a, 1. 15, read nimis for ius.
$98 a$, l. 17, read sine for siue.
109, 1. 12, read mihi for tibi.
131, 1. 14, insert iniusta before pœna.
133a, ll. 19 and last, read Lavinii for Lanuvini.
136a, l. 2 from bottom, insert non before concedentibus.
144, 1. 12, insert plena before ciuium.
$146 a, 1.20$, read exanimarentur for examinarentur.

## Page

150a, 1. 15, read ager for agger.
151, l. 6 from bottom, read prabituri for perituri.
184a, 1. 8, read Macer for Marcianus. 187, l. 30, read Marcus for Decius. 194a, l. 10, read duces for duce.
210, l. 7 from bottom, read Luceriam for lucernam.
211, l. 3 from bottom, read dedecore for decore.
$215 a, 1.8$ from bottom, read Tauromenianam for Tauritanam.
218a, 1. 9, read Schodram for Schoram. 226, 1. II from bottom, read pares for partes.
[Note also, p. 4, l. 9, the usual reading is oratores, not oratorum. And, query, p. 146, l. 4, read coortos for cohortes?]

## ERRORS IN THE MARGINAL REFERENCES.

These are not uncommon, and the second edition-which was published at Antwerp in 1597, therefore after Ayala's death-gives very little help in detecting them. The most usual error is in a number, say of a Book of Livy or of a Causa in the Decretum: sometimes a passage is assigned by mistake to the Digest instead of to the Code: sometimes a small but disconcerting slip is made in the name of a Title in the Digest or the Code (thus de non fan. is given for de nautico fan.).

It is not proposed to give a list of such errors as have been found: instead, the translator has corrected them in the margin of the translation. In the few cases where he remains baffled (whether by an error or by an obscurity) he has printed the reference as it stands in the original (see, e. g., note $a$, p. 100a, vol. 1, and note $a$, p. 2 19, vol. I).

MODE OF CITATION OF BIBLE, AND OF THE BOOKS OF THE CIVIL, CANON, FEUDAL, AND IMPERIAL LAWS.
( 1 ) The names of books in the Bible are given as in the English "Authorised Version": thus, where Ayala cites I or 3 Kings (Regum), the translation cites I Sam. and I Kings respectively.

## Translator's Note.

(2) Passages in the law-books of Justinian, or the Canon Law, or the Feudal or Imperial Law, are cited (wherever possible) by number of Book, Title and Section, and not (as in Ayala) by the name or opening words thereof : the former method is not only the method employed in the United States and Great Britain, but is also shorter than the latter method.*

The references which Ayala makes to the Authenticæ are of two kinds. In the one case they are to passages in the Novels : these are given in the margin of the translation by the number of the Novel. In the other case the reference is to one of those summaries of an Imperial Constitution, or Novel, which used to be appended, in manuscript and early printed editions, to the part of the Code affected by the Novel in question, but which are omitted, as being unofficial, in modern editions. In the margin of the translation the reference to the Code is given, for use with one of the earlier editions mentioned, and the number of the passage in the Novel which is summarised is added, for use with a more modern edition. [In note $a$, p. $3 \mathrm{I} a$, vol. I , is an instance of each of these kinds of reference.]
(3) More particularly, as to the citations from the Canon and the later Imperial Law :

A reference made by Distinctio and Caput (thus, c. 8, Dist. 35) is to the first part of the Decretum: references to the second part of the Decretum give, first, the Caput (c.), then the Causa (C.) and lastly the quæstio (thus, c. 29, C. 17, qu. 4) : the third part of the Decretum is indicated by the words De Consecratione (thus, the only reference to this part-see p. 13I-is as follows, c. 26, Dist. 5, de consecr.).

The Decretals of Pope Gregory IX are indicated by the letter X (for Extra Decretum): thus, c. 7, X. 5.27 means caput 7 in Bk. 5, Tit. 27 of the Decretals of Pope Gregory IX. In order to distinguish the references to the Liber Sextus of the Decretals, "in vi" (that is, in sexto) is used: thus, c. I, Bk. 5, Tit. I in vi means caput I of Bk. 5, Tit. I in "Liber Sextus." References to that subdivision of the second part of the Canon Law which is called Liber Clementinarum are given in the following form : c. 2, in Clem. ii. 11. This stands for caput 2 of Book ii, Tit. I I, in Clementinis.

Ayala uses the abbreviation $\overline{\mathrm{ex}}$ in three distinct senses, first, to indicate any part of the Canon Law outside the Decretum, i. e., extra Decretum (see p. 6 I , vol. I , note $e$, where the reference is to the Decretals) these cases are among those dealt with in the preceding paragraph; sec-
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## Translator's Note.

ond, to indicate Papal Extravagantes (see p. i $8 a$, note $c$, where the reference is to the Extravagantes communes) ; and third, to indicate Imperial Extravagantes (see p. 8, note $g$, where the reference is to a constitution of the Emperor Hen. VII). In the latter two of these cases, that is, where the reference is to the Extravagantes, Papal or Imperial, the abbreviation Extrav. is used in the margin of the translation.

## CLASSIFIED LIST OF AUTHORITIES CITED.

In addition to the works just named Ayala cites the poets Ovid, Vergil, Horace, Propertius, Lucan and Ennius.

Also the following historians, philosophers or grammarians: Aristotle, Plato, Æschines, Euripides, Demosthenes, Cæsar, Livy, Cato, Cicero, Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Cinna, Sallust, Tacitus, Xenophon, Plutarch, Suetonius, Cornificius, Appian, Valerius Maximus, Florus, Quintilian, Quintus Curtius, Aulus Gellius, Pliny the elder, Pliny the younger, Ælius Lampridius, Polybius, Dio Cassius, Diodorus Siculus and Nonius Marcellus.

Also, Christian Fathers and later historians: St. Cyprian, St. Ambrose, St. Augustine, Lactantius, Sozomen, Cassiodorus, Orosius, Eusebius and Procopius.

Of writers nearer his own time Ayala cites: Thomas Aquinas, Ferrandus Diaconus, Nicephorus, Froissart, Alexander ab Alexandro, Ant. de Herrera y Tordesillas, Petr. Crinitus, Ludov. Coelius Rhodiginus, Alfonso of Castile, Hotman, Bernard de Girard, Bodin, Egnatius (Egnazio), Hieron. Gratianus (Grazio) and Petrinus Bellus. Also from books on Numismatics by Covarruvias and Hadr. Jun. Hornanus. And from the Royal Ordinances of Spain and from Decisions of Church Councils. And also the jurists or canonists in the following list *:

Abbas, see Panormitanus.
Accurtius, i3 th Cent., of Florence. de Adfictis, Matth., 15th-16th Cent., Naples
Albertus Brixensis (of Brescia), i4th Cent.
Alciatus, 16th Cent., Milan.
Alexander, see Tartagnus.
Alvarus: Pelagius, 14 th Cent., Span. de Anania, Joh., 15th Cent., Bologna. de Ancharano, Petr., 14th-1 5th Cent., Bologna.
Andreae, Joh., itth Cent., Florence. Angelus, or Aretinus (of Arezzo), 15th Cent.
Baldus de Ubaldis, Perusia, 14 th Cent.

Bartolus of Sasso Ferrato, i 4th Cent.
de Bellapertica or Belleperche, Petr., 13th-14th Cent., Burgundy.
Bellovensis (of Beauvais), Vincent, 13 th Cent. (cited as Vinc.).
Boerius (Boyer), 16th Cent., France.
$\dagger$ Bonaud de Sauset, Jac., 15th-16th Cent., France.
Brixensis, see Albertus.
Budæus (Budé), Gul., 15th-16th Cent., France.
de Butrio, Ant., I ith Cent., Bologna.
Cagnolus, Hieron., 16th Cent., Padua.
$\ddagger$ de Canibus, Joh. Jac., 15th Cent., Padua.
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Cantiuncula, Claude, 16th Cent., Alsace.
Card. is abbreviation for Cardinal a Turre Cremata (i. e., Torquemada), 15th Cent., Span.
de Castro, Paulus, 15 th Cent., Naples.
Chassaneo, Bartol., 16th Cent., France, cited as Chas.(?) and as Cas.
Cinus, or Cynus, 14 th Cent., Pistoia.
Clarus, Julius, 16th Cent., Milan.
Connanus,* Francisc., 16th Cent., France.
Corasius (de Coras), 16th Cent., Toulouse.
Corneus, Pet. Phil., 15th Cent., Perugia.
Corsetti (or Corsecti), Ant., 15th-16th Cent., Sicily, etc.
de Covarruvias, 16th Cent., Span.
de Crema, Francisc., 15th Cent.
de Cuneo, or de Cugno, Gul., 16th Cent.
Curtius or Corte (Senior $\dagger$ ), 15th Cent., Pavia.
Curtius or Corte (Junior $\dagger$ ), Francisc., 15th-16th Cent., Pavia.
Curtius or Corte, Rochus, 15th-16th Cent., Pavia.
Decius, Philip, I 5th-16th Cent., Pavia.
Domin., see de Soto.
Duarenus (François le Douaren), 16th Cent., France.
Durandus, Gul., 13th Cent., France: cited by nickname of Speculator.
Faber, Joannes, I6th Cent., Mechlin.
Felinus, or Sandei of Felino near Reggio, 15 th Cent.
Fortunatus, Marcel., 16th Cent., Ital.
Franc, see Victoria.
Fulgosius (Fregoso), 15th Cent., Piacenza.
de Imola, Joh., I 5 th Cent., Bologna.
Jason, Mainus., 15th Cent., Ital.
Laudensis (of Lodi), Mart., 14th Cent., Ital.
Molinxus (Dumoulin), Chas., 16th Cent., Paris.
Mynsinger a Frundeck, Joach., 16th Cent., Stuttgart.

Natta, of Asti, 15th Cent., Ital.
Oldradus de Ponte, I4th Cent., Ital.
Omphalius, Jacob, 16 th Cent., Cologne.
Panormitanus (of Palermo), Nich. de Tedeschis, I6th Cent. Also cited as Abbas.
Papae, or de la Pape, Guido, 15th Cent., France.
Paponius, Joh. (or Papon), r6th Cent., France.
Prepositi (or Desparborde), Gul., 14th Cent., Span.
Pyrrhus Englebermæus, 16th Cent., Orleans.
de Rauen (Ravanus), 13th Cent., Lorraine.
Ripa, Joh. Francesc., i6th Cent., Pavia.
Romanus, Ludovic., 15 th Cent., Ital.
de Rosate (of Roxiati, near Bergamo), Albericus, i4th Cent.
Salicetus, either Rich., I4th Cent., or Bartol., 14th-15th Cent., both of Bologna.
de Silvestris, Vergilius, 16th Cent., Ital.
Socinus, Bartol., 15 th Cent., Siena, son of the elder Marian. Soc.
Socinus, Laelius Francisc. Maria, 16th Cent., Siena, nephew of preceding.
de Soto, Dominicus, 16th Cent., Spain: sometimes cited as Domin.
Speculator, see Durandus.
Tartagnus (de Tartagnis), Alexander, 15th Cent., Ital.
Tiraquellus (Tiraqueau), 16th Cent., France.
de Valle (or a Val.), Roland, 16th Cent., Ital.
Vasquius (Vasquez), Fernandus, 16th Cent., Span.
Verrutius, Hieron., 16th Cent., Groningen.
de Victoria, Francisc., 16th Cent., Span. Vinc., see Bellovensis.
Zasius, 16th Cent., Freiburg in Breisgau.
Zoannettus, Francisc., 16th Cent., Bologna.
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## To the most sercne Prince of Parma and Piacenza,

 Alexander Farnese, Lieutenant-Governor and most successful Captain-general for the King in the Low Countries, Balthazar Ayala, jurisconsult, greeting:Publicists maintain, Most Serene Prince, that the habit of referring our actions and laws and legislation to the standards of our forefathers and of their institutions conduces very materially to the welfare and preservation of the State; for it is with the State as it is with some celebrated picture which is fading with age, if we omit to renew its original coloring it will keep neither beauty nor outline; and just as in the human body so, too, in the State, every day there is something developed which calls for treatment; and outworn laws breed vices such as the State can not tolerate for any length of time. Hence Ennius' line:

> Moribus antiquis res stat Romana, virisque.
> (On old custom the fortunes of Rome are based and on her manhood.)

a line which in its terseness and truth Cicero thought worthy of the oracle. For (says he) had not the State been stayed as it was ${\underset{T}{\text { In }} \text { his heok on }}_{\text {The Republic. }}$ by customary rules, its men would not have availed to found or perpetuate so vast and beneficent an empire, nor would these customary rules have sufficed had not men of such a type been at the head of the State.

Ancestral observance of custom, then, begat fine men and they in their turn were tenacious of the customs and customary institutions which had descended to them from their fathers. This is the reason why the Roman State grew and endured. For (as Sallust says) empire is easily preserved by the same means by which it was at the outset gained, but, if sloth usurp the place of industry, if lust and pride usurp the place of self-restraint and fair-dealing, then a change of fortune at once accompanies this change of character.

It was this that Apollo is taken to have meant by his reply on the occasion of his being asked to say when, at last, the end would come of the ills and dissensions by which Greece was so sorely troubled. The oracle's reply was that the troubles of Greece would end when the Greeks had doubled the god's altar at Delos. Now this altar was cubical in form; so the Greeks thought they could easily fulfil the condition, by adding a second mass equal in bulk to the existing one. They did not, however, obtain thereby any diminution of their ills and the same god gave them to understand that the condition imposed by the oracle had not been duly satisfied.

At last, under the teaching of Plato and other philosophers, they came to understand that what the oracle of Apollo had required was not the matching of a new bulk with an old one, but the adoption of such new measures and principles as were conformable to the old ones (analogiam cum analogia et rationem cum ratione componendam) ; and thus when, owing to the farseeing counsel of men of wisdom, matters had been adjusted to the standards of olden times and harmonized with the institutions of their forebears, and everything was put back into its proper place, the evil began to abate and the well-being of days of yore began to return.

The same sentiment inspired Horace, when he perceived what disasters the neglect of religious observance had brought in its train, to address to the Romans the lines,

Delicta maiorum immeritus lues, Romane, donec templa refeceris, Aedeisque labenteis deorum et Fæda nigro simulacra fumo.
(O Roman, you, though guiltless, will expiate the offenses of your forefathers, until you have repaired the temples and falling shrines of the gods and the statues sullied with blackening smoke.)

In these lines Horace shows how far the Romans had fallen below their ancestors through violating religious duty and neglecting the ancient rule. And we have a notable instance of this bx. . in Roman history, clearly set out by Livy, where he tells us how corruption of Roman morals, neglect of divine worship, and violation of the law of nations were followed by the capture and
almost total destruction of the city by the Gauls. The valor of Camillus, however, restored to the city its liberty, and this was followed by the restoration of divine worship, by the revival of ancestral laws and institutions, and by the punishment of those who had violated the law of nations; the result was that the city throve wondrously, gaining in time the empire of the whole earth, and there was truth in the epigram that the city of Rome would long ago have been on the edge of ruin if it had not been ruined; at any rate it would never have reached such an eminence.

It is our hope, Most Serene Prince, that in similar fashion your surpassing valor and wonderful skill and foresight in affairs may lead to the restoration of the worship of the true God and to the reestablishment of the sovereign authority of the king (due to him both by divine and human law), and that so the subversion of all things human and divine which has been brought into the Low Countries may by God's aid be checked and at last ended, and that the whole of the Low Countries may be restored to their former happy state. Of which country may be said what our sacred books say of the Israelites:
" Whatsoever place they went up against, their God fought for them, without their bow and arrow and without their shield and sword, and he conquered: nor was there any to mock at that people save when they forsook the worship of the Lord their God. But as often as they worshipped any other god than Him, they were given for a spoil and to the sword and to reproach."

Further, the expediency of frequently revising the laws and enactments of ordinary civil society and of shaping them to the model of old institutions is admitted; and this led the early Romans to appoint censors whose duty was to scrutinize the morals of the citizens (liable, as they are, so easily to become corrupt if neglected) and in that way to preserve the old-time seemliness and uprightness of behavior. But in war, assuredly, this process is especially fitting, seeing that military discipline requires a rough and sharp kind of punishment. For, as Valerius Maximus observes, armed forces are the repository of strength and if these be once put on the wrong path, they will crush unless they are
crushed. So much, indeed, depends on military discipline that merely according as it is maintained or neglected, so have decisive defeats been inflicted or sustained, many armies been overwhelmed or preserved, vast empires (in a word) been gained or ruined, as we shall show at greater length in the course of this book.

Now the Romans (who claimed Mars as ancestor both for themselves and for their founder) reached the height of their military glory entirely by dint of military discipline-which Valerius Maximus calls the especial adornment and buttress of the Roman Empire; but when this discipline slackened they were gradually involved in more and more disasters until the day came when they had lost, together with the valor of their ancestors, both empire and glory. Accordingly I have thought it a work worth doing, so far as my legal studies and historical reading enabled me, to describe how so great military glory was earned, as regards the men and methods employed and the kind of discipline resorted to. The result will show that men's qualities are the same now as formerly and that the chances and occurrences which befall us from day to day are the same as befell men formerly; so that it will not be difficult for one who ponders with diligence on things of old and examines them with judicial deliberation to employ the past-appropriate task for a wise man-in judging the present, in foretelling the future, and in educing from old examples remedies fit (because of the similarity of the circumstances) for modern ills.

These are matters the neglect of which more often than not may cause us to stumble over the same stone. For (as Aristides used to say) big things are achieved in war not so much by arms as by prudence and planning. Accordingly, Xerxes, whose forces earth and sea could scarce contain, was beaten by the assiduity of a single individual, Themistocles. The Romans, too, did not possess greater or stronger forces than did the vast kingdoms and savage barbarians encountered by them, but the sternness of their moral usages and their military system gave them the upper hand. It was for this reason that Agamemnon did not desire to have ten men like Ajax, but like Nestor and Ulysses,
never doubting that their counsel and prudence would bring about the speedy overthrow of Troy. And Sallust tells us how there was a long-standing controversy as to whether bodily strength or mental qualities contributed the more to military success, but later, in times of danger and difficulty, it was realized that powers of mind were the most effective in war. In Cicero, Acad. quest., bk. 2 indeed, we read that when Lucullus started from Rome for the Mithridatic war he was admittedly ignorant of military matters, but by reading about campaigns, and by his industry and native wit, he became so proficient a commander, in every species of warfare, that Mithridates, the greatest king after Alexander, declared that in his judgment Lucullus was to be ranked above all the generals whom he had read about.

It was, therefore, a sage reply that Alfonso, King of Aragon, made to the question whether he owed more to arms or to books: he said that he had learned from books both arms and the laws of arms, thus proclaiming to the world that he owed everything to learning. And the remark of the Emperor Justinian tends in the constit. ennerming same direction, namely, that on the one hand military affairs have been carried through in safety by means of law and that on the other hand law has been preserved under the protection of arms, each always requiring the help of the other. In short, it is incontrovertible that no State, however flourishing, can find any degree of safety in arms without law and discipline any more than in law without arms.

And so, Most Serene Prince, I feel assured that this work of mine, such as it is, will not be displeasing to your Highness. The offering is, of a truth, a poor one and unworthy of your splendor, but (unless I err) it is opportune to the present crisis; and I would that, in appraising my work, my perhaps overexacting and severe critics may bear in mind what Quintus Fabius Maximus said to his son, Quintus Fabius Maximus the consul, namely, that the same standard ought not to be applied in the midst of the heat of war as in the tranquillity of peace, for much that in quiet times is visited with correction and punishment is pardonable in times of mobs and the sword.

Most Serene Prince, I have dedicated to your Highness whatever study and toil there is here, both because I desired, in
my own interests, that your Highness should have the means of knowing how and with what studies I have occupied the leisure left me by my public duties, and also because I thought that no one would be more interested in these military topics than a general so great and an enthusiast for military discipline so keen as yourself.

May God, most good and most mighty, guide your movements and preserve you to us to the most distant day.

From the camp before Tournai, 3 I Oct. I58I.

## A PREFACE CONCERNING THE LAW OF WAR.

It is commonly thought a fine saying of Pindar that war is a tyrant and a mistress, lording it over all law, there being nothing so opposed to God's gift of law as force, which is a characteristic of the beasts. Just as the eagle is endowed with sharpness of talon and the lion with savagery of tooth, so man with reason (which jurists correctly style the soul of law) ; and this should be his only weapon and defense. Now, although a State can not be carried on without justice and although nothing is so subversive of the State as injustice (as was decisively shown by Lælius in the dialogue which Cicero, in his Republic, represents him as holding with Philo), yet it is to-day a fixed idea with many that war can not be waged without violation of right, for the ills that inevitably spring from wars, even from those that are classed as just, are so many and great and fearful and cruel that any one who would propose to apply to all of them the test of justice is simply blending reason with raving. For, it was on the propositions that in war there is no scope at all for justice and that that is right in war which is expedient to the stronger (might supplanting right), that men of old used especially to rely in order to prove that it was unjust and contrary to nature for men to be enslaved by their conquerors, this being an institution derived from the law of war-while they admitted, however, that this was a kind of injustice which every far-spreading empire must adopt as essential to the administration of its provinces.

The opinion in question must, however, be unhesitatingly repudiated. There are laws of war just as much as of peace. The use of force against those who will not submit to fair demands or will not be restrained by reason is not an injustice. For (as St. on the Kingdom of Augustine says) the wickedness of the enemy convinces the wise man that the prosecution of " just " wars is not only right but also a necessity. Accordingly, David, a man after God's own heart, and other very holy men have made war; and God himself or- c. 3, c. 23, qu. . 1 dered the Jews to make war on the Amorites and other peoples.

A wise man, therefore, will grieve to have to admit that the Deut. 2. necessity for a just war has arisen, but he will embark on it, plac-
ing the common weal before the interests of individuals lest, unmindful of the well-known dictum of Plato, he should abandon the body of the State as a whole through his wish to protect some given part thereof. Nor is it without more to be assumed unjust that the conquered in a just war should formerly have been taken into slavery. Nay, the justice of this can be defended on the ground of the expediency to the State of punishing those who wage unjust war; and it may also be urged that slavery is a blessing to such wrong-doers, for the opportunity of further wrongdoing is taken away from them and they will behave better under plutarch's restraint than as their own masters. Agesilaus was wont to charApophthegms. acterize the Asiatics as follows: "Good in slavery, bad in freedom."

And as a support to this reasoning, Lælius, in the course of the argument in Cicero's Republic, furnished the following noble analogy, taken as it were from nature: "Why, then, does God govern man, the soul govern the body, reason govern the pasKing gom of God, sions and the other vicious parts of the soul?" St. Augustine was bk. 10, ch. 7 . particularly struck with this. Moses, too, in obedience to the comNumb. 31. mand of God, after the defeat of the Midianites, divided all the spoil, both man and beast, among his own men. And the Apostle Ephes, ch. 6. admonishes slaves to be obedient to their masters and to serve them in singleness of heart. Right reason, therefore, implanted by nature in wise men, has inculcated this, that human depravity may be restrained by war, by captivity, and by slavery-on which topic we shall enlarge elsewhere.

Further, the same principle of justice which lays down rules of war, imposes its laws on soldiers and armies, whereby military Bk. 8. discipline is secured: * If this be once impaired " [I borrow the words of the dictator Papirius as recorded by Livy] " neither the soldier would obey the centurion's commandment nor the centurion the tribune's, nor the tribune the legate's, nor the legate the consul's, nor the master of the horse the dictator's, while no edicts of the commander would be observed; but without leave the soldiers would wander and rogue, either in their own or on enemy's land, unmindful of their oath, and discharge themselves from soldiery through a licentious liberty of their own, whenso-

[^8]ever they listed, leaving their ensigns and colors not attended, not meeting at summons; nor making any difference whether they skirmish by day or night, on even ground or uneven, with vantage or disadvantage, with direction of captain or without ; observing no signals or watchword, no array. In sum, the whole course of military service would henceforth be blind, rash, and inconsiderate, after the guise of robbing, to stand and go current for solemn and sacred warfare."

For just as in string-music and in part-singing different sounds and unlike notes are blended into an accordant harmony, so it may be said of an army (as Scipio in the discussion in Cicero's Republic was made to say of the State) that its concerted activities are produced by the coöperation of the most unlike individuals, it being compacted into one by means of reason and discipline out of the intermingled ranks of upper, middle, and lower. And what musicians style harmony in the case of song is in the case of an army concord, that closest and most efficient bond of military discipline. Now this can not exist apart from justice, which is needed for every undertaking and which possesses such power that (in Cicero's words) not even those who batten on wrongdoing and crime can live without some spark of $i t$.

Let this suffice to explain the motive of this work and to placate those who have ventured to condemn the laws of war (with which the safety of the State is implicated) as being contrary to nature and the peace of the Gospel.
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These three books are of use in the preservation of military discipline in these troublous times, and contain nothing contrary to the Catholic Faith. So I adjudge 16th Nov. 158 i.

Mathias Lambrecht,
Licentiate in Sacred Theology and Regius Professor of Divinity in the good University of Douai.

## THE FIRST BOOK

Of the Law and the Duties connected with War and on Military Discipline

## Chapter I.

## Of the method of declaring war and of other ceremonies relating to war, which anciently were regulated by fecial law.

1. Fecial law.
2. The college of fecials.
3. The duty of fecials.
4. Clarigatio (solemn demand of redress).
5. Pater patratus (the chief of the fecials).
6. The good-faith of the Tibarenians.
7. The words of the fecial law.
8. A treaty can not be made without ordinance by the people.
9. The surrender of Spurius Postumius to the enemy.
1o. The formula of surrender.
10. The surrender of Mancinus to the Numantines.

How scrupulously the Romans considered what legal principles were applicable to each occasion, whether of peace or of war, may be learned from their historians; and it ought not to excite surprise that they were uniformly so successful in their wars, seeing that they never took up arms save on just grounds. Dionysius of Halicarnassus gives us rom. Antiq, bk. 2. the following illustration of the extent to which they carried this principle.

The Campanians, in consequence of an utter defeat by the Samnites, had been compelled to beg help from Rome, and their envoys were introduced into the Senate and made long speeches, setting out the utility to the Romans of an alliance with the Campanians and craving a lasting friendship and present assistance. They did not, however, obtain more by this (owing to the Senate's unwillingness to be involved in the wars of other States) than an offer to despatch a Roman mission to the Samnites to beg that no violence should be done to the Campanians. To this offer the head of the Campanian delegation replied, in accordance with his instructions:
"Even if you decline to intervene with lawful force for our protection against force and outrage, you will certainly defend what is your own. Accordingly, we hereby surrender into your sovereignty, O Conscript Fathers, and into that of the Roman people, the Campanian people, the town of Capua, our territory, and all our interests, both divine and human; so, whatever sufferings are inflicted upon us in the future will be inflicted on your subjects."

Thereupon, so Livy narrates, the Senate, deeming it a matter of ${ }_{B k}$. honor not to abandon vassals, entered on a war with the Samnites and drew hostilities away from the Campanians and upon themselves-which they would never have done without good cause.

In like manner, before the third and last Punic War, that great undertaking was the subject of a long and detailed discussion, in the course of which widely different opinions were expressed by Marcus Porcius Cato and Scipio Nasica, leading men in the Senate, the former being reckoned the shrewdest man in the State and the latter having been adjudged, even by the Senate, the best of men. Cato urged a declaration of war against Carthage on the ground that she was keeping an army in readiness on her territory, ostensibly against King Massanissa, but in reality against Rome; Nasica took the opposite view and favored the despatch of a mission to Carthage which, while rebuking the Senate there for keeping on foot an army and naval stores in defiance of the treaty, might spy out what was being done. But Gisco, the son of Hamilcar and an obstreperous person, so worked on the Carthaginian Senate, by his advocacy of a war against Rome, that the envoys were fain to seek safety in flight. And, although Cato then protested against any further postponement of war, Nasica repeated that he could not yet find a just cause of war and that no rash steps should be taken. Cato's view, however,
Flor., bk. 49. prevailed and war was begun with the Carthaginians on the ground of their maintaining a fleet in defiance of the treaty and of their having marched an army beyond their frontiers, and of their attack on Massanissa, an ally of Rome.
[r] Now the preconditions of a just war were most scrupulously onfe., bk. 1. laid down by the fecial law of Rome, as Cicero tells; and, in accordance therewith, no war can be just unless preceded by a demand of satisfaction, and by due announcement and declaration.
[2] And Ancus Martius, a king of the old stock, appointed this to the Æquians for a law, and it was from him (according to the testiB. . . mony of Livy) that the Romans derived their ceremonial of war, pursuant to which it was not enough merely to wage war, but there must also Dion. Hal., Rom. be a formal declaration of war. Others, however, attribute this to ${ }^{\text {Antiq, }, \text { bk. } 2 .}$ Numa Pompilius, by whom, in the seventh part of his sacred laws, the fecial college (so they say) was founded.
[3, 4] The duty of the fecials, to whom the Romans conceded at all times the highest degree of authority, may be summed up as follows: To see to it that the Romans did not make an unjust war on any confederate State, and to take cognizance of wars, alliances, and treaties, and of wrongs done to allies and other confederate States, and, if any of these States was the first to infringe any condition of a treaty, to send envoys who should orally put forth their claim and demand redress with a loud [clara] voice (which is called clarigatio) in the following terms: "I call Jupiter to witness: if against duty and justice I demand this reparation for the Roman people and myself, mayest thou never allow

[^9]me to return safely to my fatherland." Then, if this demand was refused, the bond of alliance and friendship (if any such existed) was first denounced and then, after a fixed lapse of thirty days, war was declared and redress obtained, under the laws of war, by means of captures and hostile ravage. In any case, should the fecials forbid or disapprove, neither Senate nor consul nor popular vote might decree arms against an enemy.
[5] Now the chief of the fecials was the one called pater patratus (he being a person who had children of his own, while his father was Plut., Rom. Quest. still living). A fecial crowned his head and brow with myrtle, and thus made him their chief for all purposes of declaring war and making treaties.

It must, further, be noticed that there was no need that the declaration of war should be made in person to the king against whom the war was to be waged, but it was enough to make it to any armed body of troops. This was laid down by the fecials, first on the occasion of declaring war against Philip, and a second time against Antiochus. After a correct declaration of war all peaceable intercourse was prohibited and the soldiery were free to take up arms, collect forces, lead them against the foe, make raids, drive booty, lay districts waste and ravage them with fire and sword, cutting down crops and burning buildings, and generally might act as an enemy does.
[6] It is indecd recorded that the Tibarenians carried punctiliousness to such a pitch that they would not engage in conflict with an enemy before sending to him by fecials an honorable declaration as to the day, place, and hour, which we read was also sometimes done by the old Romans. Livy, too, gives us the formula and most ancient ritual of pi. it. Ad Gil. . ik. declaring war.

The fecials also investigated any complaints that might be made of wrongful breach of treaty; and, if the charge was sustained, they had the offenders seized and delivered to the injured parties. They took cognizance also of all wrongs done to ambassadors, for these are inviolable by the law of nations (jus gentium) ; and, if violence were done to them by any people or nation, it was the fecials' duty to insist on the surrender of those wanton offenders against that law ; and our law is the same. Dig. $50,7,18$.

The fecials, too, saw to it that the terms of treaties were carried out. They made treaties of peace and, if one had been made without due regard to law, they declared it void. They had no power, however, to give sanction to a treaty made with an ally or with an enemy or to frame a compact save where either consul or pretor had given his authorization and after due approval by Senate and people. And, lastly, if generals or captains sinned in aught against the sanctity of an oath, the fecials took the matter up and made atonement.
[7, 8] Now these were the words of the fecial law: "Of war, Cic., Laws, bk. 2. peace, treaties, truces, envoys [oratorum *] let the fecials be the judges." And indeed if any one entered into a treaty without the due forms, the fecials themselves would deliver him to the enemy, in order that the people might so be released from all obligation. Without a popular vote and the authorization of the Senate, nothing could be so ratified as to bind the commonwealth, and no treaty could be made without the fecials and the other solemn rites.
[9] Accordingly, when the consuls Titus Veturius and Spurius Postumius, after the defeat at Caudium, made peace with the Samnites without being empowered so to do by the people and Senate, on the terms of the Roman legions passing under the yoke, they were surrendered to the enemy in order that the peace might be repudiated, and Titus Numicius and Quintus Æmilius, tribunes of the people, were surrendered with them because they too had given their authorization to the treaty. It was indeed Postumius himself who initiated and counselled the surrender, using these words, as preserved by Livy: "Let us be surrendered by the fecials, naked and bound; let us free the people from any obligation wherewith we may have bound them; so that no obstacle may remain, either under divine or human law, to a just and pious war being entered on afresh."
[10] And this is the formula used by the fecials in making the surrender:
"Whereas these men, without warrant from the Roman commonwealth of Quirites, have pledged themselves that a treaty should be concluded and thereby have done a wrong, for that cause, with the intent that the Roman people may be quit of impious guilt, I surrender these men to you."
Cic., Offic., bk. 3.
Flor., bk. 2, ch. 18. Flor., bk. 2, ch. 18.
[II] In the same way, many years later, Caius Mancinus was surrendered to the Numantines for making a treaty with them without warrant from the people or authorization from the Senate.

[^10]
## Chapter II.

## Of just war and just causes of war.

1. The laws of war must be observed.
2. Two kinds of conflict.
3. The object of wars is to secure peaceful life.
4. Many ills arise even out of just wars.
5. An honorable war to be preferred to a disgraceful peace.
6. Just wars permitted alike by the Law of Nations [jus gentium], the Canon Law and the Divine Law.
7. The authorization of a sovereign required for a just war.
8. Among the Romans the determination of questions of peace and war was in the hands of the people.
9. War may sometimes be waged without the authorization of the prince.
10. The penalties of rebellion are incurred by the fact itself [ipso jure].
Ir. Just causes of war.
11. Rebellion.
12. An outrage on the prince is deemed an outrage offered to God.
13. A rebel and an enemy quite different persons.
14. Whether the laws of war apply to rebels.
15. Civil discord.
16. The law of Solon.
17. In war not always safe to keep aloof from both sides.
18. The middle course hazardous.
19. The authority of the prince must always be supported against rebels.
20. There can not be a just cause for rebellion.
21. The fatherland or State, what it is.
22. Rebellion a most heinous offense.
23. A tyrant may be killed.
24. A lawful sovereign can not be dubbed tyrant.
25. The lex regia.
26. The Pope may deprive a king of his crown for cause.
27. Whether a war against infidels is just, and how far.
28. The emperor is not lord of the whole world.
29. Just war waged on heretics.
30. The soldier incurs no guilt even if the cause of war be unjust.
31. A Christian soldier may take service under a pagan ruler.
32. How far obedience is due to a pagan king.
33. A war may be "just," even though the cause thereof be unjust.
34. Whether a war can be "just" on both sides.
35. Money the sinews of war.
36. Who ought to bear the expenses of a war.
37. Trajan compared the fiscus to the spleen.
38. The custom of the Gauls.
39. Whether private losses sustained in war ought to be made good.
[ $\mathrm{I}, 2$ ] Cicero lays it down that in a well-ordered State the laws of offc., bk. 1. war should be scrupulously observed. Alike in beginning a war and in carrying it on and in ending it, law has a most important position and so has good-faith. The rules of fecial law, to which we have just Laws, bk. 2 . alluded, aim at securing this. For, as Cicero also says, there are two kinds of strife-one conducted by discussion and the other by force: the one appropriate to men and the other to beasts; and recourse must be had to the latter when the former can not be used. And this is taken to have been the meaning of the poetical conceit that Chiron, the centaur-whose upper part (so the fiction ran) was that of a man and his lower that of a horse-was the preceptor of Achilles, as if to show that a good prince ought not only to be endowed with wisdom and judgment and other mental gifts, but also to be trained to feats of strength and arms; for the
use of force against those who will not submit to what is fair nor be restrained by reason is not unjust. Nevertheless, a general, like a surgeon (it was a saying of Scipio's) ought to use steel only in the last Plut., Apopb. resort for effecting his cures.
$[3,4]$ War, therefore, is justifiable when its object is to procure c. 3, c. 23, qu. 1. peaceful existence and freedom from outrage, and when begun in such a way as that peace may appear to be its sole object. That is what Martianus Cæsar was aiming at in his memorable saying: "So long as a prince can live in peace he ought not to take up arms-so many and so great are the ills which spring even from what may be styled a just war."

" If I should stop to recite the massacres and the extreme effects hereof, as I might (though I can not do it as I should), the discourse would be infinite. Yea, but a wise man, say they, will wage none but just war. He will not! As if the very remembrance that himself is man ought not to procure his greater sorrow in that he has cause of just wars, and must needs wage them, which if they were not just were not for him to deal in, so that a wise man should never have war; for it is the other men's wickedness that works his cause just, that he ought to deplore whether ever it produce wars or not. Wherefore he that does but consider with compassion all those extremes of sorrow and bloodshed must needs say that this is a mystery, but he that endures them without a sorrowful emotion, or thought thereof, is far more wretched to imagine he has the bliss of a god when he has lost the natural feeling of a man."

So far St. Augustine. For there are certain lawful incidents of war (as Livy says) which each side must be ready to endure, just as it may also produce them-e. g. burning of crops, destruction of buildings, loss of men and horses as booty. These are of course a hardship to him who has to endure them, although not in every case dishonoring. Our first offc., bk. 1. care, then, must be for peace, which, as Cicero says, refuses to have any truck with treachery and baseness.
Philipp. 13. [5] Further, as the same writer says, although the name of peace is musical and the thing itself both pleasant and profitable (for he can not hold dear either private hearths or public ordinances or the laws of liberty who delights in dissension and slaughter of his fellow-citizens and in public war) yet an honorable war, according to the saying of Demosthenes, is ever to be preferred to a disgraceful peace.
Dig. 1, 1, 5. [6] Just wars are, indeed, enjoined by the Law of Nations ( $j u s$ $c_{i} 1$ and $2, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} 2 \mathrm{za}$, qu. gentium), and are permitted both by the canon law and by the law of $\mathrm{c} .1,3$, and 5 ,
 Letter 1, to Boniface. and other peoples. St. Augustine, accordingly, wrote: "Beware of thinking that no one who follows arms pleases God, for David, a man after God's own heart, was of the military profession and so was that

[^11]centurion whose faith is commended by divine attestation, and other holy men also."
[7] Now in order that a war may be styled just, it ought in the first place to be declared and undertaken under the authority and warrant of c. 4, c. 23, qu. 1. a sovereign prince, in whose hands is the arbitrament of peace and war. Finst., ch. it. For a private person has no business to begin a war, seeing that he can, and ought to, assert his right in the courts; and it is a breach of the prince's prerogative for a private person, unwarranted by law, to assert
Dig. 4, 2, 13. his right himself with royal hand. Nor is any one competent to initiate cod. 11, 47. any variety of hostile activity without the knowledge and counsel of the prince. And he is liable under the Julian law against treason who, without the warrant of the prince, has either made war or summoned a levy or gathered an army together. And so Cato urged the recall of the dig. ss, $4,3$. army and the surrender of Cæsar to the enemy on the ground that Cæsar was campaigning in Gaul without having been authorized by the people. Plut., on cato of Ltica
[8] For, among the Romans the arbitrament of peace and war was in the hands of the people in whom sovereignty was vested, as appears from many passages of Livy. The Senate, accordingly, ordered the military tribunes (says Livy) to propose to the people on the earliest mk. 4 . possible date a declaration of war against Veii; and the war with Præneste, too, was entered on by an ordinance of the people after a senatus livy, bk. 16. consult. And, after authorization by the Senate, the people bade the making of war on the Palæpolitani and on the Vestini and on the Æqui. Livy, bk. 18, 19. Since, therefore, the people has conferred on the prince by the Lex Regia dig. 1, 4, 1. all its sovereignty and power, this arbitrament of peace and war is his alone and resides in him and inheres in his princely dignity in such sort Generally in Bodin that it can not be severed from him. And Holy Scripture shows that this 1 Sam., ch. 8. on
bk. 1 , last cli.
[9] There are occasions, however, when, e. g., pressing necessity or the absence of the prince, coupled with the hazards of delay, may Ry inference, Dig. 43,
 pecially so for purposes of defense, which is open to any one by the law ${ }^{\text {Dig. } 42,8,10,10 .}$

Dig. 3, 1, 3. c. 2 Cod. in Clem. $27,1$.

11, at end.
of nature. On such occasions it will be lawful not only to ward off the wrong, but also to take vengeance for it and to drive the enemy out of his c. 2, c. 23, qu. 1. own land if we can not otherwise obtain safety from him, for one can not be said to overstep permissible precaution who does what it would be dangerous to omit. And this is shown at length by Marianus Socinus consult. ge, wol. 3. (the grandson), who holds that such a war ranks as a just war even as regards the consequences, and that the rules of war apply (consequences being deduced from their causes) and therefore that anything captured mig. 39,$5 ; 2,7$ and 3 . during that war belongs to the captor.
[IO] And this, he says, is especially so in dealing with rebels, for every one not only may, but must, foil their attempts without waiting for the prince's command, should delay be perilous. For, one who has it in
his power to ward off a wrong from a comrade, yet forbears to do so, is as
c. 7, c. 23, qu. 1. much in fault as the actual perpetrator. This being so in ordinary cases, what are we to say when it is the prince who is threatened, especially seeing that these machinations against the sovereignty of the prince and the peace of the State render their authors liable, by the very fact, to the Extrav. Hen. vii. penalty of treason and to death or captivity as enemies and, much more, Dig. 48, 8, 3, 5;

Among the examples of this which Roman history furnishes, a notable one is that of Lucius Pinarius, commander of the guard at Ænna in Sicily. He detected the plot of the citizens to revolt to the Carthaginians, but had no opportunity to send messengers to inform the consul Marcellus, though he was not far away; so he suddenly made a great slaughter, and thus kept the citizens under. This measure saved Ænna and received the approval of Marcellus, and the soldiery were allowed Livy, bk. 34. to keep the booty which they had taken.

Cicero similarly praises the conduct of Octavius Cæsar, who, without waiting for a decree of the Senate, began hostilities against Antony of his own motion; for (as Cicero says) the season for issuing decrees was not yet and Cæsar saw that if he did not seize the moment for making war the city would be overwhelmed, and so no decree would ever
cic., Philipp. 8. issue again. And the Senate ratified and approved on behalf of the
Cic., Philipp. 5 . public the war which Cæsar had begun on his private initiative. In the same way Scipio Nasica earned much praise by offering himself as the leader of all good citizens and thus crushing, by private initiative, Tibe-

Val. Max., bk. 3, ch. 2. Appian, Civ. War, bk. must do what is required by the crisis rather than by custom. Custom is ever to be followed in time of peace, says Cicero, but in time of war See bk. 2, ch. 5. expediency-a doctrine on which we shall have more to say elsewhere.
c. 3, c. 23, qu. 1. Beside this, war may not be made save for just and necessary cause, and there should be an entire absence of the passion to do hurt and of
c. 4, C. 23, qu. 1. vengeful savagery and of the lust of conquest. It was the securing of this that was the object of the rules prevailing among the Romans under their fecial law. Now he who makes war for an unjust cause not only sins, but the opinion is widely spread among men that he is nearly always beaten. For, as Propertius puts it,

Frangit et attollit vires in milite causa.
Quae nisi justa subest, excutit arma pudor.
('Tis his cause which breaks or sustains the strength of a soldier; if no just cause underlie, disgrace smites on his arms.)
[II] Now the principal just causes of war are: the defense of our own empire, of our persons, of our friends, of our allies, and of our propc. 1, C. 23, qu. 1 and erty ; for no other warrant than the law of nature is needed to justify Dig. $1,1,3 ;$ c.
q.i.
3 ${ }^{2,12}$ Caius Pontius, the Samnite general, used to say, is a just one for those to
whom it is necessary, and recourse to arms is a duty for those who have no hope left save in arms. A war is based on a just cause, again, when it is waged in order to regain from the enemy something which he is forcibly and unjustly detaining, in the same way as the authors of a

 fecial law.

And so, after the death of Saul, David made war on Ishbosheth, Saul's son, who was trying to obtain the kingship in Israel which God had given to David by the mouth of the prophet Samuel. And Romulus 2 Sum., ch. 2. made war on the Albans because their dictator, Cluilius, would neither restore certain captured property nor surrender the captors.

Dion. Halic., bk. 3.
Another just cause of war is to take vengeance for some wrong
 made war on the king of the children of Ammon for his contemptuous treatment of David's messengers. And on the same ground of a wrong 2 sam., ch. 10.12 . done, a war will be ranked as just which arises on a State's refusal to allow passage over its territory, provided such passage is innocent; by the law of human society such passage ought to be open. Accordingly, c. 3, c. 23, qu. 2. the Jews made war on the kings of the Amorites for refusing them innocent passage into the land which God had allotted to them.
[12, 13] And then a prince has a most just cause of war when he is
 for it is a heinous offense, against both God and the prince, for subjects $\mathrm{f} \mathbf{i j g}, 4,5,5,5,41 . \mathrm{s}$. to resist his authority, all power being from God alone; and St. Paul tells rom., ch. 13. us "whosoever resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God." And a wrong offered to the prince is reckoned to be offered to God, who said to Samuel, when the people were demanding of Samuel another as king: "They have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me, that I should not reign over them." David also fought with Sheba the son of 1 Sam., ch. 8. Bochri, who had stirred up the people to transfer their allegiance from David to himself.

2 Sam., ch. 20.
[14] Now rebels ought not to be classed as enemies, the two being quite distinct, and so it is more correct to term the armed contention with Extray. Hen. vii.
 Dis. 6,1, i, ©S. There is, therefore, no need of the authority of the prince ; the authority ${ }^{50, \text {, i6, } 115 \text {. }}$ bid. of a local magistrate will suffice.
[15] For the same reason, the laws of war and of captivity and of postliminy, which apply to enemies, do not apply to rebels, any more than nis. 49.15 .5 and they apply to pirates and robbers (these not being included in the term the whif. 40.15, , 52. "enemy" [hostes]). Our meaning is that these persons themselves can
 ownership of what they capture, this only being admitted in the case of $\frac{28,1,1,13 \text {, nd }}{\mathrm{Dig}, 40,15,5} 5$ enemies [hostes]; but all the modes of strcss known to the laws of war
may be employed against them, even more than in the case of enemies, for the rebel and the robber merit severer reprobation than an enemy who is carrying on a regular and just war and their condition ought not By inference, Dig. to be better than his.
$24+1,1,3,1$.
Philip. . . And this seems to be what Cicero was aiming at when he deprecated the despatch of envoys to Antony and insisted that he should not be begged by words, but compelled by arms, to raise the siege of Mutina, a colony of the Roman people, and to break up the lines of investment which he had drawn round Brutus, a general, a consul-designate, and irreproachable citizen; for this (said Cicero) was not a case such as when Hannibal, an enemy of the State, was in days gone by desired by the Senate, through its envoys, to withdraw from Saguntum, but it was a case of a citizen; nor did it matter a whit (he continued) whether Antony was besieging Rome or a colony of the Roman people, founded for
Epist. 6, bk. 10. purposes of protection. And elsewhere the same writer says, in a letter to Plancus, that no peace ought to be concluded with Antony's faction by whom Brutus was besieged at Mutina, and he calls them loathsome robbers who ought to lay down their weapons and sue for peace, or, if they demanded it while keeping the field, ought only to get it by victory and not by bargaining.

Further, since there are on the prince's side all the essential elements of a just war, i. e., the right of making war and a just cause, but on the side of the rebels neither the right of making war (which we have shown above to belong to the prince alone) nor a just cause of war (the cause of a rebellion being no cause at all, as we shall shortly prove), it follows that a war waged by a prince with rebels is a most just one and that all measures allowed in war are available against them, such as killDig. 48, 8, 3. 5 ;
and $49,16,7$
7

And this I think a correct statement where the war is carried on under the direct sanction of the prince; but in other cases, although any one is allowed to defend himself and every one may act within the limits of his jurisdiction, yet the laws of war will only apply in a war waged by Bartolus on Dig. 49,
 X.' 2 , 12 and $\begin{aligned} \text { c. } \\ \text { I. } 2,24 . \\ 24 .\end{aligned}$ the authority and in the name of the prince; for it can not otherwise be called war. But the hostilities in which rebels engage are admittedly the very opposite of just war and so rebels can not proceed under the law of Dig. $49,15,19,2$, , war 28,13 and their captives do not become slaves. For where the cause is and $28,1,13$.
Dig. $27,14.6$. absent the consequence is absent likewise, and no one ought to be allowed Cod. 4, 48, 3. to profit or injure others by his own wrongdoing.
Dig. 49, 15, 21. [16] No obstacle hereto is created by Ulpian's response that those who in civil dissensions find themselves of the one party [pars] or of the other are not in the position of enemies, i. e., of persons between whom the laws of captivity and of postliminy prevail, and that therefore those
who are captured on the one side or the other do not become slaves; nor is any obstacle created by the fact that by the constitutions of the Kingdom of Spain, captures made in times of civil dissension are to be restored span. Ordin, be. le. sevenfold. For these rules are meant to apply in times when citizens are (it. $\%$, para. 2 at variance and strife with one another, and not in times when they are contriving against the sovereign power and fighting for the overthrow of
Dig. 0. 15, 21. the State; for at such times they must be classed as enemies. Ulpian pig. 4. 5. 5, 1 , and accordingly used the word party [pars]; and "party" involves, says $4.414,4,4$, Cicero, a division of the State into two and a strife of citizen with citizen. Pallpp. 13. "Faction " [factio] is Cæsar's term for this.

Now it would be improper to call the war waged by Antony against the State a strife of parties; it was a secession from the Roman people. This was the ground of Cicero's dissent from Cæsar when the latter gave Against Catiline, 1 . his opinion that the death penalty could not be inflicted on Cethegus and Lentulus, parties to Catiline's conspiracy, owing to the prohibition contained in the Sempronian law of a capital judgment against a Roman citizen without an ordinance of the people. Cicero pointed out that the Sempronian law, being framed in view of Roman citizens, did not apply to enemies of the State, who could by no means be citizens.

And of course in the war between Rome and her seceding and rebellious allies (Latins, Campanians, Fidenates, Hernicians, Æquians, Etruscans, and others, who were subjects of Rome and not sharers in her Bks 2 and 8. sovereignty, according to the sufficient evidence of Livy and Appian and Civil war, bk. 1. Dionysius of Halicarnassus), we read that the conquered were made to Bks. 3 and 4 . endure the utmost severities of war, and that captured property changed hands as booty. And captured persons were reduced into slavery; and of a truth they were much more harshly treated than ordinary enemies, the motive being that the best way to keep rebels in their duty was to deal with them in such a way that they could not rebel again, for so long as wrong-minded persons have the opportunity of doing wrong they will want to do it.

Accordingly when the Romans stormed Capua, which, after falling under Roman sway by surrender, had defected to the Carthaginians, Livy, bk. 7. the quæstors took as spoil 70 pounds weight of gold and 3,0oo pounds weight of silver, and seventy prominent Senators were executed, and about three hundred Campanian nobles were thrown into prison and died there of varying mischances; and another great horde of Campanians was sold, and all the territory and public buildings fell to the Roman people. The same treatment befell the men of Fidenæ, a Roman Livy, b. 36. colony, when they rebelled. Æinna in Sicily, too, the quarters of a Livy, bk. \& . Roman garrison, was crushed by a terrible slaughter of its citizens (as already described) when it contemplated defection. And mention may tivy, bk. 34. be found here and there in Cæsar of similar treatment being meted out to the Gauls when they revolted, after the reduction of Gaul to a province.
[17] Further, I think that in times of civil dissension the law of Solon will not be found inexpedient. This law-which, like many other very ancient laws, was at Athens carved on wooden boards-provided that whenever a sedition occurred and the people were split into two opposed factions any one who stood neutral should be deprived of home and fatherland and fortune and be banished from the country, an exile. The philosopher Favorinus thought this was a good rule to apply also when brothers and friends fell out; for (said he), if the friends of both sides have not sufficient influence to bring about the restoration of peace, then let some join one side and some the other, and Gell., bk. 2. ch. 2. in this manner prepare a way for an arrangement between the two. And I hold that in our own days such a law would be very useful in compelling all good citizens, directly a faction is formed by bad citizens, to join their forces in order to put it down and so to save both themselves and the State from such a ruin as, alas, we now see in process in different places, to the great hurt of the Christian commonwealth and to the subversion of these Low Countries; for while good citizens trust to get peace by submitting with excessive moderation and forbearance to the evil attempts of the seditious, they are fastening on themselves a terribly severe yoke of slavery which it will be vain for them to attempt to shake off hereafter; and so, maybe, their unwillingness to imperil either fortune or life on behalf of the State will lead them and the State to an inevitable ruin. For (as Cicero says) all evil may easily be checked in its beginnings, but as it gets older it commonly gets stronger.
[18] Nor is it, as many seem to think, always the safest course to abstain from intermeddling in a war and to await as a mere spectator the issue of the war and the result to other persons' fortunes without hazarding one's own. That was the course which, when Antiochus and the Ætolians were attempting the expulsion of the Romans from Greece, their envoys tried to induce the Achæans (who were friendly to Rome) to adopt, begging them at least to remain neutral. The proconsul, Titus Quintius, showed in reply that nothing could be so ill-advised for the Achæans, seeing that, without gaining either thanks or esteem, they Livy, bk. 35. would be the prize of the conqueror.
[19] And when first the Romans were seeking the friendship of the Achæans and Philip was claiming that they should at least abstain from armed intervention, the Achæan prætor, Aristenus, in a speech to his folk, showed that they must treat the Romans either as allies or as Livy, bk. 32. enemies and that there could not be a middle path,-which (as Pontius of Samos used to put it) neither secures friends nor removes enemies. And so, at the time of the quarrel between Cæsar and Pompey, although the excellent Porcius Cato in his zeal for the commonwealth differed in many respects from the leaders of the two factions, clearly approving the attitude of neither, yet, when war broke out, he felt that he ought not
to remain in repose and so he joined that side which seemed on the whole juster; and when Brutus would have dissuaded him he wisely replied:

Summum, Brute, nefas civilia bella fatemur :
Sed quo fata vocant, virtus secura sequetur.
(I admit, Brutus, the monstrous iniquity of civil war; but virtue will follow in security the summons of the Fates.)
and so on, as Lucan's poem continues.
Bk. 2
[20] Now, this scrutiny, which of two factions has the better cause, is admissible in a democratic or an aristocratic State, where sovereignty is divided up among rivals; but in a monarchical State all that is best and wisest will follow the authority of the prince, in whom is the sole sovereignty, against his seditious and turbulent citizens and subjects. For after the republic has decided to commit its interests to the care of Dig. 1, 2, 2, 11. one man and the people has conferred on him by the Lex Regia all its Dig. 1, 4, 1. sovereignty and power, that one man is the common parent of all and sov. ss, at end. obedience to him is enjoined by the divine law, and everybody ought to Paul, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} \text {. Ron., } 12 .}$ 'defend and protect him as sedulously as the fatherland.
[21] Now no just cause can be admitted (says Cicero) for taking up arms against one's fatherland: Plato declared it monstrous to employ force against one's father or mother, but worst of all against one's fatherland, for there is no breach of natural ties, no crime, which it is justifiable to avenge by parricide. Cicero calls Antony the parricide of his father- ouintilian. land, for making war on his State, whose safety one ought to place before Deelam., , 8 s. the safety of one's father.

```
                                    Dig. 11, 7, 35;
```

[22] I use the word 'State' [rempublicam] (which connotes, said Cornificius, that most sacred concept, fatherland [patria]) to mean not To Herenn., bk. 4. the soil itself (which would be absurd, for all soil is a brave man's fatherland), but in Cicero's sense as an assemblage of individuals compacted into a society by identity of law and community of interest. And that was the drift of Censorinus's reply to the Carthaginian envoys, as given in Appian, i. e., that the city of Carthage, whose freedom the Romans in his Punic War. had guaranteed, did not consist of walls and buildings, and that it was these latter which it was proposed to destroy.

Nor can a faction of aristocrats [optimates] be called a State (says Cicero), nor a seditious and conspiring populace, for an unjust populace (which he dubs a usurper) can not found a State. For although (as just said) one who is animated with hostility towards State or prince may be by birth a citizen he has voluntarily become an enemy and is called per-
Dig. 48, 4, 11. duellis, a term ordinarily applied to public enemies. And indeed there is Dip, 50, 10, 23.4 such an intimate connection between these two, prince and State, that no one can be an enemy of the former without being also an enemy of the latter, and conversely.

We ought to die for our country (says Cicero), to give up ourselves Laws, bk. 2. entirely for it, to place all we have at its disposal, and, as it were, to con-
secrate ourselves to its service. And the same writer says that a man deserves encomium who goes out to death on behalf of his State and who shows that our fatherland is dearer to us than ourselves. We ought to adapt all our actions not to our own expediency and advantage, but to the well-being of State and prince. On which count Demaratus of Sparta won a large meed of praise and so did Aristides, Phocion, and Themistocles, all Athenians, and the Romans, Tarquinius Collatinus, Camillus, and Rutilius. These all bore with complete serenity wrongs done to them by their country and contrived no vengeance, though they had the opportunity of doing so. Nay, Themistocles preferred to die by poison rather than help the Persian king, to whom he was bound by many honors and acts of bounty, to make war on his fatherland, albeit an ungrateful one. And Camillus, a man of the best character, who had deserved most highly of his country, yet had been exiled, forgot the wrong and ran extreme danger in order to render help to his country, which he restored by driving away its enemies.
[23] Again, disobedience on the part of subjects and rebellion. c. 9, c. 8.8 , qui 1 and against the prince is treated as a heinous offense and put on a par with c. 6 , Dist. 40 . heresy, and the disobedient offender is called an infidel. For how, asks c. 16, Dist. 3. St. Jerome, can he be faithful in the matter of God [in substantia Dei], c. 24, c. 23, qu. 5. who can not keep faith with his earthly lord? And so, no matter how grievous are the burdens which a king imposes on his subjects, they may
c. 3, C. 32, qu. 5
c. 4, C. 31, qu. 2. not rebel, for it is better to bear all ills than to connive at one; and hard as the burden may be which the superior has imposed, borne it must none e. 3, Dist. 19 . the less be, and suffered with duteous self-surrender, obedience being a good thing in itself; for it is the general pact of human society that men c. 2, Dist. 8. must obey their kings. Wherefore, when Rehoboam, Solomon's son and successor, refused to lighten the least whit the very heavy yoke placed on the Jews by Solomon, saying that he would instead make his father's heavy yoke heavier, although it was in consequence hereof that the ten tribes of Israel, cutting themselves loose from the tribes of Judah and
1 kings, 12. Benjamin, abandoned their king and chose another, Jeroboam, yet they did not go quit of blame; for the Lord was wroth with the whole seed of Israel and destroyed them and caused them to be scattered for as long as FIe should drive them away from His face, because they had renounced


Likewise, although King Nebuchadnezzar was an unbeliever and Jerem. 39. did much wickedness and ordered that his golden image should be worDaniel 3 . shipped and that those who refused should be cast into the burning fiery furnace, yet Daniel said to him, " Thou art a king of kings, for the Lord Daniel 2. of heaven hath given thee a kingdom and power and sovereignty "; and the Lord said through the prophet Jeremiah, " Now have I given all these lands into the hand of Nebuchadnezzar, the King of Babylon, my servant; and the beasts of the field have I given him also, and all nations
shall serve him and his son and his son's son, until the very time of his
land and of himself shall come; and many nations and great kings shall Jerem. 2r. serve him." And the prophet Baruch said, "Pray for the life of King Nebuchadnezzar and for the life of Balthazar his son, that their days manch, cli. ı. may be like the days of heaven upon earth." And the prophet Ezekiel inveighs bitterly against Zedekiah, King of Judah, reproaching him for his perfidy and rebellion against his King Nebuchadnczzar. David, 2 Chron. 3 . also, though designated king of the Jews by divine authority, yet always rendered royal honors to Saul while he was still reigning, even though Saul had been reduced by divine law to the position of a private person in the kingdom and was hostile to himself: and when Saul entered into the same cave in which David was hiding and David could have cut him off alone with little trouble, he refused to do so, saying that he would not stretch forth his hand against the Lord's anointed. And David, too, 1 Sam., ch. 24, 20. ordered the execution of the man who brought the news that he himself had killed Saul, saying, " How wast thou not afraid to stretch forth thine hand against the Lord's anointed?"

2 Sam., ch. 1.
Kings, therefore, and princes we ought to revere with extreme dutifulness, if they be good, as being sent by God (in the words of St. Peter) ${ }_{1}$ Epist, ch. 2. for the punishment of evil-doers and for the praise of them that do well; but if they be bad we must endure them with patience, for the sins of princes and kings must be borne with and left to the judgment of God, who is pleased at times to visit nations for their sins with iniquitous princes. For, as Holy Writ has it, "God makes a man to reign who is Job, ch. 3 . a hypocrite because of the offenses of the people." "For there is no Altons. of Castile
 Titus, ch. 3 . And St. Peter would have us be subject to our masters, even the froward ; 1 Epist., ch. 2. and he also teaches that kings are to be honored, although in those days no king had as yet accepted Christianity, but all were unbelievers and extremely cruel persecutors of the Christians; for every one knows about the cruelty of Nero and Domitian and others, which is commemorated in the writings of so many authors, yet the Christians rendered obedience to these same, in accordance with God's command, so far as nothing was required which was offensive to God, to whom they knew that obedience was due rather than to man.

Acts, ch. 5, v. 29.
[24] Whence it is clear that, although a tyrant who forcibly and illegally usurps the throne may be killed by any one if there is no other way of getting rid of his tyranny, and although under the Valerian law, his head and goods were forfeit who had formed the plan of usurping plut. fite of the throne, yet he who is prince by right of succession and clection may Dion. Halic., bk. 5. not be killed by a private individual, however unjust and cruel his conduct may be, nor may his people put him off the throne or defect from Folinns on, c. 14 who assert the contrary opinion are heretics.
[25,26] For a lawful prince, however cruel and unjust, can not be called a usurper; and since, by the Lex Regia, nay, by the ordinance of God, all sovereignty and power has been conferred on the prince as c. 4. Dist. 21. against the people, the people can not pass in judgment on him, for the ${ }^{\text {Dig. } 4,8,4}$. inferior can not bind the superior by a judgment. And this is the mean1saiah, ch. 10. ing of the prophet when he says, "Shall the axe boast itself against him that heweth therewith? or shall the saw magnify itself against him that shaketh it?"

Whence Pope Nicolas in a rescript declared it as clear as day that no one of lesser authority can bind one of greater power by his findings
c. 4, Dist. 21. or impose limitations on him of his creating. Accordingly, the Emperor Marcus Aurelius's saying is correct, namely, that the magistrate is the people's appointed judge, the king the magistrate's, and God the king's. And indeed if a prince, albeit deriving his power from God, can not punc. 2 , in Clem., ii, 11. ish or condemn any one without a hearing, by what authority can subjects, the recipients of no such power, expel of their own motion or put off the throne the prince in whose sovereign power they are and whom they are commanded to obey, seeing that, by the law of Solon, not even a usurper may be killed without a hearing of his case and that it is repugnant to natural law that an inferior should exercise jurisdiction over a superior and the same person be both accuser and judge. And so, the Lex Julia (Chap. I) ordains very heavy penalties not only against those who actually kill the prince, but alṣo against those who with wrongful intent further the inception of a plot to kill him or to effect anything adverse Cod. 8, 8, 5: 1 and 5 . to the sovereign power.

Seeing, then, that we are bound both by divine and by human law to undergo all infamy and danger and even death itself, should occasion arise, in order to save either prince or fatherland, how can there be a just cause of rebellion? What we observe in the human body applies here : All the limbs yield obedience to the head, as being the nobler part, no matter what it orders, and they refuse no risk in order to preserve it; so also subjects, the limbs of the civic body, should put the safety of the prince, who is its head, above all private advantage. Now there was among the Jews a sect of men, held in high esteem and called Essenes, i. e., executors of the divine law, who championed this doctrine, maintaining that kings and princes, irrespective of their character, ought to be inviolable by their subjects, as being sent by God.

Nor will any one attach importance to the case of Tarquinius Superbus, whose wickedness led to his expulsion from his kingdom, for, in the first place, he was not a king but an unmistakable usurper (having obtained the throne not in lawful manner, but by force, after having most Dion. Halic, b. 4. basely slain his father-in-law Tullius) ; and in the second place, as the jurisconsult Proculus says, the question to be asked is, What does the Dig. 1, 18, 12. law say that we ought to do? and not, What is done at Rome? For it is
a mistake (pointed out by Cicero) to imagine that everything for which a precedent can be found is lawful. What has been said applies where the supreme sovercignty is in the hands of a single person; but in cases when it is lodged in the people or in an aristocracy [optimates] it is undoubtedly lawful to deal with a tyrant by legal process, if time allows; and, if not, he may be got rid of out of our midst by any means whatsoever. It was the former course which the Senate adopted in order to get rid of Nero, and the latter in the case of Maximinus. For it is noteworthy that Roman emperors were not vested with full sovereignty, although several of them tyrannically usurped it; and this is shown by sppian, procm., i. ı. the expression which Suetonius employed about Caligula: " He almost changed the character of the chief magistracy into a monarchy," the Roman Emperor being nothing else than chief magistrate and the ultimate sovereignty being with the people.

Bodin, on The Repub.,
[27] For kings who abuse their position the Pope has various modes of restraint and of compelling them to deal justly, for he is God's c. 26, c. 23, qu. 5 . regent on earth and has received from Him both swords, the spiritual and the temporal, for the peace and preservation of the Christian com-
c. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{X}, 2,1$ and c . 1.
 monwealth. Pope Nicolas, accordingly, reproved Lothair for his sins, c. 21, c. 2, qu. 1. and Gelasius used threats to Anastasius. Innocent I excommunicated c. 10, Dist. ve. the Emperor Arcadius for countenancing the expulsion of St. John Chrysostom from his see. Nay, where the interests of the Christian commonwealth require it, the Pope can not only restrain, he can also depose, just as God (whose vicar the Pope is) deprived Saul of his kingdom and raised up David in his stead. Accordingly, Pope Zacharias 1 sam., ch. c. deprived Childeric III, King of the Franks, and absolved the Franks c. 3, c. 15. qu. e. from the obligation of their oath. Innocent IV in the first instance excommunicated the Emperor Frederic II for his crimes: and, when this measure proved ineffective, he adjudged him unworthy of the empire and freed his subjects from the tic of their sworn allegiance. And the same c. 2 , bk. 2 , Tit. 14, in vi. Pope gave to the King of Lusitania his brother, the count of Bologna, as a colleague, because of his neglect of duty.

Now, to attribute to the people the power to do this (as some would like to do) is not only absurd and improper, but also subversive of the State; a king is not to be forthwith branded tyrant and unjust, because in some little detail of his rule he does not please the people, for on that showing kings would be nobodies. Why, even Moses, the justest and sagest of magistrates, would be pronounced a tyrant, for almost all his injunctions and prohibitions were displeasing to the people. Moreover, we ought not to talk about " monarchy" and "royal power" in cases where a State is governed by a king subject to the approval of the people, since the prosperity of the State is then dependent on the people-than whom nothing could be more foolish and extravagant and, when it blazes out against the good, more insane. For, as Livy says, it is characteristic
of the mob to be either humble servants or haughty masters. And Xenophon, who was at once an able commander and a philosopher, testifies that popular power is fatal to virtue and can not be established save by the removal of all good men-a thesis adopted by Seneca in his terse question, "Who that is pleased by virtue, can please the people?" Consistently therewith we read how, among both Athenians and Romans, citizens of outstanding distinction, who had rendered excellent service to the State, were unjustly made to suffer ostracism, exile, and death through the malevolence of a stupid and ungrateful rabble.

Now, that was a brilliant prophecy that Anacharsis, the Scythian, made of the speedy downfall of Athens, based upon the fact that, though her wise men might give advice, yet it was her fools who gave the decision; for he observed how her Senate looked to the people to take measures for the well-being of the State (a matter of quite sacred importance) -which is as much as to look for wisdom in fools and madmen. Of a truth, highly ridiculous as it would be to divide the whole of every one's wealth equally among the citizens, it would not be so ridiculous as In Method. hist, ch. e. to do the same thing with the ultimate sovereignty, because (as Bodin neatly puts it) any one can enjoy wealth, while governing wisdom is the rarest endowment of nature; and, much as an individual tyrant is to be dreaded, a mob of tyrants is more to be dreaded still. And those who laud the popular sovereignty of the Romans seem not to have read their history aright and not to have given adequate consideration to the miseries which so many and frequent seditions, secessions, struggles, and civil wars brought upon the State, all of which were entirely due to the holding of sovereignty in common, with the attendant difficulties of equal distribution thereof. But these numerous matters the plan of my treatise does not allow me to discuss.
[28] To continue the consideration of the just causes of war: War
Cod. 1, 11, 6 . may not be declared against infidels merely because they are infidels, not even on the authority of emperor or Pope, for their infidel character does not divest them of those rights of ownership which they have under the law universal [ $j u s$ gentium], and which are given not to the faithful alone but to every reasonable creature. For the earth is the Lord's and Genes, ch. 1. the fulness thereof, the world and all they who dwell therein, and the Math., ch. 5 , end, Lord makes his sun to rise on the just and the unjust. Accordingly, aland ch. 6, begin. though Nebuchadnezzar was an unbeliever, yet God gave him kingdom On c. 4 , Rer. ij. . and
in vi,
in in $v i$, in $2^{\text {nd }}$ part,

Relect. ${ }^{89}$. shows.
[29] Nor is a war with infidels to be called just on the ground that (say) they refuse to acknowledge and obey the emperor as the lord of the whole earth, in accordance with the doctrine which has very many Cod. 7,37 , 3 , authorities in its favor. For men of the profoundest learning have Card., Alb., Fulg. ${ }^{\text {Dig. }}$, 14, 1, , 1 . clearly demonstrated that this widespread opinion is false and that the Ale Solo and others. Dig. 49, 15, 24 .
emperor is not the lord of the whole earth. These are enumerated and


on unbelievers who are not under his sway: for no one can punish a person who is not in his power. More than that, such a war could not pie. 2. 1, 20. Anl $c$. be rendered just by the authority of the Pope, for he has no jurisdiction, ${ }^{2}$ whether temporal or spiritual, over unbelievers, except as far as it is needed for the peace and prosperity of the Christian commonwealth; and it is not a function of the church to punish unbelievers who have never received the Christian faith, according to St. Paul in his Epistle to the Corinthians: "For what have I to do to judge them that are without?"

Ch. 5.
[30] On this, Thomas says that the prelates of the Church have received power over those only who have once subjected themselves to the Christian faith: and so a just war may be made on heretics who have abandoned the Christian faith. And Alfonso of Castile has on this topic rawtul punish of a fine passage. The grounds on which a just war may be waged with infidels are, then, those on which one may be waged with any other $\mathrm{c} .11, \mathrm{c} .23$, qu. 8. people, and also that they are found hindering by their blasphemies and ind inc. .ind doctor false arguments the Christian faith and also the free preaching of the Thios. Aqiin. 22, 10, \& Gospel rule, this being a wrong to Christians, who are entitled to preach the Gospel over the whole world.
[3I] Now these just causes of making war ought to be weighed with deliberate judgment by the princes and kings, in whose hands is the authorizing and the planning of war; but if it chance that their motive e. 4, c. 23, qu. i. for making war is human greed, that will not be imputed to the soldiery as a sin, seeing that they owe obedience to their prince. Accordingly, St. Augustine writes: "Should a just man happen to be serving as a Bk . 22 against Faust.
 fight under his command, observing the ordered conditions of citizenship; for what he is commanded is either certainly not against God's precepts or it is not certain whether it be; so that, maybe, the iniquity of the command may involve the king in guilt, while the conditions of his service establish the innocence of the soldier."
[32] It is, therefore, permissible for a Christian soldier to campaign under a pagan commander, for even Julian the Apostate had Christian soldiers and they obeyed him when he said, "Engage in battle for the defense of the State." But when he said, "Engage in battle against the Christians," they recognized their heavenly commander. c. 94, c. 11. qu. з. And we are told that when the same emperor tried by the gift of largess to lure them to the sacrifices of the gentile gods, they returned him his largess and professed their Christianity with the utmost willingness in their longing for martyrdom and were dismissed the service. We read soromen, bk. 5. ch it 77

against the Marcomanni, overcoming the Sarmatians, the Vandals, and

Paul Orosius, bk. 7 , ch. 15 . Eusebius, bk. 5.
Niceph., bk. 4, ch. 12 .

$$
0.0
$$ which they besought God to rain on themselves and to thunder on the enemy. This demonstrates that subjects may campaign under a pagan and even heretic commander, unless it be transparently clear that the war Acts, , 1. is unjust; for service is due to God rather than to man.

[33] Now, although we are taught that we must obey even an 1 Pet., ch. 2. infidel and unjust king, yet there are limits to this obedience; whence, although the Children of Israel were ordered by God to obey King Nebuchadnezzar, yet when that king issued his decree that they should worship his golden image (a decree which overstepped the bounds of royal power) the best of the Children of Israel preferred to be cast into the burning furnace rather than to obey the king's decree; and God so apDan. 2. proved their choice that he saved them harmless from the fire. And Matth. 22. what Christ says in the Gospel is of the same import: "Render unto Cæsar the things that are Cæsar's and unto God the things that are
Rom. 13. God's " : a teaching which the Apostle Paul most plainly set forth, saying, " Render therefore to all their dues : tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honor to whom honor."

From the foregoing it may be gathered that our remarks so far about just causes of war deal rather with considerations of fairness and goodness and propriety, and not with the character of the legal result which is produced. Now, seeing that the right to make war is a prerogative of princes who have no superiors, discussion of the equity of the cause is inappropriate.
[34] A war may in one sense be styled just and yet not be waged
Dig. 17, 2, 3. for just cause; for the word " just " has varying meanings (as Budæus has remarked) and does not always indicate justice and equity but sometimes signifies a certain legal completeness. It is in this latter sense that we use the word " just " in connection with marriage and matrimony, Institutes, $1,1,10$, pr. and the age of attaining majority, and competence to bring suit, and sonship and liberty [justa nuptia, justum matrimonium, justa atas, justa persona litem instituendi, justus filius, justa libertas]. And Livy speaks Bk. 49. of a just battle, using the word in the same sense : and it is in a like manner that the phrase " just war" is employed, meaning thereby a war
publicly and lawfully waged by those who have the right of waging war.
Dig. 49, 15, 24 . We accordingly read in Ulpian: "Enemies [hostes] are those on whom the Roman people has publicly declared war or who have publicly declared war on the Roman people, but others are termed brigands Dig. 50, 16, 118. or robbers" [latrunculi vel pradones]. And Pomponius says, "The term ' enemies ' [hostes] implies that they have publicly declared war on us or we on them; all others are thieves or robbers." In such a war, even though it be not begun with just cause, the laws of war and captivity and postliminy, such as are appropriate in the case of enemies, are called into
operation. This is abundantly evidenced by the fact that, though the Romans never began war save on just causes (their fecial law requiring Dion. lala, bk. 2 close heed to that), yet their enemies, who could not have just cause (for both sides could not have it ), became owners, even by Roman law, of the property which they captured in such a war and reduced captured persons Dig. 40, $15,5$. into slavery; and that held good even where the enemy's case was palpably unjust.

The jurisconsult Paulus is conclusive on this point in the passage bid. where he declares it a dictate of natural equity that a man who has been wrongfully captured by foreigners and then has returned to his country reacquires his former legal position, to wit, by the law of postliminy. It is implied herein that a man becomes a slave if taken prisoner even by an enemy who is waging an unjust war, for there would not otherwise be any need of the fiction of postliminy any more than there is when a man is captured by brigands, in which case he does not become a slave.

Dig. 28, 1, 13; and 49, 15: 19(2) and 24.

Nothing more is needed, then, so far as concerns the legal effects which are produced and the bringing into operation of the laws of war, than that the war should be waged by parties who are within the definition of "enemies" and who have the right to wage war. And, en this Fulgosius and Jason on way of looking at the matter, it seems possible to defend the opinion of dig. 1, 1, 5: Petr.
Ne., Emblems, 2 , Alciatus and of Fulgosius, namely, that there can be a just war on both rule "Peccatum' col. 13, verse Tertio quaero: Aretinus on Dig. 28 , 1, 13: Alberic. de Rosa. on Cod. 1, 1, 4, col. 6: Abh. on $\mathrm{c} .13, \times, 24,2$ -ali of whom Natta quotes and follows, Consult. 284. in conflict too, and one and the same cause of war can not be just both for this side and for that.
[36] Further, money is often declared the sinews of war; and that was the bearing of the jest of Titus Quinctius Flamininus, at the expense of Philopermen, the Achæan prætor, who was furnished with much cavalry and heavy-armed soldiers, but little money, namely, that he had hands and legs, but lacked belly, which was also a description of the prator's habit of body.

Plutarch, Apophth.
[37] The question has, accordingly, often been raised, who ought to bear the expense of war: prince or people? The general view is that if the prince has royal revenues assigned him by the citizens it should be at his expense that the war is conducted. And this is a very reasonable Angel. on Cod. view, too, for it is a common and oft-recurring principle with philosophers and legislators that all principalities and kingdoms and empires 8. 11, 1 is. Jason and Zasius on Dig. 23, 3, 12. ers Baidus and Conn. ome., bk. 1. of the heads of the State. Cicero, accordingly, writes: * "There are two precepts of Plato to be observed by all men of authority in the government. The one is, in such sort to assert and defend the public interest that all their actions should refer to that, without any regard to their

[^12]own advantage. The other is, to attend the service of the whole in such sort that while they are serving one part they do not abandon another. It is in the administration of a government as in the case of a ward: the commission has a regard to the benefit of those that are delivered up in charge and not those to whom such a charge or care is committed."
Quest. inlustr., ch. ${ }^{\text {and }}$. 1 . 1
Fernando Vasquez discusses this doctrine at length. If, however, the prince has no sufficient revenues, the whole realm ought to bear the expenses of war; and no definite limit to the contribution for this pur-

Boerius in ireatise on
Custody of the Keys. pose can be assigned. For, in the words of the general Cleobulus' answer to the allies of the Athenians in the war against Philip, when they clamored for the fixing of a definite contribution, "War admits of no fixed Plut., Lite of Demosth. ending." And it points in the same direction, that, in Cato's words, every well-ordered State should display domestic industry and a wellfilled treasury and smallness of private fortunes; and Cato has posited the contrary as the vice of times of moral corruption, namely, public penury and private wealth, seeing that luxury and sloth soon corrupt a Kingdom of God, State (as Sallust narrates and St. Augustine proves).

Princes ought, nevertheless, to keep in mind that very moderate reply made by Tiberius Cæsar to the treasury officials who wanted the provinces to be burdened with tribute: "A good shepherd shears his sheep, but does not flay them." And another memorable saying is that of Trajan, when his wife, Poppœa Plotina, chid him for the chicaneries with which his procurators were vexing the provinces so sorely: he said that he was beginning to loathe such vile extortions, and he drew a remarkable parallel between the fiscus and the spleen, saying that just as in our bodies, when there is an enlargement of the spleen, the rest of the organism wastes away, so in a State, when the treasury of the prince is Sex. Aurel. Vict. overswollen, the other members grow feeble and diseased.
in Jul. (?).
It is deducible from what has been said that a citizen is not bound to follow the prince to the wars at his own expense, but that he ought to Arciins on Instit, 4, , receive pay either from the prince or from the State. Should, however, ${ }^{6,133}$, Bartolus on Digi, very pressing necessity arise, e. g., in defense of prince or State, every Cussilits ot Burgis ondy, one, regardless of sex or rank, is bound to join in the operations of the Custonns of Burgundy,
title de just title de just.
Boerius, decis. 803. sman. Ordin, 1,3 and ties those who shirk this duty.

5 , tit' $19, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}} .2$.
[39] And this explains the custom of the Gauls to summon at the beginning of their wars the council of warriors, which by their common law was attended by all men over puberty, in full armor, and the last to arrive was put to death with all manner of torture in the sight of the
Czear, Gallic assembly. So also a feudal vassal is under an obligation to assist his lord
to be reimbursed any loss which he may suffer on that account, but loss ny interence trom, By interence tron sustained in war by a soldier who is serving for pay will not be made up 1, and $14,14,2,1$,
Dig. 14, 2, 2, 1. to him-save that by the laws of the Kingdom of Spain loss of this kind span. ordln., i. 4, tit. is ordinarily to be made good out of any booty that may be taken. On ${ }^{25, \text { par. } 3 \text {. }}$ the other hand, one who has been summoned to an unjust war has no action at law either for the recovery of pay or for reimbursement of loss, for no right of action is allowed to rise out of circumstances of disgrace Dip. 45, 1,26 and 27 ; [ex turpi causa nulla datur actio].

# Chapter III. <br> About the duel or single combat. 

r. Why duelling is forbidden.
2. Duelling forbidden when arising out of defamation.
3. The usage of the duel very old in Spain.
4. The duel was a usage of several peoples. 5. Custom does not render duelling lawful.
6. The custom of duelling alien to Greek and Roman institutions.
7. Lawfulness of duelling with an enemy.
8. David slew Goliath in single combat.
9. Single combat very important in war.
10. The end of a war sometimes issues out of a duel.
11. The combat between the Horatii and the Curiatii.
12. Not always safe to obtain the result of a war by a duel.
13. Of Metius Suffetius.

The practice of duelling has, with complete justification, been forbidden by the Canon Law, as a detestable invention of the devil; and, with a view to its utter abolition, various censures and penalties have been ordained, not only against the combatants themselves but also against
c. 22 , c. 2 , put 5. those who initiate or counsel, or are even present at, the combat.
[r] The reason is that this mode of settling differences subverts the judicial system and all the legal authority, with its provision of actions-at-law whereby every one may assert his right in court. There is, too, the impropricty of a man setting himself up as his own law-giver
Dig. 4, 2, 13. and judge, the very reason for the existence of laws and magistrates being that they may discriminate lawful from unlawful and award to every Dig. 1, 1, 1. man his right. Moreover this mode of settling disputes may do violence to justice, for numbers of persons who have stupidly and foolishly submitted to the arbitrament of the gods of war a case which, both in law c.2, $x, 5,35$. and in equity, was an excellent one, have died in the fight. Besides, it is wrong to tempt God, and this is what it amounts to when a duel is fought
 and human law forbid homicide; now a duel very often results in homicide; so the duel may be said to be prohibited, since the prohibition of anything is deemed to involve the prohibition of everything by which By
infference from
Dig
$23,1,16$. that thing is brought about.
[2] There are, however, some who hold that this kind of battle is permissible when one's reputation has been assailed, for (say they) he



${ }_{c}^{\text {Ferm, }}$ tionsuez ment illustr., c. 47 in order that no one may arrogate to himself a right of private vengeance; nor can he who seeks from the judicial authorities redress for an
injury offered to himself or his family be considered reckless and careless of his honor.
[3] Further it is notorious that this kind of combat was in vogue in very ancient times, especially in Spain, as much for the display of valor as for the discovery of truth; for when Scipio returned to Nova Carthago for the performance of his vows and for the gladiatorial show which he had arranged in honor of his late father and uncle, the spectacle was such as Livy describes in the following passage:
"The show was not of that class of men whom the trainers [lanis$\left.t_{d}\right]$ usually procure, slaves, to wit, and freedman and such as sell their blood; but all the service of the combatants was voluntary and unpaid. For some were sent by the petty princes to show an example of the natural courage of their people; others came forward to fight in compliment to their general; others were led to give and accept challenges by a spirit of emulation. Some decided by their swords disputes which they had not been able or willing to settle by argument, with an agreement that the decision was to be in favor of the victor. Nor was this confined to men of obscure rank, but it included such distinguished and wellknown men as Corbis and Orsua; these latter were cousins who had a dispute about the chieftainship of a city called Ibis, and they arranged that they would adjust it by the sword. Corbis was the elder. The father of Orsua was the last chieftain, having succeeded to that post on the death of his elder brother. Now Scipio was anxious to settle the dispute by argument and thus allay their jealousies, but they both asserted that they had refused that to their common kindred and that they would have no other judge, divine or human, than Mars. The elder's pride was in his strength, the younger's in his youth, and each preferred death to becoming the subject of the other. And as every effort to divert them from their madness failed, they gave the army a glorious show, and at the same time an illustration of the mischiefs that are wrought among men by the thirst for power. The elder, by reason of his experience in arms, and his address, easily mastered the unscientific exertions of the younger."

So Livy.
[4] In later days, this mode of settling disputes was allowed among the Spaniards (although its inequitable character was admitted) Span. Ordin., bk. \&, tit. for the purpose of avoiding lawsuits, in certain cases and subject to cer- T , par. 3. tain conditions. And the Lombards, too, had the custom of referring $a^{\text {patart }}$ f, on lawsuits, tain conditions. And the Lombards, too, had the custom of referring a $a^{\text {part } t .}$. controversy to the duel in certain cases, if there had been some default in the judicial proceeding and the judge's decision was impugnable. And Lombard Lars, tit. the Emperor Frederic Ahenobarbus decreed that on certain occasions dabe. andis stit. de de purg. Feudal Law, bk. It matters should be left to the arbitrament of a duel : and a glance at the tibi. hom tit. de hom. lit. $53, \mathrm{c} .1,881$ and 10. Salic law shows that the custom of the Gauls and such differs much from that of the Lombards, one of their extant constitutions being the follow-
ing (of Philip) : "When a man is charged with some secret crime of a capital character, and he is admittedly a person who could be subjected to torture, but could not otherwise be condemned, let him, on the demand of the accuser, undergo wager of battle."

## on Instit. 3, 1, 5 .

Joannes Faber deals with this constitution: and Guido Papæ refers Decis. 617. to it, adducing and explaining a certain other imperial constitution about the procedure in the duel and the grounds upon which it can be ordered. Decis. 191.

The duel is customary and very frequent in Italy, especially in de-

Decius, consult. gr.
Curt.t. Junn,
ocnsult. Curt., Jun., consult.
173. Curt., Sen., on Curt., Jun.,
173.
Dig.,
Den.,
2 $2,31$.

Dig. 12, 2, 31.
Cagnol. and others
mentioned by Fern. mentioned by Fern.
Vasa. in Quest. illustr., bk. 1,
ch. 40 . fense of a man's honor. Hence there has been a considerable amount of careful discussion, on such points as, What matters may be settled by the duel? Which of the two adversaries is the challenger, which the challenged? Who are allowed the duel? When may the duel be declined? When may an auxiliary, ordinarily called a champion, be allowed? What about choice of weapons and ground? Must the opponents take the jusjurandum calumnia (i. e., the oath that they are not wantonly making or denying the charge) ?-and many other questions which frequently crop up in connection with this kind of battle.
[5] Numerous men of great learning, although well aware that Cod. 8,52, , this custom is invalid as being contrary to law-especially in view of the and 1,14 , 5 . canon law's express prohibition of it to persons with a locus standi in c. $13, \mathrm{X}, 2,1$ and either forum, when the question raised is one of sin-have, however, disc. 1 and $2, \mathrm{X}, 5,32$. cussed the perversion just as if it were valid, seeing that the custom has held its ground in point of fact and that what obtains in fact is at times Dig. 28, 7,20 , and assimilated to law.
[6] Indeed, that custom of referring disputes between citizens and allies and comrades to single combat is not only repugnant to Christian institutions, but also far removed from the ancient discipline both of the Greeks and the Romans; for they reckoned it highly disgraceful that allies in war, who ought to reserve their joint strength for the upholding of their common cause, should be led to fight with one another, as often was the case, by foolish and dangerous vanity, and, rather than have their differences adjudicated on by laws that were common to both parties, should wish them to be adjusted by the sword : which is indeed a very unreasonable method and is just as if two philosophers or jurisconsults should decide with swords the question which of them is the wiser.

And of all the varieties of injustice there can be none baser or madder than that whereby a frail and slight person, to whom offense has been given, is branded with infamy unless he meets his opponent, no matter how much stronger than himself, in armed encounter and hazards his life : especially having regard to the provision of nature (pointed out
Method. hist., e. 5. by Bodin in connection with the Scythians) whereby those with the minimum of intelligence possess the maximum of bodily strength. And, in truth, on this reasoning even the strongest men if compared with beasts which surpass them in strength might deserve to incur infamy.
[7] A wise man ought, then, to exhaust all other expedients before having recourse to arms. But of course no blame attaches to those who resort to this kind of conflict for the public good and not for vengeance or private hate, for their conduct is even laudable. Accordingly a man pig. $, 3,1,6$.
 general or with his consent (apart from which such irregular fighting is not permissible) not only does not $\sin$ against the laws and statutes of the Popes, but ought also to derive great glory from his act, as in the case of Torquatus, Corvinius, Marcellus, Scipio, Æmilianus, and others. For such combats are demonstrably lawful, upon the same principle as that upon which a war is just in which the combatants meet a glorious death on behalf of fatherland or Church. For there is no kind of fight- c. $2 . \mathrm{C} .23$. qu. 8 and ing with an enemy in the course of a war which amounts to tempting span, ordin, ive: God, within the meaning of the divine prohibition; to destroy an enemy is not an act of homicide, nor such as natural law forbids, but is part of the duty of a good soldier.
c. 13 and c .41 (?)
[8, 9] Accordingly it was just as proper for David to lay Goliath low in single fight as in the course of a general engagement. Indeed 1 sam., c. 17 . these encounters not infrequently determine the issue of the whole war. Livy, accordingly, when dealing with the fight between Torquatus and Bk. 5 . Gallus, says: "This combat was so decisive of the whole war that the Gallic army fled from their camps in a panic and crossed into Tibur and, shortly afterwards, into Campania." The same thing happened in the Social War: an enormous man, one of the Gallic auxiliaries whom Lucius Cluentius had raised for his struggle with Sulla, rushed forward and challenged any one on the Roman side and a Numidian of small stature slew him: the Gauls were thunderstruck and fled pell-mell: and after their line of battle had been thus broken, the rest of Cluentius' men refused to stand and fled in a panic to Nola.
[Io, II] Sometimes the commanders-in-chief arrange such encounAppian, Civil war, bk. 1. ters, both sides being represented either by individuals or by bands of equal size chosen by the chiefs, and the issue of the encounter is to determine the war. We read that this was done in days of old by Tullus Hostilius, King of the Romans, and by Metius Suffetius, King of the Albans. For each side was suffering loss through the frequency of battles fought with equal strength and so an abridged form of the war was arranged (in the language of Lucius Florus) and the fate of each side was left in the Bk. 1, ch. 3. hands of the Horatii and Curiatii respectively, two sets of triplets; and a solemn treaty was first struck between the two kings and peoples and conditions agreed to whereby the conquered side was to be surrendered to the conquering.

I do not think this kind of combat is improper, for even Thomas Aquinas (who compares the duel to trial by lot and who disapproves 2,2, quest, 95 , art. 8 . of it if the object is to prove or discover some secret matter) does not
disapprove where the combat is for purposes of discrimination and the settlement of a controversy-such as certainly was the case in David's encounter with the Philistine, which met with God's approval. And I think this sound when the combat is arranged between the commanders-in-chief or by the authority of those who have the right to make war, with a view to avoid its evils. And we read that, when this is so, permission for the combat has occasionally been granted by the Roman Pontiffs. And so, after Charles of Anjou and Pedro of Tarragona had been warring for a long time about Sicily, they agreed, with the approval Froisart, bk. 1. of Pope Martin, to decide the dispute by single combat.
[12,13] I can not think that this manner of settling disputes is altogether safe, seeing that it is foolhardy to stake the sum of one's fortunes upon the hazard of the one or the other individual, or that it will in every case put an end to the war. What happened in the case of the fight between the sets of triplets shows this; for Metius Suffetius, through staking his fortunes and the whole result of the war and the valor of his army on the fortune and valor of the Curiatii, lost in a moment his kingdom and the liberty alike of himself and his men, in the defense of which they had been fighting for many years; and though the Romans were victorious by the award of fortune, to which they rashly had submitted themselves, still they did not find their victory altogether secure; for though Metius Suffetius did indeed, forthwith upon his defeat, surrender himself and all he had to the Romans, yet, when subsequently the expedition to Veii furnished the occasion, he repented of his rashness and tried Livy, b. 1 to betray the Roman army.

Wherefore, when the safety and liberty of us all, or the whole of our possessions, is in the balance we ought to fight with all our available strength; for otherwise not only will the conquered blame his rashness, but the victor will also not gain complete safety. For (as Livy says) a man's spirit is finally conquered when the confession has been extorted from him that he has been beaten in a just and solemn war, not by stratagem nor by chance, but after a hand-to-hand engagement of all his forces. Nor, in a word, is it credible that any nation or any individual-as the Roman Senate found out in the case of the Privernians-will consent Livs, bk. 8. to stay in an irksome condition, longer than is unavoidable.

## Chapter IV.

## About Hostage-seizing [Pigneratio], which is called Reprisals.

1. Hostage-seizing permitted by very ancient custom.
2. In what circumstances permissible today.
3. Whether the custom is approved by law.
4. Sometimes an innocent man is punished, for sufficient cause.
5. A man, even though innocent, may thus be punished through his property but not corporally.
6. Hostage-seizing to the hurt of innocent persons illegal.
7. St. Ambrose reproved the Emperor Theodosius.
8. The principle underlying l. vindicari $C$. de parn. (Cod. 9, 47, 20.)
9. In hostage-seizing it may happen that innocent persons are killed.
10. Hostage-seizing only permissible subject to the same conditions as war.
[ I ] It is clear that the forcible seizure of hostages (Greck androlepsia) was permitted by the customary law of most ancient times: for it was a very old usage that if a homicide fled into a foreign town and the townsmen would not surrender him on the demand of those who were entitled to deal with the crime, these latter were allowed forcibly to take hostages. For we read of the following ordinance: "If any one has met with a violent death, his clansmen and blood relatives have the right of androlepsy until there has been a judicial inquiry and those guilty of the homicide have been either punished or surrendered: up to three persons may be seized, but no more."

These words are used by Demosthenes in his speech against Aristogiton and the orator asserts in that context, but perhaps only out of devotion to his case, that hostage-seizing is lawful, not against those who shelter a fugitive homicide, but against those only among whom the killing took place. In Julius Pollux we read, however, that it was lawful also against those who had sheltered a fugitive homicide and who had not given him up, on demand, either to punishment or to vengeance and had not themselves fitly punished him: Budæus has commented on on Dig. 48, 19, 26, \&. this.
[2] The conditions in which alone that kind of hostage-seizing which we call reprisals is lawful at the present day, are these: Brigands or pirates or others belonging to some country or other have committed homicide or other crime or have done some unjustifiable damage and the victims have not succeeded in obtaining from the government of that country or from those who have given asylum to the wrong-doers either their surrender or punishment: in such circumstances hostage-seizing is legitimate.
[3] Whether this is a lawful custom is, however, a subject of controversy. An especial point is made of the iniquity and injustice of in-
c. 7 and c. 8, C. 1. qu. 4. Dig. 48, 19, 26. St. Aug., ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Joshua. Cod. 9, 47, 22. And c. $2, \mathbf{X}, 1,2$, and c. 3 . X,, , i1. . and c. An. Romana (?) X, 5, 39 . Romana Cod. 6, 58,14 . flicting punishment on an innocent person for the fault and offense of another, for liability to punishment attaches to the doer of the wrongful act. It is written: " The innocent and the guiltless thou shalt not slay "Exod. 23. and "The soul that sinneth, it shall die. The son shall not bear the iniquity of the father, neither shall the father bear the iniquity of the son: the righteousness of the righteous shall be upon him and the wickedEzek. 18. ness of the wicked shall be upon him." And "The fathers shall not be put to death for the children, neither shall the children be put to death Deut. 24. for the fathers: every man shall be put to death for his own sin."
[4] Nevertheless there are admitted to be occasions on which pun${ }^{\text {cos }} 5.5$, X . $2,6,6$. 8 si ishment may, advisedly, be inflicted even though there is no fault. This vero, and c . 6 , Dist. 22 and the glosestors

 $2, \mathrm{x}, 1,2$. And there is a leading text in c. 11, c. 1, qu. 4. therefore incapable of guilt, is ordered to be killed with her, lest the Lev., ch. 20. beast so grossly contaminated cause the vile deed to be remembered. c. 4, c. 15, qu. 1 Moreover God took away the life of the son born to David out of illicit 2 Sam., ch. 12: intercourse on account of the father's sin, and the young people of Sodom were consumed by fire together with their elders, without having Genes, ch. 19. themselves committed any sin. Hence God himself says, "I am a jealous God, visiting the sins of the fathers upon the children, unto the third and Exod., ch. 20. fourth generation."
[5] Now, although man ought not to imitate these divine judgments save so far as they go on unmistakable grounds, and although, accordingly, no law on any ground whatever ought to inflict bodily punSt. Aug., q. 8 on
Joshua. Thom., Card.
and others mentioned
 ${ }_{2}$ by Covaruvias, bariar, resolut:
c. 8. to the effect that, in their imperial leniency and indulgence, they had spared the lives of the children of those guilty of treason; for they had no right to kill them), yet there are circumstances in which human law may inflict even on the innocent such punishments as fine, public sale of property, and so forth, on general grounds of public expediency and in order the more effectually to deter people from wrongdoing; and this is provided for in different places in the laws and canons, especially in the case of the sons and grandsons of those who have been guilty of treason against either the divine or human law, who are to be branded with As in $\mathrm{Cod} 9,8,5$, infamia $^{\text {and the }}$ and with confiscation of their goods. Cicero says that the law is Epist. to to drutus. quite clear on this point. The reason of this was to make parents better citizens through their love for their children. These views of St. Augus-

[^13][6] Whence (if I err not) it is clear that hostage-taking of this description is lawful, as is deduced by common consent from St. Augus-tine-not, however, to the bodily harm of the innocent, who are not $Q$. 10 on Joshua.
 any one by mere consent can render himself liable to loss of goods, but and theroon A Achl. not to hurt of limb or body,
c. 23, qu 5. neat gloss on rubrie
Cod. 10, 47 , which Cod. 10, 47, which gloses commentators places, and in whichioh is one's consent is implied, can deal out punishment in proper circumstances even where there is no fault, provided it be pecuniary and not corporal. reprisals, 33. Sal. on Authentlca, Omnino, Cod. 4, i, 2,4 (Mov, 52, 1). And 's.
[7, 8] And so the rebuke which St. Ambrose administered to the ig, X, 2, 23. Jacol Emperor Theodosius was a very just one, when that Emperor ordered
 Alex on it, ,i, by in some disturbance. The Emperor (said he) was entitled to inflict Angel, and Jas on
 capital punishment on the killers of the soldier, but it was unjust to order the death of innocent persons, even on the ground of the disturbance. The Emperor accordingly confessed his guilt with tears and did
 that if ever the Emperor should pronounce too severe a sentence in anger, the execution thereof was to be suspended for thirty days.
[9] Further, just as intentional killing of innocent persons, for

Cod. 9, 47, 20
and c. 69, c. 11 , qu. 3. example, women and children, is not allowable in war (if unintentional, as when a town is assaulted with catapults and other engines of war, the case is different, because such things are inevitable in war), so also in this hostage-taking, although it is not allowable to inflict bodily hurt on the innocent, but only to confiscate their property, yet it may be that they are unintentionally killed without any liability arising, provided that the hostage-taking could not otherwise be carried out.
[ro] Hostage-taking, it must be noted, is not lawful save in circumstances and conditions in which war would have been held lawful alike by divine and natural and civil law. And so the authority of a sovereign possessing power to declare war is required, nor has a judge c. 2, c. 23, qu. e. competence to authorize it, nor may it be resorted to between citizen and citizen. Although it was lawful in Athens of old to resort to androlepsy Novels 134 and 52 on private initiative, yet fines were fixed for improper exercise of it (as Budæus has noted, on the authority of Pollux). Accordingly, it is a Dig. 4s, 19, 18, s. practice which even to-day must be submitted to for just cause, that is to say, on the ground that the country against which it is allowed has neglected to take proceedings against certain of its citizens for a wrong done
 a grant of hostage-taking will be inoperative.

# Chapter V. <br> <br> Of Capture in War and the Law of Postliminy. 

 <br> <br> Of Capture in War and the Law of Postliminy.}
x. Things captured in just war belong to the captors.
2. The opinion of Alciatus rejected, that all present-day wars are civil wars.
3. Difference between movables and immovables as regards capture in war.
4. All booty is at the discretion of the general, not of the troops.
5. Generals sometimes send their booty to the treasury.
6. They sometimes divide it among the troops.
7. Part of the booty may be due even to persons not present at the battle.
8. Sometimes the booty is granted to the troops by proclamation and left to their discretion.
9. A share of booty due to the prince and to the general.
xo. The Roman custom of dedicating part of booty to the Gods.
11. No taking of booty unless the enemy has been completely conquered, nor before due signal given.
12. Punishment to be visited on one who divides the booty fraudulently.
13. No share in the booty due to a soldier who has fled.
14. Soldiers ordered to sell their booty, so as not to overload the baggage trains.
15. Res sacrae may not be taken as booty.
16. Whether slavery is a natural or a human institution.
17. Enslavement does not destroy natural liberty.
18. No force (being merely matter of fact) sets up slavery (which is matter of law).
19. Those taken prisoners of war by Christians nowadays are not made slaves, save in a war with infidels.
20. A prisoner of war who returns home recovers his former liberty:
21. Unless he has sworn to return to his captors.
22. A deserter has no postliminy, unless he be a slave.
23. Those who surrendered have no right of postliminy, because taken with arms in their hands.
24. The ancient controversy about Mancinus.
25. Even women and children may be made prisoners of war.
26. A prisoner in a just war must pay such ransom price as he has promised.
27. To whom prisoners of war belong.
28. A prisoner of war may not be killed upon private authority.
29. The ransom price not to be increased after it has once been agreed on.
30. The remedies open to one who has paid for a prisoner's ransom.
31. Ransom of prisoners treated with favor.
32. Neglect of duty to ransom a prisoner.
33. Retaken land is within the law of postliminy.
34. Other things which may also be within it.
35. Whether things captured by the enemy and retaken from him vest in their former owner.
36. Property which the enemy has acquired is not transferable [in commercio] unless of a kind which is susceptible of postliminy.
37. When booty becomes the enemy's property.
38. Things captured by pirates do not become their property and, therefore, if retaken, must be restored to their former owner:
39. Though this is otherwise ordained by the laws of the Kingdom of Spain.
40. Whether stolen property which has been captured in war ought to be restored to the original owner.
41. Whether res incorporales can be captured in war.
42. Dispute between the Thebans and Thessalians.
43. A juristic whole [universitas] is not destroyed so long as one constituent part survives.

Offc., bk. 1. The first business of justice, says Cicero, is to see that no one hurts another save under the pressure of some wrong done to him; and this is ch. 2 , above, the underlying cause of just wars, as we have shown above at some length. Bk. 6 , ch. 18. But this saying of Cicero's is, however, repudiated by Lactantius, who
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says that it is spoiled by the addition of the final member. The phrase " save etc." ought (says he) to have been left out in accordance with the teaching of Socrates to the effect that revenge, like all infliction of evil, is injustice. Nevertheless it is a safe statement that a sovereign prince who goes to war because of wrong done to him is entirely unblamable, when he is prompted, not by diseased pride, but by love of justice, and when his object is the restraint of a wicked enemy and the defense of his own people. For, as Seneca puts it, no wrong is done to you if you are yourself made to endure what you were the first to do to others.
[I] That is the basis of the rule whereby what we capture in a just war becomes our property; and this is a lawful mode of acquisition instit. 2, 1, 17. (justus modus acquirendi dominii), as Cicero indicates in the passage where he says, " Nothing is private property by its nature; but things become private property either by long enjoyment on the part of the first occupant ; or by victory, as when acquired in war; or by statute or covenant or stipulation or partition." This principle is obtained from the offc., bk. 1.
Dig. .1.1, s. law of nations ( $j u$ gentium) and is approved by the divine, the canon, 1 sam., e. 30 .
on those who wage unjust wars, as St. Augustine remarks in the passage quoted in the preface hereto.
[2] I do not agree with Alciatus in his attempt to show that in a on Dig. 50, 18, 118. war between Christians things captured do not become the property of the captors. His argument is as follows: All persons in the Roman world became Roman citizens by the enactment of Caracalla; at the Dig. 1, 5, 17. present day Christendom is the Roman world; all Christians are brothers by the law of Christ; wars occurring between them are more like civil ${ }_{\text {c. } 24, ~ c . ~ 11, ~ q u . ~}^{3}$. wars; therefore, that rule of war whereby things captured become the property of the captors does not apply between Christians.

Why, if that were so, there could not be a just war between Christians, but its falsity is clear enough from what we have said elsewhere. So things captured even in this kind of war will become the property of the captors, save that a very ancient custom prevails among Christians whereby persons captured at any time in a just war do not lose their liberty. We shall shortly deal with this exception.

It is impossible to describe a war between two sovereign princes or two free peoples as a civil war, for those are not fellow citizens who do not owe the same fealty and obedience ; but even when the war is against Rodin, Method. Hist, rebellious subjects and citizens, the laws of war whereby we acquire ownership of things captured from the enemy are not straightway inoperative, for persons who embark on treasonable schemes against the imperial majesty cease to be citizens and become enemies. Cicero accordingly Dif. 40, 15: 26 and asserts with abundant argument that the war waged against Antony, an enemy of the State, was a just one, while on Antony's side the war was
unjust, and so, the laws of war which only apply to enemies did not apply phlilip. 8. in his favor-a topic discussed by us at length elsewhere, and what we on just war, above, then said can be imported here.
[3] Again, it is indisputable that the ownership of things captured in a just war is transferred to the conqueror, but this is subject to a distinction between movables and immovables; for immovables, e. g., land,
Dig. $49,15,20,1$. become public property-although we read that on more than one occa- Dis $42,1,10$; and 6 , sion, in days gone by, land taken in war was divided among the soldiery as a reward for strenuous exertions, and this was so ordained by RomuDion. Hal., Rom. lus. And Alexander Severus made grants of land, taken from the enemy, antiq., bk. 2. to the border lords and soldiery, to belong to them provided that their heirs also were soldiers and never to devolve on civilians, for he thought that they would be all the keener soldiers if the rights which they de-
Llius Lampr., in Life of Alex. Sev. for the prince and not for the soldiery, it will be left in the discretion of the prince to say how they are to be treated.

And according to the constitutions of the kingdom of Spain not only land but also any ships of war which are captured in a naval war are acquired for the king. But as regards movable booty of every other description, it was not customary to assign it to the soldiery nor had the general any right in it, but it was the quæstor's duty to sell it and to pay Bx. 7. the price into the treasury. And Dionysius of Halicarnassus tells us that there was a statute to that effect and that it was because he had violated this law in dividing among the soldiers the booty taken from the Volscians, more than for any other reason, that Marcius Coriolanus was condemned by the people. And that is the point, too, of St. Ambrose's remark, that it is an injunction of military discipline to deliver up to the king everything that is preserved, following the example of Abraham, c. 25, c. 23, qu. 5. who made over all his spoil to the king of Sodom.
[4] It is clear, however, that in later times at Rome all booty was at the disposal of the general and that is abundantly shown by several passages in Livy. Thus he tells us how the Phocæans opened their gates and surrendered themselves to the Romans, on the faith of an undertaking that they should receive no hostile treatment; nevertheless the soldiery went off in different directions for the purpose of sacking the city; thereupon Lucius Æmilius withstood and called the soldiers back saying that it was captured towns and not surrendered towns that could be sacked, and declared that these matters are under the control of the Livy, bl. 37. general, not the soldiers.

Elsewhere Livy describes how Camillus sought the advice of the Senate at the time of his siege of Veii. He saw that victory was within his grasp and that, consequent on the capture of so rich a place, there would be more booty than in all other wars put together. Now he shrank from incurring either the anger of the soldiers, should he be nig-
gardly in his division of the booty, or the jealousy of the Senators, should his division be too generous; so he referred the matter to the Senate. livy, bk. s.
[5] Now commanders sometimes send the whole or a portion of the booty to the treasury through the quæstors. Thus Lucius Furius, cic., Epist. 27. prætor, when celebrating his triumph over the Gauls, brought into the treasury 320,000 pounds of copper and 170,000 pounds of silver. Mar- Livy, w. 31. cus Helvius and Quintus Minucius, too, brought into the treasury an enormous mass of silver and gold out of their Spanish booty; so did Titus Quinctius, after the overthrow of Philip; and so, to a much greater livy, b.. з. amount than the rest, did Lucius Æmilius Paulus, after the overthrow of Perseus. And Camillus, the general who scattered the Falisci, and took Livy, w. 45. their camp, turned over the whole of his booty to the quæstors, thereby rousing no small anger in his soldiers, who, overborne by the severity of their commander, both detested and marvelled at this virtue (in Livy's bx. 6. words) : and in different passages of Livy the account may be found of the payment into the treasury of large sums of silver and gold, being booty taken from the enemy.
[6] Sometimes commanders sell the booty and divide the price among the soldiers, with a preference in favor of those whose bravery in the war had been the most conspicuous and with due regard to the rank and dignity of each participant. Thus Julius Cæsar promised in Gaul to a. Her., on Gallic give by way of booty to each soldier 200 sesterces in return for their toil and endurance (for they were indefatigably constant in toil in wintry days, on most arduous marches, and under intolerable cold) and 2000 sesterces to each centurion. And out of the booty taken by Lucius Æmilius Paulus, on the overthrow of Perseus, each foot-soldier received ioo denarii, and each centurion twice, and each horse-soldier thrice that amount.

Marcus Valerius Corvinus, after his defeat of the Samnites, and Caius Junius, after the capture of Bovianum, gave all the booty to the army. So did the Senate in the case of the booty taken from the towns Livy, bks. 7 and 9 . of Epirus which had defected to Perseus. And so (says Livy) after the bk. 45. word had been given to sack the towns, the booty was so vast that each horse-soldier received 400 denarii as his share and each foot-soldier 200. And such division of the spoil is held lawful.

It is recorded in Holy Scripture, too, how the Lord commanded $\begin{gathered}\text { Cod. } 8,5,5,36 . \\ \text { Rartolus on } \\ \text { Dir. }\end{gathered}$
 taken" from the Midianites whom the Israelites had conquered and ${ }^{20,1 \text { par. } 2 .}$ should divide it equally " between them that took the war upon them " and that a fiftieth part should be given to the Levites " which keep the charge of the tabernacle of the Lord."
[7] And after David had beaten Amalek in battle, he gathered together all the spoil, and they who had been present in the battle claimed that it should be divided among themselves alone without anything going
to those who had not been with them there, but David said that they should share equally, both those that went down to the battle and those that tarried by the stuff; and from that day forward that was a statute ${ }_{1}$ sam., c. 30 . and ordinance for Israel. The same ruling occurs in the constitutions to the storm of Anxur, his colleagues, Cnæus Cornelius Cossus and Valerius Potitus, had gone with a part of the army on a ravaging expedition in order to draw off the Volscians; so after he had captured the town he kept his troops back from plundering it, until the arrival of his colleagues and their troops, for he said with emphasis that they too had taken Anxur, in that they had prevented the rest of the Volscians from Livy, bk. 4. aiding in its defense.
[8] Lastly, commanders sometimes rouse the zeal of their men by decreeing the whole booty to them before an engagement, each man being allowed to keep whatever the fortune of battle has put in his way; this is what the Senate decided with regard to the booty of Veii, in accordance with the opinion of Publius Licinius, to the effect that it would be more agreeable and pleasurable for each to take home with him what he had seized from the enemy with his own hand than to obtain many times as much on the award of another: this decree was made, however,
Livy, bk. 5 , with the dissent of Appius Claudius, who blamed the liberality as novel, lavish, inequitable, and ill-advised. So far, then, regarding the things which are acquired in actual fighting: it is otherwise with regard to enemy property which comes into one's hands apart from any struggle; Dig. 41, 1,$51 ;$ and 41 , this becomes his who first obtains possession of it.

2, 1, pr.
[9] Again, even when booty is granted to the soldiery, the prince c. 10, Dist. 1. is none the less entitled to his share. By the constitutions of the King-

Span. Ordin., bk. 4,
Bk. 19, tit. 26, par. 2.
Span. Ordin., bk. 14, dom of Spain this share is one-fifth, at times one-third, and at other times Span. ordin., bk. 20. one-half; the same constitutions give one-seventh to the general, at times one-tenth. But if it chance that in a naval war the king supplies the ships and their armament and also provides supplies and wages for the soldiers and sailors, the same constitutions place the whole booty at the disposal not of the general or admiral but of the king, nor will the soldiers or sailors get any part thereof, except such as is granted to them by the Span. Ordin., brk. 22, king's liberality. In every other event, however, after the king's share has been set aside, the admiral can divide the residue between the soldiers Span. Ordin, , bke, 30, and sailors, a seventh part of that residue being due to him himself.
[io] Furthermore, the Romans were wont to secure victory by vowing a portion of the spoils to their gods; and so Camillus, at the outset of his attempt to destroy the town of Veii, vowed a tithe of his spoil Livy, bk 5. to Delphic Apollo.
[ I I] And we must not omit to call attention to the rule of military discipline which forbade, under the severest penalties, the quest of booty
save after the enemy had been routed and his camp taken and his fortress or town completely reduced to submission, and not even then unless the commander had given the signal, notwithstanding that before the battle he had proclaimed that the booty should belong to the soldiers. The object of this rule was to prevent the greed of booty giving the enemy (as often happens) a chance to slip out of one's hands or to renew the engagement. This actually happened in the case of the Samnites. They had fought with the Romans on equal terms far into the night and at last were led by the greed of booty to make an attack on the Roman baggage-trains (which were stationed at some distance from the fighting force without a guard or defense) ; but they were scattered by Marcus Fabius, the master of the horse, acting under the orders of Cornelius Arinna, the dictator, and owing to their being encumbered with baggage they were miserably slain, yielding up the victory to the Romans.

Besides, the common experience is, as Appius Claudius used to say, that the more backward the looter is kept, the more forward is every one wont to be in taking his share of toil and danger. And so at the taking of Veii, though the Senate had granted the spoil to the army, yet the quest of the booty was not allowed until the dictator, Camillus, had given his permission. It was just the same with the booty of the towns of Livy, bk. 5 . Epirus, which had defected to Perseus. The Senate had given it to the army, but the signal for sacking the cities had to be waited for. And at Livy, bk. 45. the storming of Nova Carthago, when at last the fortress fell and the signal had been given, then the victors directed themselves towards the booty. And, accordingly, the provision in the constitutions of the King- Livy, bk. 26. dom of Spain is a very proper one, that any one who goes after booty before the enemy has been completely routed loses his share of the booty and has to pay a fine of double that amount and is put to a worse form of military service. And indced if, through the fault of looters, the enemy are enabled to renew the fight and thus conquer or slay the king, these looters meet with the same punishment as if they were themselves directly guilty of those things.
[12] He, again, who commits fraud in division of booty is by the same constitution subjected, by way of punishment, to a fine of double the amount and to loss of his share. But he who pilfers booty taken from the enemy is within the law against peculation and liable to a fourfold penalty, according to a response of Modestinus.
[13] Those, however, who have fled from battle or have been cowardly or slack, have no claim to a share of booty.
 Lucius Minucius and his army from the besieging Æqui and captured the , and bik. 20, tit. 28, enemy's camp (which was fitted out with everything needful) he gave the whole of the booty to his own force only and upbraided both the army of the consul and the consul himself in these terms:
" You, my men, will have to do without any of the spoil of that enemy whose spoil you were so near becoming; and you, Lucius Minucius, until you begin to have a consul's courage, it will be as my legate that Livy, bk, 3. you shall command these legions."
[14] Now, seeing that nothing irks or weakens an army more than a mass of baggage, it will be very conducive to military discipline to order the soldiers to sell all their booty: Publius Decius gave an example of this when, after storming several towns in Samnium, he forced his soldiers to sell all their booty at the first opportunity, so as not to burden Livy, bk. 11. the marching column with heavy baggage.
[15] Be it remarked that res sacra are not liable to be seized as booty: for, as they are no one's property [res nullius], they can not be said to belong to the enemy and it is only enemy property that is capturable as booty; and that which is divini juris (as the emperor says) is not Instit. $2,1,7$ and , the property of any individual and is not subject to the power of man. And so he who lays forcible hands thereon will be punished under the Lex Julia for sacrilege according to his rank and to the character of Dig. 48, 13, 11. the thing. Wherefore, after the destruction of Carthage (which was accompanied by a grant of booty to the soldiery), Scipio distributed a large number of rewards and gifts among the soldiers, but he excluded Appian, Punic war. those who had looted and had violated the shrine of Apollo.

Now there was a controversy in olden days whether it was sacrilege to take a privately owned article which had been deposited in a quintil, Inst, orat. temple: the emperors Antoninus and Severus, however, declared in a ok. 7, ch, 4. Cicero, ch. 4 A. Cicero,
Inven., be.
2 rescript that the action on theft would be given and not the action on Dig. 48, 13, 6. sacrilege and therefore the article in question must be held seizable as Bk. 2. booty. But Cicero in his De Legibus draws no distinction between an article which is sacer (holy) and one which is intrusted to a place which
c. 21, C. 17, qu. 4. is sacer. And our canons take the same view. Now, however true this may be as between citizen and citizen, I do not think it holds good in the case before us. An article intrusted to a place which is sacer can not be taken to become sacer itself, for this involves its being a res nullius (i. e. Instit. 2, 1, 7. no one's property). As, therefore, the article in question is not a res nullius, but belongs to a private person, and he an enemy, I hold that it may be seized as booty. In order that this may be so, it is enough for it


Again : our remarks about things captured in a just war apply also to free persons so captured. This is a doctrine both of the law of nations (jus gentium) and of the civil law; they become the slaves of their ${ }^{\text {Dig. }}$. $49,15,24$, and captors and are no longer persons but things subject to ownership. This Din
Dintit. $1,2,2,1$
[16] There is in truth an old-standing discussion among philosophers, whether this division of persons into freemen and slaves is a feature of our society which is of natural origin or of human institution:

Aristotle's view was that it was of natural origin, but our jurists affirm rollt, bk. 1, ch. 1. that slavery is against nature and belongs to the law of nations ( $j u$ Instit, 1.3,2 and gentium), seeing that by natural law all were born free in the beginning ${ }^{1,2,2}$ (aatier hail), and that by nature all men are equal, a proposition which is approved Dik. $50,17,32$. also by Cicero, for (says he) no two things are so identical, so similar to one another, as we men are to each other. The doctrine of the jurists is not, however, free from difficulty, because the law of nature is immutable and the jus gentium can not derogate from it. Moreover Instit. 1, 2, 2, at end. it seems unjust and repugnant to nature for men to be slaves to men, though possibly expedient in the interests of the State, an argument on which some ancient thinkers relied very much in days gone by when attempting to defend the commonly stated proposition that a State can neither exist nor develop without injustice. And Cicero represents Philo in Repubic. as carrying on a discussion of these matters and as receiving an excellent and final reply from Lxlius, referred to in the preface hereto where we showed that the reduction to slavery of prisoners of war is not unjust.

Note also, as aiding in the solution of the problem, that liberty is an institution of the law of nature, in that before the development of the jus gentium all men were born free and were reckoned each other's peers Dig. 1, 1, 4. and equals-not, however, that the law of nature has any precept on the nig. $50,17,2$. subject or that it forbids slavery. But the jus gentium, which has its basis Thom. Aquin. 1, 2.
 it it inse, right naral it; it is in virtue of it that we are superior to the beasts-taught that this wickedness of man ought to be restrained by war and captivity and slavery.

In the same way, under the law of nature, in that primitive time which pagans used to call the Golden Age, all things were in common and nothing belonged to any individual, but in following ages it was found that community of goods was not adapted to man's debased nature and so the jus gentium, under the guidance of natural reason, developed the system of private ownership and all the differences incidental to it. Dig. 1, i, 5. Community of goods, therefore, equality of men, one and the same freedom for all-these suit the blameless primitive time and Plato's ideal republic far better than they suit the iron age. They are therefore condemned by the jus gentium. Slavery, then, was unknown to the law natural-according to which all men were born free and reckoned each other's peers and equals; yet it was left open to the jus gentium to de- Dis, $1,1,1$, ; and velop slavery and to introduce the doctrine that prisoners captured in a just war become slaves-and this all the more so because of its utility to the State as a means of repressing those who wage unjust war. That same reason, accordingly, which permits war enjoined the introduction of slavery. And to this effect are the following remarkable words of St. Kingdom ot God, bk Augustine:
" Justly was the burden of servitude laid upon the back of transgression. So that it was guilt and not nature that gave its origin unto that name."

And then he adds:
" But take a man as God created him at first, and so he is neither slave to man nor to $\sin$. But penal servitude had its institution from that law which commands the conservation and forbids the disturbance of nature's order; for, if that law had not first been transgressed, penal servitude had never been enjoined."

Consistent herewith is the apostolic injunction to servants to be Ephes., ch. 6.1 Tim, obedient to their masters and to do their service with good-will; and
${ }^{\text {ch. }}$ Coloss., chi. 3 . rit. canaan was for his $\sin$ declared a slave by his father Noah and made a Genes, ch. 9. servant of servants unto his brethren. The same apostle, however, recommends masters not to insist too strongly on their rights and in certain (aal. ch. 5 . matters he declares that all men are on an equality.
[17] It must also be borne in mind that the freedom which this enslaving of persons does away with is not that natural freedom which consists in action (for a slave, however much his master may order, may Cod. 6, 24, 3. refuse to enter on an inheritance), but that which results from certain Dif. 1, 1, 4. matters of law and is an institution of the jus gentium.
[18] No mere force, which is matter of fact, can create slavery, which is matter of law. For captives other than those made so in just war do not become slaves-not those made by brigands or robbers or by

[19] And indeed there has grown up in the Christian world a laudable and long-established custom that the prisoners on either side, however just the war, are not enslaved, but they are kept with their


If, however, any Christians fight on the side of Saracens and infidels against fellow-Christians, or render them any aid whatever, then, should they be taken prisoners, they will be enslaved and they are by the

allied themselves. They may not, however, be sold to others than Christians. But if the war be with infidels and pagans, then indeed the condi- span. Ordin., bk. 9. tion of the prisoners taken on each side will be that which is fixed by the civil law for prisoners taken by the enemy. This is decided by the con- Alciat. on Dit, 50,16 ,

 charged with danger to the State it is to increase the number of slaves,
is shown by the frequent servile wars and plots which at different times vexed the Roman State and led to the bitterest conflicts. Bodin demonstrates this at length.
[20] Further, suppose that free persons, who have been made prisoners of war and reduced to slavery, succeed in returning to their own country-whatever may be the manner in which they have got out of the enemy's hands, they regain their original liberty, just in the same Dis. 43, $15,26$. way that wild animals cease to belong to their captor if they make good their escape from him.
[2I] Further, captives who have returned from the enemy reacquire every right which they had forfeited by their captivity: and this is owing to the law of postliminy; but that is not the case if they have given Dig. 49, 15, 19, pr. their parole to return to the enemy or not to depart from him, for those who have returned to their own country in such circumstances can not be said to have returned by postliminy, as was held in the case of Attilius Dig. $40.15 .5,3$.
 Cannæ under an oath to return to his camp if they failed to obtain ransom. For it is not enough to have returned to your country corpore (i. e. physically) unless you do so also animo (i. e. with intent to remain there), and so in the case of those who only came home with an intent to return to the enemy there was no postliminy.

$$
\text { Dis. 40, 15, } 20 .
$$

[22] A deserter also has no rights of postliminy, for (to quote Paulus) one who has abandoned his fatherland with the foul plan and Dig. 49, 15, 10, 4. intent of a traitor, must be deemed an enemy, and this is so alike in the case of female or male, of filiusfamilias or paterfamilias. Accordingly a paterfamilias did not by postliminy regain a son who had deserted, because the father had lost him (again to quote Paulus) in the same way as the State did and because military discipline was esteemed a greater thing by Roman parents than their love for their children. The case is Dig. 49, 15, 19. different with a slave deserter, for the master has rights by postliminy over him, since a rule to the opposite effect would not so much be injurious to the slave as hurtful to the master. Dig. 49, 15, 19, 4.
[23] It must be remarked that postliminy may apply to all, whatever their sex, age, or rank, but, in order that it may apply in the case of Dig. 49, 15, 13, at end. soldiers, they must prove that they were captured fighting, there being no Dig. 40, 16, 5, 6 . postliminy in the case of those who surrendered to the enemy after defeat and with arms in their hands. For the Romans deemed it most shameful nit. 19.15 .19 , 1. to fall into the power of the enemy by surrender and not by superior ${ }^{\text {Span. }}$ tit. 29 Ordin. par. , , force. The Senate, accordingly, refused (though they had the opportunity) to ransom the 8,000 Romans who had surrendered to Hannibal after the defeat of Cannæ but who had been captured in the camp where they had been left and not in the fighting line-the intent being to im- Livy, he , 22, plant in the Roman soldier that he must either conquer or die. We shall Cic,, ofici,. bk. 33. have more to say on this topic later.

Bk.iii, ch. 15, post.

A soldier who has been made prisoner and who returns with postliminy can not, however, claim pay and largess for the time of his capCod. 12, 35, 1. tivity. The Emperor Antoninus ruled this in a rescript. If, however, he be captured by the enemy after the completion of his period of military service and then return, he is entitled to his veteran's rights and pension, Dig. 49, 16: and $\begin{aligned} & \text { and } 5 \text {. }\end{aligned}$ according to a response of Arrius Menander. There was a difference of opinion between Brutus and Scrvola on the question whether those reacquire their former status who return home after having been surrendered to the enemy by the fecials, pursuant to a decree of the Senate or an ordinance of the people.
[24] This was the issue in the celebrated case of Mancinus. He had made peace with Numantium in improper fashion; the Senate decreed his surrender to the enemy ; they would not accept him. A question then arose whether he continued to be a Roman citizen, there being some who thought he did not. Thereupon a tribune of the plebs, Publius Rutilius, the son of Marcus, ordered his expulsion from the Senatehouse, into which he had not scrupled to enter after his return. Rutilius did this on the ground that he was no longer a citizen, it being a matter of long tradition that there was no postliminy for one whom either his father or the people had sold or whom the paterpatratus had surrencic., orator, bk. 1. dered. And it was taken to be immaterial that the enemy had refused to receive Mancinus, he ceasing to be a Roman citizen whom the State has By inference, $D$ is 4 , 4 , repudiated, whether the enemy has accepted him or no, just as in the 2: 1(1) and is(1). case of one interdicted of fire and water, or proclaimed an enemy, or Digi, $, 5,5,5,1$, deported to an island. And this seems to be supported by the dictum of
 ceived as such by his fellow citizens.

There was, however, a party that held that, as Mancinus had not been accepted by the enemy, he remained a citizen. This view was
Topic. and pro approved by Cicero, on the ground that a person is not surrendered who


Dig. 50, 7,18 . was declared a Roman citizen. This seems to show the soundness of the opinion of Modestinus, that one whom the enemy would not accept does not on return become a citizen unless received as such, for otherwise what need would there have been for any statute about Mancinus?
[25] Again, in war not only men but women also may be taken Cod. 8, 50: $1,7,8,9$, and Dig. 40, 15: 6 and 8 . his whole family were captured by Alexander; Sophonisba, the wife of Syphax, by Masanissa; and Cleopatra by Augustus. Scipio, however, won for himself throughout Spain a great reputation for clemency when, after the capture of Carthagena, he freely restored, unharmed, the lovely bride of Allucius, prince of the Celtiberians, who had fallen into his Livy, bk. 26. hands.

## Of Capture in War and the Law of Postliminy. 45

Nor are the young exempt from capture in war, although Camillus dig. 18, 6. 28; and set a praiseworthy example in the case of the young nobles of Falisci. ${ }^{10,15:}{ }^{10} 0^{10}$ (pr. and 11). 'Their schoolmaster had craftily brought them into Camillus' camp. Camillus, however, did not take them, but stripped the traitor and turned him over, with his hands tied behind his back, to the youths to be beaten and led home, saying, "There is no alliance between us and the Falisci such as is made by human agreement, but there is and will be one of nature's making. There are laws of war just as there are laws of peace. We bear arms, not, however, against those who are so young as that they would be spared even on the storming of a town, but against warriors." This moderation so stirred the Falisci that they surrendered to the Romans of their own accord.

Of course, severity towards women and the young was always reckoned very disgraceful, their very sex and age exempting them from the hazards of battle and the rage of the conqueror. It is accordingly a precept of the divine law, given to the Jews, to slay all enemies who resisted and who would not make peace, but that the women and the little ones were to be taken as spoil and made slaves. The canons indeed con- Deut., ch. 20 . tain an injunction that the following be spared: clergy, monks, converts, c. 2, x, 1, 34. foreigners, merchants, and country folk, but this (says Panormitanus) mid. has been abrogated by contrary usage. I do not think, however, that it has been abrogated as regards the clergy, upon whom it is forbidden to lay hands under penalty of anathema-unless indeed they take active c. 29 , C. 17, qu. \&. part in the war, for he who misuses a privilege loses it.

It deserves notice, too, that when war breaks out between two counc. $14, \mathrm{X}, 5,19$. tries, those of the enemy who are found on the soil of either country may be made prisoners despite the fact that they came there in time of peace, and in olden days they would have been made slaves.
[26] Now a prisoner made in just war who has promised a reasonable price for his ransom can not claim discharge from his obligation by alleging that he gave the promise under fear and duress, for the edict of the prator, under which duress is a ground of rescission, does not apply to that kind of duress which is legally imposed. Besides, what we have Leading text in Dig. 4, here is not so much a case of promise of a price under duress as of release on onc. 2, pr. Pranornitan.
 this is especially so where the ransom-price has taken the place of slavery. ont nus
[27] Now as regards the acquisition of ownership in prisoners of 1 sleus, qus. 6 . war, the same holds good as with other booty of things movable, i. e., it is subject to the discretion of the general, and Dionysius of Halicarnassus rom. antiq, bk. 5. is a witness that this was the former practice, but it is more usual nowadays to grant prisoners of war (like other movables) to their actual captors, unless the ransom happen to exceed the sum of 10,000 crowns, as where the prisoner is a duke or count or baron or other person of note. By the long-standing practice of Spain and France and England these

Bartol. and Bald. on
Joa Dig. 3, 5, 20.
Ana. on c. 21, X, 2, 1, in not.
Boer. decis., 178.
Ordin., bk. 5 and Span. Ordin., bk. 5 and 8, tit. '26, par. 2.
latter belong to the king, and the chance of ransom ought not to be denied them, not even when the prisoner is the commander-in-chief, unless further disturbance of the peace is apprehended as the result. For (in the cat at c. c. 23, qu. 1, language of St. Augustine) just as violence is the lot of those who fight atid. and resist, so, after a victory, mercy is at once the prisoner's due. On Cic. to Herenn. bs. 4. this principle (says Cicero) our forefathers made the excellent rule that none should kill a king who had been made prisoner of war, because it would be improper to waste the opportunity which fortune has put in our way in the punishment of those who, but a little while before, owed a splendid position to the same fortune.

But there ought to be no respite for a man so capable that there would be a grave risk of his breathing new vigor into the war; that offc., bk. 1 , and c . would be an unjust mercy, says St. Ambrose. And that was the point of 33, C. 23 , qu. 4. view of Ferrandus the deacon, when he was writing to Count Reginus on the subject of the duty of a pious Christian general, for he included among the seven rules of innocence which he enjoined on generals, this: " Do not be over just." And so it was a practice among the Romans for a general when celebrating his victory with triumphal pomp to turn aside from the forum into the capitol and to order those prisoners of high rank, who had sown the seeds of discord and who were responsible for $\xrightarrow{\text { Alexand, ab Alexand.t. }}$ Genial. dies, bk. 6 the war, to be cast into gaol and put to death at the close of the triumph.
[28] In other circumstances, however, it is not allowable for any private person on his own authority to kill a prisoner, nor to treat him with great severity, since it was not allowed, even in the olden days when prisoners of war were enslaved; for the civil law included among the limitations which it imposed on the power of a master this: that he was only allowed to kill his slave if he detected him in a deed for doing
Dig. 48, 5,25 , and which a freeman might, if detected, have been killed; in other cases a
$30,53,3$. master might not kill his slave, but only inflict on him a moderate degree Cod. 9, 14. of chastisement. And a rescript of the Emperor Antoninus protected slaves against excessive severity and intolerable cruelty on the part of Dig. 1, 6 , 2. their masters. There was a corresponding constitution of the Kingdom of Spain as regards prisoners taken on either side in a war between Christians (but not in a war between parties of differing religious Span. Ordin, bk. 1 , professions).
[29] Again, if a bargain about ransom has once been concluded between a prisoner of war and the person who holds him captive, the terms of the bargain can not subsequently be stiffened (say) on the ground that the latter has ascertained that the former is a person of such and such a family and wealthy, and any subsequent bargain will be inGuid. Pap., qua. In3. valid and the earlier one will be substituted for it. This is the principle 5 , 6, pr. a prisoner of war from the enemy and then transfers to a third person
for a greater sum the lien which he has over the person ransomed: the person ransomed only owes the ransom-price and not the greater sum." Dig. 43, 15, 19, 9.
[30] He, moreover, who has paid or has undertaken to pay the ransom price in order to procure freedom for a prisoner of war, not only has the actio negotiorum gestorum (i. e. the action to recover money dig. 3, 5, 20. spent on another's business) -and this whether the captor was or was not a " just" enemy-but he also has a lien over the ransomed person Ieading text in pig. 24 , until he be repaid, and he is not fettered by the interdict de libero homino $\begin{aligned} & 1,28,4, \text { Corarruv, on } \\ & c, 4,4,1,2 \text { par. }\end{aligned}$ exhibendo (i. e. ordering production of the freeman); nor does the person ransomed regain his original status until he has paid or tendered Dip. 43, 20, 3, 3. the amount of the ransom, but he does not become a slave nor did he in the olden days when slavery existed in some places. And, as a privilege cod. $8,50,2$. arising from his status of freeman, he will be able to assert his rights of succession so that he may be able to pay out of the succession the price that has been given for him; and his son has rights of succession to him, cod. 8, 50, 15. even if his death occur before he discharges himself; nay, by his death the person ransomed is freed from his ransomer, the lien being (so to say) determined and the result is that his heir and any surety are under no nig. 49. 15, 15; and obligation to pay the ransom-price. And although we admit that the issue born of things which are subject to a charge is fettered by the same obligation, yet the emperors declined to allow that issue born to a pris- Dig. 20, 1, 13. oner after his ransom was subject to the lien.

Now what has been said holds good of freemen captured by the enemy and ransomed, but slaves captured by the enemy and ransomed become the slaves of him who ransoms them; when, however, the price which he has given is proffered to him they are taken to have returned or been received back with postliminy.
[3I] Again, the ransoming of prisoners is viewed with such favor that a gift towards this purpose, however big it may be, is irrevocable and need not be registered; and although not even a soldier may make a cod. s, 53, 36. bequest to an uncertain person, yet the emperors, upon duteous grounds instit. 2, 20, 24. and out of pity for the sufferings of prisoners, ruled that a legacy for the ransom of prisoners-even of the whole of the testator's fortune-is cod. 1,3, 48. valid despite the uncertainty of the persons. And when a prisoner has cod. 1, 3, 28 . been ransomed upon duteous considerations, e. g., a son by his mother, it is not seemly to discuss about claiming back the price, but a gift is presumed, and one who ransoms a maiden out of wantonness or who prosti- cod. s. 50. 17. 1. tutes her, loses the ransom-price and the maiden can escape from him Span. Ordiin. iast bk., without punishment.
[32] Also neglect by a child to ransom his father is a good ground for his exheredation, and, by a statute, instituted heirs who neglect to Authentica. Si captivi,
 succession goes to the Church to be devoted to the ransom of prisoners. par. 2., bk. 3, tit. 29,

We have stated that postliminy applies to prisoners whether free or slaves, and whether male or female; let us now consider the other things which return with postliminy, and when we have found out what these are we shall know what the things are to which postliminy is inapplicable.
Topic. For (as Cicero says) the force and characteristic of contraries that negative each other is this: that when one is found to be present the other is at once known to be absent, and when one is ascertained the other is Text in Instit. 1,8 , ascertained also.
[33] Well, land and the usufruct thereof are other things which revest by postliminy. If an enemy has been driven away from land which he had taken, the former owners reacquire their ownership in it, and any usufruct which existed over it is set up afresh. Paulus has a
 7, 4, 23; and $1,8,6$ pr. c. 13, c. 16,4 and good, no matter how great an interval of time has elapsed; and so when And Cod. 3 , 28 , 16: the town of Saguntum, which had been in hostile occupation for over seven years, was at last retaken, it was restored to such of the former Livy, bk. 24. inhabitants as had survived the stress of the war. And a constitution of the Kingdom of Spain provides that empires, kingdoms, earldoms, and other greater dominions revest by postliminy if they are recovered from an enemy and that a title to them can not be based on usucapion, not even reckoning from the day of their recovery; but other smaller dominions are subject to prescription if the owner does not appear for four years Span. Ordin, bk. 10 , after their recovery, unless indeed he be a minor. The same constitu-
 own, and the fortress is recaptured, it must be handed over to the king on his demand, even though the recapture was due to the exertions of Span. ordin., bk. 32, the former owner.
tit. 2, , par. 2 .
[34] Lastly, large vessels and transports may revest by postliminy. Marcellus gave a response to this effect and he also was of opinion that a horse and a mare that had been broken in were recoverable by Dig. 49, 15, 2. postliminy, because they might bolt without any fault of the rider. And Topica. Cicero's evidence agrees herewith, for he says, "The following things may revest by postliminy: men, ships, mules, beasts of burden, horses and mares that have been broken in." Arms are not in the list, they do Dig. 49, 15, 2. not revest by postliminy because they can not be lost without blame. Bk. 3, ch. 16. More will be said on this point hereafter.
[35] And now (unless I mistake) we are naturally brought, by what has been already said, to a question which in different ways has proved exceedingly troublesome to nearly all commentators. I refer to the well-known question whether things captured by the enemy and then recaptured from them ought to be restored to their former owners, or not. Some say, aye; some say, nay; others foolishly divide and subdivide, to the satisfaction neither of themselves nor of any one. Some there are who draw a distinction between movables and immovables and

Dig. 40, $15,20,1$. hold that the latter must always be restored and the former never. By interence, Dis. 40,
 of war-which they would refuse to restore-from immovables ran- mentions, on somed under Res. jur, in vi, 2, med under a bargain, which they would allow to revest in the former relect. sin.
owner on his tendering the amount of their ransom.
By interence, Cod. 8 ,
Lastly there is a school which declares that all recaptured property, ${ }_{7}^{5,5}$, Franc. movable or immovable, without any distinction, ought to be uncondi- Dis. .4, 2, , ic Corarr. tionally restored to the former owner-and this despite the unmistak- others intered by himy
In Dig. 49, 15, 28. ablc opinion of Labeo, who says in one place: "Whatever is captured in ${ }^{50}$, 2. Dik. 30 and 11 , In Dig. 49, 15, 30. war is booty and does not revest by postliminy "; and in another place: olterer mentioned by "What has been captured by the enemy and then recaptured by our side, jorar. in vi. only revests by postliminy if it be of a kind to which postliminy applies." Marcellus approves this distinction. And the school in question ignores Dig. 49, 15, 2, this point, that slaves recaptured from the enemy are (pursuant to the imperial rescript) to be restored to their former owner, precisely because cod. 8, 50, 2. there is postliminy-not only in the case of freemen, but also in the case
 and $12(7)$ and 30 . in the enemy's hands can be bequeathed and is subject to postliminy, must undoubtedly be understood as referring only to property of a kind which admits of postliminy, in accordance with the distinction drawn by Labeo.
[36] Note also that, though enemy property is not a subject of transfer (in commercio), yet things which the enemy has captured may, Dig. 45, 1, 103. if of a kind which admits of postliminy, be sold and bequeathed or Bk. 25 alienated in any way whatsoever. Livy furnishes us with an example of Dip. 10, 2: 22 and 23 the operation of this principle. When Hannibal had got as near to Rome as the fourth milestone, he heard that the very spot on which his camp was pitched was selling at exactly the same price as before his arrival; this enraged him so much that he had a herald fetched and bade him announce the sale of the silversmiths' shops in the forum at Rome; now the sale of the land was perfectly valid because of the chance of postliminy, but the sale of the shops was invalid because they had never been Dig. $10,2,22$. the enemy's and there was no postliminy as regards them.

And I do not think that the question is affected by the citation from the Digest (de acq.rer.dom.) * of the passage in which Pomponius deals with the case where wolves carry off my swine from my herdsmen and a neighboring farmer follows them up with stout dogs and rescues the swine ; the jurisconsult laid it down that the swine do not become the farmer's but remain mine, as long as any one could have recovered them, and he distinguishes the case where my neighbor by the same means deprived me of some wild animal, seeing that a wild animal ceases to be ours if it passes out of our control and it becomes the property of the captor.

* Dig. +1, 1 .

This passage, I repeat, does not affect the question; for, in it, Pomponius expressly says that swine and other tamed animals remain ours, Insti. 1, 2, 16. even though carried off by a wolf, as long as they can be recaptured, just like goods lost in a shipwreck; and he says that an action on theft would lie on these facts; but the case of property taken from us by the enemy is entirely different, for it is incontestable that the ownership therein passes Dig. 49, 15: 28 and 24 . immediately to the enemy. It is, accordingly, a better parallel to say that just as we lose our ownership of a wild animal when it passes out of our control, and the animal becomes by occupatio the property of any $\operatorname{Dig}_{\text {ins }}^{141,1,44}$ and subsequent captor, so it is with things captured by the enemy: we immeInstit. 2, 1, 12. diately lose our ownership of them and they become his who retakes them and the former owner has no claim for restitution, unless they are Dig. 49, 15, 30. of a kind which admits of postliminy.

And this is fatal to the doctrine that all movables without distinction become the property of those who rescue them from the enemy, and also to the doctrine that a distinction must be drawn between things that have been recaptured by military exploit and those that have been ransomed by bargain.

To sum up : those things which admit of postliminy revest, on recapture, in their former owner and resume their former status, whether Dig. $4,15,2,4,202(1)$, movables or immovables, and whether their restoration is due to military and 30. ${ }_{8}$ 8, 50, 2 . exploit or to bargaining or is brought about in any other way-subject Ieading text in Dig. 49, to this, that the ransom-price of those things that have been ransomed ${ }^{155: 4}$ and 26.
Dig. 40, 15, 12,, , must be repaid; but those things which do not admit of postliminy pass

28 and 30 .
[37] It must, however, be observed that booty only begins to belong to the enemy at the moment when it is taken within his lines (intra
Dig, 49, 15: 5, prasidia). If, then, it is recaptured before having been taken within
 for it has never ceased to belong to him, never having become the enemy's. And, should those who have retaken the booty have fraudulently allowed it to be first carried off by the enemy and taken within his lines and neglect to follow it up, they will not only have to restore to its former owner what they have retaken, but they must also make good to the owner anything which they might have succeeded in saving, and which has been lost through their fault. There is an excellent rule to this Span. Ordin. bs . 26 effect in the constitutions of the Kingdom of Spain, and there is a text on

[38] Once more : property taken by pirates or brigands or those who are not " just " enemies is not affected in any way by the distinction whether or no the recaptured thing is of a kind which admits of postliminy or by the question whether or no it has been taken intra prasidia; for the things which such persons capture never pass into their ownerDip. 49, 15: 24,27 , ship and accordingly, when they are retaken they must be restored, with- Dig. 49, 15,27 . and $19(2)$. And 1,13 .
out any distinction or condition, to their former owner, who has never lost his ownership of them.
[39] This makes one wonder at that ordinance of the Kingdom of Spain according to which this distinction (namely, whether or no the recaptured property has been taken intra prasidia) applies to property
 we take the moral and equitable point of view, we find that there is no law, human or divine, by which the ownership of captured property can pass out of the true owner to one who is not a " just " enemy, and so reason and justice require that such property when recaptured shall be unconditionally restored to its former owner, unless a contrary rule be found expedient in the public interest in order to increase the keenness of soldiers in the pursuit of brigands and pirates, especially since measures may be concerted for the public good, even when they involve private loss. This is the idea underlying Tacitus' remark that on every great nip $21,2,11$, and 6 , occasion there is an element of injustice present in which the detriment ikes. jur. in vi. of individuals is counterbalanced by the gain to the public. Otherwise the exception which we are considering seems quite harsh.

The Romans, moreover, often would order the restoration to their allies or citizens of booty which they discovered to have belonged to them when found in the possession not only of brigands and pirates, but also of a " just " enemy. Accordingly after the Volscians had been compelled to surrender and their camp had been captured, a part of the booty was handed over to the Latins and Hernicians, allies of the Roman people, who recognized it as their property. Similarly with the town of Livy, bk. 4. Sutrium: its inhabitants, allies of the Roman people, had surrendered their town by treaty to the Etruscans, as they were unable to endure any longer the siege of it; on the same day it was retaken under the leadership of Camillus, and before nightfall it had been restored to its inhabitants whole, inviolate, and unhurt by any havoc of war. So also on the Livy, bk. б. capture of Carthage, which was full of statues and votive-offerings in the Greek style brought from Sicily, Scipio issued a proclamation inviting representatives from each quarter of the city to come and find out what belonged to them and take it away with them. But of course treatment Plut. Apophth. of that kind depends on the complaisance of the general, who has, as we have shown, all discretionary power over booty.
[40] The following is a question on which there is genuine room for doubt: A thing is stolen by robbers or seized by "unjust " enemies and subsequently it comes into the power of a " just " enemy; from him it is won back by our citizens, either by military exploit or for monetary consideration: ought this thing to be restored to its original owner? Now Javolenus has a response to the effect that a stolen slave who subse- Dig. 49, $15,27$. quently falls into the hands of the enemy can not, nevertheless, be usucapted by a buyer, just because of the original theft. That the slave had
been an enemy's, or that he had become subject to the law of postliminy upon his return, would not have prevented usucapion.

I admit this in the case of a slave, because of the law of postliminy, but I should hesitate to follow it in other cases to which the law of postliminy is inapplicable, since it is certain that the thing has become enemy's property and that its original owner has thereby lost his ownership. For what difference does it make, so long as the war was a just one, whether the enemy has seized the thing from a true owner or from a thief? For the rule that the taint of theft, inhering in a thing, can not be purged until the thing returns into its true owner's power (as provided by the Dig. 41, 3, 4, 6. Lex Atinia) only operates (so I think) between citizens and citizens, and not between citizens and enemies; and, in order that a thing captured in war may become our property, nothing more is needed than that it Dig. 41, 1: 5 and 51 . belonged to an enemy. This opinion seems to me agreeable to law; but I have no objection to every one using his own judgment. If, however, the final decision is that the thing continues furtiva (tainted with theft) I think it would be fair only to grant an action against the present posDig. 15, $1,4,5$. sessors if the thief or his heir be insolvent.

So far then about corporeal things and persons, whether free or slave, captured in war.
[41, 42] Now there used to be a question whether incorporeal things also can be captured in war and transferred into the victor's ownership, and, assuming that they can, whether they are subject to the law of postliminy or not. That question underlay the celebrated lawsuit beBk. 5, ch. 10. tween the Thebans and the Thessalians, which Quintilian mentions. For when Alexander overthrew Thebes he found a bond under which the Thessalians stood indebted to the Thebans in the sum of a hundred talents. This bond he gave to the Thessalians in requital of the military services they had rendered to him. Subsequently the fortunes of the Thebans were restored by Cassander and they brought action against the Thessalians. The point of the suit was about the effect of Alexander's gift of the bond. Now it is clear that Alexander could not make over that obligation to the Thessalians by way of gift since it was a matter of Dig. $72,2,5$ and law cohering to the person in an inseparable manner; besides, matters of

 Instit. 2, 1, 17. not remain his longer than he is actually in possession of them, since they can be taken away from him by the same right as that by which he got Dig. 49, 15,28 them.

There is, further, a marked difference between the position of an Dig. 5, 3, 1. heir and that of a conqueror; what passes to the former is a right, what Dig. 49, 15, 28. passes to the latter is a thing. And the proposition that a public right of credit can not pass to the conqueror may be maintained on the ground that a public credit is due to each individual and so as long as there is a
single surviving creditor he is the creditor of the whole amount. Nay, the same people and State may be considered as surviving, even if there be no survivor of those living at the date of the contract, but only their
successors. There is a considered opinion of Alphenus to this effect.
[43] For the rights of a people do not reside in individuals, but in the universitas (aggregate), and this universitas is represented by successors as well as by those alive at any given time : and that is the meaning of saying that a universitas does not die or come to an end so long as there is even one survivor.

Dig. 5, 1, 76; and
30: 24 and 22, at end.

Dig. 3, 4, 7, 2.
One remaining point may be urged in the case before us, namely, that the legal situation did not depend on the fate of the document, the writing not being the substance of the contract, but only furnishing evidence thereof; and, accordingly, Alexander got nothing and the Thebans lost nothing either by the seizure or by the gift of the document.

However, notwithstanding all this, the decision in the case before us must be that the right of public credit was embodied in the document and vested in Alexander, and that Alexander could, accordingly, release the Thessalians from their bond-obligation to the Thebans, which he is taken to have intended by the gift of the document. For since the law of war invests the conqueror with power and dominion over the conquered and allows him to issue such commands to them as he pleases, he can not only seize their corporeal property, but also such as is mere matter of law, and he can lay down or repeal laws for them at his discretion. And seeing that (as Livy says) everything is yielded up to the military conqueror it is within his right and discretion to keep as much thereof as he likes by way of penalty.

Now a debt due is part of the estate of the creditor; hence any Dig. $3,2,1,13$; and debts due to the conquered pass, with the conquered themselves, into the on cod. $1,39,2$. dominion of the conqueror; for he who is in the power of another can have nothing in his own power. The immediate result is that the owner- dig. 50, 17, 118. ship of the debt which the Thessalians owed to the Thebans vested in Alexander, and that when he made it over by way of gift to the Thessa-lians-for a gift of a document is taken to be a gift of the contents of the document, and an agreement not to sue is implied when a creditor cod. 8, 53, 1.
 freed from their debt. This will be our conclusion-unless we hold that ${ }^{39,6,6 \text { is, } 2 .}$ the Thebans retook the debt by way of postliminy when Cassander came to their rescue; for when a person returns with postliminy, all matters of law are put in the same position as if he had never been in the enemy's power; and in that case we should have to assert that a State can be Dig. 49, 15, 12, 5 restored by the fiction of postliminy and be made the same as before, reacquiring its rights and status just as we have asserted with reference pis. 49, 15, 20, 1.
 erence to the usufruct thereof (which is mere matter of law) ; and it is in ${ }^{\text {Dig. }}$. 11 , 7,36 .
this way that the fiction of postliminy operates in the case of sacred and religious places.

Suppose, however, that in the case before us the Thessalians had paid the debt to the conquering Alexander, in whom, as we have said, it vested: I hold that then, after the fortunes of the Thebans had been restored by Cassander, they would not have been able to invoke the law of postliminy, in order to reacquire a debt which had once been extinguished. A judgment to this effect in an almost precisely similar case is
 Dig. $5,3,25,{ }^{\text {tit.t. }}$, t an an abligation, both civilly and naturally (as the jurist Pomponius says), it being in the nature of things that the manner of unbinding should 3.23 . Dig. $50,17,35$. correspond to the manner of binding.

Now the law of postliminy does not restore things which in fact Dig. 40, 15, 12, 2. and nature have been lawfully destroyed; and, surely, matters of law Dig. $2,14,27,2,2$, and $h a v e$ in this respect no supremacy over matters of fact. This is the basis Cod. $6,24,3$. of the rule whereby, although a slave can not bind himself even natuDig. 15, 1, 41. raliter, yet if any one repays a slave's loan-even the slave himself after his manumission-no action will lie to get back what has been paid; for Dig. $12,6: 13$ one fact balances the other. Between fact and fact there is an exact and ${ }^{\text {and } 64 .}$ harmonious proportion and resemblance; it is not so between fact and Instit. 3, 28, 1. law; but between law and law it is so in a very striking manner.

Accordingly, if the mode in which the Thessalian debt was released was either formal discharge (acceptilatio) or an agreement not to sue or the return of the bond-involving much less evidential certainty than Instit. $3,28,1 ;$ and payment does-then, since there has been no intervention of a matter Cod. $8,42,42,11:$ of fact, the right of credit must be held to revest in the Thebans by law of postliminy. For in none of these modes is the obligation destroyed Instit. 3,28 and quite as it is by payment, for payment utterly destroys the obligation, by ${ }^{\text {Dig. 5, }}, 3,25,17$. the fact itself, nor have equity and good faith (which prevent the same Dig. 50, 17, 57. debt from being paid twice over) the same operation in the cases named.

And indeed, if the documents which we are dealing with had been seized by any other than a sovereign prince (such as Alexander was) my opinion is that no right could have been claimed by him in reliance on the considerations just urged by us against the contrary opinion, and that there would, accordingly, be no occasion for the fiction of postliminynot even if the documents related to a private right of credit, unless the creditor in whose possession the documents were, chanced to be a prisoner of war, i. e., one who in olden times would have been made a slave, incapable of owning anything and subjected to another's ownership both Dig. 41, 10, 1. as regards person and property. Since, however, prisoners taken in war between Christians are not nowadays enslaved, the case is different, for the person of the prisoner does not pass into the ownership of his captor, Dig. $17,2,2$ and nor does any right which is so inherent in his person as to be inseparable ${ }^{\text {Accurrt. thereon. }}$ from it, vest in his captor.

## Chapter VI. <br> Of keeping faith with an enemy.

x. The Romans paid great respect to good faith.
2. When we have given our word to an enemy, whether in public or in private, it must be kept.
3. The edict quod metus causa inapplicable where we have given our word to all enemy.
4. Perjury can not be justified by resort to subterfuge or trick.
5. Whether the giving of hostages discharges the obligation to keep faith.
6. Whether faith must be kept with an unjust enemy.
7. The laws of war not framed for unjust enemies.
8. Agreements extorted by tyranny or rebellion are not binding.
9. The prince can not alienate what belongs to the royal prerogative.
10. The special functions of the sovereign.
II. Agreements made with rebel subjects have no validity.
12. Rebels and brigands to be put down by force, not by bargaining.
13. Faith not in every case to be kept with an enemy.
14. An oath to commit an unlawful act not binding.
15. An oath by a private person to do something detrimental to the State not binding.
16. Better to abstain from unlawful oaths than to break them.
17. Faith need not be kept with an enemy who has broken his word.
18. Whether a truce subsists if the enemy breaks it.
19. A truce may be granted to continue for a long period.
20. Meaning of saying that faith need not be kept with one who has broken his word.
21. Unlawful to requite perfidy with perfidy.
22. Whether an agreement induced by fraud is binding.
[r] They of olden time always held that there was no grander or more sacred matter in human life than good faith; and the Romans had Good Faith placed next to Jupiter Optimus Maximus in the Capitol; and when they had once pledged their word, there was nothing more durable. And so Aulus Gellius tells us that, while the Roman people carried to a Noct. Attic., bk. 20, high pitch the reverent pursuit of all kinds of virtue, yet they revered good faith over and beyond all, holding it sacred alike in public and in private affairs. Among the numerous proofs and examples of this, the following is extremely striking. When the arrangement of a truce between them and Perseus was being celebrated with public games in the Circus, some of the enemy's chieftains entered and took part in the chariot race and they were crowned as winners. Aye, and the Roman people gave up very illustrious consuls to the enemy rather than suffer their public good faith to be impugned, as we have elsewhere mentioned in connection with Postumius and Mancinus. On this account King abore, ch. 1. Ptolemy, of Egypt, recognizing the good faith of the Roman people, made a will appointing them guardian of his infant son and heir. And Ulpian speaks truly in saying that it is a serious thing to break faith: Dig. 13, $5,1$. nor is anything so characteristic a manifestation of good faith as the mig. 2, 14, 1. keeping of agreements.

Further (as Cicero says) our forefathers insisted that there was no more rigid fetter for safe-guarding the inviolability of good faith than the oath. This is demonstrated by provisions in the Twelve Tables, by religion, and by treaties in which the obligation of good faith, even with an enemy, is postulated.

Indeed the Romans, in addition to their sedulous respect for the laws of war and peace in general, attached a most sacred importance to the keeping of good faith on every occasion when circumstances led them to give an undertaking to the enemy. Accordingly we have that renowned reply given by Sextus Pompeius, son of the great Pompeius, to Menodorus, the admiral of Pompeius' fleet. Pompeius had made an alliance with Antonius and Octavius, and they were dining with him on board ship off Puteoli. Menodorus sent a message to the effect, first, that it was the very moment for Pompeius to avenge the death of his father and brother and seize the opportunity of claiming his father's sway for himself; and, secondly, that he would see that no one escaped from the ship. Pompeius replied, " Would that Menodorus could accomplish this without me; perfidy is befitting to him, but not to PomAppian, Civil war, $\underset{\text { bk. } 5 .}{\text {, }}$ peius."
[2] There are, however, those who hold it obligatory to keep faith with an enemy when publicly given, but not when given by a private person-a position unsupported by any solid arguments and at variance with the examples furnished by men of old and with the institutions of 3. our forefathers and with the teaching of the weightiest philosophers, as described by Cicero in his elegant manner and by Gellius. Accordingly, Bk. 7, ch. 10; whenever a transaction is entered into with a just and lawful enemy, ${ }^{\text {bk. 20, ch. 1. }}$ hold that terms and agreements relating to the war and hostilities ought not to be perfidiously upset, even when they have been entered into by private persons, for it is a serious thing to break faith.

Dig. 13, 5, 1.
Text in c. 3, C. 23
And certain duties are owed (as Cicero points out) even to those at offic., bk a.
ap a an oath to return, in connection with an exchange of prisoners. Immediately on his arrival he dissuaded the Senate from returning their prisoners; and then, when his relatives and friends would have kept him, he preferred to return to punishment rather than break the word which he
 Val. Max., bk. 1, ose hands we have suffered wrong. In this connection we have the fine deed of Marcus Attilius Regulus. He had been taken prisoner by the Carthaginians in the first Punic war and been sent to Rome, under bk. 1.
ch. i. too, was incensed with his sons, Simeon and Levi, for violating the agree- Genes. 34. ment which they had made with the Shechemites and he cursed them on Genes. 48. his death-bed.

We must also repudiate the doctrine that there is no need to abide by an agreement which the enemy has obtained by force. For, as Cicero puts it, you can not apply force to a brave man, and good faith (which

Seneca terms the most sacred possession of the human heart) can not be Epist. so. debauched by the stress of any necessity or perverted by any lure. Cicero, accordingly, writes: "There is nothing which is capable of exercising upon one a greater degree of duress than good faith does."
[3] Further, when the prator's edict promises restitutio in integrum (rescission of the transaction) in cases of duress it does not refer to that duress which is lawfully exercised, as in a " just war," or to Ieading text in Dig. 4,
 And the force of an oath in earlier days is shown by the case of Marcus Pomponius, tribune of the plebs. He had named a day for the trial of Lucius Manlius, son of Aulus, on a charge of having added a few days 94.6. to the period of his dictatorship and also of having banished his son Titus, later styled Torquatus, and having ordered him to live in the country. Thereupon a youthful son of Lucius Manlius, who gathered that his father was in trouble, came with drawn sword and compelled Pomponius, in the absence of any witnesses, to swear that he would release his father. And, although this put him under no legal compulsion so to do, yet he stopped the proceedings against Lucius Manlius and released him.

Cic., Offic., bk. 3.
And so Ferrandus the Deacon, writing to Count Reginus on the subject of the duty of a true and pious Christian general, fitly condemned false swearing in order to deceive any enemy, no matter how inveterate and dangerous to the State, or how great an obstacle to peace his life is and how great a help his death would be, seeing that divine claims are higher than human and (in the words of Peter, chief of Apostles), "We ought to obey God rather than men." Wherefore, with whomsoever acts 5,20 . we are at war, as the aforesaid Ferrandus says, even when no good faith has been pledged in the war or when peace was made, the State must be saved or served by an inviolate respect for the oath; for what advantage will it be to conquer one whom the Devil, by making him swear falsely in the hope of victory, has conquered already. Accordingly, when the Psalmist sings, "Lord, who shall abide in thy tabernacle? who shall Palm 15. dwell in thy holy hill?" he answers himself with these words which he puts into God's mouth: "He that speaketh the truth in his heart, that backbiteth not with his tongue, nor doeth evil to his neighbor, nor taketh up a reproach against his neighbor; who sweareth to his neighbor and deceiveth him not."

Now, says the Deacon already mentioned, he swears to his neighbor and deceives him not who carries out in conduct whatever he has sworn with his tongue ; and let no one imagine that an enemy with whom an oath is made is not included in the word a " neighbor," for the object of the oath is that he should be reckoned a neighbor. A treaty of peace turns an enemy into a friend. It is, therefore, the height of impiety to swear falsely and, considered closely, such conduct is unprofitable and
hurtful in the extreme to a general or leader of an army, for the sacredness of the oath is the bond of military discipline and if the general sets the example of lightly esteeming it as regards both enemy and his own men, everything must fall into muddle and confusion, for he will not be able to rely on the word of his enemy or on the fidelity of his own men.

Whatever, then, is confirmed by the addition of an oath and the invocation of God's name-whether it be a promise of clemency to an enemy or of pardon to the guilty or of reward for good conduct to the troops-must not be brought to naught by any consideration of expediency or by any fear of danger. A declaration, to the good faith of which God has been invoked as witness, must stand fixed and unchangeable.
[4] We must, in addition, check all attempts to overreach by false swearing and to impair the sanctity of the oath by a sort of chicanery and over-cunning and perverted interpretation of the law-such as his Cic., offc., bk. 1. who during a truce of thirty days used to go ravaging by night; or such as that of Quintus Fabius Labeo, who (according to some accounts) being entitled, under a treaty made after his defeat of Antiochus, to keep a half part of Antiochus' fleet, cut each ship in half in order to deprive val. Max., bk. 7 , the king of the whole of his fleet. A similar example was furnished by one of the ten whom Hannibal sent to the Senate after the defeat of Cannæ, under an oath to return to his camp if they failed to arrange for a ransom of prisoners. This man stayed behind in Rome on the pretext that he had returned to the camp very shortly after leaving it and that this return released him from his oath. This was incorrect, for, as Cicero says, fraud may foil perjury but does not annul it, and so the Cic., offe., bk. 3. Senate ordered the sly old fox to be bound and taken back to Hannibal. Livy, bk. 22 .

And the answer of Censorinus (if we may trust Appian) is suscep- Punic war. tible of an explanation in this sense. The Carthaginian envoys had remonstrated because, after their surrender of hostages and all arms, he proposed, in defiance of his pledged word, to destroy Carthage into the bargain; he replied that when the Romans undertook that Carthage should be free they did not mean by the word Carthage the town and the soil.
[5] Nor is that opinion sound which is maintained in some quarters, that the giving of hostages operates to discharge one from the obliRepublic, bk. 1 , gation to keep faith. That is the ground upon which Bodin held that Francis of France was freed from the obligations of the treaty of Madrid, because he had given his sons as hostages-on the analogy of the peace of Caudium, which Bodin asserts to have been discharged after hostages had been given. This, however, is contrary to the truth of history, for when the consul Postumius, the author of the peace, was asked his opinion, he declared that the Roman people were not bound by the undertaking into which he had himself entered with the Samnites,
because he had entered into it without authorization from the Roman people. His words were: "I do not deny, Conscript Fathers, that engagements and treaties are alike binding among those peoples who put the observance of good faith in the same rank as their duty to the gods, but I assert that no solemnity which the people have not authorized can cast a binding obligation upon them." He declared that he was himself, however, bound by the engagement, whether justifiable or unjustifiable, and he started and supported the proposal that, in order to free the Roman people from any obligation and to enable them to repudiate the peace with the Samnites, he and the other authors of the peace should be delivered up, and this although six hundred knights had been given as hostages, who would be put to death if the bargain were departed from.

Now hostages, like sureties and pledgęs, are accessory to some principal obligation, in order the better to safeguard the interests of the other party, and so little do they destroy the principal obligation that mantit. $3,20, \mathrm{pr}$. they can not exist apart from it, any more than an accessory in general $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dif. } \\ & \text { din } \\ & \text { and }\end{aligned}$ can exist apart from its principal or an accidental quality without the de sing. cert. chle 4. subject in which it inheres.

Francis, accordingly, ought to have kept faith-if not the public faith (so far, maybe, as concerns those matters as to which the assent of people and estates was required), at any rate his own faith-and he ought to have returned to prison rather than break faith, following the example of Postumus, Mancinus, Regulus, and others; and this all the more because a prince, to whom the people has intrusted all its sovereignty and power, is the fit and proper person to frame bargains about dig. 1, 4, 1. State affairs, whether it be a case of making peace or any other arrangement with the enemy.

Dig. 2, 14, 5.
The behavior of John of France, then, was more correct. The English had taken him prisoner in battle and had released him on his parole that he would return if the terms arranged were not wholly carried out; and, as he could not perform all that had been agreed, he preferred to return to captivity rather than to break his word-and this although he had himself given a son as hostage.
[6] Now what we have laid down about keeping faith with an

Bodin, Republic, bk. 1, ch. 8. enemy must be scrupulously observed whenever we are dealing with a just and lawful enemy; but if any one happens to be compelled, by one who is not a " just " enemy, to pass his word about paying a ransomprice or such like, we hold that he is under no obligation to keep his word. Text in c .2 , and c . offc., bk. 3. And so (as Cicero says) if you fail to pay to pirates the sum promised ${ }_{2}^{29,} \mathrm{X}^{2,},{ }_{2}, 2,13$. 13 . for sparing your life, there is no fraud, not even if you swore to do what you have failed to do, for a pirate is not included in the list of State enemies, but is the common enemy of all and we ought to have no matter of good faith or oath in common with him; and that is the reason why the jurists say that brigands and pirates are not denoted by the word piat 49, , 15, 24; "hostes."

Dig. 49, 15, 19, pr. liminy, which only apply in the case of enemies, can not apply in the Dig. 48, 15, 24; and case of brigands; and those who are taken prisoners by pirates or brigDig. 49, 15, 19, 2. ands remain entirely free. Since then those alone who are " just" Dig. 49, 155, 24; and enemies can invoke to their profit the law of war, those who are not and $49,15,10,20$, reckoned as " hostes," and who therefore have no part or lot in the law of war, are not qualified to bargain about matters that only inure to the benefit of " just" enemies, nor are they justified in effecting such barLeading text in c . ${ }_{29}$ gains by coercion. and c. $2, x, \frac{x}{2},{ }_{24}$ and $c .2, X, 1,40$.

And so, the edict of the prætor which promises rescission of transacc. 2, $\mathrm{X}, 1,40$ and tions induced by duress is applicable; nay, anything done or promised in Dig. 4, 2, 22 Dod. $2,18,1$, these circumstances is $i p s o$ jure void and will not be validated by the emCod. 1, 14, 5. ployment of an oath, for there is no confirming what is null, nor does an c. 1 , and $c$. 15 , oath carry any obligation when it was extorted by gross and abominable
$x, 2,24$. compulsion. For greater security, however, absolution can be sued for c. 15 , and ${ }_{x}, 2.25 .24$ and ought willingly to be granted.

What we have just now been saying is not vitiated by the fact that Dig. $13,7: 22$, and the law allows a robber the actions on pledge and deposit and loan, for Dig. $16,3: 22(2)$, 23 , this is the case when he contracts with some one other than his victim; ${ }^{\text {Digign }}$. $5,6,1,64$. he may well be a robber as regards him whose property he has seized and $5,1,64$ without being a robber as regards him with whom he has made the contract, since in matters of contract the standard of good faith is fixed between the contracting parties only, without bringing in any outside Dig. 16, 3, 31, 1. considerations.

Again, our remarks about pirates and brigands apply equally to Dig. 49, $\frac{150}{50}, 24$, and 118 rebels : they can not be called " just " enemies, the two ideas " enemy " Extrav, Hen, , Hin, and " rebel " being quite distinct; and no one ought to be profited by his ${ }^{\text {Qui sint }}$ Dibe rebles. 7 , 2, 22 . own wrong-doing. It is, however, true that, comprehensively and colloquially, all those are called enemies against whom we carry arms.
[8] It follows that those agreements which are extorted by a usurping force need not be respected; for consent, which is a necessary element Dig. $44,7: 2$ and 3 . in all contracts, is wanting in acts which have been procured by violence; Dig. 4, 2,22 , and transactions entered into in such circumstances are therefore void.
 qu. 8 . especially naming the Lex Valeria. This law was introduced by Lucius Valerius Flaccus, who had been nominated interrex by the Senate when the slaughter of Carbo and Marius left the State without consuls and the Republic was overborne by the arms of Sulla. It proposed the appointment of Sulla as perpetual dictator in order to re-establish order in the State and the ratification of all that he had done, whether as consul Orat. bk. 3, or proconsul. And the same writer, Cicero, shows that this was of all against Rull. laws the most unjust and un-lawlike.

Precisely the same thing holds good of those agreements which a lawless people (called usurper, too, by Cicero) extorts by rebellion from
its prince; and, indeed, if some have hesitated to admit that a prince and his subjects can be bound contractually, what are we to say of public Rartol. on Dig. 43, agreements extorted by subjects through revolt and perfidy? For, in- tailit, on Feud. Law, asmuch as rebels by the mere fact of rising against their prince violate and on e. 1, $x, 2$, their pledged word, it is vain for them to demand that faith be kept with $2,4,4,3,0,0,0$
 is it to be expected that any treaty will be ratified or any pledge be de instr. editit sit treated as sacred by those who, in violation of both divine and human Fecinus on $c, 1, x$,
 law, have not shrunk from the depths of infamy and perfidy in their named by, i, ino betrayal of their country. Or how can they swear by God who oppose what He has ordained? Or how can there be a compact of peace, in which nothing can be granted to him with whom the peace is made? Cic., Prilipp., 12.

Moreover " unjust " enemies, as we have just shown, can not avail themselves of the law of war; for, as Cicero says, there can not be a just cause for taking up arms against one's country, and, consequently, against one's prince, who is the common father of all and has received Nov. 98 (end). all his power from God.

Paul, Rom., ch. 13.
Further, seeing that the people can not even treat with outsiders about the concerns of the commonwealth-this matter being in the sole
Dig. 2, 14, 5. prerogative of the prince to whom the whole State has been intrusted dig. 1, 4, 1. and who has in his hands the choice of war and peace-much less can it c. 4, c. 23, qu. 1. drive the prince into an inequitable bargain; for the prince, whose office it is to give laws to his people and not to receive them, being outside the Dig. $1,4,1$. law, can not be fettered by any conditions of his subjects' imposition; Dig. 1, 3, 31. nor can any one (as Ulpian says) give orders to himself and play the Dia. 36.1 . 13 , 4; double part of coercer and coerced.
[9] Nor can there be an obligation which rests on the pleasure of the promisor. This is the bearing of what the canonists tell us in various Dig. 45, 1, 108, 1. places, that the Pope can never tie his own hands. And these principles are even more cogent when the prince is damnified by the bargain in question. In such a case he can claim the same reinstatement (restitutio) as a minor, especially where it is sought to detract from the sovereignty cod. 2, $53,4$. of the prince: for just as sovereign princes are incompetent to alienate any part of their realms-they being only life-tenants thereof; or, if pala. on Authent.
 Instit. 2, 8 , pr. (dos) without any power of alienation-so it is also with regard to any Arest. ${ }^{1,5}, 10$, of the attributes of sovereignty and the royal prerogative; and if any of ${ }^{\text {generally. }}$ them has been alienated in point of fact, it ought to be put back in its former position and the prince's successors are not bound by the contract. nit. $31,69,3$, Cod. Things which are part of the royal prerogative are not in commercio $56 ;$ (i. e., objects of transfer), but are so annexed to the crown as to be in-
 even on a considered judgment or of his own motion. This is in keeping cod. $11,{ }^{62}, 8 ;$ with Gaius' dictum that things belonging to the divine or public law are Dig. 1, 8, 1. not susceptible of private ownership.

And even the employment of the oath will be of no avail in this matter, for the prohibition to alienate, being inherent in the thing itself, c. $8, x, 3,13$, and renders unlawful any oath thereafter taken. Whatever, indeed, belongs ${ }_{c} .32, x, 2,24$ to the State or Kingdom can neither be alienated nor acquired by long cod
and $11,6,62$, , 8 , user ( and $11,60,2$ reserved to the king's majesty in token of his sovereign power be severed Dig. 1, 18, 20. from him, seeing that a prince does not lose his sovereignty even by a voluntary abdication?
-And if (as is Ulpian's opinion) an agreement with a husband excluding the beneficium competentica (right of limiting his liability) and providing that he may be sued for the whole debt, ought not to be upheld, as being against good morals and inconsistent with the customary Dig. 24, 3, 14, 1. respect to which husbands are entitled, what must be our attitude towards a bargain which encroaches on the dignity due to the prince alike by divine and human law? There have been many writers, and much
 usurps the royal titles and emblems is liable to capital punishment and to forfeiture of property; and in days gone by, under the Lex Valeria, any one who plotted to seize the throne was to be outlawed in body and in goods. And this also explains Bodin's doctrine that if the prince were Dion. Halic., Rom. to grant a special license to annul condemnations and remit penalties, it would be void, inasmuch as these are prerogatives of the sovereign and

can only be exercised by him; and Baldus writes that no lapse of time ars the right of appeal to the sovereign prince.
[1o] Further, Bodin correctly enumerated the especial functions Method. hist., of a sovereign as follows: to appoint the higher magistracy and allot his
duties to each magistrate, to enact or repeal laws, to declare or conclude war, to be the ultimate court of appeal from all magistrates, and to exercise the power of life and death. And even if judges inflict punishments on wrong-doers, it does not follow that the power of life and death is theirs, for they are strictly limited by the laws and have no power to remit the death penalty where it has been deserved, it being an attribute of the sovereign to determine when to temper law with mercy. And so, on the expulsion of the kings, some regretted the changed character of the State, saying that the king was a man and one could apply to him personally to obtain one's right or one's revenge; that one could win a favor and a boon from him, and that he could be angry or could pardon; but that the laws were deaf and inexorable things, utterly bare of indulLivy, bk 2 gence and forbearance.

And so, when Cicero craved pardon for Ligarius from Cæsar, he said: "I never addressed a court in this manner: Pardon him, judges; he has erred and fallen; he did not consider; if ever hereafter, etc.; but to a father that is the usual strain. Before a court it is: He never did it, never planned it ; the evidence is false, the accusation false."

The matters I have been referring to are, then, reserved to the prince; and when the Roman people, who were the repository of sovercignty, demitted many other matters to the Senate, they reserved these to themselves: Dionysius of Halicarnassus shows this over and over Rom. antiq, bks. 2 , again. This applies especially to the power of appointing magistrates, passing laws, and deciding questions of war and peace; but the right of receiving ultimate appeals and the power of life and death were admittedly vested in the people by the Lex Valeria. These are matters which Dion. Halic., Rom. jurisconsults hold to be so integral a part of the prince's majesty that antiq, bk, 5 , they can not be shared with others; and in addition they name the grant- ral. Max., bk. 4, ing of permission to seize hostages and of concessions to gather taxes valer. Publicola. and tribute and the power to mint money and some other matters. There are, however, instances in which some of these have been allowed Guido papas, to others than sovereigns: see, for all of them, Joannes Paponius, quest. 498. generally.
[ I I ] Again, Bodin remarks that, for the reasons just now set out, In Arrest., bk. 5, 1, and foily, titles. , indin, ,lepul., there are no agreements which are more easily upset or require more wariness than those which rebel subjects make with their prince, and so he recommends insurgents not to make such contracts themselves, but to induce some outside neighboring prince to act for them. My advice, however, would be that they should return into the right way and humbly seek forgiveness and try to earn their prince's clemency by penitence instead of vexing his soul with compacts that have no law or equity about them; for nothing is more mortifying to a prince than to enter into a compact with rebellious subjects and to keep faith with them. Accordingly we read in Livy how Philip, after his defeat by the Romans, found Bk. 39 one thing more galling than all others, namely, that among the conditions which were imposed on him by the Romans was one which deprived him of his right to chastise the Macedonians for revolting from him in the war.

Those conventions, then, being bad which impair the majesty of the king, there can be no doubt about our attitude towards those which affront the Majesty of God (which can not suffer any derogation at man's hands, either by contract or by any length of prescription). Consistently herewith, Themistocles was wont to tell the Athenians, and Cato was wont to tell the Romans, that men can not acquire by usucapion anything which is the due of the Divine Majesty nor private persons Plutarch, on anything belonging to the State; and Gaius similarly laid it down that Dig. 1, 8, 1. Themistocles and Cato of Utica. And that noble passage of Ambrose is all in the same direction, in Epist. 33. which he says, regarding the Emperor Valentinian:
" I am sued by court officials and tribunes, claiming a speedy delivery of the Basilica, and they say that the Emperor is within his right, because everything is under his power; my reply is that if he wants from
me anything that is mine-my land or my money-I shall make no opposition as regards any right of mine of this kind (although all that is mine might be said to belong to the poor) ; but the things which are God's are not subject to the imperial power."

Further, although compacts with brigands and rebels are invalid, as we have just shown, yet the prince would do well to abstain, as far as possible, from making them, both because they ill befit the prince's majesty and because there are certain evils which it is better to endure rather c. 3, c. 32, qu. 5. than that there should be any truck with the wicked.
[12] Moreover, the Romans pronounced it disgraceful in the extreme to make any terms with pirates, brigands, or rebels about peace or war or truces; and so we read that they would never arrange matters by a treaty or compact with those subjects who had revolted against the Roman sway; nay, they never rested until they had subdued them all, either by hard fighting or by compelling them to surrender, as we read
Epist. 6 , bk. 10 . was the case with the Latins and Campanians and other rebels. Cicero accordingly dissuaded Plancus from arranging a peace between Brutus and Antony, calling Antony's men the foulest of robbers, who either ought to throw down their weapons and beg for peace or, if they sought it with weapons still in their hands, ought to learn that peace is procured Philipp. 5. by victory and not by bargaining. And Cicero added that those who advised the despatch of envoys to Antony were ignorant of the laws of war and of the examples of their forefathers, and of what was required by the majesty of the Roman people and by the dignity of the Senate; for it was not the time for verbal requests, but for armed compulsion. And Cicero termed this war inexpiable, asserting thereby that the possibility Philipp. 13. of peace was excluded.

Similarly in the Social war, this war was commenced by the allies of the Roman people in the hope that they would no longer be subject to the Roman dominion but would become sharers in it, and they sent a mission to Rome to complain that they were not admitted to the rights of citizenship, although it was by their labor and assistance that the Romans had extended their dominions so far and wide. The Senate austerely replied that it would not receive their mission until they recovAppian, Civilered their senses.

The case of Tacfarinas teaches the same thing: He was a robber of good birth who had reached such a pitch of arrogance as to send an embassage to Tiberius and to demand into the bargain a dwelling-place for himself and his troops under a repeated threat of inexpiable war. Annals, bk. 3. Tacitus tells us that it was said that the Emperor never felt more indignation at any insult offered to himself and the Roman people than at this:
" That a renegade and freebooter should act in the mode of a regular enemy (hostis). Why, it had not even been granted to Spartacus, when spreading fire through Italy unavenged and destroying one consu-

Lar army after another-even although the commonwealth was tottering under the tremendous wars of Sertorius and Mithridates-that he should be received by agreement into a position calling for such good faith: much less that Tacfarinas, a brigand, when the Roman people was at the height of its splendor, should be bought off by a treaty of peace and a grant of lands."

Thus Tacitus.
It is, however, usual to spare those who, without any compact, throw themselves on the good-faith of a commander. Fabius Maximus Æmilianus, accordingly, spared Conoba, a brigand-chief who had sur- Appian, span, war. rendered to him, and Augustus pardoned Crocola, a notorious brigand in Spain, upon whose head a price had been set and who had voluntarily given himself up; and Augustus caused the price to be paid to Crocola when he demanded it and forebore to punish him lest he should seem to play tricks in connection with the promised reward or to make treacherous use of Crocola's reliance on public law.

Dion. bk. 56.
[13] Again: there are occasions which are exceptions to the rule about keeping faith, and these occur not only when we are dealing with rebels and brigands and others who are not included in the list of enemies, but also when we are dealing with enemies and those who are arrayed against us in just war.
[14] First and foremost is the case where a person, overcome maybe by a weakness to which all are liable, has sworn to do something offensive to God, by whom he has sworn : he must not fulfil his oath, for
c. 22, c. 22, qu. 4. an oath should not be a bond of iniquity, nor is it expedient to keep faith c. 69, Reg. jur. in vi. in wrongful promises, nor is there any bindingness in an oath, the taking of which violates good morals. Nay, he who does what is forbidden, c. 58 , Reg. jur. in vi. simply because he has sworn to do it, adds one sin to another. c. 1. Dist. i3, and
 keep one's word: Cicero, too, perceived this; so did Seneca in his Her- offc., bk. 3. cules Ctaus, where he says:

Præstare fateor posse me tacitam fidem, Si scelere careat: interim scelus est fides.
(I admit that I can pledge my word to silence when this is innocent: but otherwise to keep that pledge is guilty.)
For, in St. Augustine's words, to call the commission of a crime an exhibition of good faith, is to make a strange use of the term " good faith." David adopted a much juster course in departing from his oath c. 20, c. 22, qu. 4. to destroy Nabal than Herod did in killing a most holy man for his the loveliest child in his kingdom; for, as Cicero says, it would have been far better not to carry out the promise than to commit a crime so foul. For the same reason we reprobate the deed of Gideon, similar to the
last-named, in having Jephtha's daughter sacrificed, in fulfilment of an oath.

An oath to do something unlawful ought, therefore, not to be performed and if there be any penalty attached to the non-performance Authent , uod eis. it ought to be exacted from him who insists on the performance. And Cod. 5, 4, 23, 2 (Nor. 51, 6). And Cod. 6 , this holds true even where it is but a venial sin that is in contemplation.
[15] A corollary is that a pledge given by a private person to the Dig. 2, 14: $7\left({ }^{(14)}\right.$ and hurt of State or Church must not be observed. This holds good where Gloss on c. 6, C. 22 , qu. 4 . Felinus on c . , $, \quad, 2,24$, Roland ave 18. Alciatus on ${ }^{16}$ and 38 . And 2 , ${ }_{8}^{8,16.6}$ Glossistors and doctors on Dig. 2, 14, 5, and c. 2, b. . . . . 11, Bartol on Cod 3 , 2 , 7 ${ }^{2}$ 2. Alciat. De sing c. $27,{ }^{2}, 2,24$ and c.i, bi. 2 , 1 , in, in vi. Span. Ordin., last bk,
iti. 28 , par. 2 ',
this hurt is the main point in issue, for the proximate cause must be regarded, not a remote cause. The case of Themistocles is in point here. When he was sent into exile, he betook himself to Xerxes, promising him that he would make war on the Athenians; subsequently that king called on him to keep his promise; and then, although he had received scandalous treatment from his fellow-citizens and been most humanely welcomed by Xerxes, yet he held it more honorable to put an end to his Dig. 2, 14, 7 and life than to fight his fatherland; so he committed suicide by poison.

We have also in this connection the fine discourse in which Caius Claudius begged Appius Claudius and the other decemvirs to resign their usurped power. The fact (if it were one) that they had made secret Dig. 25, 2, 21. agreements with one another and had called the gods to witness their Plutarch on exchange of pledges, ought not, said he, to influence them; the keeping Themistocles. of such agreements would be impious, he insisted, and the breach of them a pious act, seeing that they were opposed to the interests of the citizens and the State; nor would the gods be gratified by being made parties to Dion. Halic., Rom. base, unjust conduct.
antiq., bk. 11.

This idea is at the bottom of Ulpian's dictum that a partnership Dig. 17, 2: 53 and 57 . (societas) can not be formed with wrong-doing and dishonesty for its object; and it leads Cicero to declare that at times a breach of faith is a offc., bs. 1. just act, e. g., to refuse to return a sword that has been deposited with one by a madman or by an enemy of one's country. For every act of ours ought to conform to the principles of justice, which are, first, to injure nobody and, second, to serve the common weal.

And so the poets, too, condemn rash oaths in their fable of Phaëthon, the moral of which is that it would have been better to violate an oath than to intrust the reins of the sun to an unskilled youth, to the ovid, Metam., 2. whole world's ruin. And Paulus supports this doctrine where he says Dig. 2, 14, 27, 4. that an agreement which is outside private competence must not be kept: Dig. 2, 14. 7, 16. Ulpian, too, lays down the general principle that no agreement which infringes the common law ought to be kept, not even when accompanied by an oath, the law being superior in authority to an oath.

An oath, therefore, is void which is antagonistic to statutes and to Dig. $30,112,4$, and the the authority of the law. Hence in every oath there is an implied resercod 1 i, it, . . vation in favor of paramount authority; and wherever the power of such c. 19 inins in ite and c. $16, \mathrm{X}, 2,24$.
can not enter into an agreement relating to things beyond one's competence. It is for this reason that no stigma of perfidy attaches to Gonzalo, Viceroy of Naples, for his conduct in flinging Count Valentino into prison in obedience to an order from King Ferdinand, although he had pledged his word to the count that he would protect him. Hence, too, komin, Repub., bk. 1, the emperors Severus and Caracalla intimated by rescript that they re- chin leased from his oath a man who had vowed not to attend a meeting of the Senate.

Nay, an oath which is opposed to the interests of the State is taken to be released by mere operation of law : Paulus is an authority for this where he says that the Lex Julia releases oaths which are in restraint of marriage. For a condition or promise in restraint of marriage was so Dig. 37, 14, 6,4 . much discountenanced among the Romans that it was utterly expunged from testaments and other documents and taken as unwritten.

Cod. 6, $40,2$.
It will therefore be permissible to ignore private pledges in the ${ }_{7.5}^{\text {in. }}$ interests of public security. For (says Cornelius Tacitus) the learned declare those transactions to be null and void where both the giving and the receiving are inconsistent with the welfare of the State; and, as comment on that opinion, we have the noble utterance of Postumius, in which he demonstrated that the Roman people were not bound by the arrangement which he had made with the Samnites, despite the exertions to the contrary of Lucius Livius and Quintus Melius, tribunes of the plebs, who had shared with him the authorship of the peace of Caudium and who were trying to show that the Roman people were bound by the oath, so as to save themselves from being surrendered.
[16] It would, however, be much the safer and more praiseworthy course not to allow oneself to be driven by any fear of danger into pledging one's word to do something unlawful rather than to break the word so pledged; for he who vows to do something which is unlawful is, by

 dier of Cæsar's faction, is indeed praiseworthy. He was out on the watch before Cæsar's quarters and was cut off by a troop of Scipio's guards; one chance of safety was given him, namely, that he should declare that for the future he would be a soldier of Cnæus Pompeius; but he hesitated not to reply: "I am much obliged to you, Scipio; but the match which you propose does not suit me."

Equal confidence was displayed by Caius Mevius, a centurion of Augustus: he had often brought off notable fights in the course of the war with Antony, but fell into an undetected snare of the enemy and was taken to Antony at Alexandria; there he was asked what the decision in his case ought to be: "Have me killed," said he, " for I can not be lured from Cæsar's service into yours by any bribe of safety or by any threat of death." But it was just this intrepid scorn of life which easily gained it for him; for Antony rewarded his valor with a grant of safety.

And, not to mention individuals, Saguntum, a wealthy town in Spain, is a conspicuous, though sad, monument of good faith towards the Romans. After a protracted siege it was unable to defend itself from Hannibal any longer, and the Romans could send no help to it; so, spurning dishonorable terms of peace, the whole of Saguntum threw itself and all its goods upon the funeral pile rather than defect from the Romans Livy, be 21. Val. to whom it was bound by treaty.
[17] Lastly, faith need not be kept, not even when accompanied $c_{i}{ }^{3}$ and c . $29, \mathrm{X}, 2$, by an oath, with an enemy who breaks faith. This applies both to public


## Fallere fallentem, fraudemque repellere fraude, Exemploque licet ludere quemque suo.

(Cheats we may cheat, and fraud by fraud evade, And trick each trickster by the trick he played.)
For it is part of the general law of contract that no one is bound by a conCod. 2, 3, 21. tract unless the other party performs what he has undertaken, it being futile for one who refuses to keep faith with another to claim that that c. 75, Reg. jur. in vi. And Dig. 31, 77, 27. principle applies as against the other side, but not as against oneself, and the prætor's edict meets such conduct by providing that whatever proposition of law one party sets up against the other party he must submit to himself. Nor can any one be charged with breach of faith in consequence; he is rather proceeding under the law of war, upon a new and the same most just cause, i. e., breach of faith, against enemies who have slighted both divine and human law. That is why infraction of treaties led the Romans to make war on the Sabines, Latins, Privernians, Volscians, Hernicians, Apulians, Samnites, Etruscans, Æquians, and Carthaginians, and on very many others, and why the Senate visited the infraction of treaty which the towns of Epirus had been guilty of in defecting to Perseus, by razing their walls to the ground and giving them Livy, bk. 35. over to the army to loot.

For circumstances that may hereafter arise are outside the scope of an oath, and, if some novel factor emerges, any one can refuse to carry
c. $20, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{25} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{c}$ c. 35,
 38,9, 11 ; and 2,13 : 5 and 9 . And 2 , 14 , 35. Cod. 2, 4, 31. out what he has sworn. This tallies with Cicero's doctrine, that
there are occasions when duty completely changes shape, and the just course is not to keep faith, e. g., when it is a question of returning to a madman or to an enemy of one's country a sword that he has deposited with us. And there is implied in every oath a condition such as, " Proc. 3, c. 25 and c . 29 , vided that good faith be kept with me," or as, "Save so far as the other Dig. 19, $2,54,1$. party is responsible for the non-performance of this agreement." If cod. 4, 33, 4. this latter clause is called into operation, a tacit release of the agreement is assumed to occur, and the first to contravene the agreement is alone taken to have broken faith, so that the consequential act of the other c. $7, \mathrm{x}, 5,37$. party can not be reckoned a violation of his oath.

This explains that well-known dispute between Romulus and Cluilius, the Alban dictator, as to which of them had been the first to depart from the terms of the treaty, for that one would alone be guilty of violating the treaty and the earlier act of non-observance would, accord- Dion. Halice, Rom. ingly, be held to be the subsisting cause of the war. For if a breach of faith occur with regard to some matter in contemplation of which the contract was entered into, the whole agreement falls to the ground and everything goes back into its original position.

Cod. 4, 54, 6; and 2, 3,
Ulpian, accordingly, says that the action on partnership does not lie against one who retires from a partnership because of failure of some condition subject to which the partnership was formed. The same writer Dig. 17, 2, 14. lays it down that a right of action on an unduteous will subsists unimpaired despite a compromise, should the heir break faith in carrying the compromise into effect. For there is a mutuality in an obligation where- Dig. 5, 2, 27, pr. by each party is so bound as that either is released on his side if the other fails to perform what he has undertaken. And this holds good whether a penalty is stipulated in the agreement or not, no penalty being due in the former case, and even when it is something quite unavoidable that dig. $10,2,54,1$. prevents the other side from carrying out his part. For such a hin- Aleiat. on Cod. 2, drance may save him from being in nora (accountable for delay) or ${ }^{3,21}$. from incurring a penalty, but it is inadequate to keep alive his right of Dig. 14, , , 10, 1, mid.. action.

Dig. 19, 2, 15, 4.
All this applies, no matter how small the matter in which there has been a neglect to keep faith, unless it be so trivial that there is no likeli- Alex. on Cod. 6,35 ,
 duty imposed on each side to keep faith is so absolute that even if the Consult. 167.
 endures. Should it not be quite clear which of the two was the first to forend is, $5,10,1$. break faith, there must be a kind of mutual set-off and cach must be absolved from the stipulated penalty; for it is a common saying that $\mathrm{c} .7, \mathrm{x}, 5,27$. there can be set-off in cases of equal delict. Hence comes the admitted Dig. 24, 3, 39. doctrine that a litigant may counter the craftiness of his opponent with craftiness of his own, picking out the crow's own eyes (as the saying ciloss on c . 16, , bk. 1 , c. 2, c. 23 , qu 2 goes) ; but this is subject to the provision that he has a good cause and min vibianersic. abstains from such fraud as overpasses the boundary into crime, such as forgery, criminal knavery (stellionatus), and the like.
 compacts, or treaties, of peace, but there are many who reject it with regard to truces, it being thought that these ought to be considered inviolable, even though the enemy breaks his word with regard to them; Yinc., Joan. Andr, Ant. and this opinion as being the sounder and juster has been adopted by the co cattr. Cardi, ngel. assessors of the imperial chamber, so Mynsinger tells us, and he adds a Alvo siat, Iacinid. whom the reason for the distinction, which others too rely on, namely, that when Detrin. Rel. mentions
 cius, 3 , consult. 21 .
of vengeance. This reason does not seem satisfactory to me, especially as applied to time of war (when, in Livy's words, if you make never so small a delay at the critical moment, when opportunity is flying by, you will vainly try to repair the omission afterwards). Nor is the reason sound in law, for the only difference between peace and a truce is that the

Dig. 49, 15, 19,1 , 1. Gell. bk. 1, ch. 25. Dig. $44,7,4,4,11$, and one is perpetual, the other temporary, but the time involved neither intensifies nor diminishes the quality of the obligation. And exactly as peace is destroyed when one or the other party breaks faith, so also the dissolution of a truce is held to result, for, underlying each case is a comc. $13, \mathrm{X}, 2,1$, and fc. ille c. $2, x, x, 1,34$. or delict, to be a gainer or cause injury to others.
Dig. 19, 2, 54, 1 .
And, unless I mistake, there is a neat response of Paulus which fits

Cod. 4, 48, 3.
Dig. 50, 17, 134,1 ;
this case. The facts were that a lessor had covenanted with a lessee, under a penalty, that he should not be ejected during the term. Paulus held, however, that if the lessee was in arrears with the rent for two years, the lessor could eject him without incurring the penalty, even if there had been no mention of the rent in the penal stipulation.
[19] For these reasons I am inclined to side with those who think

[^14]We read accordingly that the Romans treated a breach of a truce as a cause of war just as much as a breach of a treaty, and they were people who always observed the laws of war most religiously. And so they went to war with the Samnites in the same year in which they had Livy, bk. 9. granted them a two years' truce, because they had broken the truce. And they brought to a successful issue a war with the Etruscans, who in reply to a request for peace and a treaty with the Romans had received a grant of a thirty years' truce, yet had reopened the war a very little later in Bk. 9. flagrant breach of sworn truce. And in the same year, says Livy, the consul Fabius engaged with the rest of the Etruscans near Perusia, which had itself committed a breach of sworn truce; his victory was neither dubious nor difficult, and he would have captured the town also (for he marched near the walls after his victory) had not envoys come out to Bk. 11. surrender the town. The same writer, Livy, tells in another place how
the Romans made war on the Etruscans for making military preparations in breach of a truce. (Peace had been refused them, but they had been granted a truce for two years.)

There is this additional point, that greater sanctity attached to a treaty, which was made by aid of an imprecation*, than to a truce or other kind of agreement (sponsio). A treaty, accordingly, could not be concluded without a mandate of the people, or without the fecials and other solemn ritual, but we read that truces and other kinds of agreement were Livy, bk 9. frequently made by generals without the fecials or other solemnity and without any mandate from the people. In the next chapter we shall show this at greater length.

And yet nothing (says Ciccro) could be sacrosanct save what the plebeians and people (plebs populusque) had sanctioned and what had been rendered sacred either by its nature or by the solemn testimony of a statute or of a penalty whereby any offender is made sacer (i. e., outlaw). Well, then: if a treaty, which possesses a higher degree of sanctity, In orat pro. ceases to bind when the other party breaks faith in regard of it, much more is this the case with a truce.

No blame, however, attaches to those who during a time of truce receive provocation at the hands of a wrong-doer, yet abstain from all form of retaliation (especially where the damage in question has been but slight and temporary) with the idea of throwing on the enemy all the disgrace of breaking faith. This, however (Alexander asserts), is rather a matter of use and custom than a counsel of law. Consistent cod. $2,3,21$. with it is the conduct of Scipio on an occasion when the Carthaginians not only had perfidiously broken a truce, but also had violated the Law of Nations in the person of his envoys. Scipio, nevertheless, declaring that he would do nothing unworthy of Roman institutions or his own character, let the envoys of the enemy, who had come to him at Rome at that juncture, return inviolate. By so doing Scipio earned much praise, but (if we must pronounce a verdict) he was bound to spare the Cartha- Liry, bu. 30 . ginian envoys, no matter that the truce had been broken with the dastardly duplicity that appears in Livy's narrative. What was open to him was to renew the war (as in fact he did) without any stigma of perfidy; but he could offer no violence to the enemy's envoys, they being protected by the Law of Nations and declared inviolable and sacred, apart from any agreement for peace or a truce and even in the heat of war. And it is immaterial that the enemy have already broken a truce and done Dig. 50, $7,18$. violence to envoys sent from the other side to them.
[20] Now, what we have said about not being bound to keep faith with one who breaks faith, must be limited to one and the same agreement which has been broken, and to matters included in one and the same sponsion, and to promises where the moving consideration of each

[^15]c. $3, \mathrm{x}, 1,2$, mutually dependent are to be judged upon one and the same principle; Dig. 43, 16, 18. but it is otherwise with things that are independent of each other. Accordingly, an offender against one law is not deprived thereby of the benefit of another law; and a man who is the instituted heir under an earlier will, but a substituted heir under a later will, may renounce the Dig. 28, 6, 12. inheritance under the earlier will and enter under the later one.
[2I] In war, accordingly, even though you have a perjured, treatybreaking foe to deal with, and one who has once and again and repeatedly played you false, yet you may not break your faith in return. This admits an exception (as already shown) when your enemy makes it impossible for you to perform what you have sworn-in all other cases it was always held a deep disgrace to requite perfidy with perfidy. In this connection Lucullus fell into disrepute. The Lusitanians had broken a treaty and made war, and Lucullus, surrounding them by a stratagem and in breach of his pledged word, had them all put to death with the utmost cruelty, after the fashion of barbarians and without any regard Appian, for the dignity of Rome. And Servius Galba was accused before the assembled people by Libo, tribune of the people, for that, while prator in Spain, he had, despite his sworn pledge, put to death a large body of the Lusitanians, when that tribe was renewing the war in breach of Val. Max., bk. 8, treaties.
ch. 1.
[22] Lastly, a compact whether of alliance or of peace or of truce, is void if it has been obtained by fraud, for such agreements as are Dig. 2, 14, $7: 7$ and 9 . bonce fidei contract (i. e., one of a certain group of contracts in Roman Dig. 4, 3, 7 ; and law) makes the contract null by mere operation of law subject to this that the deceived party may, in his discretion, elect whether to affirm the contract or not; but the other party, who has committed the fraud, has
Cod. 2, 4, 26 . no voice in the matter, for no one, by his own guile, may profit himself Cod. 4, 48, 3; and or injure others. The bad faith of one of two contracting parties subDig. $18,1,6,6,7,8,5,5$, jects the fate of the contract to the discretion of the other party.

We read, however, in Holy Scripture, how Joshua treated the Gibeonites. They were a neighboring people, belonging to a land which the Lord had promised to the Israelites; but, in order to secure peace at the hand of the Israelites, they had lied that they were strangers from a very far country. Joshua was deceived by this fraud, but when he discovered it he decided that the peace which he had granted could not be revoked, lest he should allow himself to commit the same perfidy which St. Ambrose ), on occasion Holy Scripture uttered, not the ancient formula of the jurisconsults concerning fraud, but the opinion of the Patriarchs. Moreover, though Joshua took the Gibeonites out of the hand of the Children of Israel so that they were not put to death, yet he cursed them for their deceit and ordered that they should be servants to the congregation, Joshua, ch. 9. every one of them.

## Chapter VII.

## Of treaties and truces.

1. Three kinds of treaty.
2. Usual to insert in a treaty, for what it is worth, clause Majestatem comiter conservare (i. e. courteous recognition of superiority).
3. The Romans' custom not to make a treaty with an armed enemy.
4. The difference between a treaty ( $f a d u s$ ) and a sponsion (sponsio).
5. A general can not make peace with the enemy without his prince's authorization.
6. Does this prohibition apply to truces?
7. Can a prince grant away private property on grounds of public expediency?
8. The prince is bound by the law of nature.
9. How far kings are owners paramount.
10. Whether a prince is bound by a treaty struck with his predecessor.
[ 1 ] Now concerning treaties wherein we pledge our faith to an enemy, be it remarked that in olden days three classes used to be made of those treaties whereby states and kings formally bargained for each other's friendship. Menippus, the envoy whom Antiochus sent to Rome about peace, lucidly discoursed of this to the consul Titus Quintius. The Livy, bk. 44. first class was that in which terms were dictated to the vanquished in war. For since all their property had been surrendered to the stronger in arms, it was within his right and discretion to say what part thereof he purposed as victor to keep and in what to mulct them.

Such was the treaty which was granted to Æneas after he had fled from Troy and was begging for peace from the pursuing Greeks. The terms were that Æneas and his allies and all the property which they had carried off with them should quit Trojan soil within a specified time and that their fortresses should be delivered into the power of the Dion. Halic., Rom. Greeks. antiq, bk. 1.

Another example is the treaty made by the Romans with the Æquians, the conditions of which were: The Æquians, retaining their territory intact, are to become subjects of the Romans; they are to send nothing to the Romans save troops as often as summoned and then at their own expense.

Such also was the treaty which the Apulians obtained from the

Dion. Halic., Rom. antiq., bk. 9. Romans, for they did not enter into it on equal terms (as Livy says), but as being under the sway of the Roman people.

Of the same class, too, is the treaty in which Philip was bidden to withdraw his guards from all the towns of Greece, to surrender his fleet and elephants, and to pay a thousand talents to the Roman people, and was forbidden to make war outside Macedonia without the Senate's authorization.

So also was the treaty by which Antiochus, after the whole of Asia this side of Mount Taurus had surrendered to the Romans, was to hand over his fleet and its equipment and pay twelve thousand Attic talents, Livy, bk. 48 over twelve years, by equal instalments.

The second class of treaty was that in which combatants of equal strength in war entered on equal terms into a treaty of peace and friendship.

Such was that which we read of as struck between Romulus and Tatius, King of the Sabines; there had been three drawn battles between them, with very great slaughter on either side; so the wives of the Romans, who were of Sabine origin and about whom the war had arisen, intervened, and the combatants elected to end the war by a treaty rather than by fighting; this treaty provided that Romulus and Tatius should reign jointly in the City with equal power and honor and that the rights of Roman citizenship should be given to the Sabines.

Now in this kind of treaty it is usual to arrange on equal terms for Dif. 4, 15: 12, mutual return of prisoners, restoration of towns and the like; accordingly in the treaty between Octavius Cæsar, Antony, and Pompey it was agreed that there should be a cessation of hostilities by land and by sea and that the contracting parties might freely come and go everywhere; also that Pompey should withdraw his garrisons from every place in Italy, that he would not receive any more fugitive slaves, that he should rule over the islands of Sardinia, Sicily, Corsica, and the others which were then in his possession, and receive the Peloponnese into the bargain, Appian, Civil
war, bl. 5.

And of this kind, too, the treaty seems to have been in which the Romans granted peace to the Ætolians, upon the following conditions: The Ætolians are to recognise the empire and superiority of the Roman people without fraud and are to have the same enemies as the Roman Livy, bk. 4s. people, bearing arms and making war upon them side by side. For, although the treaty in question can not be called one on equal terms, yet it was not a case where conditions were imposed on the vanquished or they were deprived of anything, but they were left in the free enjoyment Dig. 49, 15, 7. of their own laws.

Again, the third class of treaty was that in which peoples who had never been enemies knitted themselves together by a bond of alliance and entered into a compact of friendship. It is not usual for peoples in such a case either to impose or to receive conditions (for that would be between conquerors and conquered), but they framed certain conditions for the alliance and friendship on which they were entering. Such was the treaty which Latinus made with Æneas, when the Trojans were admitted into a part of his territory. He bargained that they should coöperate with each other in the war which they were preparing to wage against Rutilus and that each would assist the other to the utmost of his Dion. Halic., bk. 1. strength.

This is also the place to mention the treaty in which friendship was inaugurated with the Latins, during the consulship of Postumius Cominius and Spurius Cassius; for, though it appears, from Dionysius of Halicarnassus, that the Latins had formerly been subject to the Ro- mks. 3 and 4 . man sway, nevertheless the faithful services which they rendered to the Romans led to their being subsequently united to them by a treaty of alliance, which ran as follows: "Let there be a mutual peace between the Romans and all the peoples of Latium so long as heaven and earth stand fast, and let neither make war on the other or bring enemies from any direction upon him, and let them not afford safe passage to invaders; let each render aid with all his might to the other when molested by war and let them share booty and spoils equally." These same Latins, however, repeatedly renewed war in subsequent years and were at length crushed by Camillus and reduced into the power of the Roman people.
[2] A treaty can also be classed here by which some province or city places itself under the guardianship and protection of some foreign prince or joins some kingdom or republic on specified conditions. For, Diz, 49, 15, 2 Doctors
 ship is drawn on equal terms or whether it includes the formula that the consult, $e, r .1$. people in question consents to a courteous recognition of the superiority of the other people. For the object of the addition of this formula is to show that the one people is superior to the other, but not that the latter is unfree; and that is the force which Cicero attributes to the clause Orat. pro Corn. Balb. " majestatem comiter conservare," which was common form in Roman treaties.

This third kind of treaty can be divided into two kinds according as the treaty is a purely defensive one or is both offensive and defensive (to employ the current phraseology), i. e., is designed both for resisting and for making war, and this can be framed either so as to refer to all the rest of the world, so that the allied peoples have exactly the same enemies and the same friends, or it may be made with specified exceptions.

With this class may also be grouped treaties the object of which is purely commercial and which provide free ingress and egress for merchants and which can exist even between enemies.
[3] It was, however, a principle of the Romans never to enter into a treaty with an armed enemy, nor were they wont to exercise over any even a peaceful rule, unless they had made surrender of everything, whether divine or human, and had admitted a garrison or, lastly, were bound by an alliance or a treaty. For it was ever a matter of strict solicitude with the Romans, not to give the appearance of submitting to demands under hostile pressure. Accordingly, the consul Spurius Cas- Dion. Halic., bk. 8. sius told the envoys of the Hernicians, when they asked for peace, that they must first comport themselves in a manner befitting a beaten and
subjugated people and that only so could they make their requests for Dion. IIIII.,. Rom. friendship.

The consul Titus Largius, too, told the Fidenati, when they applied for a truce, that before they could get an audience they must lay down their arms and open their gates, and that apart from so doing they had nothing to hope for from the Roman people in the way of peace or truce Dion. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Halie.e. , Rom. . } \\ & \text { antiq., } \\ & \text { b. } 5 .\end{aligned}$ or anything else of a humane and moderating character. So, also, after the consul Albinus had been put to flight with heavy loss, Pyrrhus so much admired the Romans because they made no mention of a peace that, although he was the conqueror and had received large reinforcements, he made the first advance and proposed peace on quite equal terms, with liberation of prisoners, and offered the Roman people his help in the subjugation of Italy, and all he asked in return was safety for the Tarentines and their friendship for himself. The answer, on the advice of Appius Claudius Cæcus, ran, that Pyrrhus must first quit Italy and that then, if it suited him, he might treat for friendship and alliance, but that as long as he remained under arms the Roman people would Prut, Life of fight him, even if he had beaten a thousand Albinuses.
Prrnhis

In the same way, when the Ætolians sought peace, the consul Marcus Fulvius replied that he could not entertain any suggestion of peace from them until they were disarmed and that they must begin by surrendering all their weapons and horses and then pay a thousand silver Livy, bk. 48. talents to the Roman people.

And when Vermina, son of Syphax, sent a mission to beg that he might be styled king and their ally and friend, the answer was that he must sue for peace from the Roman people before he could be styled Livy, bl. 51. king and their ally and friend.
[4] Again, arrangements such as we have been considering are not always made by treaty, but sometimes also by sponsion. The following passage from Livy shows what the difference was between the two:
"When the consuls went to Pontius to confer with him, he began to talk about a treaty in the strain of a conqueror; but they declared that such could not be concluded without an order of the people, and the ministry of the fecials, and the other customary rites. Accordingly, the Caudine peace was not effected by a treaty, as is commonly thought, and asserted by Claudius, but by a sponsion. For what occasion would there be either for sureties or hostages in the case of a treaty, where the business is effected by the imprecation, 'Whichever nation shall cause the said terms to be violated, may Jupiter strike that nation even as the pig is struck by the fecials '? Now the consuls, prefects, legates, quæstors, and military tribunes became sureties in this case, and the names of all the sureties are preserved; whereas, had the business been transacted by a treaty, none would have appeared but those of the two fecials. Further, on account of the delay necessitated by the treaty itself, six hundred
horsemen were demanded as hostages, who were to suffer death if the compact were not fulfilled."

Now, just as the sovereign prince alone may set warlike enterprise on foot and make war and collect an army and summon a levy, so also Dis, 48, 4, 3 and the opposite matters of making treaties and truces with the enemy are above, in just war. functions also reserved to the sovereign, as we have shown above.
[5] Accordingly, a peace can not be set up by generals without the consent of the prince or people in whose behalf and under whose management the war is being waged. In olden days this was provided for by the fecial law and later by the Lex Julia about the provinces and the Lex Cornelia about treason. For, without authorization by the people cicero against riso. and initiation by the Senate (all whose power has been transferred to
Dig. 1, 4, 1. the prince) nothing could be solemnized so as to bind the people. And cic. pro corn. Balb. indeed if, in civil and forensic business, an agent who has been appointed with general authority to carry on an action has no power to compromise and settle the case, it follows that one who has been appointed to the Dig. 3, 3: 69 and 63. command of an army in order to carry on a war exceeds the limits of his functions if he makes peace with the enemy, and such excess was always considered an extremely grave blunder in military matters.

Dig. 49, 16, 3, 15.
It was on that account that the Senate refused to ratify the peace of Caudium made by Titus Veturius and Spurius Postumius, and the treaty concluded by Caius Mancinus with the Numantines. And in just the same way the dictator Camillus repudiated the agreement entered into between Sulpicius, a former military tribune, and Brennus, the Gallic chieftain, by which it was agreed that the Gauls should receive a thousand pounds of gold from the Romans and raise the siege. The refusal of Camillus was based on the ground that the agreement had been made by an inferior magistrate subsequently to his own appointment as dictator and without his authorization; for the dictator exercises sovereign Livy, b. 4. power.

Pompon. in
Dig. 1, 2, 2.

And Sallust, speaking of the agreement made between the Roman general Aulus and Jugurtha, King of the Numidians, said, The Senate decreed (as was correct) that no treaty could be made without the joint consent of the people and itself.

From the foregoing it is clear that no one can, apart from the prince's authorization, come to terms with an enemy without committing the offense of treason, for this is a matter of sovereign prerogative. On Dig. 2, 14, 5. this ground more than on any other Bodin thinks that the execution of repul., ble. r. Louis, Duke of Orleans, was justified, because he had made a treaty with the Duke of Lancaster without authority from the King of France. Accordingly, I can not agree with Duarenus (whom others follow) on Dig. 2, 14, 5. when he declares that the opinion just cited is sound in the case of one who has only been intrusted with the business of leading and governing the army, but unsound in the case of one who has been invested with full and free power over everything.

Duarenus holds that the latter person has even the power of making By interence trom peace with the enemy; but I can not admit this. For matters of public

Dig. 3, 3, 58 and Cod. 1,50, 2. And

$$
\text { Dig. } 1,1,1,2 \text {; and } 2 \text {, }
$$

Connanus excellently,
bk. 5, ch. 1. earlier chapter. And so, no matter how full and free be the general power which is allowed to a commander, I do not think that the power of making peace with the enemy is meant to be granted to him, for matters of royal prerogative do not pass under general words, but require

Leading text in c. 4,
$\mathrm{X}, 1,30$, and in Dig.
X, 1, 30, and in Dig.

1. 21, 1-the Doctors
21, 1-the Doctors
also-and in Feud.
law, ii, 56 .
Molin. on custom of
Molin. on custom of
Paris, tit. 2, sec. 1. Gloss. 5. Text in nothing less than an express and special grant.

Now in this case if the commander or general has received an express grant of power to solemnize a treaty (which would be very unusual), he can not go beyond his mandate or delegate his power to Dis. 49, 14, 30. another, for he has not got it in virtue of his magistracy and command, but he has a mere right of proceeding under the law and therefore (in Dig. 1, 21, 3. Julian's words) he does not do anything of his own right, but in right of him who gave the mandate. For, as already said several times, the power of peace and war resides in the sovereign and can not be transferred to another.

And so, beyond question, when the Roman consuls, in whose hands the highest authority was lodged, took command of an army, they had indeed power to carry on war and to harass and attack the enemy at their discretion and also autocratically to control military discipline, but the making and the ending war, apart from the authorization of the Senate and the command of the people, were beyond them.
Bk. 40. Accordingly, the phrase employed by Livy is that the Senate, on the command of the people, decreed that Publius Scipio, subject to the opinion of the ten envoys, should make peace with the people of Carthage on such conditions as seemed good to him. Similarly, full discretion with regard to peace and war with Philip was intrusted to Titus
livy, bk. 42. Quintius. So also peace was granted to the Etruscans on such terms as the consul Lucius Æmilius should think best, and to the Æquians at the Dion. Antiic., Rom. pleasure of the consul Quintus Fabius. And we read that this was a fre${ }^{\text {antiq., bk. 9. }}$ quent practice of the Romans, for they were well aware that no one was better able than a commander to form a correct estimate of the intention with which proposals of peace were made and of the general position of affairs.
On Dig. $2,14,5$. [6] Now it has been generally held that the doctrines which have been laid down about peace apply equally to truces, although Bartolus dissented on the ground that this is to make a departure from the analogy of mandate, as in the foregoing case, seeing that in civil business an agent is not allowed to give one's opponent an extension of time for pay-

${ }^{19} 9$ are concerned, which are rather by way of putting an end to the war-a
function reserved to the sovereign-than procuring a cessation of hostilities. We read accordingly that truces of that kind were never granted by the consuls and other Roman commanders, but by the Senate and the Roman people. Thus the Samnites, after their defeat, obtained a truce for two years instead of the treaty which they were suing for. In the myy, bk. a. same way truces for several years were granted by the Senate and the people to the Veians, the Volsinians, the Æquians, and a great many others.

I hold, however, that it is competent for generals to make with the enemy that variety of truce which takes effect at once and holds good for a short time only, putting a stop to attacks on both sides-and this is the variety that Paulus deals with-and my reason is that these truces do Dig. 49, 15, 13. not put an end to the war; for though the fighting stops, the war continues. This is especially the case where the truce is rendered desirable Gell., bk. 1 , ch. 25. by some crisis or is otherwise necessary or expedient. For the grant of authority to a general to harass and attack the enemy at discretion gives him competence in all administrative matters which concern the war.

Roman generals, accordingly, were wont of their own authority, when the enemy sought for peace, to grant a truce during which envoys might be sent to Rome to prefer the request for peace. And so Scipio allowed the Carthaginians a truce for three months, during which their envoys might treat for peace at Rome. And the same thing happened Livy, bk. 80 . when Libo and Bibulus, of the faction of Pompey, were stationed with their fleet at Oricum and found themselves reduced to great straits through lack of necessaries. They expressed a wish to discuss matters of great moment with Cæsar, giving the impression of being about to suggest an arrangement; and they obtained a truce for the time being from Marcus Acilius and Statius Murcus, Cæsar's representatives-the one of them was in charge of the town-walls and the other of the land-garrison-for the proposals made were of obvious importance and such as Cæsar was known to be very desirous of receiving.

Caes., Civil war, bk. 3.
Further, generals have, not infrequently, themselves laid down the conditions of peace and then, when these have been favorably received by the enemy, have granted a truce to allow of envoys being sent to Rome to submit the question of peace to the Senate. This was the course adopted, with much success, by Scipio in the case of the Carthaginians; Livy, bk. 40. and it was on his initiative, too, that his brother, Lucius Scipio, after the defeat of Antiochus, proffered terms of peace and allowed the despatch of an embassy to Rome about the matter. Titus Quintius, also, granted Livs, bk. 4z. a truce for six months to Nabis, tyrant of Sparta, to allow time for the confirmation by the Senate of the terms of peace laid down by himself; Livy, uk. 4. and on another occasion he granted a truce for two months to Philip and allowed him to send a mission to Rome to treat about the terms of peace which they had already been discussing. And the Senate would often livy, bk. 42.
follow the advice of a general in granting or refusing a peace which the enemy sought, as just said.

There have been peoples, however, who have not allowed their generals so much latitude. We read, accordingly, how a truce for four months had been agreed on between Agis, King of Sparta, and Thrasybulus and Alciphron, Argive generals; but on the proposal of Alcibiades the Argives refused to ratify the agreement and the Spartans also treated it as null. And Thucydides tells us that this episode made the Spartans so angry with their king that a law was introduced providing him with a body of twelve counsellors, apart from whose advice no truce or treaty of any kind could be entered into with the enemy. It is, however, notorious that the kings of Sparta had no sovereign power and no unfettered Dion. Halic., bk. 2. discretion.
[7] But now, suppose that a prince who has received from his Dig. 1, 4, 1. people a grant of all their power and sovereignty, makes a proper and Dig. 2, 14, 5. expedient agreement touching a matter of State concern-whether a peace or some other treaty made with the enemy-the question has been raised by jurists whether in thus making peace with the enemy he can condone all private hurts and losses sustained by reason of the war and Dig. $2,14,5$, and even make a grant to the enemy of the property of his subjects.

Cod. 7, 37, 3.
$\underset{\text { bk. i, ch. }}{\text { Fern. }}$ enemy during the war, because the law of war vests these in the enemy, quite apart from any agreement with the prince, and the same holds, too, of hurts and losses caused under the laws of war. But what about other things which have not been acquired by the enemy or which have been seized by an "unjust" enemy? They, too, can be taken from their private owners and granted to the enemy if no other measures can be adopted to secure public safety, for public safety must come before Authentica, Res private advantage, or (as Pliny puts it) public interests must be pre- Epist., bk. 7. element of injustice in so far as public gain is relied on to counterbalance private loss. And so, when Thrasybulus had freed Athens from the excesses of the Thirty Tyrants he had a plebiscitum (decree of the people) enacted to prevent the raking up of bygones; and this forgetting of wrongs (called in their tongue $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \sigma \tau i a)$ reestablished the shaken and
Val. Max., bk. 4, tottering State in its original position. And Cicero thought that this was ch. 1. an example which at times might well be followed at Rome with the Philip. 1. object of furthering the public peace.

And this is, admittedly, more than ever necessary in the case of property which has been wrongfully taken possession of and enjoyed for a considerable tract of time, for if such property were taken out of the hands of its possessor and restored to the original owner there would inevitably be a great upsetting and disturbance: and this was the very
sound opinion which, in a not dissimilar instance, the Emperor Trajan embodied in a rescript when consulted on the point by Pliny. But other- pliny's Epist, wk. 1o. wise, and except in cases of imperative necessity and where great advantage will accruc to the State, a prince may not take his subjects' property away from them; so to do would be repugnant alike to natural and divine law.
[8] For, however much a prince may be freed from the yoke of $10,0,12,0$ the law, yet all kings and princes (as Pindar said) are subject to the law nig. 1, 3, 31. of nature; and ample as the power of a prince may be, yet it does not extend to acts of injustice; and what Pliny wrote to Trajan is in point in this connection: "Just as it is the essence of happiness to be able to do In Panegrr. as much as you want to, so it is the essence of greatness to want to do just as much as you can." Rescripts of princes, accordingly, ought to receive such an interpretation as will enable them to be carried out without detriment to others and those which are in conflict with the rights of another Dif, 43, $8, \frac{2}{2}, 16$. are invalid. Accordingly, the gift of the duchy of Milan, made by the cod. $1,1,1,2$. Emperor Maximilian to King Louis XII of France, led to war, because of the right which the Sforzias asserted to that duchy and which the Emperor is not taken to have intended to give, nor could he.
[9] For, however much kings may be styled lords of all, this is to be interpreted as referring to sovereignty and power and not to ownership and possession, which are matters affecting private parties. And this was neatly put by Seneca, where he says, "Power over everything belongs to kings, and to individuals property." Or a little later: "The king possesses everything in sovereignty, individuals possess everything in ownership; for everything is in the sovereignty of Cæsar, but what is owned by individuals is in their patrimony."

And Cicero tells us that Aratus of Sicyon deservedly gained much onfe., bk. 1. praise on this ground. He had freed his country, which for fifty years had been in the hands of tyrants, by the overthrow of the tyrant Neocles, and had restored large numbers of exiles; now the property of these persons had got into the possession of others, and Aratus found that much of this property had for a long time been enjoyed by right of inheritance, and much by right of sale, and much by right of dowry; and that on the one hand it could not be taken away from the possessors without inflicting wrong on them, and that on the other hand the former owners would be dissatisfied. So he obtained from King Ptolemy the help of a large sum of money and joined to himself a council of fifteen notables, with whose assistance he examined the cases, both of those who were in enjoyment of the property of others and of those who had lost the possession of their own property; and in the end he succeeded, by assessing the money value of this property, in persuading some to prefer to take cash and cede their property to its possessors and in persuading others to think it better to be paid a money equivalent than to reclaim
their property. The upshot was that harmony was established and that all went off without complaining.

In like manner Brutus and Cassius, after Cæsar's death-seeing that it had been resolved that his acts should be ratified by the Stateordered that the former owners of lands of which they had been deprived by Sulla and Cæsar in the civil wars should at any rate be paid a price therefor out of the public funds. Thereby these persons were Appian, Givil appeased and others were made more secure in their possessions.
[ro] One further question remains, whether on the death of a prince with whom a treaty has been struck, the treaty subsists with his successors. Now as regards other contracts it is held that a distinction must be drawn according as the succession passes by way of inheritancein which case the successor is by the very fact bound by the contract of Cod. 3, 32, 14. the deceased-or under some statute or by election, in which case he has not to answer for what was done by the deceased. For a personal obliDig. 18, $1,85,1,1$ and and , Cod. $4,16,7$. man has expressly promised for himself and his successors.

As regards treaties, however, and other public agreements into which a man enters not on his own behalf, but on behalf of the State (which is just as effectually represented by subsequent officials as by those Dig. $5,1,76$. of to-day), we must declare that a prince is entirely bound by his deceased predecessor's contract and that the parties to the treaty continue to be c. $1, \mathrm{x}, 2,9$, and subject to it. The Veians and the Fidenates, therefore, in the reign of dotorist thereol. Tullus Hostilius, had not any just cause for reopening the war; nor had others on 1. Jason and the Latins who, in the reign of Tarquinius Priscus, assumed that the
 Etruscans who, on the death of Tarquinius Priscus (to whose power they had submitted themselves) declined to abide by the terms of the treaty Dion. Halic. , Rom any longer. And the Romans were quite justified in declaring war on antiq, ch. 3 and 4. Perseus when he gave out to their envoys that the treaty made with his Livy, bk. 42 father Philip had nothing to do with him.

It is, however, the general opinion that if such a treaty is a cause of Cyn. and doctors on very great injury to the State, the succeeding prince is not bound by it. Bad. 1. 14, 4 . Berein I agree, provided that the treaty relates to the transfer of somelaw, c. $\mathbf{i}, 7$. thing appurtenant to the royal crown and has been made without the c. $1, \mathrm{x}, 3,19$. And requisite consent of the people or estates, or if the treaty derogates from

From the foregoing it is clear that the most effective treaties are those which are entered into on both sides by both king and people. This is illustrated by the reply of the Hernicians when Roman envoys made expostulations about their wrongdoings and robberies. The reply was that no treaty had ever been made between themselves and the Roman
people, and that the treaty made with King Tarquin was in their opinion at an end by reason of his exile and death. So also when Edivard IV of Dion, Halic., ch. s. England, after his expulsion from the throne, sought aid from the King of France pursuant to a treaty : the answer came that the old treaty was between king and people on either side and that, therefore, after Edward's expulsion, the treaty remained in force with the people and the then reigning king. But when the exiled Tarquins made war on Rome, , Rodin, Repul., the Latins refused to help either side on the ground that their oath forbade them to make waralike on the Tarquins and on the Romans, seeing that they were equally bound to both sides by the treaty.

# Chapter VIII. <br> Of trickeries and deceit in war. 

1. Trickeries are permissible in warfare.
2. What is permissible deceit.
3. Stratagems are praiseworthy in a general.
4. The old Romans disdained all deceits.
5. The ancients sometimes found the mere reputation for untarnished good faith more useful than arms.
c. 2, C. 23, qu. 2.
[ $\mathrm{I}, 2$ ] In the conduct of war, says St. Augustine, it is indifferent from the standpoint of justice whether the fighting is all open and aboveboard or whether trickery be used. And Ulpian declares that it is permissible deceit (what the ancients understood as shrewdness) to devise Dig. 4, 3, 1, 3. contrivances against an enemy or a brigand. Accordingly, that excellent general, Lysander, used to say that where lion-skin ran short fox-skin
Plut. in Apophth. must be patched on. And the poets feigned that Hercules carried instead of arms the skin of the Nemæan lion and arrows dipped in the gall and blood of the Lernæan Hydra, as if to show that a good commander ought to make use at the same time alike of cunning and of bravery; and that is the purport of Virgil's

> Dolus an virtus, quis in hoste requirat?
> (Guile or valor, what matters it in an enemy's case?)

And this is a correct idea, provided the claims of good faith are re-
c. 3, c. 23, qu. 1. spected, for we ought not to be like the tyrant Dionysius, who used to Plut. on fortune and say that boys are duped by dice and men by oaths; or to copy the Pervalor of Alex. sians, who paid no heed to good faith, both in words and in deeds, save Justin, bk. 12. so far as it suited them. On the other hand it is recorded that Joshua Joshua, 8. laid an ambush for his enemies on the command of God himself.

And praise has been awarded to the stratagem of Pittacus of Mitylene (one of the seven wise men), which was as follows: He was entering on a contest with Phryno, an Athenian general, who had a little figure of a fish on his helmet, and so he took a net out into the arena and, saying that he was going a-fishing, he coiled the net round Phryno in such a way that he could not free himself and then slew him.

It was, therefore, a just remark of Agesilaus that, while the breach of a pledged word was impious, it was not only quite right to deceive an
Plut. in Apophtt. enemy, but pleasing, too, and profitable. And in Xenophon we have Cambyses replying to Cyrus' question, "What was the best way to secure victory," that in the quest of victory the foe must be trapped in every possible way, and tricked by hidden snares and guile and fraud, and worn
down by theft and rapine. Thus an opportune lie on the part of a general has sometimes given him the victory, as in the case of that lie of Valerius Levinus who, having his sword bloody with the slaughter of a private soldier, shouted out that he had slain Pyrrhus and thus caused such consternation to the Epirotes that they fled to their camp with all speed, panic-stricken and weeping. In the same way when the consul Titus Quintius was fighting with the Volscians and his men were giving way, he resorted with success to a falsehood and cried out that the enemy were in flight on the other wing, and thus he got his men in hand again, led them to the attack, and conquered those who imagined they were conquerors.

Livy, bk. 2.
[3] Indeed, stratagems of this kind bring as a rule very great praise and glory to a gencral. Now in this fashion of plotting and tricking Philip of Macedon was reckoned an adept, for he took much more pleasure in outwitting an enemy by a ruse than in beating him on the open field, saying that a victory won by guile and cunning never cost any lives of his soldiers, while that kind of victory which comes from the foughten field is ever a bloody one. The Carthaginians, too, and pre-eminent among them Hannibal, and the Greeks plumed themselves on their skill in such trickery and deceit, and no blame would have been imputable to them had they not at the same time made so little of breach of faith.
[4] Those old Romans, however, disdained all such frauds and deceptions and had no wish to conquer save by sheer valor and downright force-what they used to call Roman methods. But the Romans of a later day discarded this maxim of their ancestors and were quite ready to call the arts of dupery and deceit to the aid of themselves and the State. And so when Quintus Martius and Aulus Attilius returned from the mission on which they had been sent to Perseus, King of Macedonia, they made it a matter for especial boast in the Senate that they had fooled the enemy by means of a truce and the hope of peace, for his preparations for war were so complete, as contrasted with their own utter unpreparedness, that he could have occupied all points of vantage before their army could be transported into Greece; if, however, the period of the truce were utilized he would lose all his superiority in preparedness and the Romans would begin the war better fitted out in all respects. Livy tells us that the majority of the Senate approved of this Bk. ${ }^{3}$. as a sound bit of business; but these novel tactics found less favor with the greybeards, who called to mind the usage of days gone by ; they failed (said they) to recognize Roman methods in this embassage, declaring that their ancestors' manner of warfare was not by ambushes and nocturnal fights, nor by simulated flights and sudden returns upon an incautious foe, nor by vaunting craft rather than true valor; and that they were wont to declare war before waging it, nay to do so with all solemnity by messengers, and sometimes to indicate the precise spot for
conflict. It was (said they) this same good-faith that informed Pyrrhus of his doctor's plots against his life and that sent back in chains to the Falisci the betrayer of the king's sons. These (said they) were the Roman methods, alien alike to the slyness of the Carthaginians and the cunning of the Greeks, who deemed it a proud thing to overcome an enemy by craft rather than by force, and even if at the present day guile may seem occasionally more profitable than valor, yet you have finally conquered an enemy's courage only when you have extorted from him the acknowledgment that he has been beaten in a just and righteous war by no trickery or chance, but in a hand-to-hand measurement of strength. This, as Livy tells us, was the contention of the greybeards, but it did not prevail.
[5] We find on record, however, that the Romans of olden time sometimes found the mere reputation for downright valor and untarnished good-faith more useful than their unconquered arms. The story of Camillus furnishes a striking illustration of this; for at the siege of Falisci, which promised to be of indefinite duration, his surrender of the schoolmaster who was betraying the sons of the Faliscan nobles and his declaration that he had no wish to conquer save by Roman methodsвk. 5. valor, toil, arms-impressed all ranks of the Falisci (as Livy narrates) with such respect for Roman good-faith and for the general's justice that, by common consent, a mission was despatched to the camp of Camillus, and thence by his permission to the Senate at Rome, to surrender Falisci. And when the mission was introduced to the Senate it is recorded that its address contained the following passage: "The issue of this war bequeaths two salutary examples to the human race. You preferred to keep faith in war rather than gain a present victory; we, stirred by your good-faith, spontaneously offered you victory."

So, also, Fabricius overcame Pyrrhus with an equal display of virtue, though he could not get the better of him in arms, for he surrendered to Pyrrhus a doctor who was plotting against his life; and when Pyrrhus sent back to him some prisoners of war gratuitously, he declined to accept the gratuitous favor and himself sent back just the same number, so that there should be no appearance of payment for his good deed and because the Romans, who had not been prompted by any special favor towards Pyrrhus, wished to avoid the imputation of compassing any one's death by guile and of securing in that way a victory which they were powerless to gain in the open field. And this act of Fabricius was highly praised by the Senate, who esteemed it a great scandal and disgrace to overcome any one with whom they had an honorable contest, Plut. in Apophth. not by valor but by crime.

The case of Saul and David is parallel: They were fighting one another and David had repeated opportunities of destroying Saul, unpremeditatedly and without any trouble, but he would not do so, vowing
that he would not stretch forth his hand against the Lord's anointed unless Saul should happen to descend into battle and perish or the Lord should smite him.

Further, the conduct of Quintus Servilius Cæpio was much reprobated in that he made away with Viriatus by fraud and snares and domestic assassins, so that he was said to have trafficked for a victory rather than to have gained one.

The reputation of Fabius Maximus as a consummate general rests, ${ }^{\text {ch. }} .2$, , ch. $1 \overline{1 i}$. moreover, not on any employment of deceit, but on his skill in concealing his plans and in lying quiet and dissimulating and laying ambushes and anticipating the plans of the enemy.

## Chapter IX.

## Of the law of ambassadors.

r. Ambassadors inviolable.
2. Usual to surrender to the enemy one who assaults an ambassador of the enemy. 3. Ambassadors can not go beyond the scope of their embassy.
4. The law of ambassadors only obtains in the case of "enemies."
5. A rebel is not inviolable even if employed on the business of an embassy.
6. The despatch of an embassy to rebels is unbefitting the king's majesty.
7. Wisdom and dignity to be displayed by ambassadors.
8. Embassies sometimes intrusted to women.
[r] Ambassadors were safe and inviolable among all peoples. Dig. 50, 7,18 and This was provided by the law of nations. The word sancti was used c. ${ }^{9}$, Dist. 1.1 of them by the Romans, it being derived from the tufts of herbs (sagmina) which the ambassadors of the Roman people used to carry-just as the ambassadors of the Greeks used to carry what were called xnpuxesa Dig. 1, 8, 8. (wands), as Marcian tells us.
[2] Any one, therefore, who had assaulted or injuriously treated Dig. $50,7,18$. an ambassador of the enemy was ordinarily surrendered to the enemy, a proceeding which, as we have said, was secured by fecial law. Thus, in the consulship of Marcus Æmilius Lepidus and Caius Flaminius, for laying violent hands on the ambassadors of the Carthaginians, Lucius Minucius Myrtilus and Lucius Manlius were surrendered by the fecials to the ambassadors at the bidding of Marcus Claudius, the urban preLivy, bk. 38. fect, and were carried off to Carthage. And Quintus Fabius and Cnæus Apronius, ex-ædiles, for assaulting the ambassadors who had been sent to Rome by the city of Apollonia, in the course of a dispute which had val. Max, broken out, were forthwith surrendered by the fecials. And, indeed, if bs. 6 , ch. 6 . those who had violated the ambassadors had not been surrendered, or if the violent act had been planned by the State, custom would have justified a declaration of war.

The Romans, accordingly, made ruthless war on the people of Fidenx for slaying the Roman ambassadors at the bidding of TolumLiry, bk. 4. nius, King of Veii, to whom they had defected; and also on the people of L. Florss. Illyria in return for the beheading of the Roman ambassadors. David, bk. 2, ch. 5. too, made war on the King of the Ammonites in return for the outrage 2 sam., ch. 20 . upon his ambassadors. For an outrage offered to ambassadors is deemed c. 15, end, C . 2 , offered to the king or State whose embassage they are carrying out. ${ }_{2}$ Sam., ch. 10. Nay, although, on a sudden outbreak of war, those who are found among either people may be enslaved by their enemies, even when they Dig. 49, 15, 12, 1. came in time of peace, yet (so Pomponius ruled) ambassadors continue
free, and this agrees with the law of nations. And, of a truth, these Dip. 50, 7, , 18. concessions to ambassadors are made with the utmost reasonableness, in order that representatives of each side may be in a position to treat with safety about the terms of a peace or a treaty or a truce. They frequently lay very big controversies to rest by means of a little labor.

And so the Romans attached to the law of ambassadors an exceptional degree of inviolability over and above that which they attached to the laws of war and peace. This was carried to such a pitch that Scipio Africanus let go unharmed a vessel loaded with many illustrious Carthaginians, which had fallen into his power, because these persons declared that they were ambassadors sent to himself-and this although it was clear that they had falsely assumed the style of an embassage in order to escape a danger. Scipio, however, preferred it to be adjudged that the good faith of a Roman general had been abused rather than that it had been invoked in vain.

Val. Max., bk. 6
A similar thing happened after the expulsion of Tarquin from the ${ }^{\text {ch. } 6 .}$ throne. His ambassadors had come to Rome to claim some property of which he had been despoiled and entered into secret negotiations with some young nobles with a view to the restoration of the king; the thing was detected. Although their conduct was such as to rank them with enemies, yet (in Livy's words) the law of nations prevailed. A simi- bk. 2. lar thing happened when the Volscians despatched certain spies in the guise of ambassadors to the Roman camp, in order to spy out their number and warlike equipment. Convicted and confessedly guilty as they were, yet the dictator Postumius saved them harmless from the mob that had been roused up to attack them. He made this concession to the mere empty name of embassage, lest perchance the enemy should be furnished with some honorable pretext for war by feigning that violence had been offered to their ambassadors in breach of the law of nations.
[3] I do not, however, think that this case provides complete legal

Dion. Halic., Rom.
antiq., bk. 6 . safety for ambassadors who comport themselves similarly, seeing that ambassadors may do nothing outside the scope of their embassage. Ac- Dig. 50, 5. 9, 2. cordingly, the conduct of the Gauls, on the occasion of their attack on Clusium, was correct. The Fabii, who had been despatched as Rome's ambassadors to require the Gauls not to molest her allies of Clusium, took up arms, after the termination of their mission, and, in defiance of the law of nations, actively joined the battle-array of Clusium. When the Gauls saw this, they sent an embassage to Rome to complain of the outrage and to demand the surrender of the Fabii on the ground of their violation of the law of nations; but so far were they from obtaining it that they heard that the very men whose punishment was being mooted had been appointed military tribunes for the following year. Burning with anger at the honor wantonly done to the violators of human law and at the indignity offered to their embassage, the Gauls passed by

Clusium and made straight for Rome and, after defeating the Romans bk. 5. on the Allia, took the City and sacked it. And this calamity, Livy tells us, overtook the Romans because of the violation of the law of nations.
[4] It must be further noted that this law of ambassadors, like the other laws of war, holds only in the case of enemies (hostes) and not in the case of robbers and rebels and others not covered by the term Dig. 49, 55 , 24 ; and " enemies" (hostes). This has been already mentioned. And sochs. 2 and 6 . $\underset{ }{500}{ }_{\text {Philipp. }}^{16 .}$. Cicero remonstrated against the concession made to the envoy of Antony, to whom access to the City gates ought not to have been allowed, and maintained that his return to Antony ought to be prevented. And the Emperor Theodosius, too, was within his right in throwing into prison the envoy of a certain tyrant who had risen against him. So also the Emperor Charles V, when he threw into prison the envoys of the
 public, bk. 1, ch. 6 .
[5] Nor will citizens and subjects, who with wicked intent and Dig. 49, 15, 19, 8. traitorous design are among the enemy and whom Paulus would class as enemies (hostes), receive any protection from the law of nations, not even if they are clothed with the functions of ambassadors; for although they may be classed as enemies, yet the same law does not apply to them Above, on just war as to enemies, as we have elsewhere shown; for they are rebels and unjust and on keeping taith
on keeping faith
with an enemy. (injusti) enemies and they can not by any means whatever free themselves from the jurisdiction and power of their sovereign, which bind
Dig. 50, 9, 6. his subjects all the world over-any more than the slave Barbarius PhiCod. $4,6,3_{3}^{4} .4$ And c. 2, bk. 1,2 in vi.

Bk. 1, ch. 6 . him up (as Bodin tells us, following Suidas). And the same principle justified the imperial officials in killing Rangonus and Fregosa, vassals of the Emperor Charles V and ambassadors of the King of France to the Turk, although this was done without the Emperor's orders.
[6] Now it is utterly unfitting the majesty of a sovereign to send ambassadors to rebels and it was on this ground that Cicero resisted as strenuously as he could the despatch of ambassadors to Antony when he was making war on the Republic; maintaining that, since a citizen and not an enemy was concerned, the situation called for armed coercion and
Philipp. 5 . not for verbal demands. Indeed, God himself so abominated rebels and And see
chs. 2 and
2 and 6. . schismatics that when the man of God was sent to Jeroboam (to whom the ten tribes of Israel, abandoning their king, had revolted) to denounce his iniquities, he was forbidden to eat bread with them or drink water, and when he gave not heed, but fed in defiance of the Lord's command, he was visited with the divine vengeance and killed by a lion on his way 1 Kings, ch. ${ }^{\text {che }} \mathrm{C}, 7$ and back. And not only is it unworthy of a sovereign's majesty to send an c. 9, C. 7 , qu. 1 embassage to rebel subjects, but also extremely hazardous, for it is not to be looked for that the law of nations should be respected by those who are contemning divine and human law.
[7] Further, prudence and dignity are prime requisites in an ambassador. For when the Carthaginians sent ambassadors to Rome about peace they failed to obtain it, because the ambassadors who were sent were young and of no dignity and declared themselves unable, by reason of their youth, to remember the contents of previous treaties; and on this ground they were also had in suspicion and the selection of them to demand the ancient peace, which they did not themselves remember, was put down to Punic trickery; but later, when the fortunes of the Carthaginians were at the lowest ebb, they sent Hanno the Great and Hasdrubal Hedus and others of respectable age and dignity to beg for peace at Rome, and then only did the Romans admit that the Carthaginians were really and sincerely treating for peace, and for that reason they granted it.

Well known, too, is that utterance of Cato about the ambassadors
of the Romans who were sent to arrange peace between Nicomedes and Prusias. One of these ambassadors carried disfiguring scars caused by a rock which had in earlier life struck him on the head, and a second limped, and the third was reckoned simple-minded to the verge of foolishness; so that Cato made mock of that embassage and said that it had neither mind nor feet nor head.

Gravity also is at times of much advantage in an ambassador and sternness for the sake of the State. An example was shown by Caius Popilius : Antiochus tendered his right hand to him on his arrival, but he delivered to him the tablets which contained the decree of the Senate charging the King to desist from the war with which he was harassing Pompey; and then, when Antiochus, after perusing these, said that he would take counsel with his friends as to the course he should adopt, Popilius, with the usual asperity of his disposition, drew a circle round the King with a rod which he held in his hand and demanded, "Before you leave this spot give me an answer to take back to the Senate." The King, taken aback by such an overbearing command, replied, after a short hesitation, "I will do as the Senate requires ": and only then did Popilius proffer to the King his right hand, as to an ally and friend.
[8] Sometimes, indeed, embassages have been intrusted to women ch. 4 . with the greatest profit and utility to the State. Accordingly during the Sabine war, in the reign of Romulus, a decree of the Senate was made whereby the opportunity was given to those Sabine women who had children at Rome, to go on a legation to the Sabines, leaving their children with their husbands. The head of this embassage was Hersilia, and she arranged peace between Romulus and Tatius, the Sabine king; for this the women received great honors from the kings.

Again, when Marcius Coriolanus, who had been unjustly punished with exile, in order to avenge his wrongs, approached to the very walls of the city, after the defeat and slaughter of several armies of the Romans, and neither the envoys who had been sent to intercede with him
nor the priests with their sacred fillets could aught avail, his mother Veturia, taking with her his wife Volumnia and his children, won her val. Max., bk. 5 , son over and disarmed him by her entreaties and tears, and so (in the ch. 4. L. Florus, bk. 1, ch. 22. words of Livy) a city which its men could not defend by arms was de- Bk. 1. fended by the entreaties and tears of its women. The Roman people, too, compelled Mutia, the mother of Pompey, by threats to undertake an embassage to him; and, on her initiation and that of Julia his wife, a Appian, Civil treaty was struck between Pompey, Antony, and Octavius Cæsar.

And that is noteworthy which we read of the Celts, how that they join their women with them in consultations about peace or war and discuss with their help differences that have arisen between them and their allies-the explanation being that at an earlier date, when a grave and implacable discord had plunged them into civil war, their women flung themselves into the thick of the fight and settled all the disputes with an admirable adroitness and re-established concord. Accordingly, in the very treaty which they arranged with Hannibal, it was provided that if the Celts should have any matter of complaint against the Carthaginians the matter should be dealt with by the Carthaginian generals and prefects in Spain, but that if the Carthaginians should have aught against the Celts, the inquiry into the matter should be conducted before the Plut. on virtues women of the Celts. But it was wise advice that Marcus Cato gave, to of women the effect that public audience should not be given to the three orators of the Athenians,-Carneades, Critholaus, and Diogenes,--for he knew them to be of such availing eloquence as that they could persuade to
Pet. Crinit, whatever course they wished, whether right or wrong.

## BOOK THE SECOND

Of the Law and Duties relating to War and of Military Discipline

## Chapter I.

## Of the duties relating to war.

1. War to be undertaken only for just cause.
2. Lust of power brings many evils with it.
3. Resort not to be had to war, save of necessity.
4. Sometimes victory is a cause of more loss than gain.
5. Good fortune to be borne temperately, bad bravely.
6. The Romans neither elated when victors, nor cast down when vanquished.
7. Two maxims of Plato especially applicable to the duties of war.
8. A brave man will do naught for his own ends, but everything for the commonwealth.
9. By what means the country's interests may be served.
10. To die for the State is honorable.
11. Those are not blameworthy who in war subject themselves to the risk of death.
12. For the good of the fatherland it is lawful to kill one's father or one's son.
13. In war the defense of those is not to be undertaken who can not be adequately defended.
14. Those things are not rashly to be given up which can withstand the enemy's attack.
15. Excessive severity not to be wreaked on the vanquished.
[ I ] It being the prime function of justice (as Cicero tells us) to offic, bk. 1. prevent one man hurting another save in return for wrong done to him, it is one of the first of the obligations connected with war to abstain from making war except on just grounds, so that the law of human society may be preserved inviolate, and to repress all lust of conquest, as being not only inconsistent with justice but also fraught, ordinarily, with many other ills.
[2] For (as Livy says) no great State can be in repose if, though having no external enemy, it finds one within itself, just as exceptionally strong frames seem safe as regards external influences, but find their very strength a source of trouble. And, as the ambassadors of Darius told Alexander, there is a hazard in over-swollen empery, it being difficult to hold in check what is beyond one's grasp. This led St. Augustine quint. curt., bk. \& to say, " As in men's bodies it is better to have a moderate stature coupled kingdom of Giod, with health than to attain a gigantic bulk involving constant illnesses and ${ }^{\text {bk. 3. ch. } 10 .}$ not giving you rest when you have attained it, but worrying you with more and more troubles the bigger you get, so a small peaceful dominion is better than a large and turbulent one."

And this seems to be what Calanus the Indian (a distinguished name in philosophical pursuits) was driving at: for he came to Alexander the Great and threw down in front of him a dry ox-hide and then walked on the edges of it, pressing them down with his feet, with the result that, when the hide was trodden on on one side only, the other sides were lifted up high ; then after doing this he firmly stood upon the
middle and the whole of the hide remained at rest. By this illustration he was intimating to Alexander that he ought not to spend time on the outlying parts but ought to be looking after the heart of his empire, and Life of Alexander. that this was the way of insuring quiet to the whole of his dominions.

The Spartans afford us an adequately instructive illustration of the same thing. They had made themselves overlords of the whole of Greece, both by land and by sea, and were so consumed by the lust of dominion that they thought to reduce all Asia under their sway, and thereby they stirred up such envy and hatred against themselves that they lost alike empire and liberty. Accordingly, it was a wise reply which Theopompus, King of the Spartans, gave to his wife: he was the first to conjoin Ephors with the kings of Sparta, and his wife upbraided him that he would leave a smaller kingdom to his sons than he had received. He replied that he would be leaving it greater, in proportion as he left it Plutarch, Educa- securer. And this doctrine seems to have commended itself to the wartion of a prince. rior Hannibal, when he said to Scipio, "It would have been best if the gods had given to our forefathers the disposition to be content, you with the rule over Italy and we with the rule over Africa, for we have been so greedy of other persons' possessions that we have had to fight for our
Livy, bk. 30. own." It holds good in all businesses, but especially (as Cicero says) in the business of war, that before an advance is made careful heed must be given to preparations and to the thinking out of future plans, and all possible happenings of either kind must be arranged for, some time beforehand, and the way of dealing with all emergencies decided on, and there must be no muddling so that at some future date one may have to say, "I did not think of it"-which Iphicrates used to insist was the most Plutarch, Apoph. disgraceful thing that a general could say.
[3] Further, just as doctors rarely and reluctantly resort to cutting and burning, so we to war, and in no case except of necessity because no other medicine can be found. Augustus furnishes an example of this, for he held all war in utter detestation and never declared war against any people except on the gravest grounds, for he was wont to say that it marked a vainglorious and petty mind to stake the security of the citizens on the uncertain issues of fighting, in order to gratify the passion for a triumph and for a laurel-wreath.

War must also not be undertaken unless the hope of profit bulks larger than the fear of loss; it will otherwise be best to remain quiet, as Archidamus warned the Eleans who were projecting a war without due Plut, Lacon. Apoph. consideration. For (as the same Augustus used to say) those who, in quest of small advantage, subject themselves to no small struggle are like the persons who go fishing with a golden hook, the loss of which, if it were torn off and lost, could not be compensated by the profits of any suetonius on catch. It will, therefore, not be a wise proceeding to make war on the August.
point. When he first made war on the Scythians he sent ambassadors beforehand to demand the submission of the enemy, and the Scythians replied with an expression of surprise that the king of so wealthy a people should be foolish enough to enter on a war with poor folk, especially when that course would cause difficulties for him at home; for the issue of battle (said they) is twofold, and the rewards of victory would be none, and the losses were manifest; and so the Scythians (said they) did not anticipate that the loss would fall on them, since the enemy had so many more objects of their desire than they had, and that they would willingly go out to meet him. This they did and drove the king off in flight.

Reason in arriving at determinations is therefore more to be sought after than bravery in fighting, and it is becoming in a wise man to try every other course before resorting to arms. The Spartan custom, accordingly, may be properly praised, whereby before attacking the enemy they honored Amor with due rites; and the Spartan kings before an onslaught sacrificed to the Muses, to Clemency and Friendship, and not to Mars, deeming it preferable to conciliate the minds of the enemy by agreement and a bloodless peace than to risk the cast of battle. But where the occasion and necessity demand it, there must be hand-to-hand fighting; and an honorable war, in Demosthenes' opinion, is ever preferable to a shameful peace. With wisdom, then, did the Spartan commonwealth, in conformity with the extremely severe laws of Lycurgus, withdraw for some considerable time the eyes of its citizens from Asia, lest caught by its allurements they should lapse into a too dainty manner of life. And that this was no groundless fear is sufficiently shown by their general Pausanias, who, after great exploits, directly he indulged himself in the practices of Asia, did not blush (according to Valerius Maximus) Bk. 2, ch. 9. to weaken his courage with its effeminate practices.
[4] It very often happens, then, that more is lost by victories of that description than is gained. To this that same Asia testifies, for when it became a Roman possession it took over to Rome, together with its wealth, its vices.
[5] Now it is the part of a brave soul, such as war calls for, to bear success temperately and misfortune bravely, not to be disturbed by difficulties nor (as it is put) be flustered and lose his head, but to employ the resources of an alert mind, and not to quit the path of reason but to confront all kinds of fortune with unvarying courage. And he, in fine, will be a man whose mind (in Livy's language) is neither carried away by the breath of prosperous fortune nor bruised by adverse fortune, such a man as Camillus is depicted in his utterance, " The dictatorship did not give me my courage, nor has exile robbed me of it."
[6] And memorable Roman examples of this thing remain to us, so that it is doubtful whether the Romans were-more admirable in adversity or in prosperity, it being said of them, " Defeat does not abate their Justin, bk. 31.
courage; nor, if they conquer, does success elate them." And so in the consulship of Albinus, after the army had been cut to pieces by Pyrrhus and the defeat of Cannæ had been sustained (which was indeed of the gravest character) and when there had been three successive defeats by Hannibal, there was not displayed at Rome even the slightest indication of despair nor any talk of peace, but rather was there a high-souled consideration how to repair the fortunes of war. And it was decided not to ransom eight thousand prisoners who by surrender and without striking a blow had fallen into the hands of Hannibal, though they could have been ransomed at a low figure; but eight thousand stronger young men were bought out of slavery and invested with arms at the public expense.
Bk. 22. This soldiery was preferred (says Livy) although there was the opportunity of ransoming the prisoners at a lower figure.

The same thing happened after the Romans had been defeated in a cavalry engagement by Perseus, King of Macedonia. Perseus yielded to the advice of friends who urged that favoring fortune should, for choice, be employed in furthering an honorable peace, and that he should not be carried away by empty hope and so land himself in irreparable disaster; he accordingly sent envoys to Publius Licinius, the consul, to sue for peace on the same terms as had been granted to Philip. During the deBx. 42. liberations spectators were excluded and (in Livy's words) the Roman firmness of design prevailed and it was decided to return the answer that peace would be granted provided that the King would allow to the Senate the power to issue decrees in all matters of high politics touching himself and the whole of Macedonia. The conquered laid down for their conquerors conditions such as are usually imposed on the conquered. For such was then their habit-in reverses to adopt the bearing of success and in successes to curb their spirits.

Like to this was the conduct of Marcellus. His army had been defeated and put to flight the previous day; so the following day, after severely rebuking his troops, he advanced in battle array; and, when Hannibal received the news of this, he exclaimed, "I have, for sure, to deal with an enemy who can not bear either good or bad fortune; either he wins and then he fiercely follows up the beaten or he loses and then he renews the contest with his conquerors." Marcellus indeed gained a Livy, bk. 27. great victory, and so when Pyrrhus saw that though he had overthrown a number of Roman armies the survivors made haste to form a new army, he said, " I see clearly that I was born under the constellation Hercules, who found the lopped-off heads of his enemies springing up again from their own blood in just the same numbers, as if from the Florus, Rom. Lernæan serpent."

And indeed this high-souled firmness of the Romans was of such avail that it constrained Pyrrhus to be the first to sue for peace, although he was the victor and although his army had received large reinforce-
ments; and though he claimed that the terms of the peace should be on the footing of equality, yet, on the proposal of Appius Claudius Cæcus, it was decided to tell the King in reply that he must quit Italy and that then if it suited him he might make proposals for friendship and alliance; but that, so long as he continued under arms, the Roman people would fight against him even if he had got the better of a thousand Albinuses in battle.

Indeed, just as we have shown by previous examples that it was a difficult thing to vary the Roman custom of refusing to be upset by reverses, so let their rule of not being elated by success be illustrated by the reply which Scipio Africanus made to Antiochus when the latter, after his defeat, was suing for peace:
" We Romans [said he] have ever borne (and ever will) the same heart and courage in all fortunes. Neither has prosperity lifted it aloft nor adversity put it down. For proof hereof I might produce your friend Hannibal as witness, to say nothing of others, but that I can address me to your own selves. For after we had passed over Hellespont, even before we saw the King's camp and army, when the hazard of war was indifferent, when the issue doubtful and uncertain, look what conditions we then offered upon your treaty for peace, while we were equal one to the other; well, those same and no other we present to you now that we are conquerors and you are conquered."

Of a truth this equability of temper and this moderation are not only worthy of a great man (for, as Varro writes, the wise man ought alike to bear prosperity temperately and misfortune bravely and mildly), but they are also frequently and commonly found to be of the highest importance in the conduct of affairs, for it usually is the case that prosperity begets negligence. It was on this that Lucius Marcius relied after the death of the Scipios; for with the remains of their armies, after an address to the troops, he attacked the two camps of the Carthaginians in Spain on the same night, finding everything there in neglect and disorder, and he took them and slaughtered the enemy. Amyntas, too, proving Livy, bk. 35 . superior in battle, drove the Egyptians to Memphis and besieged this place and led his troops out to lay waste the country; but the enemy seeing that their conquerors were dispersed and reckless, in reliance on their victory, attacked them, and Amyntas with all his men was put to the sword.

It was accordingly a wise remark of Quintus Fabius Maximus that he was more apprehensive of some evil arising from the success of his colleague Minucius than from any untoward event; and his judgment was not at fault, for his colleague, elated with success, joined battle with the enemy and placed himself and his army in unmistakable peril, but was rescued by Fabius. So also when that rash man the consul Terentius Varro, Livy, bk. 22. in the course of operations against pillagers, had come off best in a certain
irregular encounter, Hannibal without any difficulty put up with that loss, nay he preferred to believe it the (as it were) insatiate rashness of an impetuous consul and a raw soldiery; and so indeed it turned out to be, for by their foolish rashness (against which Varro's colleague, Lucius Æmilius Paulus, strove in vain) that disastrous defeat at Cannæ befell the Romans; and the self-same Varro, who was just as humble after his experience of defeat as he had been impetuous before it, indisputably
 he disclosed the defeat. A brave man ought therefore to use every endeavor not only not to be broken by failures, but also not to be puffed by successes-and all the more so because we can ordinarily bear bad fortune better than good fortune.

Accordingly, the day after Epaminondas gained the victory of Leuctra he went forth in neglected and lowly fashion (though he was, on other occasions, wont to be seen with anointed body and beaming countenance), saying that on the previous day he had been too elated in Plut. Apoph. mind and that he was chastising that excessive joy. And when Philip overthrew the Athenians, with whom certain Greek cities had joined themselves, in a great battle, it was wise of him so to conquer as that no one felt the victory, and he so restrained himself between his own silent joy and the enemy's grief that he was not deemed by his own men to be rejoicing nor by the enemy to be overbearing; yet that victory gave Philip Justin, bk. 9. the overlordship of the whole of Greece.
[7] Further, there are two well-known precepts laid down by Plato for the guidance of statesmen, which are peculiarly applicable to the obligations connected with war. One is, so to watch over the interests of the citizens as that the statesman's every action should be referred to that object, regardless of his own private advantage; the other is to take care of the whole of the body politic, not paying regard to some one part and neglecting the rest; for the curatorship of the State, just like private guardianship, is to be conducted in the interests of the parties Cic., offe., bl. 1. whose business has been put in trust and not in the interests of the trustee.
[8] A brave man, then, will take heed (as Cicero says) that he does not campaign and expose himself to dangers more for his own advantage than for that of the community, for the greatness of soul which manifests itself in dangers and toils is in fault if it lacks justice and fights not solely for the common advantage but for private gain-if indeed the Stoics be right in declaring that bravery is a virtue when fighting on behalf of right, and that nothing can be honorable which lacks justice.

That quality of mind, therefore, which is ready to encounter dangers (the afore-mentioned Cicero tells us) must be labeled audacity rather than bravery, if it be driven into dangers by its own greed and not for the public weal. Accordingly Callicratides, the Lacedemonian general, was deservedly blamed for preferring the loss of his fleet to that
of his private renown; and so, too, Cleombrotus, who through fear of detraction joined battle rashly with Epaminondas. How much more correct was Quintus Fabius Maximus, who preferred that his own power should be lessened by the empty populace rather than win fame by mismanagement. And the man in question urged Lucius Æmilius Paulus, when the latter was starting for the war against Hannibal, with the rash Terentius Varro as his colleague, to conduct the war against Hannibal by sitting still and not rashly to engage with the enemy; and he made him the following address:
" Resist them you shall well enough if you will stand firm and hold your own against all bruits and speeches of the people; if neither the vainglory of your colleague, nor the rumors that shall be falsely blown abroad to your disgrace, shall once stir you. Old saws these be : That truth may too often be sick, but it shall not die: He that will despise vainglory, shall attain in the end to true glory. Let them call you fearful for careful, slow for considerate, an ill soldier and ignorant for a skilful warrior and experienced. If you adventure all things boldly, Hannibal will contemn you; enterprise nothing rashly, and he shall dread you." Liry, bk e.

There is extant also the celebrated speech of the eminent Lentulus to the same effect, made on the occasion when the Romans had been surrounded at the Caudine Forks and an embassage had been received from the Samnites saying that there would be no other conditions of peace than that the Romans should pass under the yoke, unarmed and with one garment apiece. These were his words:
" I have heard my father, O ye consuls, oftentimes make report that he was the only man in the Capitol who persuaded the Senate not to ransom the City from the hands of the Gauls with gold, seeing that they were not inclosed either with trench or rampart by their enemies (who were most idle in such works and in making fortifications), but might break through them, if not without great danger yet without assured destruction. Were the case so now, that just as they then, being armed, might have run down out of the Capitol upon their enemies (in which sort oftentimes the besieged have sallied out upon them that lay in siege), so we might but fight with our enemies in any place (it skilleth not), good or bad, even or uneven, I would not in giving counsel be any jot behind my father in courage and valor. For I confess, verily, that to die for our country is a brave death; and for my own part ready am I even to offer my body as a sacrifice to present death, and engage myself among the thickest of my enemies, thereby to save the people of Rome and the legions. But, alas, here I see my country, here I see all the Roman legions that there are, who, unless for their own selves they will run upon their death, what have they else to save by their death? Why, some man will say, the houses and buildings of the city, the walls, and that multitude that inhabit the city. Nay, rather, if this army fortune
here to miscarry, they are all clean betrayed into the enemy's hands and not saved. For who shall defend them? Peradventure the weak and unarmed multitude : even as well surely as they defended it against the violence of the Gauls! Or will they send for an army from Veii and beseech again the help of Captain Camillus? Nay, here in this place is our whole hope and puissance; in saving it we save our country; in offering it to death, we abandon and betray our country. To yield ourselves is a foul and shameful thing; but such is the love of our country that we ought to preserve it as well with our utter shame, if need require, as by our death. Let us undergo then and abide this shameful indignity whatsoever, and obey that necessity which the very gods themselves can not Livy, bk, 9. overcome."
[9] We are bound, then, to take all measures which will serve the interests of our fatherland and State, and we ought to shrink from no disgrace in its defense, not even from death itself if circumstances demand it, but (in Plato's words) if your fatherland requires that you should give yourself up to be flogged or to be imprisoned, or if it sends you to battle where wounds may be received and death encountered, you must render absolute obedience; there must be neither shuffling nor flight; but alike in war and in court and (in a word) everywhere the mandates of your State or fatherland must be executed; for in all our actions we ought to have regard not to our own advantage and interests, Cic., apainast but to the well-being of our country.
[ro] Indeed, death for one's fatherland has ever been reckoned a Dig. 27, 1, 18. most glorious thing; and so Cicero exclaims: "O death, happy above philipp. 14. all others, when the debt of nature is paid for one's fatherland!" On the other hand it is a most shameful thing, when the occasion offers a valorous and honorable death on behalf of one's country, to prefer life Cic., to Herenn. $\begin{gathered}\text { bk., } \\ \text { i. }\end{gathered}$ at the cost of disgrace and cowardice.

Those, in truth, who die on behalf of the Church obtain a heavenly

 bik. 3 , tit., 25, par. 2 ? parricide, but you who have so gloriously yielded up your last breath have obtained the seats and habitation of the blest." And indeed the soldiers of the Cimbri of ancient times held death on behalf of their country so glorious that they did not deem themselves blessed unless they died fighting, on the ground that they had not otherwise been steadfast to the end of their life; they would, accordingly, leap for joy when in the battle-line, as if about to quit life in a glorious and blessed way, while they would mourn, when stricken with disease, at the approach of a disVal. Max., bk. ${ }^{\text {ch. }}$ 6, graceful and miserable end.
[II] It follows that those generals and soldiers who, in the struggle for victory, expose themselves to extremity of danger in battle and encounter, wherein death is more probable than escape, are not to be
forthwith convicted of mortal sin, for (in the words of St. Augustine) : : m. 3 against Faust.
 or other: it would be the timid rather than the religious who would find anything to blame there, for although no man may effect his own death (so to do being mortal $\sin$ ), yet it is allowable to expose oneself to death c. non licct, (c. 23, or to extremity of peril for a just cause, as on behalf of one's country or De offc., bek. 1 , the Catholic Church." Ambrose, accordingly, defends the brave Elea- and 2l?
zar from a charge of sin and covers him with praise, in that he slew an elephant which towered above the rest and wore royal trappings, because he thought the king was on it, and was himself crushed to death by the beast in its fall.

Maccab., bk. 1, ch. 6.
[12] Nay, if (in the interests of his country's well-being) one were to kill his father or his son, he would not only be guiltless but even worthy of reward. And this holds good, I think, if the destruction of Dig. .11, , 35. his country be really in question and the son can, neither by advice nor by threats, avail at all to divert his father from his design; for there is abundant authority among the philosophers for preferring the well-being cie., offc., bk. з. of one's fatherland to the well-being of one's father, and the law too on Dif. $1,1,2$ and takes the same view, whatever Bodin may say to the contrary and in rash republic, bk. 1, ch. 4

## Cod. 2, 7,14 .

Bonaud in his supplen.
3, art. 3. To the same effect what Clarus gives
in 8 reudum, qu.
21, nu. 3.
rebuke of the expounders of the law. When Fulvius, the son of a sen-
ator, was setting out to join Catiline, his father had him called back and put to death. This was a noble act. He, indeed, who betrays his coun- Sallust, Catiline. try is guilty of high treason.
[13] Further, as regards that second precept of Plato, great diligence must be employed lest when we are proposing to safeguard individual parts of the State we subject the body as a whole to danger. Accordingly the defense of allied cities and other places which are not easy of defense ought not to be lightly undertaken in war. It is better to leave them exposed to the enemy than by a futile defense of them to endanger the main concerns; or even to bring them into reputed danger, for often what is noised about over widely and made more serious than is actually the case, is of first-class importance to the whole issue of the war.

And so the saying goes that rumor takes part in war and that trifles drive the minds of men towards hope and towards fear. That is why Philip, King of Macedon, after sustaining defeat in war at the hands of the Romans, turned and laid waste the allied cities and other places which he had no means of defending, and summoned all the men who were capable of following him, giving permission to owners to take with them such of their goods as they could, the rest being the booty of the soldiery. To do this was a bitter thing for Philip, but he wanted to take away at any rate all movables from land which was soon to pass to the enemy. Liry, bk. 82 . And in doing it he seems to have copied the example of the Suevi who, when war was made on them, used to hold a council and then send messengers in all directions ordering the inhabitants to quit the towns and
take their children and wives and all their goods into the forests, and bidding all who were capable of bearing arms to assemble at some one

On the same principle, when Vercingetorix was planning a revolution and attempting to seduce the Gauls from their loyalty to the Romans, he induced the Bituriges to burn their towns which were not free from danger, having regard to their state of defense and the nature of their position, lest they should yield cover to such of their men as wished to shirk military service or should be open to the Romans for the purCaes, Gallic pose of forage and pillage.
wara bk,
,

Absolute precautions must, however, be taken that none of these measures opens the way to cruelty or greed; for when Hannibal on the one hand could not hold with his garrisons all the peoples of Italy who had joined him-at any rate without splitting up his forces into a number of small detachments (a thing highly inexpedient at that juncture) and on the other hand could not, by the withdrawal of his garrisons, leave the allies who had trusted him void of hope and a prey to fear, he plunged headlong into greed and cruelty and yielded to the sacking of Bk. 26. the places which he could not protect. But (says Livy) the issue showed how foul that plan was, for not only did he thus alienate the minds of those who suffered these indignities, but the minds of other men too; indeed the example affected a wider circle than the calamity itself. Better advised were the Romans after they had sustained the defeat of Cannæ; they carefully scrutinized all the resources of their dominion and when their distant allies implored a garrison they did not send one, not having sufficient strength, but bade them shift for themselves and abstained Livy, bk. 23. from all cruel measures against them.

Adroit, indeed, was the conduct of the consul Marcus Porcius Cato, when waging war in Spain, on the occasion when ambassadors came to him from Bilistages, the chieftain of the Ilergetes, to complain that their strongholds were besieged and that they were without hope of resistance except in the protection of Roman soldiery. The consul was harassed by a twofold anxiety: he did not want to desert his allies and he did not want to diminish his army, inasmuch as this would entail either his delaying battle or incurring greater risk in battle. He decided not to lessen his army for fear that the enemy should inflict some disgrace on him meanwhile, and determined that his allies must be fobbed off with a hope rather than with anything actual, for fictions posing as facts have ofter been of the greatest service in war and one who believes he has obtained assistance is in much the same position as one who has actually obtained it, for he finds safety in that very trust and in hoping and daring. Accordingly, Cato made answer to the envoys that, though he hesitated to lessen his own forces by lending them to others, yet he was mindful of them and of their crisis and danger more than of himself; so he had a
proclamation made to a third part of the men of every cohort, bidding them quickly cook the food which they were putting on shipboard, and ordered the ships to be got ready by the third day thereafter. The envoys did not leave before they had seen the soldiers embarked; and, noising it abroad as a matter now beyond all doubt, they filled not only their own men but the enemy also with the news of the approach of Roman help. But the consul, now that he had made enough show to satisfy appearances, had the soldiers recalled from the ships. By this means he put heart into his allies to sustain a sicge by the hope of help and deterred the enemy from carrying on the siege, yet himself made for the enemy with unimpaired strength and gained a glorious victory.

$$
\text { Livy, bk. } 34 .
$$

[14] Further, just as the defense of those parts which you can not protect ought not to be rashly undertaken, so also those parts ought not to be deserted which can sustain and shatter an attack of the enemy. In this respect Antiochus made a disgraceful blunder. He had been beaten by the Romans in a naval engagement; and, because he had been driven from the possession of the sea, he distrusted his capability to guard the outlying parts and so (by what later events showed to be a cowardly plan) he ordered the garrison to be withdrawn from Lysimachia lest he should be overwhelmed at that spot by the Romans. Now he might not only have easily protected Lysimachia from the first onslaught of the Romans, but also have sustained a siege during the whole of the winter and, by thus prolonging the time, have reduced the besiegers to the extremity of want.

Livy. hk $3 \%$.
[15] Lastly, among the other obligations connected with war, ${ }^{\text {appian, Syrian war. }}$ Cicero includes this: not to be excessively severe to the conquered, seeing that there is nothing more praiseworthy, nothing worthier of a great and eminent man, than readiness to be appeased and clemency and

## Parcere devictis et debellare superbos. <br> (To spare the conquered and break the proud.)

The multitude, accordingly, should be spared, and those fugitives who throw themselves on the good faith of a general ought to be received (even, as Cicero says, when a battering-ram has knocked down the wall) and only the leaders of the crowd should be punished, for (as our author says, ad Herennium) : "It is a brave man's part to reckon those Bk. \&. as enemies (hostes) who are striving for victory and to judge as men those who are conquered, so that bravery may lessen the evils of war, and humaneness add to the blessings of peace."

Now in the matter of the destruction and plunder of cities, Cicero strongly urges that good heed be given not to do anything rashly or cruelly. In this respect Marcus Marcellus won for himself the highest praise. He issued a proclamation in a general assembly giving the wealthy city of Syracuse to the soldiers as booty, but, before doing so,
he wept and he provided in his proclamation that no one should injure a

Ace. to st. Aug.,

Scipio, too, after the capture of Carthage, which was filled with statues and votive offerings in the Greek style, brought from Sicily, proclaimed that people might come from each of the quarters of the city to identify and carry away their own property, and he did not allow any of his own men, whether free or slave, to accept anything. by way of booty or even to buy anything, while the others were busy with the work of Plut. Apoph. destruction.

Caius Cæsar, too, used to say that nothing afforded him greater pleasure than to spare the multitude, and he wrote to Trebonius, his legate, who was besieging Marseilles, expressly charging him not to allow the city to be carried by assault, lest the soldiery should get overexcited and, by reason of their hatred of defection and their disregard of himself and their protracted toils, should put all the young people to Caesar, Civil death, as they were threatening to do.
war, bk. 2.
Clemency, accordingly, is not only worthy of a great man, but it is in many cases found to be both serviceable and glorious. This is the meaning of the answer made by Philip, the father of Alexander the Great, to those who were urging him to order the adoption of stern measures against the Athenians. He said that their opinion was absurd, in that they would have a man who was displaying so many acts and Plut. Apoph. endurances, and with so much glory, overthrow the theater of his glory. So, too, after the Spartans had compelled the Athenians to surrender, there were some who urged that so hostile a city ought to be destroyed and its very name with it; but the Spartans said that they would not
Paul oros, allow one of the two eyes of Greece to be plucked out. And Livy writes sessing a more opulent dominion; for where is the wisdom of destroying the very things the possession of which is being fought for, so that nothing is left to oneself but the war? Accordingly, we read in Dionysius of Rom. antiq, bk. 2. Halicarnassus that the third ordinance of Romulus was this: Not utterly

Livy, bk. 1. to destroy towns that were taken in war; nay, Livy writes it down as a characteristic of war that the vanquished are enabled, by satisfactory terms of peace, to get the better of the victors and control them.

It does not seem out of place to append here the wise advice of Belisarius, whereby he dissuaded Totila from the overthrow of Rome. When Totila had entered Rome as victor he had determined to level it entirely with the ground. Belisarius wrote to him urging him to do nothing rashly: "For [said he] one of two things will inevitably happen, either you will be beaten in this war and give in, or you will win by the aid of propitious fortune; now if you come out victor and have destroyed Rome you will have ruined, not some one else's property but
your own; whereas, if you shall have preserved it, you will beyond doubt have preserved the finest and richest royal residence in the world. Should you, however, be beaten by us, you will have the right to expect undying gratitude from your conqueror for preserving the city unharmed; whereas if it be destroyed he will proceed against you without any leniency." Under the influence of this letter Totila abstained from the destruction of the city.

By a similar dilemma certain eminent men of this Academy of $\begin{gathered}\text { de henesta diseipl., } \\ \text { bk. } \\ \epsilon\end{gathered}$ Louvain softened the enraged heart of the Prince of Orange, for when in that wicked expedition against his fatherland A. D. 1572 he was besieging the city of Louvain, which had no garrison, and the wisest citizens saw that they could not withstand the force of the enemy, they elected-despite the baseness of coming to terms with a wicked and unjust foe and in order to avoid the sight of the violation of all things human and divine, the profanation of temples, and the destruction of by far the most renowned Academy by utterly abandoned enemies-to yield to necessity and to buy off all these evils by the payment of a fixed sum of money rather than risk the cruelty of the enemy. And when the Prince was not satisfied with the amount of the ransom he went so far in his shamelessness as even to require from the citizens of Louvain an oath which they could not take without committing treason, and these same men, who would rather have died than bind themselves by so great a crime, checked the shamelessness of their enemy in the following way: they said,
"Either you will gain this battle which awaits you with the royal army (under the Duke of Alva) or you will be beaten. If you win, there can of course be no dealings between you and us, for we, willynilly, shall be in your power; but if you are beaten (the fortune of war being so doubtful) there is no reason why you should wish to incur our wrath and the King's and the Duke of Alva's."

And in this way, without treason to the king's majesty and by means of a necessary but not disgraceful agreement, they secured the interests of their commonwealth with consummate wisdom.

This commendation of humaneness and clemency is, however, subject to the limitation that severity must be employed on behalf of the State, especially when this is entirely necessary for the State. That is why the Romans utterly wiped out Numantia and Carthage, although the Pontifex Maximus, Scipio Nasica, was opposed to the destruction of Carthage, the rival of the Roman empire, and, when Cato clamored for its destruction, he maintained the contrary, through apprehension that security was the enemy of weak souls and because he saw how needful it was that fear should act as a fitting guardian to the (so to say) infant citizens. And his opinion was not at fault, for the Roman State began from that time to waste through ease and luxury.

Kingdom of God, Appian, Punic war.
bk 1 ch. 9

1 Sam., ch. 12.
Judith, chs. 2, 3
and 4.
and 4 . For men have a way, when they have more strength than is wanted for external wars, of using it up in conflicts with one another, and this kind of war is far the most deadly. The Spartans, therefore, did well in refusing their permission, when one of their kings promised to destroy a city which had given them a deal of trouble: "Do not (said they) Plut. Apoph. destroy the whetstone of valor." Further, it is provided by law that in time of war, when the interests of the State demand it, houses (whether belonging to enemies or to citizens) which are built up to the walls, and from which there is a to citizens) which are built up to the walls, and from which there is a
risk of fire or of ambush, may be overthrown and destroyed, even withCod. 8, 10, 9; and 11,14 out the payment of compensation.

On this point St. Augustine has some fine remarks. And Samuel, too, made it abundantly manifest to the people that God had stirred up enemies against the Israelites in order to keep them in the path of duty. 8, 11, 14 .

## Chapter II.

## Of the commander or general of an army.

1. Nothing more uncommon than a perfect general.
2. To whom the name 'imperator' is applied.
3. Better to have a good general than good soldiers.
4. The best age for a general.
5. Leges annales of the Romans (laws as to the age-qualification for public office).
6. Four requisites of a general.
7. The virtues of a general.
8. A general does not rashly engage with the enemy.
9. Better to excel in intellect than in sword play.
10. A cautious commander to be preferred to a bold one.
xx. The enemy to be prevented from victualling.
11. Not easy to arrest undertakings once begun.

[^16][ $\mathrm{I}, 2$ ] If a war has to be waged the thing of first importance is to decide whom we shall appoint to command the army, for it is a true old saying that an army is worth just as much as its general is, and there is nothing harder to be found than the perfect general. Now this designation " imperator " used to be of common application to those who were appointed to command an army for a given period, but subsequently Cæsar adopted it as a standing title after he had acquired the supreme headship of the State and herein he was followed by others.

Appian. Pref. to [3] For the reason given above, Philip of Macedon used to say tomius. .int. that he wondered at the Athenians' appointing new generals every year, seeing that in the whole course of his life he had found no more than one good general, Parmenio. He used also to say that an army of deer commanded by a lion would excel an army of lions under the leadership of a deer. And so Caius Cæsar, when going into Spain to campaign against Petreius, declared that he held him cheap because he was going to an army without a general. And when Caius Fabricius heard that the Romans had been beaten by Pyrrhus he said, "It is not the Epirotes who have beaten the Romans, but Pyrrhus." And indeed the case of Marcius Flut, Aporh. Coriolanus clearly shows (according to Livy) that the Roman superi- Livy, bk. 2. ority lay in their generals rather than in their army; for when Coriolanus took command of the Volscians, they were victors though they had always before been vanquished by the Romans.

Hence Epicrates, when discoursing on the military art, says that an army is comparable to an animal much like a man, the general being its head, the drawn-up battle array being the breast and trunk, the infantry being the hands, and the cavalry the feet. And just as, in the case of the body, when the head is disturbed and upset, the other members can not discharge their functions; so when the general is discomposed his army can not but waver and fall into peril and ruin.

And after Alexander's death Leosthenes compared his army (which was wandering about and knocking up against itself) to the Cyclops, who after the loss of his eye kept poking out his hands as far as he could, but not in any definite direction, and similarly after the loss of its general an army with its great bulk is convulsed by unregulated movements. And just as, after the departure of the soul, the corpse does not remain holding together, but is scattered abroad in dissolution, so was Alexander's army, after his death, a prey to tremors and was Plut., on fortune jostled about and tossed to and fro.

And indeed the greater the difficulties which a war presents, the more care should be bestowed in preparing for it (as said above); but if less, we must try to get a general the equal of the opposing general, for (as Quintus Fabius used to say) any sailor or passenger can manage the helm in a calm sea, but when a gale springs up and the vessel is swept by Livy, ch. 24. the wind over a stormy sea, then a man and a helmsman is required.
[4] On these grounds Plato laid it down that no one ought to be put in charge of a military expedition before his thirtieth year, not even if of well-approved valor and covered with military glory. And this was the age which the Athenians adopted as the statutory age for military office, although they at times allowed exceptions to the rule, notably in the case of Alcibiades, who was such a favorite of the populace in his early manhood that he drew on him the eyes of all whenever he appeared in public, and no one at Athens was reckoned his equal. He was given command in the Syracusan war before attaining the statutory age. Two much older men, however, were given him as colleagues, Nicias and Lysimachus, in order the better to guard the interests of the State.
[5] The Romans, too, had their leges annales whereby a greater age still was required for the consulship (out of mistrust of the rashness of youth) and for other magistracies. The men of olden time, however, had not these leges annales, really old as they were (so Cicero says), but they were introduced many years later, by the rivalry for office, in order that the struggle for the several steps of office might take place between men of the same age, the result being (as Cicero also tells us) that in many instances great capacities for virtue were extinguished before they could be of service to the State. But the men of earlier days, who held that they ought not to expect an outstanding and surpassing virtue to increase with years, promoted persons to honor according as they excelled in virtue without taking into account either age or family or any
other attribute, but simply reckoning each man at his proper value: this appears from Dionysius.

Ifom. antiq., bk. 6.
" Indeed," says Cicero, " in olden times the Rulli, Decii, Corvini, philipp. г. and many others, and in more recent days the elder Africanus and Titus Flaminius, having been elected consuls quite as youths, achieved such exploits that they have increased the power and adorned the name of the Roman people. Nay, did not Alexander of Macedon mect his death when he was thirty-two, having begun to perform the greatest exploits from the very outset of his life? And this is ten ycars carlier than our laws permit men to be consuls."

Whence (as the same Cicero tells us) it can be perceived that the development of valor is quicker than that of age. Further, Valerius Corvinus, who was made consul in his twenty-third year, used to say that the consulship was the reward of merit and not of blood: and Lucius Quintius Cincinnatus, a very poor man, owning only four acres of land and cultivating them with his own hand, was summoned from the plough to Rome to assume the dictatorship, being the only hope of the Roman people. And this, says Livy, it is worth while for those to hear who Bk. 3. despise all things human except riches, and imagine that there is no great scope for honor and virtue save where there is abundance of wealth. Those again who were sent by the Senate to Attilius to summon him to assume the sovereignty of the Roman people, saw him sowing seed, yet those hands worn with rustic toil established the public safety and hurled
to the ground the huge forces of the enemy.

Val. Max., bk. \&,
$[6,7]$ Again, Cicero holds that a general ought to possess the Pro dege Manil. following four qualities: knowledge of the military art, valor, authority and good fortune; and that the foremost merits of a general are the following: industry in affairs, bravery in dangers, assiduity in action, promptitude in execution, prudence in planning. Yet nothing worthy of high praise will be accomplished without practice and exercise, for in the art of a general as in other arts three things are requisite, natural aptitude, education, and experience-natural aptitude being disclosed in the man's intelligence, education in his knowledge, and experience by its. products.
[8] Now since events correspond to one another nowhere less than in war (and so, as Hannibal said to Scipio, the least possible reliance is to be placed on even the best stroke of good fortune), a general must give great heed not rashly to engage with the enemy, staking all on the doubtful cast of battle; but rather to pursue safety on principle than success at haphazard. Let principle guide him, not chance, for the latter is deceptive and by small causes produces vast mutations of fortune. We read accordingly how Cæsar became more and more reluctant to engage in battle towards the end of his life, deeming that the more victories he had won the less reliance was to be placed on the happenings of chance and that he would not gain by victory as much as disaster would suetonius, inte cost him.
[9] And, indeed, just as successful achievements secure the goodwill of an army (as Cæsar said), so ill-success secures ill-favor: and so a general will be acting more shrewdly, more safely, and more gloriously (according to the opinion of Pericles), to overcome his enemy whenever he can by intellect and reason rather than by the sword, for since the works of the mind rank above those of the body (as Cicero says) so we derive more satisfaction from the conquests of our intellect than from those of our strength. And this is taken to have been the meaning of the custom of the Lacedæmonians, whereby a general who had won a victory with much bloodshed and great slaughter of the enemy sacrificed a cock in lieu of a trophy, whereas one who by obtaining a surrender and by a treaty and by his assiduity had won a not over-bloody victory and had avoided the worst evils of war, sacrificed a bull.

Dion, too, says in his De regno, that far greater things are accomplished by the shrewdness of a few than by numbers and strength, a fact which Homer is adverting to when he introduces Agamemnon as asserting that he could much more easily settle Troy's business if he had ten Nestors or Ulysses for counsel than as many Ajaxes and Achilles for stout fighting. That is the drift of the speech of Ulysses to Ajax found Metamorph. 13. in Ovid:

> Quippe manu fortes, nec sunt mihi Marte secundi :
> Consiliis cessere meis: tibi dextera bello
> Utilis : ingenium est quod eget moderamine nostro.
> Tu vires sine mente geris : mihi cura futuri est:
> Tu pugnare potes; pugnandi tempora mecum
> Eligit Atrides: tu tantum corpore prodes;
> Nos animo: quantoque ratem qui temperat, anteit Remigiis officium : quanto est dux milite major:
> Tantum ego te supero: nec non in corpore nostro Pectora sunt potiora manu: vigor omnis in illis.
> * [All these had been my rivals in the shield, And yet all these to my pretensions yield. Thy boisterous hands are then of use, when I With this directing head those hands apply. Brawn without brain is thine; my prudent care Foresees, provides, administers the war: Thy province is to fight; but when shali be The time to fight, the king consults with me. No dram of judgment with thy force is joined; Thy body is of profit, and my mind. By how much more the ship her safety owes To him who steers, than him that only rows; By how much more the captain merits praise Than he who fights, and, , fighting, but obeys; By so much greater is my worth than thine, Who canst but execute what I design. What gain'st thou, brutal man, if I confess Thy strength superior, when thy wit is less? Mind is the man: I claim my whole desert From the mind's vigor, and the immortal part.]

And so on, in Ovid's poem, where he tells how the armor of Achilles, for which Ulysses strove with Ajax and which was offered to the worthier, was awarded to Ulysses.

Therefore glory resides not alone in bodily strength and in mighty muscles, but rather in moral virtues (as Ambrosius says). Wherefore de ofic, bk. 1. also the giants whom we read of in Holy Writ, much as they excelled in strength and bulk, yet because they had not wisdom perished because of their foolishness; while on the other hand it is written of the wise man, Baruch, ch. s. "There arose a knowing son and through him was overthrown all the power of the enemy."
Eccles, ch. 47.
[io] A discreet and wise general, then, according to the teaching of Euripides, is to be preferred to a daring and rash one, since (as the same writer said) a great force of soldiers can be overcome by wise counsel. And so Xerxes, who led so many thousand men against the Greeks, was beaten by the efforts of one man, Themistocles. Aristides, accordingly, used to say that arms were not the only resource against an enemy, but that counsel was the first resource; for, when we can escape from superior strength by planning and diligence what boots it to encounter dangers?
[ 1 I ] Hence military experts discern exceptional merit in a general who carries on war on such a principle that he and his army are provided, in the most convenient way, with food and water and wood and fodder, while the enemy on the other hand is deprived of all these and driven to surrender, if possible, by famine: and who, though he may think that he could join battle with great safety, yet deems it safer, by holding roads and cutting off supplies, to gain a woundless victory.

This was the principle which especially commended itself to that consummate general, Julius Cæsar, who determined not to join battle with Afranius and Petreius (of Pompey's faction) unless absolutely compelled, for he had set before himself the hope of being able to finish off that business without a fight and without one of his soldiers receiving a wound, by cutting off the food-supplies of his adversaries, asking himself why he need lose any of his men, even in a successful engagement, and why he should allow troops who had deserved so well of him to be wounded, and why, in a word, he should run the risks of fortune, especially as it was no whit less a general's business to overcome by strategy than by the sword. And in the end he compelled his adversaries to surrender by stress of utter want. And on the same principle Pompey caes., Civil war, bk. 1. might easily have crushed Cæsar at Pharsalus through his lack of everything, as he had planned to do, had not he suffered himself to be diverted from his plan by his soldiers in their elation after the victory of Dyrrhachium. But it was Fabius Maximus who won the greatest renown in Appian, civil war, this class of warfare, even according to the testimony of his enemy Han- ${ }^{\text {bk. } 2 \text {. }}$ nibal, who said that Fabius, when not fighting, inspired him with greater fear than Marcellus did when fighting; and his opinion was not at fault,
for Fabius by his devices and by sitting quiet had already reduced Hannibal to such a condition that he not only had no provisions left of what he had seized day by day, but nowhere to seize any for the future, as all the corn had been gathered from all sides into the fortified cities when the open country had become insecure, so that provisions for hardly ten days were left (as subsequently was discovered), and it had been arranged, in the event of a decision to await the seasonable ripening of the crops, that the Spaniards should return home because of the dearth of supplies. All this the consul Terentius Varro upset by his rashness; and although on his arrival Hannibal, who was surrounded by these difficulties, saw that the enemy's forces were augmented by a half, he was exceedingly glad, for he hoped (as really happened) that fortune would give play to the preposterous disposition and rashness of the consul; and by the blunLivy, bs. 22. der of this man that terrible disaster of Cannæ befell the Romans.

Caius Sulpicius, dictator against the Gauls, also adopted the same system, and was in no way inclined to trust himself to fortune, there being no stress of circumstance, against an enemy whom lapse of time and the strangeness of the locality were weakening day by day, and who was lingering without any organized commissariat or solid means of defense. Moreover, those minds and bodies which derive all their
Livy, bk. 7. strength from attack find their strength lessened and enfeebled by delay. Indeed, when Alexander was besieging Leucadia, he let every one from the neighborhood take refuge there in order that their store of provisions might be consumed all the quicker, and after this was consumed he gained an easy victory. Antigonus, also, after ravaging the territory of Athens, departed at the sowing-season, and when their remaining corn had been used for sowing purposes he returned and again destroyed their actually grown corn, and reduced the Athenians into his power when they were exhausted by hunger.

We ought, therefore, to bear in mind the advice which Cambyses gave to his son Cyrus, never to be overtaken by lack of supply, but in times of plenty to bear scarcity carefully in mind; for it is more common to see an army worn out by want than by battle, hunger being (in the words of Vegetius) keener than the sword.

Accordingly, as we have said, it is by such devices before all that an enemy is to be overcome; and rash joinder of battle should be avoided save where the circumstances imperatively demand it, after the example set by Scipio who, when he was sent against the Numantines and saw the boldness, bordering on madness, of the enemy, said that he would in time visit the enemy's boldness on him and that it was the part of wisdom to exploit the folly of others, for a good general, like a good doctor, ought to use steel for curing ills only in the last resort. None the less, he Plut., Apoph. rose up in his own good time and overthrew the Numantines.

Side by side with this may be placed the advice of Sertorius, who, at the time of Sulla's proscription, was compelled to become commander of the Lusitani and, being unable to divert them by speech from joining battle with the whole Roman force, brought out before them two horses, the one very strong and the other very weak; and then he had the tail of the former plucked hair after hair by a decrepit old man and the whole tail of the latter tugged at by a young man of exceptional strength, thus suggesting that the Roman army was like a horse's tail, portions of which any one who tried could destroy, while he who attempted to destroy it as a whole would more speedily yield up the victory than gain it. By this illustration Sertorius brought round to his way of thinking those barbarians whom he could not bend by argument.
[12] Further, just as one ought not rashly to tempt fortune and undertake difficult tasks, so when one has once begun them they ought not to be lightly given up. Of this Marcellus gave an example on the occasion when the Roman soldiery, rashly approaching the walls during the siege of Casilinum, sustained many wounds without any corresponding degree of success. Fabius was then of opinion that this minor operation, which was just as difficult as a major one, should be forborne and stopped, as graver matters were pressing, but Marcellus urged that, though there were many exploits which great generals would not attempt, yet, when once they were begun, they ought not to be given up, because the influence of rumor would be great one way or the other, and he insisted that the undertaking should not be abandoned.

The conduct of Cæsar's troops, then, at the siege of Avaricum was worthy of all praise. They were beset by all manner of difficulties and Cæsar told them that he was purposing to raise the siege for want of supplies, but they one and all begged him not to leave the matter unfinished, saying that it would be a standing ignominy to themselves if they abandoned a siege which they had begun and that the great influence of caes, Gallic war, rumor on war was rightly admitted by the best generals, and that he who ${ }^{\text {bk. 7. }}$. retires was deemed to have been put to flight.
[13] Accordingly, as in other affairs so especially in war, perseverance and confidence are most excellent qualities in a general and often produce the most important results on the whole issue of a war. Of this the Romans furnish us with striking illustrations; and notably so after their defeat at Cannæ, when, though the resources of their State were exhausted, they dared to send reinforcements into Spain and, while Hannibal was actually knocking with his army at the Porta Capena, they prevented the site of the enemy's camp from being sold for less than if the Carthaginians were not in occupation of it. Such conduct as this (says Valerius Maximus), what else is it than to overcome adverse bk. 3, ch. 7 . fortune by one's self-respect and turn its ragings into benefits? And that is the meaning of Cæsar's saying that if all things are not going well, ill-fortune can be mitigated by effort.

In the same spirit of confidence Scipio Africanus neither punished the spies of Hannibal who had been captured in his camp nor interrogated them about the plans and resources of the Carthaginians, but had them handed over to the military tribunes and bidden to observe everything without any fear and be taken whithersoever in the camp they wanted to go; and then, having asked them whether they had explored everything with complete convenience, he provided them with an escort and sent them back to Hannibal. And by this display of absolute confi-
Bk. 3, ch. 7. dence (as Valerius Maximus says) Scipio inflicted a blow on the spirits of his enemy instead of on the enemy's arms, for Hannibal was so exceedingly struck by the confidence of his enemy that he forthwith sent a messenger to Scipio begging for an opportunity of an interview, with the idea that he would be able to obtain more equal terms of peace if he Livy, bk. 30. sought peace with strength unimpaired instead of after a defeat.
[14] Further, generals of great renown, with their clear perception of the great advantages of perseverance and confidence, are in the habit of so acting before an engagement as to inspire their army with the utmost degree of confidence in themselves and in that way to fortify the spirits of their troops. This they used to do in divers ways. The dictator Valerius Corvinus, when making war with the Samnites, before giving the signal of battle would spend some days in skirmishes for the purpose of sampling the enemy, so as that the novelty of battle and of
Livy, bs. 7. the enemy should not frighten his men. The consul Quintus Fabius, on the eve of engagement with the Etruscans, in order to revive the spirits of his troops, whom the enemy's numbers had alarmed, sang the praises of the Samnite wars which they had brought to a very successful issue and disparaged the Etruscans, saying that the one enemy could not be compared with the other, nor the one array with the other, and furthermore that they would know in course of time about another secret missile concerning which he must meanwhile keep silence ; and in this enigmatical manner he feigned that the enemy was duped and marvellously
Livy, bk. 9. fortified his troops. And undoubtedly the ingenuity of Fabius in this course deserves praise and imitation by generals, for it is a common weakCivil war, bk. 2. ness of human nature (as Cæsar said) to place too great reliance on the unseen, hidden, and unknown, and also to be overmuch terrified thereby; and (says Livy) the false, posing as true, has frequently been of the greatest service in war.

Men of old, too, deemed it peculiarly the business of religion to fortify the spirits of their troops. And that is the explanation of alkthe auspices and oracles: if perchance these seemed to portend some mischance, generals would sometimes, by an adroit interpretation, twist it into a good augury in order to rid their troops of fear. Innumerable instances of this are extant.
[15] Now, just as there must be much done in the way of fortifying the spirits of the soldiery, so also a great point should be made of keeping back everything that might cause them alarm or in any way upset their minds; for (as Cæsar said) one conceals a wound of the body, and in the same way any evil plight of the army should be concealed, lest by its disclosure the troops should be alarmed and the enemy emboldened. In this respect the conduct of Terentius Varro, after the defeat of Cannx, is rightly held blameworthy; for when the Campanians sent envoys to him to Venusia, whither he had come with a scanty half-armed band, he so added to their disdain of his circumstances and person by over-disclosure and revelation of the defeat, that the legates, who had already delivered their message, which was, that they were distressed that any misfortune should have befallen the Romans, and who had promised all assistance in prosecuting the war, returned home and reported (such was their disdain of the consul and of his speech in which he despaired of everything) that the very name of the Romans seemed as it were blotted out; and this made all the Campanians inclined to a revolt, assured that they would be able to gain the overlordship of Italy, and they, accordingly, made a treaty with Hannibal.

In the same way Philip, King of Macedon, conceived the unwise idea that he would advance somewhat in the affections of his men and that they would be rendered more keen to incur danger on his behalf if he had some horse-soldiers buried who had fallen in a slight skirmish with the Romans; so he ordered the bodies to be fetched into the camp, so that every one might notice the funeral honor. Yet, what he thought would make his men readier to risk all combats, simply produced alarm and backwardness; for those who had seen the wounds made by spears and arrows and occasionally by lances in the wars which they had been accustomed to carry on with Greeks and Illyrians, when they beheld bodies shorn by the Spanish sword, arms cut off, the whole skull split open, heads severed from the body, protruding entrails, and other horrible kinds of wounds, were on all sides thunderstruck to perceive the sort of weapon and of men they had to fight against.

Similarly, when the Romans saw that the populace was upset and frightened by the sight of the corpse of the consul Publius Rutilius Lupus, who fell in the Social war, and of the corpses of not a few other nobles, which had been brought back into the city, the Senate by decree ordained that thenceforth the bodies of those killed in war should be buried where they had fallen, so that the sight of them should not make the survivors more backward in their military service. This ordinance was soon noised abroad and even the enemy copied it. best general was he who got to know most of the affairs of the enemy; Plut., Apoph. and we do indeed read how ignorance of these has led to the rout of
many armies and how it has not seldom brought down into a critical condition those who were at the height of their prosperity. Thus, when Cassius was beaten on his wing by Cæsar's troops and driven from his camp, he committed suicide in despair because he thought that Brutus too was overcome, although the wing of Brutus was really successful. During a great battle between the Romans and the Volscians, night separated the combatants before an issue was arrived at, and (by an error similar to the foregoing) so great fear seized on both camps, owing to their ignorance of the result, that both armies left their wounded and a large part of their baggage and betook themselves to the neighboring Livy, bk. 4. hills as if they had been beaten. And Curio, Cæsar's legate in the civil war, putting undue trust in fugitives who reported that King Juba (who had joined Pompey with large reinforcements) had been summoned to a neighboring war, and that his prefect Suburra had been despatched with only a moderate force, rashly joined battle and, being surrounded Caes., Civil war, bks. 2. with his army by the royal forces, was cut to pieces.
[17] Accordingly, since (as Cicero says) it is a fault of human nature to believe greedily what we wish for and to expect others to think the same as we do, we ought to be very slow to trust fugitives and spies. And in this respect Cæsar, aforementioned, finds fault with the habits of the Gauls. They often, says he, engage in resolutions concerning the most important matters, induced by nothing more than reports and stories, of which they must forthwith repent, since they yield to mere unsifted reports and since they receive from most people answers framed agreeably to their wishes. It is therefore fitting that those who hold command should go out in person to examine, when any unusual difficulties arise, as Marcellus did, saying to his colleague, "Nay, we will go ourselves to examine, with a few horsemen, since things which are brought before our eyes will furnish a more certain basis for counsel."
[18] Again, it will be in the highest degree profitable for a general to lead in person in all enterprises of hardship and difficulty, for when you wish to enjoin anything on a subordinate, if you first impose the obligation on yourself and your own people, you will more easily render every one obedient. Accordingly a good general should imitate that brave and strenuous leader Gideon, whom God raised up and gave to the Jewish people. He addressed his men as follows: "What you shall see me do, do ye also." For (as Seneca says) good health flows from the head into the rest of the members. And in this connection there are the noble words of Valerius Corvinus, who said:
"As they ought to go into the field, every man trusting in his own manhood and glorious warfare, so should they have an eye under whose leading and regimen they were to enter into battle: whether he were a man that in the hearing of his soldiers could only make goodly and magnifical orations, fierce but in words, void of military works, or he who
himself knew how to handle his weapon, to advance before the standards, yea, and to be employed even in the middle medley of all the battle. I would have you, my soldiers, to follow my deeds and not my words, and of me to learn not only discipline but also good example, who by this right hand of mine attained unto three consulships and to the highest honor."

In this fashion, also, Caius Cæsar often restored order to a disordered line of battle, opposing himself to the fugitives, and holding them back individually, and turning them on the enemy with a wrench of the throat. And so in that doubtful battle which he fought with the Suetonius on Caesar. younger Pompey in Spain, when his soldiers were fighting in a halfhearted way because of their fear, and matters were in a critical condition and his exhortations produced no effect-he snatched up a man's shield and rebuking his soldiers he rushed on the enemy saying, "Now is the end of my life and of your soldiering." By this act, their fear giving place to shame, he restored order to the line of battle, after having received two hundred darts in his shield.
[19] Here, however, it is above all essential that the object of this activity on the general's part is to make his men readier to undergo some danger by his own readiness; but he must not rashly expose himself to danger, especially if he holds the supreme command, save where absolute necessity requires and where the issue of the whole war is involved. For we read that numerous cities have been ruined, and even more armies routed and put to flight, by the general's rash death. Thus the death of Publius Scipio in Spain, due to his exposing himself overmuch to the enemy's missiles, led beyond doubt to the victory of the enemy and the defeat of the Romans. And the wound of Cnæus Scipio at Munda, when the Romans were markedly getting the upper hand, struck terror into his men, anxious as they were about their commander's health, and proved an obstacle to an indubitable and glorious victory. So also when Livy, bk. 24. Hannibal fell wounded at the siege of Saguntum, having too carelessly approached the wall, there was such a flight and flutter round about him that the works and pent-houses were almost deserted. So when Epami- Livy, bk. 21. nondas fell, who did the duty not only of general but of the bravest soldier, there fell with him all the energies of Thebes, for (as Justinus Bk. . says) just as by smashing the edge of a dart you take from the rest of the missile its power to hurt, so when that great Theban general was removed, who was (as it were) the point of their dart, the energies of the State were blunted, so that it did not seem that they had merely lost him, but that with him all the energies of the Thebans had died out.

Let not leaders, therefore, put overmuch confidence in fickle fortune, not even in the shield of Jupiter, under which (according to Homer) they dwell; but in incurring dangers let them (says Cicero) offe, bk. . copy the custom of doctors, who employ mild remedies for mild dis-
orders, though they have to use more risky methods in more serious diseases. This is what the saying of Æmilius Paulus points to, uttered at the banquet with which, after the defeat of Perseus, he was celebrating his victory: i. e., that it is the same kind of skill that keeps an army really
Plut, Apoph. terrible to enemies as keeps a banquet really pleasant to friends. And Scipio Africanus, when taxed with being too little of a fighter, replied, " My mother bore me to be a general, not a fighter," meaning to show. that the secret of success in arms lies rather in one man's knowledge and genius than in the arms and strength of many; for the arts of generalship and of soldiering are not identical.
[20] Further, a general, and more especially a sovereign prince, should bear in mind the counsel of Antigonus. He was tossed about by a violent storm, having all his family with him in the same ship, and he is reported to have enjoined on his children, for their own remembrance and for them to pass on to their issue, Not to dare to subject the whole of their race to a common danger at the same time. And mindful of this precept, Philip, when about to make war on the Romans, hesitated to trust his two sons at the same time to the hazard of whatever might by chance befall, and so he took the elder with him and sent the younger into Macedonia to assume the guardianship of his kingdom, thus copying the example of prudent heads of families who will not lay up the whole of their fortune in one place lest, if it should happen to be destroyed by earthquake or fire or war, their whole substance should be gone. And this is the meaning of that saying which is current among Dig. 32, 79, 1. husbandmen and which Celsus reminds us of in a passage which CoraMiscell. jur. . .iv, sius expounds finely, Money without a fund laid by is a frail matter (Pecunia sine peculio fragilis).
[2I] Further, not only is valor in war (as Cicero says) to be looked for in a consummate and perfect general, but there are also many qualities which are excellent handmaids and companions of this valor. Now it is especially looked for in one who wants to govern others that he can govern himself and his desires, and that he exercises over himself, in the hardest and sternest manner possible, that mastery which he proposes to exercise over others; for he who can not restrain himself is also unable, as general, to restrain an army, nor can he be severe in judgment who will not let others be severe judges of himself. Let him therefore refrain his passions, despise pleasures, control his temper, check his greed, and chase away the other flaws of character. And to one of this Paradox. character (as Cicero says) fortune herself surrenders, she who is said to wield the greatest power, especially in war, and who (as the wise poet has said) renders to every one according to his character. Just as it is narrated of Marcus Porcius Cato, a man endued with all the virtues, that he had such force of character and intellect that, wheresoever he had been born, he would seem able to mould his own fortune, alike in
Livy, bk. 39. military and in civil life.

And it was with reason believed that Alexander the Great would have been more successful had he conquered his pride and wrath, those unconquered evils, and the other faults of his character; he, however, whom the arms of the Persians could not overthrow, was vanquished by his vices. Agesilaus, too, that consummate general, used to say that he quint. Curt., br. of. would rather conquer evil desires than take the greatest city of the enemy, because it was a finer thing for a man to preserve his own liberty than to take away that of other persons. And Scipio, deservedly, did Plut., Apoph. not get greater glory by capturing Carthage than by restoring, inviolate and untouched, to her bridegroom Allucius, a captive girl of such surpassing beauty that wheresoever she went she drew the eyes of all upon her, the amount of her ransom being given to Allucius by way of dowry. Livy, bk. 26 . And the same Scipio produced more effect in Spain by the reputation which he had won for himself for clemency and self-restraint than by the unconquered arms of the Romans. Poetic fictions, therefore, about monsters tamed by Hercules and slain tyrants, mean that the good general, put before us in the guise of Hercules, ought to restrain the corrupt affections of the soul.
[22] Further, when supreme command is given to any one, it will be a well-advised thing to allow him full discretion in the decision of matters of highest import, as did the Senate and people of Rome, who allowed the general full discretion in all things pertaining to war, and left it to his judgment whether it were best to conduct the campaign by sitting still or by fighting, and whether to besiege this or that town; nor did they reserve to themselves anything save the authority to declare a new war and to solemnize a treaty with the enemy, matters which, as we have shown elsewhere, pertain to sovereign power. Accordingly when sce above, bk. 1, the consul Quintus Fabius was sent against the Etruscans in order to relieve the Sutrini (allies of Rome), whom the Etruscans were besieging, after he had defeated the Etruscans in battle and put them to flight, he pursued the enemy, without the Senate's knowledge, through the Ciminian forest and carried the war into Etruria. And after the defeat of Livy, bk. 9. Antiochus, the consul Cnæus Manlius, without the authorization of the Senate or the command of the people, made war on the Gallogreci, for bearing aid to Antiochus; and as the legates who had been with him brought no charge against him he was carried in triumph into the City. Livy, bk. 3s.

In the same way, after Cæsar, to whose lot the province of Gaul had fallen, had driven the Germans from Gaul he crossed the R'tine in order to make war on the Sicambri for that they had refused to surrender those who, after fighting against him and Gaul, had taken refuge in the territory of the Sicambri; and he, further, took an army over into Britain because he understood that in nearly all his battles in Gaul help had been furnished from that quarter to the enemies of the Romans. And all these things he did merely on his own authority.

The conduct of the war against the pirates, again, was intrusted to Cnæus Pompey by the Lex Gabinia for three years in such wise that in the whole sea within the pillars of Hercules and in the maritime provinces up to the four hundredth furlong (stadium) from the sea, he had power to order kings and governors and states to furnish him with everyPlut on pornpey. thing necessary for the conduct of that war. And the dictator Quintus Cic., pro lege Manil. Appian on Mithrid. Fabius Maximus received permission to do everything he thought beneficial to the republic. And the consul Titus Quintius was allowed full Livy, bk. 32 discretion to make peace or war with Philip.

Now in these respects you may deservedly bestow praise on the wisdom of the Roman Senate and people, for one who is dependent on the fears and intentions of another can not accomplish anything noteworthy. Moreover, it would have been difficult for the Senate to administer according to its own judgment matters which had not been brought before its eyes, and determine the sites for pitching camps and fix the places to be occupied by garrisons and the times for engaging the enemy and the times for lying quiet, and (as the saying goes) govern for the whole earth-especially as (in Livy's words) if the opportunity afforded by a moment's space flies past and you make ever so little delay, you will soon be seeking in vain to repair its loss.

Further, in order that generals might apply themselves to their lofty tasks with better spirit, in freedom from all anxieties and without being worried by the fear of punishment for their conduct of affairs, the Roman Senate and people, if an operation chanced to be mismanaged, laid the blame on the fortune of war alone and never took any over-cruel measures against them and never exacted (even from those who by rashness and ignorance had lost their armies) more than a pecuniary fine; and a capital charge was hardly ever brought against a general for mismanagement of a war, for they thought that the failure itself and the loss of praise was punishment enough.

An example of this was furnished by the case of Marcus Sergius and Publius Virginius, tribunes of the plebs with consular powers, in the war against Veii. For the enemy chanced to attack a camp in the district commanded by Marcus Sergius and the Romans were unable to protect themselves against the enemy and their only hope was in assistance from the larger camps within the command of Virginius. Now Sergius was in private life a bitter foe of Virginius, and Virginius refused to send help to his colleague unless he begged for it, and Sergius, rather than be seen to have begged help from his foe, preferred to be conquered by the enemy to conquering by the aid of a fellow-citizen. The one, accordingly, was as obstinate as the other was proud. And so it came about that between the two camps the slaughter of soldiers went on for some time, and at last the defenses were abandoned and some few reached the larger camps and the greater part, including Sergius himself, pushed on
to Rome. And there each party's case was discussed in the Senate and before the people on an appointed day and the guilty parties were only fined ten thousand heavy asses each.

In the same way Marcus Postumius, tribune of the plebs with military power, was also fined ten thousand heavy asses for causing the loss of a battle at Veii. And the consul Caius Sempronius was fined fifteen thousand asses for his failure in the Volscian war. And the only case Livy, bk. \&. that I can find in which a capital charge was brought is that of the prætor, Cnæus Fulvius, for his loss of an army in Apulia-in which case he was loaded with all opprobrium and many declared on oath that the panic and flight began with the prator himself and that the soldiers turned their backs only when deserted by him, for they could not think their general's fear to be ill-grounded. However, before the day of the assembly of the people arrived he went into exile to Tarquinii. Livy, bk. 36 .

But many years before that, Spurius Servilius, on giving up his consulship, was capitally accused, on an appointed day, by the tribune of the plebs, who alleged that when the Etruscans were fleeing to their camp he had followed them up more keenly than was wise and so had lost a picked body of men. The patricians, however, treated this as a most unworthy proceeding, insisting that it was intolerable that those who had indefatigably offered themselves to the enemy on behalf of the State should be accused of cowardice, when fortune frowned, by persons who had never taken their place in the battle line, and they so prevailed with the people that the votes of all were cast for an acquittal, thus proving that it was not for the good of the State that generals should be condemned for want of success.

Nay, even in the case of the consul Caius Terentius Varro, whose

Dion. Halic., Rom. antiq., Uk. 9. rashness and neglect of the advice of his colleague Lucius Æmilius Paulus brought to the Romans the terrible defeat of Cannæ, and who had forthwith fled, leaving behind him his army and his colleague who had bravely died, no reproach was addressed to him for his mismanagement, but a crowd went out to meet him on his return even from so great a defeat and tendered him thanks for not despairing of the common-wealth-whereas if he had been a Carthaginian general no punishment would have been thought too great for him.
[23] For the Carthaginians were so stern in the business of war that they crucified generals who had pursued unwise plans, even though they had been successful, imputing their successes to the help of the immortal gods and their errors to their own fault. And so that renowned ral. Wax., bk. 2, ch. 7. Carthaginian general Mago, who was the first to found the empire of ${ }^{\text {Livy, bk. } 38 .}$ the Carthaginians upon an ordered military discipline, and who consolidated the strength of his State not less by his skill in war than by his valor, slew himself through fear of punishment for a failure against the Justin, bk. 19.

Syracusans; and the Carthaginians, not satisfied with this, crucified the Plutarch, Lite of corpse of the dead man.

Timoleon.
Further, it should be stated that this wide and large power of dealing at discretion with the loftiest concerns which is granted to a general should be very much restricted in the case of a master of the horse or tribune or other subordinate commander (as we shall say in the proper
Below, on the office place). And, indeed, however freely a general is allowed to administer everything at his own discretion, yet he will be liable if he acts fraudulently, for (as Scævola says) he is taken to have received plenary power, Dig. 17, 1, 60, 4. but only within the limits of bona fide conduct; and whenever a man receives a discretionary trust the test to which its exercise is referred is
Diip. 50, $17,22,1 ;$ in all cases the standard of the ordinarily prudent man.
and $18,1,7$; and 19 ,
2,24 ; and $17,2,6$.

## Chapter III.

Here follow some propositions relating to war and cautions for commanders, affirmed by the examples of men of old.

## There should be one head of an army, and not more; with full power; and permanently appointed; and not a man who has previously been reprimanded or disgraced.

1. A divided command in war is useless.
2. On what occasions the Romans used to appoint a dictator.
3. The power of a dictator.
4. A successor should not be sent to a general until the war is over.
5. Monarchy the best form of government.
6. Dangerous to intrust supreme command to one whom you have criticized.
[ I] The four tribunes with military power who were appointed at Rome, three of whom set out for Veii after the levy was made, furnish proof (says Livy) that it is useless to split up the command in war Bk. s. among a number of persons; for each followed his own plans, one taking one line and another another line, and so they furnished the enemy with advantageous openings; and while their battle-line was in confusion and some were ordering the trumpets to sound the advance and others the retreat, the Veians attacked at the favorable moment and drove them to flight. And it was the same when Lucius Æmilius Paulus and Terentius Varro were opposed to Hannibal. And so also, not long ago, when the princes of Germany contended with Charles V, to their great loss. You can not properly split up the command among a number.
[2] And so when some serious war or civil strife threw their State into disorder, the Greeks and Romans used to take refuge in the commandership of some one man-dictator or archon or harmost-as on some holy anchor. Hence when the Romans were overcome by the Veians, "the sorrowing State (says Livy), unaccustomed to defeat, re- bk. н. sorted to the appointment of a dictator." And elsewhere Livy says: Bk. \&. "When the people at home raised a tumult and matters pointed to a very serious sedition, the fear-stricken Senate employed their two last resources, viz., sovereign power and the most distinguished citizen; and it was decided to appoint a dictator and Marcus Furius Camillus was nominated." And so when Flaminius, with the greater part of his army, was killed at Thrasymenus and there was much alarm at Rome, the State (says Livy) fled to a remedy which had long been called for but not yet bk. 22 .
applied, namely the appointment of a dictator. And the same writer Bk. 16. tells us how the men of Præneste relied on a civil discord at Rome to lay waste the Roman territory with a hastily gathered force and to bring their standard right up to the Colline Gate; but the Romans turned from civil discord to war and made Titus Quintius Cincinnatus dictator. And when this was noised abroad, the enemy (such was their fear of this magistrate) withdrew at once from the walls and the younger Romans assembled on his command without demur.
Bk. 5. It is, accordingly, not rash to accept Livy's view, that the Gauls would not have captured the city of Rome if she, when driven to her last resources by her numerous troubles, had done as she would have done against her enemies of Fidenæ and Veii and other neighboring peoples and had appointed a dictator against this new and unheard-of foe, who was bringing up war from the ocean and the furthest shores of the earth.
[3] Now when a dictator had been duly appointed by law, he was vested with sovereign authority in matters of war and peace and punishment and reward without appeal, so that he might the better discharge the duties of sovereignty, in freedom from all hindrances. And so when the consuls Titus Quintius and Agrippa Furius were on an expedition against the Æquians and Volscians, as there were two consuls with equal power in the Roman army the supreme command was resigned by Agrippa into the hands of his colleague (a thing which, according to Bk. 3. Livy, is of very great service in the administration of great affairs). In the same way the distinguished Aristides, who was one of the Athenian generals at the battle of Marathon, as several generals had been appointed with equal power according to the Athenian custom, made over the sole command to his colleague Miltiades and induced his other colleagues to do the same. And it is clear that that proposal, removing, as it did, all rivalries, procured for the Athenians their most glorious vicPlutarch, Aristides. tory over the Medes.
[4] Now we showed in the preceding chapter how important it is to allow a general free power of providing for the highest interests. Further, since it is undesirable to make a breach of continuity in matters which are best despatched under a uniform administration, a successor to a general should not be appointed save at the completion of a war; for what with the transfer of the generalship and the newness of the successor, who has to give himself up to the things that require learning before the things that require doing, opportunities of successful operations often fall through. That is why Fabius Maximus urged the people to use great care to appoint consuls who could show themselves Hannibal's equals. "For " (said he) " when we have chosen the best warrior and captain in the whole city, then presently, without any longer delay, being elect for one year, he shall be sent to match with an old captain that hath ever continued in the field, one that is not inclosed within com-
pass of time or the strait bounds of law or prevented from managing and ordering everything according as the occasions of war require; whereas by that time that we can make all ready to begin our affairs, the year is come about and clean gone."

Livy, bk. 24.
Accordingly when Livy is comparing the Roman generals with bk. o. Alexander he makes them out to be more remarkable than Alexander or any other king because the dictatorship was held for periods of ten or twenty days only and no one held a consulship more than a year; the levies were delayed by the tribune of the people; they started for the war late and were recalled early because of the assembly (comitia); their year of office expired at the very crisis of the campaign; at one time a colleague's rashness and at another time his perversity hindered or hurt; after a miscarriage they succeeded to another's leavings; they were provided with a raw or an undisciplined army. While, by Hercules, says he, kings, in complete freedom from every kind of impediment, and masters also of circumstances and seasons, control all things in subserviency to their designs, and wait on no one. And over and above all these inconveniences, it was unreasonable, after one man had planted a tree, that another should gather the fruit of it, to borrow the words used by Quintus Fabius Maximus, when he was putting forward his claims to have Etruria assigned to him as an extraordinary province, on the strength of his having opened the Ciminian forest and made a way for the Roman arms, through passes until then impracticable.
[5] Now by these proofs and illustrations much force is added to the opinion of those who have maintained that monarchy and royal power are the form of State most in harmony with universal nature, and the best. And so the Sibyl is said to have prophesied in her weirds that the well-being of the Roman State was in a monarchy and that its citizens could not otherwise find safety than by having a king. For (as Tiberius used to say) it can not be that a well-constituted State, which is but one body, should have several heads.
[6] Further, it will also be a most hazardous thing to put into the highest command a man whom you have had to criticize or visit with disgrace. Marcus Livius can be taken as an example of this. After his consulship he was condemned by the popular tribunal and, taking this disgrace to heart, he retired into the country, and then in the eighth year after his condemnation he was brought back into the city and made consul a second time. Now the story is preserved how Marcus Livius, being still embittered against the citizens, was cautioned by Quintus Fabius, when starting for the war against Hannibal, not rashly to join battle with any until he had found out of what kind they were, and he replied that he would fight with the first band of the enemy that he set eyes on; and when he was asked the reason for this hurry he said, "I
will either win distinction and glory from the enemy or from the conLury, bk. 2z. quered citizens, a pleasure which they owe me, however dishonorable." In like manner, when Astyages, King of the Medes, hating Harpagus for preserving his grandson Cyrus, slew the son of Harpagus by way of revenge and sent him to the father to be eaten, Harpagus dissimulated for a time his grief and postponed his hate of the king until an opportunity for retribution came; and afterwards, when Cyrus was making war on Astyages, the latter intrusted the supreme command to Harpagus, who surrendered his army to Cyrus as soon as he had received Justin, bk. 1. it and took vengeance for the King's cruelty by a perfidious defection.

## Chapter IV.

## Whether gentleness and kindness or sternness and cruelty are the more advantageous in a general.

1. No animal the management of which requires greater skill than man.
2. Scipio by humane conduct and Hannibal by cruelty obtained equal renown in military matters.
3. Manlius by severity and Valerius by kindness kept their soldiers in their duty.
4. Heed must be given that overmuch gentleness does not produce contempt or overmuch harshness hatred.
5. Kindness is required in a prince.
6. Love can not mingle with fear.
7. A general will find courtesy to his soldiers more profitable than roughness of manner.
8. Men desire to be treated with kindness and consideration.
9. The habit of obedience allows a milder method of command.
10. Kindness even to an enemy is of much avail.
[ I] We have said elsewhere that not merely valor in fighting is looked for in a consummate and perfect general, but that there are also other excellent qualities, hand-maidens and attendants of this virtue. And inasmuch as there is no animal (as Seneca perceived) the management of which requires greater skill than man, the question is frequently raised whether harshness and severity are more requisite in a commander than humaneness and kindness.
[2] In this controversy countless illustrations can be given on either side. First of all, Hannibal and Scipio present themselves, consummate generals who, with very unlike geniuses and even more unlike methods and principles, won equal glory in military matters. For when the latter was sent into Spain he not only conquered the affections of his soldiers by his inborn humaneness and kindliness, but he also, by the reputation for clemency which he had acquired, reduced the whole of Spain under the Roman sway. The former, on the other hand, laid waste Italy with great violence and cruelty and plundering, and all the peoples of Italy and almost all the towns defected to him, so that while Spain was reduced by the humaneness of Scipio, Italy was reduced by the fear which Hannibal inspired.
[3] We have a similar example in Manlius Torquatus and Valerius Corvinus, who lived at Rome with equal virtues and glory, yet with dissimilar dispositions and methods; for Manlius was naturally fierce and exceedingly severe in military discipline, but Valerius won the soldiers by all manner of humaneness and kindliness. This contrast was so marked that the former, with a view to keeping his soldiers in their duty, had his son flogged and beheaded when bringing precious spoils as victor
because he had engaged with the enemy without orders, while the latter found fault with no one: yet with all this difference in their modes of procedure they reaped no dissimilar results and glory. For no disaffection ever broke out among the soldiers of either general, nor did any shirk battle or disobey a command, and each of them accomplished great things. Thus Manlius with his sternness and peremptory edicts established military discipline in a wonderful manner; but Valerius, while paying just as much attention to military discipline, molded the minds of his men into obedient habits by his inborn humaneness and gentleness, and if he chanced to punish any contemners of law and discipline they put this down to the provisions of law and not to the general's severity; for he would not proceed against them in virtue of his sovereign authority (imperium) in cases where he could invoke legal process. These examples leave the question before us still an open one.
[4] Further, whichever course we adopt, great judgment and caution must be applied, on the one hand to prevent excessive leniency from producing contempt, as happened to Scipio, among whose soldiers a very serious disaffection broke out in Spain-and on the other hand to prevent excessive severity from producing hate, as happened to Hannibal, who by his cruelty and greed in laying waste the regions which he could not defend, so as to leave them bare for his enemy, alienated the minds of all, not only of those who suffered these indignities but of others вк. ${ }^{36}$. also. Nay (as Livy says) the example affected a larger number than the misfortune.
[5] Now writers on the theory of kingship put Valerius and Scipio forward as better examples to copy, and demand in a king humaneness and kindliness and such like virtues-as in Xenophon's book about Cyrus.
ofici, bk. 2.
[6] Fear (as Cicero says) is a bad guardian as regards duration, while, on the other hand, kindliness is a faithful one forever. And Satur., b. 1, ch. 2. Prætextatus says, in Macrobius, " He who is revered is also loved: love can not be joined with fear. What do you think to be the origin of that most arrogant proverb which boasts, We have the same number of enemies as of slaves? We 'have' not the latter as enemies, but we make them so when we behave to them in a haughty, contemptuous, and cruel manner." Accordingly Ennius' lines are excellent:

Quem metuunt, oderunt;
Quem quisque odit, perisse expetit.
Whom they fear they hate; whom a man hates, he seeks to destroy.
[7] And, that no resources can withstand the hate of a number of ofic., bk. 1. people, Cicero shows by the death of Caius Cæsar. And this opinion concerning the death of the "Imperator "(Commander) is confirmed by the example of the consuls Appius Claudius and Titus Quintius. For when Appius was sent against the Volscians, he so harassed the army by
his harsh methods of command, and his roughness so caused the soldiers to become slack and slow and negligent and contumacious, and the minds of all were so embittered with hatred of the consul, that neither shame nor fear would move them, and it got to such a pitch that when the Roman army was drawn out in battle-array, it not only did not desire to win, but desired to be beaten, and made for the camp in disgraceful flight. But when Quintius, who was of a milder disposition, was sent against the Volscians, he won the affections of the soldiers by his courtesy and kindness and gave them all the booty with commendations thrown in (which are not less gratifying to soldiers' minds than rewards are), and after notable achievements he returned to the city.

Livy, bk 2.
So when the consul Cæso Fabius was sent against the men of Veii, , Dioin. Halic., ᄂk. a. besides manifesting many other virtues of a good commander in the preparation and conduct of the war, he so marshalled his line of battle that, by despatching his cavalry merely, he defeated the enemy's army; but the infantry, because they so hated the consul for his roughness of manner, would not follow up the defeated enemy and retreated with their standards without orders. Yet (says Livy) " the general wist not bk. 2. what way to remedy this so pestilent an example : so much have men, of excellent wits, been more wanting in skill to govern their fellow-citizens than to vanquish an enemy."

In the same way the dictator Lucius Papyrius was neither popular with his soldiers nor terrible to his enemies-Agesilaus, however, thinks this the greatest praise that can be given to a general-because of the savagery with which he persisted in demanding the punishment of Marcus Fabius, his master of the horse, who had engaged with the enemy without his orders, albeit with success. And so, when the enemy approached the camp of Papyrius, such (says Livy) was the importance of br. s. that single man Papyrius that, if the zeal of the soldiery had seconded the plans of the general, it is reported as a thing beyond doubt that he could have completely crushed the Samnites on that day-he had drawn up his line of battle with such regard to ground and supports and had so strengthened it by every military device. But the soldiery made no exertions, and this of set purpose in order to injure the reputation of the general, and victory was prevented. The experienced general, however, perceived wherein lay the obstacle to his victory, i. e., that his temper must be curbed and austerity blended with courtesy; and so, with the utmost dexterity, by taking especial care of the wounded, he met with such success that through his attention to the healing of the soldiers' bodies he even earlier regained their affections; and, having re-created his army, he attacked the enemy, with complete assurance, both on his part and on that of his men, and defeated the Samnites and put them to flight.
[8] Gravity must, therefore, be seasoned with affability. For, as Xenophon wisely writes, while other animals are brought into condition
by three methods conspicuously-by food when they are too low-spirited, by a lowering diet when they are over-lusty, and by the whip when they are obstinate-man, the most high-spirited animal of all, refuses to be coerced either by threats or by punishment, but he loves to be treated with kindliness and good-will; for, while the brute creation allows itself to be dragged by violence, man will not be led except by reason.
[9] Nevertheless it must be remarked that the clemency of kings and of generals (as Alexander the Great used to say) is founded not only upon their own character, but upon the character of those who obey, for the habit of obedience allows a milder method of command. But where character has no reverence and we make no distinction between the highest and the lowest, there must needs be force in order to repel Quint. Curt., bk. 8. force.
[io] And, further, kindliness and humaneness are not only of advantage in dealing with soldiers and citizens, but also they have more than once been of greater avail in dealing with enemies than the unconquered Roman arms, as we have already shown by the case of Scipio. The case of Camillus, when he was besieging the Falisci, also illustrates the same truth; for it seemed that this was going to be an unending toil, had he not lured them to make surrender by his considerate act in handing to them the betrayer of their king's sons. The generosity of Fabricius, too, in informing Pyrrhus of the doctor's plot against his life, broke Livy, bk. 5. down and conquered the King's heart. And so humaneness (as Valerius
val. Max., bk. 5, Maximus says) conquers anger, lays hatred low, and mingles the enemy's tears with his blood.

# Chapter V. <br> Times and seasons to be taken into account, above all in war. 

1. The proper conduct in war is one thing, in peace another.
2. In peace custom must be followed, in war expediency.
3. The opinion of Fabius Maximus about the deserter Altinius.
4. Marcellus preferred to conciliate Lucius Brantius by kindness rather than check him by punishment.
5. Scipio Nasica overthrew Gracchus by summoning the good citizens on his own initiative.
6. Octavius Cæsar waged war on Antony of his own motion.
7. Civil laws are silent in time of war.
8. Necessity makes the unlawful lawful.
9. That is not reckoned a breach of law which is done under pressure of necessity.
10. On fit occasions the principle of a war must be altered.
ri. Fabius a slow-mover (cunctator) by nature, not by design.
[ I ] Writers on obligations have laid down that in all actions very great heed is to be paid to times and seasons, especially in cases where chance is the dominating factor. And so, as Quintus Fabius Maximus used to tell his son, the consul Quintus Fabius Maximus, judgment and conduct are to be framed one way in the full heat of war and another way in the tranquillity of peace; for in peace those steps may, without hindrance, be taken which equity and justice indicate and we may take our stand upon the law; but in times of war and tumult remedies of this ordinary kind are frequently found to be irritants, and stimulants of war and strife, rather than sedatives. Hence it is often the case that, with the exception of what has been enacted to hold good in perpetuity as being permanently expedient, war abrogates the legislation of peace and vice versa, just as in navigation (as Livy says) one set of measures is Cod. 6,51, pr. employed in good weather and another in bad weather.
[2] And so (says Cicero) our forefathers always submitted to the Pro lege Manil. guidance of custom in peace and of expediency in war and always, as new emergencies arose, adapted new plans and principles to them; and in another passage he says, "In such a clash of disturbed affairs we can not Philipp. 11 . but do what the times require rather than what is customary."
[3] And this was the principle on which Quintus Fabius Maximus, the father, acted in the case of Classius Altinius of Arpi, who had come to the Roman camp secretly by night and promised that for a reward he would betray Arpi. Quintus Fabius Maximus would not allow him to be flogged and executed, as others thought he should be, for that after the defeat at Cannæ, instead of keeping faith through all changes of fortune, he had defected to Hannibal and carried Arpi with him in his defection and then when, contrary to his hope and wish, the fortunes of Rome (so
to say) rose again, he plans a new betrayal. Fabius, instead, determined that he ought to be set for an example to any such as himself who might be coming to their senses and bethinking themselves of their former friends, for if it were allowable to quit the Roman side, but not allowable to return to it, who could doubt that in a short space Rome would be found deserted by her allies and the whole of Italy bound by treaties with the Carthaginians? Still he did not think it right that any trust should be placed in Altinius, but, adopting a middle plan, that he should Livy, bk. 24. be treated as neither enemy nor friend.
[4] It was so also at the time when Nola was in an exceedingly critical condition, Marcellus holding it not so much by means of his garrison as.by the good-will of its nobles, and the commonalty verging on a revolt, which was a cause of much apprehension. The ringleader was Lucius Brantius, a young man of encrgy, whom the general agreement about the expected revolt and fear of the Roman prætor was prompting at one time to a betrayal of his country and at another to desertion. Marcellus perceived that he must either be restrained by punishment or won over by kindness and he thought it better to attach to himself than to drive over to the enemy so brave and active an ally. Accordingly he had him brought, and so worked on the mind of the wild young man by his courtesy and by rewards into the bargain that thenceforward none of the allies served the Roman State with greater bravery and fidelity. But as regards the other inhabitants of Nola who were accused of treason Marcellus, after defeating Hannibal, shut the gates and had a criminal Livy, bk. 23. inquiry held over them.
[5] And the story told of Scipio Nasica tends in the same direction. It was at the time when Tiberius Gracchus, in his tribuneship, was behaving himself very oppressively towards the State by aid of profuse bribery, whereby he had ingratiated himself with the populace and was openly repeating that the Senate ought to be suppressed and the whole conduct of affairs left to the people. And, though there was a general agreement that the consul ought to use force to preserve the State, yet Mucius Scævola declared that he could effect nothing by force. Then said Scipio Nasica, " Since the consul in his regard for strict legality is letting the Roman State with all its laws be ruined, I, private citizen tho' I be, place myself at your disposal as your leader"; and raising his right hand he called out, "Let those who desire the safety of the State follow me." And at this word the better citizens shook off all diffidence and forced Val. Max., bk. 3, Gracchus and his accursed faction to suffer the punishment they deserved. ch. 2. Appian,
Civil war, bk. 1.
[6] Hence, too, Cicero praises Octavius Cæsar for taking the field Philipp. 8. against Antony of his own motion, without waiting for a decree of the Senate; for, as he said, the season for issuing decrees was not yet, and he saw that if he let the occasion slip by without warlike measures the State would be destroyed and the issue of decrees entirely prevented.
[7] Sometimes, then, civil laws are silent in times of war and themselves disallow that regard should be paid to them, since he who would look to them may have to suffer injustice before claiming justice. And ciecro, pro silione. the story told of Caius Marius tends in the same direction. He had given Roman citizenship, in breach of treaty, to two cohorts of Camertians, for their admirable valor in keeping their line intact against the forces of the Cimbri, and he excused his conduct by saying that in the din of arms the words of the civil law can not make themselves heard; for the crisis was one when measures of defense were more proper than val., Max, bk. 5, hearkening to the law.
[8] And beyond doubt necessity often makes that lawful which c. 20, Dist. 5, de otherwise would have been unlawful. And so when the strength of $x$, conser, is. and c .3 , Rome had been shattered by the defeat at Cannx, the condition of things was so critical that, at the bidding of the dictator Marcus Junius, the spoils of enemies which were fastened to the temples and consecrated to the divine will were plucked away in order to serve as instruments of war; and mere lads (pretextati) put on armor; and several thousands of assigned debtors (addicti) and men condemned on a capital charge and slaves were enrolled, for in those days they scorned to have the lowest class of citizens (capite censi) for soldiers. Now (as Valerius Livy, bk. 23. Maximus says) this, regarded in itself, is somewhat shameful, but if the Bk. 7, ch. 6 . stress of necessity is taken into account in estimating it, it will be seen to be a remedy suitable to the harshness of the occasion. For, as the same writer says, one must yield to fortune in cases where, if counsels which make for the greater safety are not chosen, utter ruin awaits the man who follows appearances.

So, also, when the consuls Caius Marius and Cnæus Carbo were at variance in the civil war with Sylla-a time when it was not so much victory being sought for the State as the State being the reward of victoryby virtue of a decree of the Senate the gold and silver ornaments of the temples which Numa Pompilius had dedicated to the solemn rites of the gods were melted down in order to prevent a failure of the soldiers' pay-so great was the regard paid to public honor even in this time of supreme distress. But it was not, as Valerius Maximus says, the free- bk. т. ch. e. will of the Senate, but thy fell hand, O most loathsome Necessity, that put the pen to that decree.
[9] Nor, again, is that reckoned a breach of law which is done under the stress of necessity and at the instance of public expediency. For by a law paramount, sanctioned by Jupiter, as Cicero says, every- Philipp. 8 . thing that makes for the well-being of the State is just and lawful. And so, when there was urgent need that the cash in the treasury should be taken out for State use and the questors dared not open the treasury because they thought the law forbade, Scipio Africanus straightway demanded the keys and opened the treasury, making the law give way to
val. Max., bk. 3 , expediency. And the Lacedæmonians, when driven to postpone the law Appian, Punic war. to necessity, are reported to have said, "To-day let the laws go to sleep." Hence, according to some, if a sudden and unforeseen war is apprehended or some other extreme need arises, the Church is bound to make
Abb. Panarmit. on c. contribution.
[ro] Again, in what concerns the administration of a war, a general or commander of an army must, more than most, accommodate himself to times and seasons; and, if the occasion arises, the plan of a war must be altered. And at one time proper conduct calls for a certain impetuosity and ardor of soul and fierce seizure of the advantages of an opportunity, and at another time for slow-going and cautious sitting still. And as this is a difficult matter and contrary to nature, in that (as Livy says) the gods have not given everything to any one man, we must put great care and diligence into the effort to adapt our disposition to the shape of the times; and we ought not always to protract a war, after the fashion of Fabius, nor join battle lightly in our passion for fighting, after the fashion of Marcellus and Scipio and others.
[ I r] For Fabius, being a slow-mover by nature and not by design, earned his great renown in military matters by favour of the special occasion; for, it being impossible to defeat Hannibal in battle, inasmuch. as he was of warlike disposition and rendered fierce by several victories, and as the Roman strength was worn down, Fabius broke the impetuosity of his enemy by sitting still. Yet the same man, following nature and not adapting himself to the occasion, persisted in the same attitude even after the Romans had renewed their strength, and he resisted Scipio's request to have Africa decreed to him as a province, although this was the only way to draw Hannibal out of Italy, as the event showed.

If, however, Scipio had been sent against Hannibal at the time when Fabius was sent, in all probability he would have brought the Roman fortunes even lower by his excessive lust of battle, after the fashion of Flaminius and the other predecessors of Fabius. So difficult it is to alter character and nature. And on this ground a free republic is ordinarily preferred to a monarchy in that you may find there the greatest variety of character to suit varying times.
Above, ch. 3. In another place of our journey we have shown how useless a divided command is in war.

## Chapter VI.

## Factions and prolonged deliberations on matters of war are very harmful, especially when what is wanted is action rather than counsel.

x. Very advantageous in deliberations that all should be of one mind.
2. Slow and prolonged deliberations hurtful.
3. In adverse fortune the most daring plans are the safest.
4. The noble deed of Scipio.
[ I] As in all deliberations and consultations, so especially in those relating to war, it is very advantageous that all should be of one way of thinking and not spoil good counsels by factiousness and obstinacy (which not infrequently create an extremely critical state of affairs).

And this was made clear to the citizens of Syracuse by one of the chieftains, Apollonides, after the death of Hieronymos, the Syracusan tyrant, when a very serious difference had arisen among the Syracusans, some of whom were for seeking the friendship of the Carthaginians and others of them that of the Romans, and there was a disturbance so great as to be not far short of sedition. Apollonides addressed the men of Syracuse in a speech of much ability and, having regard to the circumstances, of considerable polish, as follows:
"Never was there a city nearer either to hope of assured safety or to fear of utter destruction than this of ours at this present; for, if all would go one way together, and with one accord either incline to the Romans or to the Carthaginians, there were not a city under the cope of heaven whose state were more happy and fortunate than ours. But in case we be distracted and the common weal go divers ways, there would not be more bitter war between the Carthaginians and the Romans than between the Syracusans themselves, when within the same walls there should be banding one against another and each side have their forces, their armor, and captains of their own. And therefore we ought to endeavor our utmost that all may be of one mind. As for the question, which alliance be the more expedient, that is a matter of far too little importance to be consulted long upon."
[2] Further, just as these contentious deliberations are very hurtful, so, as a rule, are slow and prolonged ones, especially in war, where we read more than once that counsel, which otherwise and in itself is a safe and beneficial thing, has proved rash and disastrous by reason of the delay. And the men of Lavinium furnished a proof of the truth of
this. After wasting a deal of time in deliberation, they only began to send help after the Latins had been defeated, and, just as their foremost ensigns and van were issuing from the gates, up comes a messenger with the news of the defeat of the Latins. Thereupon they faced about and went back into their city: and it is said that their prætor, Milonius, remarked: "There will be a big fare to pay to the Romans for a very Livy, bk. 8. short journey." Now the Lavinians might either, by keeping quiet, have retained the friendship of the Romans or, by sending help to the Latins betimes, have rendered their joint forces superior to the Romans.

And a further illustration is afforded by what the Latin protor Annius said, at a time when the Latins were considering about a defection from the Romans and the Romans had in consequence summoned ten of their leading men to Rome and a discussion was taking place about the proper answer to be given in the matters which they believed would be put before them at Rome. "Although I myself," said he, " have moved you to resolve upon an answer, yet I think it concerneth us more in our main estate to determine what to do than what to say. And when we have resolved what course to take, an easy matter will it Livy, bk. 8 . be to frame words fit for the purpose."
[3] There is, therefore, no room for delay (as Tacitus says) in a plan which can only be justified by success; and (as Livy says) in difficult and critical situations the boldest counsels are the safest, seeing that the least hesitation in seizing on the opportune moment, as it flies by, means that you will seek for it in vain thereafter. Caius Cæsar, accordingly, used to say that daring and doughty exploits were meant to be performed and not to be deliberated on.
[4] And in this connection we have the notable deed of Publius Cornelius Scipio. It was after the defeat of Cannæ, and those who had fled to Canusium had offered the supreme command to the military tribunes Appius Claudius Pulcher and Publius Cornelius Scipio. And as they sat with some few others in counsel together about the management of their main affairs, Publius Philus, whose father had been a consul, gave them to understand that all their consultations were in vain, that they did but cherish a foolish hope, and that the condition of the State was desperate; also that certain young noblemen, of whom Lucius Cæcilius Metellus was the principal, minded nothing else but to take to the sea and forsake Italy and fly for succor to some king or other. This evil tidings put them all in a state of consternation and they that were there present laid their heads together and thought good to call others to counsel on the point; and then Scipio, a young man predestined to be the fatal captain of this war, said, "It is no time now to sit consulting, but to proceed to action and to do some exploit. As many therefore as are ready to save the commonweal, take arms and go with me forthwith, for nowhere are our enemies, to say the truth, encamped against us more
than where such designs are being deliberated on." Forth he went with a few following after him to the lodging of Metellus; and, finding there the young men aforesaid, close together in counsel thercabout, he drew his sword and brandished it naked above their heads as they sat in consultation. "I swear before you all," quoth he, " and from my very heart, that I will neither forsake the commonweal nor suffer any citizen else of Rome to abandon her. And if I fail in my word, witting and willing, then confound me, O Jupiter most good, most great, and bring a shameful end upon my house, my family, and all that I have. Swear thou, Lucius Cæcilius, after me as I have done, I advise thee, and ye all that are here present take the same oath; for whosoever shall refuse, know he assuredly that upon him I have drawn this sword." Hereat, they being no less terrified than if they had seen the conqueror himself, Hannibal, took the oath every one and yielded themselves unto Scipio to be kept in ward to serve against Hannibal. Beyond doubt, this deed Livy, bx. 22. brought Scipio greater glory than all the triumphs which he subsequently won.

And thus slow-moving (as Tacitus says) is not wanted when it is more harmful to lie quiet than to be daring, and this is preëminently the case in civil discords and rebellions, where nothing conduces to safety more than swift action, and there is more need of acts than of counsels, seeing that (as Cicero said when urging the prompt adoption of warlike philipp. 5. measures against Antony) every evil can easily be checked in its inception, while it generally gets stronger as it gets older.

Accordingly, when the Gauls were planning active hostility once more, Cæsar, by dint of forced marches, made sudden onslaughts on the conspirators in all quarters, and allowed no city any leisure to think more about the safety of any other city than about its own, and by this rapidity he kept in their allegiance those who were faithful and frightened those who were hesitating whether to accept his terms of peace, and put down the attempts of the Gauls with complete ease.

And so, as the proverb goes, we ought to get to know the times, so as to avoid what usually happens in ill-starred schemes, namely, that the best course proves to be one which it is now too late to take and the fit time for action is allowed to go by in futile delays.

## Chapter VII.

## So long as their strength remains unbroken, the king and State should not admit the slightest derogation from their sovereignty; and those err who think that the pride of an enemy can be tamed by moderation and patience.

1. If once the sovereignty of kings begins to totter, it is easily overthrown.
2. Concessions ought not to be lightly made to an enemy.
3. It is better to surrender a kingdom after defeat in war than without a struggle. 4. Patience and moderation only make an enemy fiercer.
4. Evils should be faced in due time
5. The fable of the dog.
6. There should be no giving in to the evil designs of an enemy through fear of war.
7. The fable of the wolf, the shepherds and the dogs.
[r] There is nothing more entirely true than what Scipio Africanus said to Antiochus when warning him not to reject the terms of peace which he was offering, i. e., that royal majesty is with greater difficulty drawn down from the summits to a middle position than it is Livy, bk. 37. hurled from a middle position to the depths.
[2] And so, as long as their strength is still unbroken, kings and States should be very chary of allowing any encroachment on their sovereignty, for their main affairs would at the same time be thereby endangered, those to whom the concession has been made being emboldened to ask for more, their own men being rendered less ready to defend them, and they themselves falling into general contempt.
[3] It is, accordingly, more conducive to their good fortune and more consistent with the dignity which is a brave man's due to endure all the chances of war, so long as there is any hope left in arms, rather than by concessions here and concessions there to lose everything without a struggle. It is better to suffer defeat in the main object of the war, after resort, though in vain, to every kind of effort, than to make a concession through fear of war.
Livy, bl. 3t. [4] For, as Livy says, the aim of war and peace is to produce a general agreement. And there is no baser act than to surrender a kingdom without fighting, nor more glorious act than to hazard everything in defense of dignity and sovereignty-and all the more so because it is a provision of nature that we generally only make people fiercer against us when we think to overcome their arrogance with patience and lowliness.

This is well shown by the following case of the Latins. They and the Campanians were making war on the Samnites; and envoys, sent by the Samnites to Rome, complained in the Senate that they, though now confederate with Rome, were subject to the same hardships as they had suffered when enemies; and they solicited, with the humblest entreaties, that they would by their sovereign authority keep the Latins and Campanians out of the Samnite territory, if these people really were under Roman dominion, but, if otherwise, that they might employ force to restrain them. To this an equivocal answer was given, because it was mortifying for the Romans to acknowledge that the Latins were not now in their power and they were afraid that, by finding fault, they might alienate them from their side. The case of the Campanians, they said, was different, they having come under Roman protection by surrender and not by treaty; so the Campanians, whether they wished it or not, should remain quiet. But there was nothing in the treaty with the Latins to restrain them from going to war with whomsoever they pleased.

This answer (says Livy) sent the Samnites away uncertain as to bks \& the conduct which they were to think the Romans would pursue and it also further estranged the Campanians through fear, while it rendered the Latins more presumptuous, they thinking that there was nothing which the Romans would now refuse them. Accordingly, their leading men summoned frequent meetings under the pretext of preparing for war against the Samnites, but in all their deliberations among themselves they fomented a plan for war with Rome. And hereupon their prætor Annius inflamed them with the following harangue:
" If ye ever wished to see the day wherein ye might participate in government and recover your liberties again, lo that time is now come, presented unto you by your own valor and the gracious goodness of the immortal gods. Tried their patience ye have in denying soldiers! Who doubteth but that they were in a great choler when we brake a custom of more than two hundred years' prescription? Yet, nevertheless, this grief have they put by. We warred upon the Pelignians in our own name and quarrel, and they who aforetime allowed not us the liberty so much as to defend our own marches of ourselves, nothing gainsaid. That the Sidicines were taken to our mercy and protection, that the Campanians revolted from them and sided with us, that we levied an army against the Samnites their confederates, they heard and knew well enough; and yet they stirred not forth once from their city. How come they to be so calm and quiet, but upon a knowledge of our puissance and their own weakness?"

And in this way the Romans entered on a war of uncertain result with the Latins, a war all the more grievous to them because due to the excessive patience which they had used in the hope of avoiding it;
whereas, if they had withstood the earliest attempts of the Latins, they would have had little trouble in keeping them in their duty. The Roman forbearance did not avoid the war but only put it off, to the great advantage of the Latins, to a time when the enemy's preparations for war were more complete.
[5] Plans for the future should, then, be thought out beforehand and the best line of conduct be determined on in advance, for any contingency that may arise of either kind; and this ought to be faced before it happens, instead of waiting until you are overwhelmed and the ill grows day by day and gets past cure. This is also a maxim of doctors in the treatment of disease. And so Regulus, when in Gaul, addressing Commanus, King of the Segoregii, insisted that Marseilles (which Senanus, the predecessor of Commanus, had allowed the Greeks to found) would soon or late cause the ruin of the neighboring peoples, and he urged that it ought, then, to be checked in its beginning, lest when it had increased in strength it should overthrow him himself.
[6] And he added this fable: " A pregnant bitch once begged a temporary lodging from a shepherd in which to bring forth her young; and then, after having obtained this, made a second request for permission to bring up her puppies there; and in the end, being installed as guardian of the house, she claimed the ownership of the place." Just in that way, said he, the men of Marseilles, now wearing the guise of tenJustin, bk. 43. ants, will in time become proprietors of those parts.

If, then, war is apprehended, it is better to attack the enemy before he is ready than, merely in order to avoid a war at the present time, to lay up for oneself a much bitterer war for some future date.
[7] For there should never be any giving in to the evil designs of an enemy through fear of war; so to do is not so much a method of avoiding war as of putting it off to a much more inconvenient season, and you may be sure that often by a posture of war you will be more likely to have peace than by putting your arms on one side and making concessions to the arrogant demands of the enemy, an attitude which rather stimulates and feeds the ferocity of the enemy than appeases it.
Philipp. 7.
And this was what prompted Cicero's speech, in which he urged the Senate not to make peace with Antony: "By the immortal gods, O conscript fathers, do not, in your hope for a present peace, lose a permanent one." The Romans, accordingly, acted wisely, when Philip and Antiochus were concocting a war, in being the first to take warlike action, and they preferred to shift the war into Greece rather than wait for it in Italy. This is what Vegetius meant when he said, "Let him who wants peace prepare war," and what Manlius Capitolinus used to say, " Just show war and you will get peace: let them see you prepared for blows and they will admit your claim."

For (as Cicero said) salutary severity outdoes a futile show of clemency. If, however, we persist in being clement we shall never lack civil wars. And, as the same writer says in another place, when dealing Epist., bk. 10 . with civil war: Peace ought not to be granted to an enemy save when he sues for it with arms laid down, but if he sues for it while still fighting he must get his peace by a victory and not by a bargain. This, too, is the drift of Mimus' remark: "It is humane to pardon when the man pardoned is ashamed, but in other cases, by putting up with an old wrong you invite a new one."

And here we have, I think, the explanation of the fable which was propounded by Demosthenes. It was at a time when Alexander the Great, under the cloak of liberty, had invaded a great part of Greece and was besieging Athens, making out that his conduct was not meant to deprive them of liberty or reduce the city to slavery, but was due to the incessant revilings with which he was assailed by ten insensate citizens of theirs; and declaring that directly these few were surrendered to him he would quit the siege and release the people from war and hunger; but before all he demanded the surrender of Demosthenes and nine other highly distinguished citizens. And the Senate was hesitating and many were calling out that the interests of the many should be considered before those of the few, and those few who were demanded for punishment were in considerable fear and hardly dared to speak because all the others were hoping to gain peace and liberty through their destruction.
[8] And their surrender would have been decided on, had not Demosthenes disclosed the King's crafty snares in the following fable: " Once on a time," said he, " a wolf persuaded some shepherds whose diligence he wanted to elude, to form a friendship with him on terms that, his enemies the dogs, who were the cause of their hostility to one another, should be handed over as hostages. The shepherds hearken to him, and the dogs, in conformity with the terms of peace, are handed over, though they had been the most diligent guardians of the sheep. And then the wolf, putting fear aside, tears and devours the whole flock till his lust is satiate and mangles the shepherds, too. This," said Demosthenes, " O men of Athens, is what Alexander is now doing, demanding, as he does, the surrender of all those whose speeches are aimed against his schemes and who lay open his snares, so that when the city is deprived of its guardians he may the more easily attack and pluarch, ufe of plunder it."

## Chapter VIII.

## Whether it is better to await war at home or to carry it into the enemy's territory.

1. Scipio's opinion.
2. The opinion of Quintus Fabius Maximus.
3. The Athenians more successful in war at home than abroad.
4. The fable about Antæus.
5. A courageous aspect a great advantage to the fatherland.
6. Although Agathocles could not withstand a siege at home, he nevertheless carried the war into Africa.
7. The plan of Hannibal.
8. The opinion of Agis.
9. The advice given to the Romans by Hiero, King of Syracuse.
ro. War abroad to be conducted in one way, war at home in another.
ir. The soldiery better at a distance from their homes.
10. The useful example of Fabius.

This question has been very variously discussed by generals of the highest renown, and many arguments and examples have been cited on either side, so that it is not easy to say which opinion ought to be followed.
[ I] And there was once a keen contention on this matter between Quintus Fabius Maximus and Publius Scipio; the latter sought the allotment of Africa to himself as a province, asserting that the war with Hannibal would not be brought to an end save by carrying an army over into Africa and thereby diverting the war from Italy; and he pointed out the effect of striking fear, of one's own initiative, into the enemy and of putting another into peril and removing danger from oneself; and he used for this the example of Hannibal himself. He pointed out, too, the great difference between seeing the territory of another ravaged and of seeing your own overrun by fire and sword, and how much more courage a man has who is causing danger than one who is warding it off. And (he continued) it would be consistent with the dignity of the Roman people, and the high reputation they enjoy with foreign kings and peoples, to appear to have had spirit not only to defend Italy, but also to carry hostilities into Africa. Let the Roman camp (said he) dominate the gates of Carthage rather than that we Romans should again behold the rampart of the enemy from our walls; let Africa be the seat of the rest of the war; let terror and flight and the laying-waste of lands and the defection of allies and the other disasters of war be diverted thither.
[2] Fabius, however, maintained the contrary, holding that Hannibal ought first to be driven out of Italy in a straightforward way rather than be drawn into Africa by roundabout methods. This, said he, is
naturally the first object, to defend your own possessions, and after that you can proceed to attack those of others. Let the object be peace in Italy, not war in Africa; let us be free from fear ourselves before we bring it upon others, and that, too, in a quarter where there are no harbors open to the Roman fleets, no allied city, no friendly king, no room anywhere either to take up a position or to advance, but whichever way one turns one's eyes, all is hostility and danger. The Carthaginians will defend the walls of their capital, the temples of their gods, their altars and their hearths in other sort than they defended Spain. Where Hannibal is, there is the head and bulwark of the war, and if he be drawn over into Africa, he will only be rendered more powerful in arms and men by the nearness of Carthage and the friendliness of all Africa.
[3] Now on Fabius' side there is, too, the example of the Athenians; for so long as they carried on war at home they always came off conquerors, but when they despatched a great fleet into Sicily they plunged their flourishing State into lasting ruin, as the result of one naval battle.
[4] And what is told of Antæus, King of Lybia, also makes for Fabius' view, for he was invincible to the Egyptian Hercules so long as he waged war in his own dominions, but when Hercules had craftily lured him outside he was struck down and lost both life and kingdom. And this gave rise to the story that Antæus, being the son of the Earth by Neptune and second to none in strength and bravery, as often as his limbs were weary received fresh strength at the touch of his mother Earth, and so Hercules, when fighting with him, grasped him by the middle and lifted him up from the earth, not being able in any other way to master him, and forced him to yield up his life thus clasped to his bosom.

Thomyris, too, queen of the Scythians, no whit frightened by the approach of Cyrus, preferred to conceal his approach rather than go to meet him, thinking that the issue of the fight would be more favorable to herself if it took place within the boundaries of her realm; and there, after luring Cyrus into a narrow defile, she ambushed and slew him with two hundred thousand Persians.

So, too, when the Lacedæmonians once were waging other wars against some neighboring peoples, the Thebans, under the leadership of Epaminondas, conceived the hope of seizing their city and silently set off for Lacedæmon; but no more than a hundred Spartans, worn out with age, who had remained at home, offered battle to the fifteen thousand Theban soldiers.
[5] Such courage and strength does the sight of one's fatherland and household gods afford, and so much more do things that are present (says Justin) draw out the finer qualities of men than things that are a Bk. e. mere remembrance; for the Spartans had before their very eyes the
interests and persons that were at stake and this gave them resolution either to conquer or to die.

This, too, was the meaning of the advice which Titus Quinctius Flamininus gave the Greeks when they were compassing a war on Zacynthos: "Not like a tortoise (which is safe against all blows so long as it stays in its shell, but if it protrudes any part is open to attack and de-
Livy, bk. 36.
Plut., Apoph. fenseless in the exposed member) to expose themselves to danger by sticking their heads out of the Peloponnese."

On Scipio's side in the argument, however, is the result of that very war, in which he overcame Hannibal (who had carried on the war in Italy for thirteen years scatheless) ; for he first lured him into Africa, and then gained a glorious victory over him.
[6] In the same way, when the Carthaginians had drawn the line of siege round Syracuse and the tyrant Agathocles saw that he was not of sufficient strength or well enough equipped to sustain a siege, he straightway, with admirable boldness (it being as if one who could not defend his own things were to attack another's), carried the war into Africa and pitched his camp at the fifth stone from Carthage; and, after overcoming Hanno, the Carthaginian general, and ravaging Africa, he seduced numerous splendid towns, which weighed the obligations of friendship by reference not to good faith but to success, to defect from Justin, bk. 22. the Carthaginians to himself.
[7] Another thing on Scipio's side is the plan which Hannibal proposed to Antiochus after he himself had spent thirteen years in Italy carrying on war with the greatest glory. Antiochus was turning over the question of a war with Rome, and Hannibal suggested that he should make Italy his field of operations, seeing that Italy would supply both soldiers and provisions to an outside enemy and that in Italy the Romans could be beaten by their own resources and strength and arms, while if no action took place in Italy the Roman people would be able to carry on war outside Italy with all the strength and supplies of Italy and no king Livy, bk. 34. or people would be the equal of the Romans.
[8] This, too, was the view taken by Agis, King of the Lacedxmonians, who urged that the war against the Ætolians should be carried into their own territory and that they should not be permitted to enter Plutare, the barriers of the Peloponnese.
[9] And after the slaughter of Caius Flaminius and the Roman army at Thrasymenus, the same advice was given to the Roman people by Hiero, King of the Syracusans, who was friendly to the Romans, i. e., that the consul to whom Sicily had been assigned as a province should send his fleet into Africa, so that the enemy might have war in their own Livy, vk. 22. land and have no respite for the despatch of reinforcements to Hannibal.

On this plan, too, the people and senate of Rome declared the Macedonian war on the proposal of the consul Servius Sulpitius, to
whom Macedonia had been assigned as a province. He persuaded the people to ordain the declaration of the Macedonian war by the following reason: that it was no question whether the Romans should have war or peace (for Philip, who was projecting a vast war by sea and land, would not allow them that choice), but whether they should send their legions into Macedonia or await the enemy in Italy. How great the difference between these two courses was, they had found out (said he) in the last Punic War; for who doubts that if, when the besieged Saguntines implored aid from the Romans in reliance on their good faith, the Romans had sent it without demur-as their ancestors had done to the Mamertini-they would have succeeded in diverting the whole of the war into Spain? By their dilatory measures, however, the Romans laid up for themselves a great defeat in Italy. And it is unquestionable that if, at the time when Philip had bound himself to Hannibal, by envoys and letters, to make an expedition into Italy, Levinus had been sent with his fleet to carry on war with Philip up in Macedonia, Philip would have been held in check and Italy would have continued in greater prosperity and its strength less impaired. And many commanders and armies would have been spared to it, which the Punic War subsequently destroyed, when Pyrrhus attacked and shook it and advanced victorious almost to Rome itself, and not the Tarentines only, and that tract of Italy which is called Magna Grecia, revolted from the Romans, but the Lucanians also and the Bruttians and the Samnites, who were all induced by Philip's project of coming over into Italy to remain just about as quiet and faithful as they did during the Punic War! Why, if the Romans had then demurred to crossing over into Africa they would even now (continued Sulpitius) have Hannibal in Italy and be at war with Carthage. Let Macedonia rather than Italy be the seat of war. Let the cities and lands of the enemy be wasted with fire and sword. We have already found by experience that our arms are more potent and successful abroad than at home.
[ $\mathrm{IO}, \mathrm{II}$ ] This discourse lends support to the saying of Agathocles, that war is to be conducted one way abroad and another way at home. At home the only aid is that furnished by the resources of one's own country, while abroad the enemy must be conquered by means of his own resources. Add to this, that a soldier is better at a distance from his home, for he has no available shelter and can not evade the necessity of fighting. It was on that principle that Hannibal, when campaigning in Spain, garrisoned Africa with Spaniards and sent for reserves from Africa to enable him to do this. And a soldier will fight all the more Livy, bk. 10 . boldly and bravely on hostile soil, in proportion as there is more hope and courage in taking the offensive than in taking the defensive. Moreover, if you have overcome your enemy outside his own territory, he can easily repair his losses and protect his own soil, while if you beat him in
his home, so that he has no opportunity to recruit his strength, you will easily overcome him and dictate an end of the war. And Hannibal would not have found this difficult after his victory at Cannx, had he made proper use of his victory and of fortune's smiles, for it is quite clear that it was only his dilatoriness that saved the city and empire of Livy, bks, 22 Rome.

Now after putting forward these arguments and illustrations.we leave every one a free choice in forming his opinion thereon. Yet, whenever there is a question about the relative advantages of making or awaiting war, repeated scrutiny must be addressed to the points whether the enemy's territory is easy of approach, whether it is fortified, whether it is level or mountainous (so that ambushes may be suspected), and whether you have to do with an enemy who is armed and warlike or with one who is unarmed and unwarlike and whose strength is in his purse. For the latter kind of enemy can easily be crushed in his home by means of his own resources, as in the case of the Carthaginians, but it is quite a different thing when you have to do with an armed, bellicose enemy, well-equipped at home for war, such as the Romans were.
[12] If, however, you have to meet on your own soil a warlike enemy who is accustomed to victory, it will be well to follow the example of Fabius: for he saw that it was no easy matter to beat Hannibal in battle, he being flushed with several victories, and so he adopted the policy of sitting still, whereby he broke Hannibal, and he gave Hannibal no chance of a victory, in order that he might be conquered by others, deeming that he had gone quite quick enough in the direction of victory if he had prevented Hannibal from winning one. By adopting that policy he retrieved the fortunes of Rome when brought low by many disasters.

A very notable description of this policy is contained in the words which that same Fabius addressed to Lucius Æmilius Paulus when moving out against Hannibal. They are as follows:
" The only way to war against Hannibal is that which I took and always used. Neither is it the issue and event alone (for that is teacher of fools) which shows this unto us, but even reason itself, which hath been and will still be the same and immutable, as long as things in the world hold on as they do. We war (you see) in Italy, at home, in our own ground and place of residence-all quarters round about us full of our own citizens or friendly allies, who daily help us and will be ready still to furnish us with armor, men, horse, and victuals. Sufficient proof of their faithfulness have they given us already in our hard distress. Space and process of time make us better and wiser every day than another, and more resolute. Contrariwise, Hannibal is in a strange and foreign land, in his enemy's country, in the midst of all things that are cross and adverse to him, far from his house and home, far from his native soil,
having peace nowhere, neither by land nor sea. No cities receive him, no walls he hath, nothing sees he, wheresoever he goes, that he can say is his own. He lives from day to day upon rapine. Scarce a third part has he of those forces which he transported over the river Ebro. Hunger has wasted more of them than the edge of the sword. And for this small remainder that is left he is scant provided of food. Do you make any doubt, then, that we shall vanquish him by sitting still, who day by day waxes old and feeble, who neither has store of victuals, nor reserves of men nor money?"

And it is, in truth, admitted that Hannibal was at that time brought to such a pass that he could have been defeated without any trouble, had not the rashness of Terentius Varro given the enemy the opportunity of success. On the same principle the dictator Cnæus Sulpitius dragged out the war in Italy against the Gauls. He was reluctant to run any risks against an enemy whom time and place were weakening every day, and who was lingering on without provision of supplies and without adequate defenses. Moreover, he knew that a little delay enfeebles those souls and bodies who derive all their strength from attack.

## Chapter IX.

## Whether it is better at the beginning of a battle to make for the enemy with much din and a vehement charge or on the other hand to hold one's ground and await the enemy's attack.

1. Trifles sometimes very important in war.
2. The Samnites and Gauls fierce in onslaught.
3. Fabius sustained the attack of the enemy and carried the day.
4. Decius attacked the enemy and was defeated.
5. Titus Veturius followed the example of Fabius.

[^17][I, 2] Nothing is so trifling that it may not sometimes produce great results in war. What happened to the consuls Quintus Fabius and Publius Decius in the war against the Samnites shows us this. They had so marshalled their line that Fabius was opposed to the Samnites on the right wing, and Decius to the Gauls on the left wing. The course of the Bs. 10. fight was by no means similar on the right and the left wing, says Livy. The Romans under Fabius rather repelled than offered assault and the contest was prolonged until very late in the day, for their general knew very well that both Samnites and Gauls were furious in the first onset, so that to withstand them would be enough, and that, in a protracted contest, the spirits of the Samnites gradually flagged and even the bodies of the Gauls, remarkably ill-able to bear labor and heat, became quite relaxed and, although in their first efforts they were stronger than men's, yet in their late efforts they were weaker than women's. Fabius therefore reserved the strength of his men as unimpaired as possible, until the time when the enemy were the more likely to be worsted.
[3, 4] Decius, more impetuous, as being in the prime of life and full flow of spirits, exerted whatever force he had to the utmost in the first encounter, making an attack on the enemy which did not succeed, for his troops were put to flight early in the conflict and scattered. Then, as he could not rally them, he determined to win the glory which he could not get through victory by imitating the example of his father Publius Decius and devoting himself and the legions of the enemy to the infernal gods on behalf of the army of the Roman people, the Quirites; and, wherever he saw the line of the Gauls thickest, he spurred forward
his horse and, rushing upon the enemy's weapons, met his death. And the Romans, on the loss of their general-an event which on other occasions inspires terror-stopped their flight and set about beginning the combat afresh; and, when supports reached them which, on the orders of Fabius, had been sent from the rear to support his colleague, they won the victory.
[5] And the consul Titus Veturius, when despatched against the Volscians, copied the example of Fabius. He stirred up the enemy to an engagement, they having a considerable advantage in numbers. But he did not advance his forces or allow them to return the enemy's shouts, but bade them stand still with their spears fixed in the ground and, when the enemy came to close quarters, the cohorts were then to fall to it with their swords and with their full strength. That is a very fierce kind of fighting. The Volscians, weary with running and shouting, set on the Romans as if these were quite benumbed by fear, but after they found what a vigorous resistance was being made and saw the swords glittering before their eyes, they turned their backs in great disorder, just as if they had been ambushed. Nor had they strength sufficient even for flight, because they had advanced to the battle at full speed. The Romans, on the other hand, because they had not stirred from their ground in the beginning of the action, being fresh and vigorous, easily overtook the wearied fugitives, carried their camp by assault, and after driving them thence pursued them to Velitrx, into which the conquered and the conquerors entered in a body.
[6] In like manner the dictator Aulus Cornelius Cossus, just before engaging with the Volscians, who had a large army, addressed his troops as follows:
" The day, my men, is ours, if either the gods or their prophets can foretell future events. Therefore, as resolute men of assured hope and such as shall encounter with far meaner than ourselves, pitch we our javelins down at our feet and draw our swords only. Neither would I have you to charge out of the main battle, but to keep your ground, to stand steadfast, and abide the first shock of the enemy. And when they have spent their volley of shot in vain, and shall in full torrent come upon you as you stand, then let your swords glitter in their eyes, and think every man of the gods that they will help the Romans, the gods, I say, who in auspicious hour have sent us forth into the field of battle."

The troops fought as he had charged them; the general had not duped his legions, and fortune did not dupe the general. Pompey, too, Livy, bk c. adopted this plan and, just before engaging with Cæsar at Pharsalus, bade his men await Cæsar's attack and not budge or allow their line to be broken. And this he is said to have done by the advice of Caius Triarius, that the impetuosity of the charge of Cæsar's soldiers might be checked and their line broken; and he thought that the javelins would
fall with less force if the soldiers were kept in their ground than if they met them while themselves in movement; at the same time he trusted that Cæsar's soldiers, after running over double the usual ground, would be out of breath and exhausted by fatigue.
[7] Cæsar was of opinion, however, that herein Pompey acted without sufficient reason, for there is a certain impetuosity of spirit or an alacrity implanted by nature in the hearts of all men, which is inflamed by the desire to meet the foe in fight. This a general (Cæsar says) should endeavor not to repress but to increase, it not being a vain institution of men of old that the trumpets should sound on all sides and a general shout be raised, for they thought that this struck the enemy with Caes, Gallic terror and inspired their own army with courage.
[8] Thus the Gauls and Germans, who were men of reckless wrath and passion, had the custom, when they were preparing to attack the enemy, of raising a wild, discordant song called harritus, and of shrieking and jumping about and brandishing their shields, and they used to forecast the result of the coming fight hereby.
[9] The Syrians did the same at the commencement of an attack: after an act of worship of the sun, they had their signal trumpet sounded for the joinder of battle, and then they raised a most excited shout in order to inflame their ardor as they rushed to the onslaught and delivered their attack. If, however, the noise they gave out were harmonious and not irregular and conflicting and discordant, it would not sufficiently stimulate their courage for the battle or betoken a coming victory, for each man's determination and courage was gauged by the pitch of excitement and insistence to which he was raised by the presence of the enemy, and this afforded an unquestioned indication of the way the fortune of battle would go.
Bk. 4. And Livy mentions the same kind of thing in connection with the battle which the consul Caius Sempronius so rashly and ill-advisedly fought with the Volscians:
" The first token which way victory would incline," says he, "was the manner of shout at the first charge: which by the enemy was more loud, more shrill and continual, but by the Romans dissonant, unequal, dead and cold, often begun and often renewed: and by their uncertain and variable noise they bewrayed the inward fear of their hearts."
[ro] Now Cyrus' plan was the following. If the enemy attacked in a tumultuous fashion his soldiers were to receive their onslaught in silence; but if the enemy attacked in silence, his line of battle was to Alex.ab Alex., advance with shouts and din. It certainly makes a vast difference, however, whether you have to deal with raw soldiers, who are susceptible to the slightest unusual noise and impression or with seasoned veterans who are not usually frightened by trifles.

## Chapter X.

It is not prudent to attack a state which is torn by civil dissension merely in reliance on that fact.

3. Enemies not to be attacked because of their dissensions.
[ 1 ] Men of old held the well-founded opinion that a great state is immortal if its citizens abstain from the madness of civil strife, for experience shows that to be the one poison and weakness which brings to ruin the greatness of flourishing states. An example of this is furnished by the Roman State, which was so long the ruler of the whole world, until its strength was worn out in civil and intestine wars and it sank to nothing.
[2] Prudent generals, accordingly, make a practice of fomenting the dissensions of their enemies whenever they have the chance, so that in this way they can overmaster the strength of their enemy by a bloodless victory. It was in pursuit of this policy that Marcius Coriolanus, in his approach to the city, when laying waste the territory of Rome, ordered the lands of the patricians to be spared so as to render them objects of suspicion to the plebeians and thus intensify the civil dissension which had already begun. And when Hannibal saw that Quintus Livy, bk. 1. Fabius, making war by reason and not by chance, was robbing him of ${ }^{\text {Dion. Halic, }}$ bk. 8. victory by his astute policy of delay (which, however, brought him into contempt at Rome, where he was called timid) -in order to increase the unpopularity of Fabius, he ordered that no hostile measures were to be taken against his estate, although the property all round it was leveled with the ground, so that this might seem to be a price agreed on in some secret arrangement. And Fabius, in order to avoid this unpopularity, Livy, bk. 22. is said to have sold that estate and to have devoted the proceeds to the ransom of prisoners. Herein he imitated Pericles, for the Spartans ordered his estate to be exempted from the general devastation, in the hope that he would either fall into danger by unpopularity or into infamy by the suspicion of treachery; but Pericles had anticipated their intentions and foretold them to the people, and in order to turn aside the attacks of malice he had presented these very properties to the State. Thus the danger in which he had been placed was turned into the greatest Justim, bk. з. glory.
[3] Further, it would not be a well-advised policy to attack an enemy in reliance merely upon his internal dissensions, for (in Livy's words) pressure from $\backslash$ without is the best cement of concord within. And so it befell when the Prænestines imagined that the dissensions of the Romans were providing them with an excellent opportunity. They made a hasty march, laying waste the country as they went along, and advanced their standards as far as the Colline gate. The panic in the city was great: the alarm was given to take up arms: people ran together to the walls and gates. But in the end they turned from their dissensions with one another to war and appointed Titus Quinctius Cincinnatus dictator. And when this got abroad (so great was the terror of that office) the enemy retired from the walls and the younger Romans
Livy, bk. 6. assembled to the edict without demur. For terror from without represses civil discords.

And so when Cæsar was campaigning against Britain, the Britons unanimously conceded full powers and the conduct of the war to Cassivellaunus, although previously perpetual wars had taken place between him and the other States; but Cæsar's arrival so alarmed them that they Caes., Gallic war, intrusted him with the whole war and command.
bk. 5 .
In the same way during the war with Veii, in the consulship of Cnæus Manlius and Marcus Fabius, the minds of the soldiery were so embittered by domestic dissensions that the consuls abode in their camp and did not venture to hazard a battle, for they could not trust their men with arms; and the enemy, in reliance on this, tried in every possible way to worry the Romans into a fight by indignities and insults. The Romans at last could not endure the shame any longer and the competition between external and internal hatred ended in favor of the former, so disdainful and insolent was the mockery of the foe. And so the soldiers formed in battle line, enraged against the enemy and reconciled to each Bk. 2. other, and Livy says that the Romans never joined battle in any previous war with bitterer hostility; while, had the enemy restrained themselves, they could quite easily have conquered the Romans with their own strength and soldiery.

The Æquians and Volscians furnish another example. They had made a joint incursion into Roman territory, and then a dispute arose whether the Æquians or the Volscians should supply a leader of that force, and out of that dispute a fierce dissension sprang and a fight took place in which the enemy wrought havoc on each other in a deadly and obstinate manner, so that they aliowed the Romans to carry off the Livy, bx. 2. victory.

And so when Scotio, the Dacian commander, learned that the Roman people were torn by civil strife, he did not think this an opportunity which ought to be seized on for harassing them, for he perceived that an external war is often the means of extinguishing an intestine war;
and he repressed and restrained his men's ardor for a fight in a neat manner, by instituting a comparison with two dogs which he brought before their eyes and then, when these dogs had been fighting with each other for a little while, he introduced a wolf and at once the dogs Call. Rhodiy, dive. dropped their anger against each other and keenly attacked and put an ch. so. end to their common foe.

## Chapter XI.

## Troops to be so placed, by all possible endeavors, that fighting is an absolute necessity for them; but the enemy should be afforded an escape from that necessity.


4. The enemy to be afforded opportunities to avoid the necessity of fighting.
5. The enemy to be provided with an avenue of escape by flight.
[ I] How great the force of necessity is has been sufficiently discussed by the philosophers, for they hold that all things are ordained by Necessity. And so when Thales was asked what was the strongest thing, he replied, " Necessity, for it alone can not be overcome."
[2] And the most noted generals, by reason of their perception of its force and inherent virtue and in view of its great effectiveness in all departments, and especially in war, and of the access of courage which it produces, make a practice of carefully insuring that their soldiery may be driven by necessity to fight: for, as Quintus Curtius said, necessity comes before reason, especially in war.

So, too, the dictator, Quintus Fabius, after keeping his men within the rampart for several days after a drawn battle with the Samnites, like one besieged rather than a besieger, suddenly displayed the signal for battle. He judged it the more efficacious method of inflaming the courage of brave men to let none have any room for hope but in himself, and so he kept secret from the troops the arrival of the master of the horse and a new army; and, as if there were no safety but in fighting their way out, he made a speech pointing out to the troops the confined nature of the situation and the dearth of supplies, and said that there was no way out for them except what a victory would open. He then ordered the camp to be burned lest they should retreat thither, as on the former day, without completing their victory, saying that fortifications ought to be secured by arms, not arms by fortifications. Then the soldiers advanced against the enemy with spirits roused by the dictator's address, which seemed to indicate the extremity of need; and the very sight of the camp Livy, bk. 9 . in flames behind them was no small incitement to victory.

And so also when Marcus Porcius Cato was campaigning in Spain, he marched his army round to a place at some distance from his ships and camp, where their only hope would be in their own valor, and he
joined battle in the very center of the enemy, addressing his soldiery as follows. "Men," quoth he, "there is no other hope now left but in clean strength and valor, and I of very purpose have wrought it so. Between us and our camp the enemies are encamped all; behind at our back we have our enemy's land. The bravest courses are ever safest, namely to build and ground our hope surely in valor."

And after Hannibal's passage of the Alps, when he was on the eve of a battle with Scipio, he showed his men the absolute necessity of fighting, in the following speech :
"On both hands, right and left, inclosed we are by two seas, and we have not so much as one ship to escape away in. Before you, near at hand, is the Po, a greater river and more violent than the Rhone; behind you, to hem you in, are the Alps, which you hardly passed over when in heart and lusty. Here, men, you must either get victory or lose your lives, even where you first encountered the enemy; and the same fortune which has laid upon you the necessity of fight proffers unto you (if you go away with victory) such rewards as men use not to wish for greater and more honorable at the hands of the immortal gods. The Romans have sent over this year already two consuls, one into Africa and the other into Spain; nothing have they left for us but that which we can win and hold at the sword's point. Well may they be timid and idle, having a place of refuge to retire into, who in their own country and ground may be received when they take to their heels through safe and peaceful paths to save themselves. But as for you, it stands you upon to play the men and to make account of no middle designs between victory and death, but, upon certain despair of all shifts besides, either to obtain victory or, if fortune shall fail us, choose rather to die fighting than to be killed flying. If this be settled and deeply resolved in your hearts, I will say once again, The day is yours."

No sharper spur to conquest, says Livy, has been given to men by ${ }^{\text {bk. }} 21$. the immortal gods. And so when the Volscian general, Vectius Messius, saw that his troops were hemmed in by the Romans on all sides, he shouted out to them with a penetrating voice:
" What, will ye here, without making your defense, without revenge, offer yourselves to be devoured of the enemy's sword? What do you, then, with weapons? Why began ye war first? Are you troublesome and unruly in peace and cowards in war? What hope have ye in standing still? Do you look for some god to protect and deliver you? You must make way by dint of sword. Come, then, and that way where ye shall see me go afore follow ye after and stick to it like men, as many of you as mind to see again your home, your parents, your wives and children. It is neither wall nor trench, but armed men that must withstand armed men. In valor ye are their matches, but in necessity (which is the last and strongest engine of all other) ye are the better."
[3] Further, just as the most noted generals would so place their troops that fighting was an absolute necessity, so they would employ various methods to take away from them the chance of flight. Thus Cæsar, before engaging in battle with the Helvetii, first removed his horses from his own sight and then from the sight of everybody, and harangued his troops, pointing out that all were now in equal danger and urging them to put away all hope of flight; and this was a common custom with
Cacs, Gallic the Helvetii. When the tyrant Agathocles crossed into Africa, he had
war, bk. 1. all his ships burned with the assent of his troops, so that all might know that they had nothing to hope for from flight and must therefore either
Justin, bk. 22. conquer or die. When Astyages, King of the Medes, was fighting with Cyrus and the Persians, he posted part of his troops in the rear and bade them put fugitives to the sword, just like enemies, and had a proclamation made to his men that, if they did not conquer, they would find just as strong men behind their backs as in front of them, and so his troops derived from this necessity a large accession of courage. And the Romans not infrequently had their fugitives put to death just as if they were enemies.

And very often great advantage accrued from the wholesome device which we read of as much resorted to by the Romans in times of great alarm, and of severe stress and despair: namely, to post squads of cavalry in front of the fortifications with orders to treat as enemies those who were sufficiently ill-advised to flee from the battle back to the camp, and to attack them with swords drawn, so that they should see a hostile array behind them as well as in front. This final counsel of despair at times so inflames the courage of soldiers as to restore lines that have yielded and been scattered in disgraceful flight, so that the troops, under the coercion of a twofold fear, have not seldom snatched victory out of the hands of the enemy.
[4] That same principle, however, which suggests the expediency of throwing on troops the absolute necessity of fighting, demonstrates the expediency of removing this necessity from the enemy; for it often happens that despair turns into valor, as in Virgil's line,

Una salus victis nullam sperare salutem.
(The sole safety of the conquered is to abandon all hope of safety.)
And so in the war with Veii, the enemy at one time set off to storm the Roman camp with troops withdrawn from the fighting line: and thereupon the consul Cnæus Manlius rode back to the camp and posted troops at every gate in order to bar the retreat of the enemy; but the despair thus created rendered the enemy rather mad than bold, so that the consul was killed and their onset could not be any longer withstood, Livy, bk. 2. and matters would have reached the worst extreme if the legates had Dion Halic., bk. 9 . not opened a way for the enemy to escape by one gate. And so the
opinion of Scipio has been deservedly praised, i. e., that a way for the enemy to escape by should always be provided.
[5] For (as Tacitus says) the more hope the mind cherishes the less inclined will it be to offer resistance, secing that necessity gives an edge even to cowardice, and despair is often the parent of hope or, at any rate, is a great incitement to an honorable death. And so when the Greeks were eager to prevent the crossing of Xerxes after his dcfeat in battle and to cut off the King with his army, Themistocles, fearing that his enemy, if thus cut off, would turn their despair into a virtue and would hew with the sword a way which would not be open to them otherwise, acted with much wisdom, when he found himself unable to bring the others to his view, in sending a slave to Xerxes with the warning to effect a crossing by a timely flight.

Justin, bk. 2.
And the conduct of the dictator Marcus Furius Camillus, at the siege of Veii, was also shrewd. A mine had been driven through the citadel of Veii through which he had sent picked soldiers and had filled the city with his soldiers, and there was general fighting; then a great slaughter took place whereby he facilitated the capture of the town, and, when the ardor of the fight had abated, the dictator ordered the heralds to proclaim that the unarmed should be spared. In this way an end was put to bloodshed and, laying down their arms, the enemy began to surrender.

The same thing happened when the colony of Fregellæ was occupied by the Samnites after a sudden surprise in the night. The Fregellans contrived to maintain the battle for a considerable time without loss of ground, both because they fought for their religion and liberty and because the multitude unfit to bear arms assisted them from the tops of the houses. At length, says Livy, a stratagem gave the advan- Bk.9. tage to the assailants, for they suffered the voice of a herald to be heard proclaiming that whoever laid down his arms might retire in safety. This relaxed their eagerness for the fight and they began almost everywhere to throw away their arms.

Caius Fabius Ambustus resorted to the same stratagem at the storming of Auxur, when the battle was continued, despite the capture of the city, by a large number of the defenders because they had no hope of quarter; so he suddenly gave orders for a proclamation to be made that none but the armed were to be injured. He thus induced all the remaining multitude voluntarily to lay down their arms, and in that fashion gained an easy victory.

A similar thing happened at Sutrium, a city in alliance with the Roman people. On the same day on which it was stormed by the Etruscans it was unexpectedly recaptured by Camillus; he ordered the gates to be shut on the Etruscans, who were cut down in every quarter; all hope of flight was thus taken away; but (Livy tells us) the battle would Bk. o.
have been renewed and kindled by the despair of the enemy had not heralds, sent in every direction through the city, issued orders that their arms should be laid down, that the unarmed would be spared, and that none but those carrying arms would be injured. And then even those whose minds had been, in their last hope, obstinately bent on fighting, when hopes of life were offered threw down their arms in every direction and surrendered themselves, unarmed, to the enemy, which fortune had rendered the safer course.

Cæsar, too, at the battle of Pharsalus, when Pompey's battle-line had given way, adopted a very well-advised plan in order to prevent the soldiers from restoring their ranks, and with a view to obtain a more easy victory he sent heralds in all quarters to proclaim to his troops that they must spare their fellow-citizens and only wreak their wrath on the mercenaries. And whenever the victors came across the vanquished, they bade them, " Abide in safety," whence that expression " Abide in safety" (sta securus) got to be a kind of watchword. And Cæsar thus Appian, civiv gained his victory.

The admonition given by Lycurgus to his own people also points in that direction, namely, if ever they routed and overthrew an enemy in battle, only to pursue the fugitives far enough to secure the victory and then immediately to withdraw-not only because it was un-Greek to slay surrendered enemies, but also because of the utility of that course, for if the enemy knows that quarter will be given on a surrender their distaste for being killed will make them discover the advantages of Plutarch, Apoph. flight over fight.

# Chapter XII. <br> In time of victory the first and chief thought should be about peace. 

1. We are better able to bear bad fortune than good fortune.
2. Neither prosperity nor adversity to be borne in ill-restrained manner.
3. In time of victory peace to be insured 4. Nothing in war more miserable than a victory.
[ I ] It is clearly a provision of nature that we can better bear bad fortune than good. For (as Tacitus says) prosperity probes the soul with sharper goads than adversity, since we tolerate wretchedness, but are corrupted by happiness. It was the recognition of this that led Abdolominus, who though of royal stock was very poor and needy and who had been made king by the Sidonians with the permission of Alexander, to make the following reply to Alexander's question how much patience he needed to bear poverty: "Would that I could endure a kingdom with the same amount." And Alexander the Great himself fur-q. Curtius, $\mathrm{uk}^{2}$. 4. nishes a good example of this same thing. For before Fortune emptied itself into his heart, he bore the beginnings thereof with moderation and wisdom, but he failed to bear its full development fitly. For it continu-q. Curtius, bk. s. ally happens that the soul of a man gets so puffed up by prosperity that he can not then bear either any others or himself. No trust, then, should be reposed in even the greatest degree of prosperity, for it is uncertain' what the evening will bring forth.
[2] It is accordingly just as much a mark of extreme weak-mindedness to bear prosperity immoderately as adversity; and their admonitions are clearly well founded who bid us, in proportion as we are lofty, to bear ourselves lowly. For (to quote Cicero), just as men send their ofr., bk. 1. horses to trainers when they get wild and mettlesome by reason of the frequency of combats, in order that they may manage them with greater ease, so men who have shown themselves unbridled and overweening in prosperity ought to be taken to the training-ground (so to speak) of reason and instruction, in order that they may learn the frailty of human affairs and the mutability of fortune; for the failure to impose a limit on happiness and to repress good fortune when it presents itself involves a ruin as disgraceful as the degree of elation was undue.
[3] And so in times of victory, which otherwise are times of insolence and pride, the first and chief thing to be thought of is peace; nor should this be delayed (as Demades used to say of the Athenians when
they were given up to an untimely lust of war) until we have to beg for Plutarch, on the it it in mourning. For nowhere less than in war do events turn out as they
preservation of preservation on th. are expected to. Accordingly when Mago, who had come to Carthage to announce Hannibal's victory at Cannx, was granted audience by the Senate and was extolling in profuse style his brother's prosperity in Italy and was urging that the nearer the prospect of finishing the war was the more readily should aid be sent with all confidence to Hannibal, Hanno, who had always deprecated the undertaking of war against the Romans, remained quite unmoved thereby and wisely urged the Senate to consent in that time of prosperity to make the most of their fortune and to think on peace rather than on war. "For," said he, "if you let slip this advantage of the time when we may seem to give rather than to take conditions of peace, I fear that even this so goodly a show of growth as it maketh Livy, bk. 23. now will run up all to straw and bear no head to yield corn in the end."

Now this attitude was scorned at the time, but afterwards, though too late, it was praised in vain by the Senate, at a time when they were reduced so low that the terms of peace, which they could have imposed, were begged for by themselves, and refused, and they were in that condition which Livy tells us of in relation to the Latins, i. e., that they could endure neither peace nor war, a condition which is of all things the most miserable. The same thing happened to Antiochus, who treated for peace when the Romans had already crossed over into Asia to campaign against him. The reply of Scipio was that this ought to have been done Plutareh, Apoph. at an earlier date and not now when the King was bitted and mounted.

A wise man, then, will not put away a sure peace that is in the victor's hand in the fallacious hope of an uncertain victory that is in the hand of fortune. And so when Hasdrubal Hœdus, the legate of the Carthaginians, was treating for peace in the Roman Senate, he said that men were seldom gifted with good fortune and good intelligence at the same time and that the Roman people were unconquered because they knew how to be wise and take good counsel in times of prosperity, and that if in times of good fortune the gods gave us good wit, we should reflect not only on what had happened, but also on what might happen.
[4] And Cicero, in a letter to Marcellus, says: "Though everything in war is miserable, yet there is nothing more miserable than victory itself, for even if this befalls the better-disposed, it yet renders them fiercer and more headstrong, so that, even if they have not these qualities by nature, they are of necessity made to have them.

And so Cæsar, mindful of this weakness of the human soul, said that the only time for discussing peace with Pompey was the time when each of them had confidence in himself and seemed the equal of the other, but that, if fortune gave ever so little an advantage to one of them, he who seemed to be getting the upper hand would have nothing $\underset{\substack{\text { Caes,., Civilt } \\ \text { war, bk. } \\ \text { 3. }}}{ }$ do with terms of peace and would not be content with equal shares, war, bk. ${ }^{3}$ seeing that he would rely on getting the whole.

## Chapter XIII.

## After the enemy has been crushed, what is the best method for keeping him quiet in a lasting peace.


[ I] Seeing that there is not less virtue in preserving what we have gained than in acquiring it, and in the right use of victory than in victory itself—for as Ovid rightly says:

Casus inest illic, hic erit artis opus
(Chance controls the one, but in the other there is need of skill)
-and seeing that the object of war is to live in peace, our whole thought, after the enemy has been crushed, should be by what best means to restrain him forever from making war again. For what good was it to Pyrrhus to be great in crushing an enemy if he could not guard what he had gained? So much more successfully did he set himself to acquire dominion than to keep it! On this account he was compared by Anti- Justin, bk. 25. gonus with an unskilled gamester who, though he makes many good throws at dice, yet does not know how to make the best of his game.
[2] And in this connection I think that by far the most useful advice was that given by Herennius Pontius, father of Caius Pontius, at the time when the Samnites, under the leadership of Caius Pontius, had enticed the Romans by fraud into the Caudine Forks between two defiles: for the Samnites had no plan prepared for these joyful circumstances and so they determined that the advice of Herennius Pontius should be sought. Herennius, accordingly, was consulted by a messenger from his son and he gave it as his opinion that all the Romans should be set free from there as soon as possible without receiving any hurt. On this counsel being rejected, and the same messenger returning a second time for his advice, he recommended that they should all without exception be put to death. The meaning of his first plan, which he esteemed the best, was that by an act of extraordinary kindness perpetual peace and friendship should be established with a most powerful nation; and by his second plan he meant that the renewal of the war should be
put off to the distance of many ages, during which the Roman State, after the loss of these two armies, would not easily recover its strength. A third plan, he said, there was not.

And when his son and the other chiefs went on to ask him if a plan of a middle kind might not be adopted, i. e., that they should be dismissed unhurt and at the same time that terms should, by right of war, be imposed on them as vanquished: "Marry," quoth he, "this is the way indeed that neither winneth you friends nor yet riddeth you of your foes-to save them whom ye have provoked with shame and disgrace. The Romans are of this nature, that they can not sit still and be quiet so long as they have the worse-that is what will ever be fresh in their hearts whatsoever shame the present extremity shall fix upon them, and never will it give them any rest before they have been by manifold ways revenged of you."

Neither opinion, however, was adopted, and the Romans were sent under the yoke and that notorious peace of Caudium was made. But it was subsequently repudiated by the Senate on the ground of informality and the authors of it were surrendered to the Samnites. This people then found that they had got a renewal of a most bitter war instead of an arrogant peace and all the results thereof were not only brought to their notice, but took place almost under their very eyes. Too late and vainly did they then praise both plans of old Herennius, by blundering between which they found that they had trafficked the possession of victory for an uncertain peace and had let slip the opportunity alike for kindness and for severity, and had to fight with those whom they had had it in their power either to destroy as enemies or to convert into Livy, bk. . . friends.
[3] The conduct of the Romans was wiser, for when Camillus, after the defeat and subjugation of the Latins, was taking the instructions of the Senate with regard to their treatment, he is said to have held the following discourse:
"It now remains to be considered, since they annoy us by their repeated rebellions, how we may keep them in quiet and in perpetual peace. The immortal gods have put the determination of this matter so completely in your power that they have placed it in your hands whether Latium shall continue to exist or not. Ye can therefore insure to yourselves perpetual peace as far as regards the Latins, by adopting either severe or lenient measures. Do ye choose to adopt cruel conduct toward people who have surrendered and been conquered? Ye may destroy all Latium and make a vast desert of a place whence in many and serious wars ye have often obtained the use of an excellent army of allies. Do you wish, according to the example of your forefathers, to augment the Roman State by admitting the vanquished among your citizens? Materials for extending your power with the highest glory are at hand.

That government is certainly by far the most secure which the subjects find a pleasure in obeying. But whatever your decision is, it must be speedy. So many States have ye with their minds in a suspense between hope and fear, and it is necessary that ye be quit as soon as possible of your solicitude about them and that their minds, while they are still in a stupor through expectancy, be impressed either by clemency or by punishment."

Thus Camillus.
The Senate praised his exposition of these affairs of high policy, but said that, as the States were differently circumstanced, their plan should be so adjusted that a determination might be arrived at according to the deserts of each, the question being put regarding each State separately. This was, accordingly, carried out under a decree of the Senate, and to some the right of citizenship was granted, with a restoration of the exercise of their religious rites; to others the right of citizenship which they already possessed was continued, their offense not being imputed to public wrong-doing, but to the few ringleaders; others had their walls razed and were ordered to find a dwelling elsewhere.
[4] The memorable pronouncement of the Senate in the matter of the Privernians is also relevant here. They had been driven to make surrender and their walls had been razed under a decree of the Senate, and their leader Vitruvius had been put to death together with some sharers of his guilt; and the consul Plautius had referred to the Senate the question, what to do with the rest of the multitude. Then one of the Privernian envoys, more mindful of the prospects to which he had been born than of present exigencies, when asked by one of the Senators, what punishment the Privernians had, in his opinion, deserved, answered, "Such as those deserve who deem themselves worthy of liberty." And when the consul saw that this stubborn answer the more exasperated those who were adverse to the cause of the Privernians, he asked him, with intent to draw from him a more conciliatory reply by means of a favorable question, "But if we remit to you the punishment, in what manner may we expect that ye will observe the peace which shall be established between us? " He replied, "If the peace which ye grant us be a good peace, it will be observed as both inviolable and eternal; if bad, as one of no long continuance." These words roused the anger of some, but the better part of the Senate interpreted his answer more favorably and said that what they had just heard were the words of a man, and a free man. And they asked whether it was credible that any people, or even an individual, would remain longer than necessity constrained in a situation which irked him so acutely. Peace, said they, was observed only when those at peace were voluntarily so, but fidelity was not to be expected where it was wished to impose slavery. And so by the authoriza-
tion of the Senate a proposal was laid before the people to grant rights Livy, bk. 8 . of citizenship to the Privernians.
[5] Marcus Cato, however, held that rebels could best be kept in their duty by making it impossible for them to rebel. And so he disarmed all the Spaniards this side the Ebro and razed all their walls on one and the same day. But this they took so much to heart that many committed suicide, a proud people not reckoning life worth living withLivy, bk. 34. out arms. And in this Cato seems to have followed the example of Cyrus, who, when the Lydians again broke out into war, took away their arms and horses, and ordered them to ply the trades of the tavern-keeper, the public player, and the pimp. And in this way they became effeminate by soft living and lost their former manhood, and those whom war, before the time of Cyrus, had made invincible, ease and sloth conquered Justin, bk. 1. when they had fallen into habits of luxury.

Now, after the capture of Saguntum, Hannibal ordered the slaughter, on a given signal, of all over the age of puberty. And this certainly Bk. 10. was (as Livy says) a ruthless decree; yet the event showed that it was almost a necessity; for how could quarter be given to persons who either shut themselves up with their wives and children and burned their homes over their own heads or who, in the fighting line, did naught before the close of the engagement save what people would do who desired death? And this is clearly the meaning of the saying of Agesilaus, that it is hard Plut., Apoph. to be at the same time both merciful and wise.
[6] Again, the Romans, in furtherance of the policy of removing from their allies all opportunity of rebellion, thought it inadvisable to allow them to make war with their own army and strength and plans, or Dion. Halic, except under Roman leadership. And so when the Æモquians invaded Latin territory and the spokesmen of the Latins requested the Senate either to supply help or allow them to take up arms and protect themselves, it seemed more expedient to defend the Latins, keeping them unLivy, bk. 2. armed, than to allow them to take up arms again.

It was also an ancient custom of the Romans, in connection with those with whom friendly relations had not been established by treaty or on equal terms, not to exercise sovereignty over them on a peace footing before they had made a surrender of all things, human and divine, and hostages had been received, and their arms had been taken from them, Livy, be. 8. and garrisons had been stationed in the towns.
[7] It was another custom of the Romans in the course of their subjugation of this or that people of Italy, to sequestrate part of their soil and settle a colony in it, or in the case of already founded towns to enrol new colonists of a special variety; and these colonies were stationed, like Dion. Halic,
Hars. $3, \pm$ and 5 .
garrisons,
 war, bk. 1. supreme in the State by force of arms, despatched those who had worked
on his side in that war, as colonists into the lands and towns of those who had striven against him; and these persons, quartered in convenient places, kept Italy subject to his power and the landed estates were shifted from their former owners to them. This boon rendered them submissive to him for their whole lives and established his dominion in a marvellous manner, for, inasmuch as they could not assert their own claims except by ratifying the acts of Sylla, they became champions of his dignity even after his death; and those whose citadels and walls and goods and immunities they had taken away were prevented, by lack of resources, from hurting them.

Again, after the defeat of Perseus and the decree for Macedonian freedom, the Romans ordered that the King's friends and high officials, generals, naval and garrison commanders and all other subordinate officials whose habit it was to serve the King with humility and lord it over others, should quit Macedonia and migrate into Italy, so as to prevent them from compassing fresh schemes in Macedonia. And this seems to Livy, bk. is. have been the purpose of Lycurgus in those laws of his called Retrx, in which he forbade the frequent repetition of war against the same people, lest they should learn the Spartan tactics. And so when Agesilaus had been carrying on a continuous war with the Thebans and fell wounded in a certain battle, it is reported that Antalcidas said to him, "You are getting a fine payment from the Thebans for teaching those who did not know how to fight ": and in truth history says that the Thebans were never more bellicose than at that time, owing to the oft-repeated expeditions of the Lacedæmonians against them; for the fortune of war (as Plutarch, Apoph. Quintus Curtius said) imparts skill even to the conquered.
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## Chapter I. <br> Of military discipline.

1. Military discipline of great importance.
2. The raw and unwarlike rendered warlike by military discipline.
3. The luxury of Capua undid the previously unconquered army of Hannibal.
4. An example showing the difference between the neglect and the maintenance of military discipline.
5. Women camp-followers a disgrace.
6. Incumbent to reduce the amount of baggage.
7. The "mules of Marius."
8. The derivation of "exercitus" (i.e., army).
9. Military laws.
10. Three things that soldiers have in charge.
ry. Military discipline.
[ I] History tells us how great the importance of military disci- veretiog, de re pline is: so does daily experience; and it is abundantly clear that the con- inilit, pret. .t.e to his quest of the world by the Roman people was accomplished by nothing else than the training in arms, the discipline of the camp, and the practice of campaigning. Quintilian puts this very well when he says:
" Rightly considered, the empire of the Roman people has been soldier Narian. based until now on military discipline; for we are not more numerous than the Cimbri, or possessed of greater resources than very wealthy kingdoms, nor is contempt of death easier to us than to most barbarians, though they may have no great inducement to live. It was the sternness of our institutions, our system of military service, our love of toil, our daily exercisings, and our assiduous consideration of questions of war."

And so Valerius Maximus said that there was no bond so lasting as Bk. 2, ch. 7. that of military discipline, that preëminent adornment and buttress of the Roman power, in whose bosom repose the serene and tranquil blessings of peace.
[2] And indeed we read how numerous armies of slaves and unwarlike recruits have been made invincible and warlike merely by discipline and command and, on the other hand, how numerous armies of seasoned troops, hitherto unconquered, have been corrupted and sapped merely by license and by all their vices and by the neglect of discipline, and have been undone before ever they set eyes on the enemy. And a proof of this is furnished by Tullus Hostilius, King of the Romans, who roused the Romans to war after they had lived forty years of peaceful ease, and merely by means of military discipline rendered them excellent soldiers, though previously they had had no experience of it. And Tibe- Livy; bk. 1. rius Sempronius Gracchus, who had an army of slaves given him, in a short time, by dint of military training and system, brought it to pass that, when they were in battle-array, no one ever thought about their
race and origin, and that they were a protection to the allies and a terror Livy, bk. 26. to the enemy. So, also, after Thebes had been freed from its subjection to the Spartans, Pelopidas and Epaminondas, by means of military institutions, had no difficulty in turning the Thebans from unwarlike men into excellent soldiers, so that they were not only the equals but the superiors of the Spartans.

Now Scipio Æmilianus, that excellent commander and consummate master of the art of generalship, in order to break the high courage of the city of Numantia, which had been but fostered by the faults of his predecessors in the command, is said to have had two thousand harlots and everything else which merely ministered to pleasure removed from his camp directly he entered it, and in that way to have repaired the failure of military discipline. By this method he succeeded in burning and throwing down in ruins level with the ground that courageous and Bk. 2, ch. 7. haughty Numantia ; and so (as Valerius Maximus says) for having neglected military discipline Mancinus saw himself compelled to make a deplorable surrender and Scipio, for having preserved it, obtained as recompense the most brilliant triumph.

And Metellus followed Scipio's example by restoring military discipline into the army which he took over from Spurius Albinus, when in Africa during the Jugurthine War, and which the latter had spoiled by excessive indulgence. It is said that he began by issuing a proclamation banishing everything that conduced to cowardice; and he won a glorious Val. Max., bk. ${ }^{2}$, d. victory over the enemy.

And when Scipio Africanus the younger was despatched as consul into Africa and saw the corrupt state of discipline and the slothful plundering and greedy habits which the troops had developed under Piso, he realized that he would never conquer the enemy until he had got his own men in hand; so he severely rebuked them in a great speech and drove away all non-soldiers and re-established military discipline, and thus succeeded in overthrowing the powerful Carthage, the rival of Rome's Appian, Punic war. empire.
[3] The army of Hannibal, on the other hand, which had so often and so long endured every human ill and had never known or been accustomed to comforts and had not been sapped by the virulence of any evil, was ruined by the excessive comforts and pleasures of the immodest Capua. For sleep and wine and feastings and harlots and baths and ease (which by wont grows daily more agreeable) so enervated their bodies and souls (says Livy) that it was their victorious past that kept them safe and not their present strength.

And in this the general is considered by those who are skilled in the art of war to have committed a greater error than in not marching his troops to Rome forthwith from the field of Cannæ. For his delay on that occasion might be considered as only to have postponed his victory,
but this mistake to have deprived him of the power of conquering. Accordingly, by Hercules, it was as though he marched out of Capua with another army, for it retained in no respect any of its former discipline. For most of the troops returned in the embrace of harlots and as soon as they began to live under tents, and the fatigue of marching and other military labors tried them, they broke down, like recruits, both in bodily strength and spirit. From that time, during the whole period of the summer campaign, great numbers of them slunk away from the standards without furlough, although there was no other lurking-place for the deserters than Capua. Marcus Marcellus, accordingly, who had drawn up his troops near Nola, upbraided the enemy, with a view to raise the courage of his own troops, by saying that Capua was Hannibal's Cannæ, for that there he had lost his warlike valor, there his military discipline, there the renown of his past and of his future, too. Marcellus then engaged the enemy in battle and routed him.

And just as Capua was fatal to the army of Hannibal, so Babylon was to the soldiers of Alexander, and quite corrupted their military discipline, so much so that if Alexander had only had an enemy, his loss of strength for future conflicts would beyond doubt have been disclosed (so wrote Quintus Curtius).
[4] And, to be brief, we can not have a better instance of what the neglect or maintenance of military discipline means, than that of the Romans; for they who aforetime were superior to all people in their instinct for justice and their military glory, later on, when discipline decayed, were conquered by every one. And so Cato (in Sallust) says :
" Do not suppose that our ancestors, from so small a commencement, raised the republic to greatness merely by force of arms. If such had been the case, we should enjoy it in a most excellent condition; for of allies and citizens, as well as arms and horses, we have a much greater abundance than they had. No, there were other things which made them great, which among us have no existence-such as industry at home, equitable government abroad, and minds impartial in council, unswayed by any improper or corrupt motive. Instead of such virtues we have luxury and avarice; public distress and private superfluity; we extol wealth and yield to indolence; no distinction is made between good men and bad; and ambition usurps the honors due to virtue."

And when Fabricius was sent as envoy to Pyrrhus, and heard at his court one Cyneas of Thessaly telling how some Athenian, of repute for wisdom, maintained that pleasure was the sole motive of human action, he shrewdly took the speech as an augury and prayed that this wisdom might straightway descend on his enemy Pyrrhus and on the Samnites. val. Max., bk. 4. It was politic, too, of the Spartan State to withdraw for so long the cyes of its citizens from looking to Asia, for it recognized that thence flowed all seductions and certain most baneful poisons, both of body and of ral. Max., bk. 2 , mind, and that more was lost by such victories than was gained in war.
[5] Hence, too, our forebears reckoned women camp-followers a great disgrace and matter of severest reproach and a soldier was not allowed to have his wife or family with him save by permission of the Cod. 12, 35, 10. prince, and a soldier might not even marry a wife when in a province. Cod. 5, 4, 6, and And a proconsul was liable for the delicts of the wife whom he had Dig. $1,16,4,2$. taken with him into his province ; and, indeed, Ulpian says that it is Dig. 1, 16, 4, 2. better for a proconsul to go to his province without his wife. This was Annals, bx. 3. the opinion, too, of Severus Cæcina (in Tacitus), for he said it was a characteristic of the companionship of women to hinder peace with luxury and war with fear and to turn the marching column of the Romans into the likeness of a barbarian raid. Formerly, too, a constitution of Constantine forbade unions between low women and certain soldiers, but the emperor Justinian amended this and allowed soldiers to marry Nov. 117, c. ${ }^{6}$. what wives they pleased so long as they were free-born women.
[6] The task of keeping down the amount of baggage is also a matter intimately connected with military discipline, for this often is a very serious hindrance on a march. And so when Philip of Macedon first enrolled an army he forbade the employment of vehicles and would not allow his cavalry more than one servant each and his infantry only one servant among ten to carry mills and ropes. Scipio forbade the keeping of pillows and was himself the first to use a hay pillow and he would not let his men ride on asses or mules when on the march, saying that very little was to be expected in war from him who could not go on Appian, Span. war. his own feet.
[7] Caius Marius, in order to reduce the amount of baggage, had the dishes and eating vessels of his men fitted into bundles and placed on prongs, under which the burden of them was more manageable and easy -hence the popular saying, " mules of Marius." And the same thing Reg., b. $\mathbf{1 ,}$, tit. 22, was also provided by the constitutions of the Kingdom of Spain.
Tuscul., quest., phe. 2. [8] And this is what Cicero had in mind when he said: "You may see whence the very name of our army, i. e., exercitus, is derived: Great is the labor of an army on the march. Then consider that they carry more than a fortnight's provision and whatever else they may want, including the burden of the stakes; for as to shield, sword, or helmet, they look on them as no more incumbrance than their own limbs, for they say arms are the limbs of a soldier, which they carry (says he) so commodiously that when there is occasion they throw down their burdens and use their arms to fight with as readily as if they were limbs."

Alexander, indeed, when his force was so burdened with booty and the apparatus of luxury that it could scarcely move, had the stuff collected, all except absolute necessaries, and put a torch under his own to begin with and then ordered the rest to be burned, so that the mischance Quint. Curt., bk. 6 . should fall on the stuff rather than on discipline.
[9] Further, as to military discipline, it was provided by a military law that camp-followers should not wander about indiscriminately among the cavalry, and that no soldier should sell the corn supplied to him at the public expense or exchange his booty of cattle or slaves for wine, and that no private soldier should have a slave or beast of burden in the battle-array or camp, and that no one should afterwards be captain where he had been military tribune, nor one who had been captain afterwards become private. And so Livy tells how one Volero, a ple- Bk. 2. beian, who in earlier expeditions had been a captain, was enrolled among the privates and refused his military duties, alleging that he ought not to be made a private where he had been a captain, as he had not incurred any ignominy during his service. A soldier, too, who failed to demand Dion. Halic, bk. 9. his rations within proper limits of time had them withdrawn.

Cato, too, urged that soldiers should be instructed to be fierce towards enemies, but humane towards fellow-citizens and allies, slow to inflict an injury, but prompt to avenge one, and desirous of nothing more than winning praise and glory. And in olden time it used to be sedulously inculcated that soldiers should deem their first bond to be the obligation of their oath; and the second the love of each other in, as it were, an inexplicable union; and the third the iniquity of desertion.
[1o] Lucius Æmilius Paulus used to say that there should be one commander in an army to consider and devise what measures should be adopted, at times by himself and at times with those whom he has summoned to his councils, not discussing his plans either openly or secretly with those who have not been summoned, and that the private soldier has in charge three things, to keep his body as strong and active as possible, his weapons in condition, his food ready for sudden orders; and for the rest to know that the care of himself belongs to the immortal gods and his general; and that an army was not in a healthy condition Livy, bk. 4 . if its consul and commander were affected by rumors of the mob. For (as Tacitus says) soldiers ought to be ignorant of some matters and to know about other matters. The rigor of discipline varies with the authority of commanders, so that there are many orders which it is expedient should only be given through centurions and tribunes.

It is rather by the obedient attitude than by the putting forth of officers' orders that a military situation is kept in healthy condition; and that army will be bravest in time of crisis which is the quietest before the crisis. And so Cæsar rebuked the rash eagerness of his troops before Avaricum, for they determined for themselves where to go and what to do, and did not halt at the signal for withdrawal, and could not be kept in hand by the military tribunes and legates; and, much as he admired their high spirit, which neither fortified camps nor high mountains nor walled towns could check, yet, said Cæsar, he must equally blame their license and arrogance in thinking that the planning of victory and of
developments was their business rather than the business of their generals, and that he wished for modesty and self-restraint in his troops not

[II] Again, there is preserved in Flavius Vopiscus a letter from Aurelianus Cæsar to some tribune, in which military discipline is briefly touched on, as follows:
"If you want to be tribune, nay, if you want to live, control the hands of your men. Let none steal another man's chicken or seize his sheep or carry off his grapes or requisition oil or salt or wood, but let him be content with his rations; let him make for the booty of the enemy and not the tears of the provincials; let his armor be clean, his tools in repair, his sandals stout, his clothing new; let him put off his old clothing; let him spend his pay on a sword-belt, and not in a cook-shop; let him wear armlet and ring; let him groom his horse and clean his own stall, and not sell the animal; let him readily attend to the company's mule; one soldier should humor another as if he were his slave; a soldier is entitled to free medical aid; soldiers should give nothing to soothsayers; should live chastely in their quarters; and the one who goes to law should get a flogging." So he.

Furthermore, for the better keeping of soldiers in their duty, governors of provinces through which troops pass have instructions to have all supplies ready for them, without giving cause of complaint to the provincials, and whatever expenses are incurred in so doing are reckoned part of any tribute-money that may be owing to the emperor. Soldiers, too, are bidden to be content with such food as is found in the different Nov. 130, col. 10. localities, and they can not claim any different food. This is also laid down in the constitutions of Gaul.

## Chapter II.

## Of the duties of legate and tribune and other officers.

1. The principal military officials among the Romans.
2. Who legates are.
3. The functions of a legate different from those of a general.
4. The limits of one's commission ought not to be exceeded in war.
5. Whether the terms of a commission can be departed from when a favorable clange of circumstances arises.
6. The good governance of an army.
7. Furlough to be sparingly granted to soldiers.
8. Soldiers to be promoted for merit.
9. The military tribunes had authority over the men and a vine-staff used to be carried before them.
1o. The functions of tribunes.
II. The punishment for a false return of the number of the troops.
[ I] Who were in olden times the principal military officials among the Romans is clearly shown by the following words of the dictator Papyrius, as reported by Livy:
"So that the soldier would not obey the commands of the centurion, nor the centurion the tribune's, nor the tribune the legate's, nor the legate the consul's, nor the master of the horse the dictator's: and the orders of generals-in-chief would be disregarded." Now we have already spoken of the office and power of a general or commander of an army.
[2] Legates were persons accompanying an expedition and helping in its affairs, who were appointed to consuls and generals in order to act as their deputies and carry their plans into execution. They were also in a position to give the most trustworthy testimony of any cases of good counsel or of any acts of bravery or of deserving valor or of cowardice, and also of the degree of care and good-faith and diligence and military discipline with which the commanders governed the army and camp; and whether their reports contained anything false or illfounded, or not the whole truth. And the authority of legates was such, alike as regards the administration of a province and the management of an army and the drawing up of a battle-line, that if the consuls or the general were absent or prevented, they exercised sovereign power.

Alex. ab Mer. Genial. dies, bk. 6. ch. 3 .
[3] Furthermore, it is clear that (as Cæsar says) the functions of a legate are different from those of a general. The former has in everything to carry out orders, while the latter has free discretion in the measures he takes for the good of the State. And so Cæsar said that the policy of Sylla, whom on his departure he had put into command of the camp, did not seem to have been a faulty one, namely, to recall his men when they pursued Pompcy's troops too far, although it was a common belief that, if a keener attack had been assented to, the war could have
been ended on that day. For when Sylla was left by Cæsar in charge of the camp merely, he set his men free and was contented therewith and declined to take part in the engagement. For the same reason Quintus Titurius Sabinus, Cæsar's legate in the Gallic war, kept within the camp, although the enemy were already up to the trenches of the camp, for he thought that a legate ought not to fight with so numerous an enemy, especially in the absence of the supreme commander, unless on equal Caes., Galiti ground or because some favorable opportunity had arisen.

Further, just as the Romans were extremely lenient with their generals (to whom they granted full discretion to provide for the State's highest interests) when they had sustained a reverse, as has been said elsewhere, so did they prove harsh in the punishment of legates, tribunes, and other subordinate officers in a case of contempt or disregard of the supreme authority.
[4] For in military matters it has always been reckoned a very grave fault, worthy of a capital accusation, for one to exceed the limits Dig. 49, 16, 3, 15. of his commission, even though he meet with success. It was especially on this ground and because of its accordance with old usage that the contention of the dictator Papyrius prevailed, when he demanded that his master of the horse, Quintus Fabius, should be given up to punishment for having led out the army contrary to his commands, although he had returned to camp victor over the Samnites. The Roman people, however, and the tribunes of the plebs interposed on behalf of Fabius, and after a time, overmastered by a speech of Papyrius, they turned to prayers and entreaties that the dictator should remit to them the punishment of the master of the horse; so he yielded; but he called them to witness that Quintus Fabius was not acquitted thereby of the guilt of fighting contrary to the orders of his commander, but that, after having been condemned as guilty, he was granted as a boon to the Roman people, and to the college of the tribunes which supported him with its prayers and not with the regular powers of its office. As to himself (said he) it was enough to have vindicated military discipline and Lisy, bk. 8. sovereign authority, which had been placed in jeopardy.
[5] There are, however, some who think that the terms of a commission may be departed from if, owing to change of circumstances, an opportunity arises of successful action-as, for instance, some chance occurrence promises a successful result and an almost certain victoryfor fear of losing an opportunity of success which has been offered by By inferene in Dis. the gods. This is, however, a thorny question, especially when reckon${ }^{17,7,}$ generaily, feinus, ing has to be made with some hard and inexorable Papyrius or Manlius
probable result thereof would be the greater advantage and profit of the author of the commission. And this is a question which has been vari- Dig. 17, 1, 5. ously treated, even by philosophers. Beyond doubt the severity of Gellius, Noctee Papyrius deterred others from successful action, although they had the Atticx, bk. 1, ct. 13. opportunity; and the example of Quintus Fabius (says Livy) was so bk. 8 . much before the eyes of the legate, Marcus Valerius, who commanded the camp in the absence of Papyrius, that he did not fear the forces of the enemy more than the grim anger of the dictator. And so when the commissariat was ambushed in difficult ground with heavy loss, the common belief is that the legate could have relieved it if he had not been in such dread of the stern edicts.
[ 6,7$]$ Further, the governance of an army does not consist alone in keeping discipline (as Marcian said), but also in observing it; and he who commands soldiers ought to grant them furlough as sparingly as possible, so much so that a soldier ought not to be sent to fish or hunt, and this was so laid down in the discipline of Augustus. In fact, for the pig. 19, 16, 12, and greatest security, the tribune can not allow furlough to more than thirty ${ }^{\text {Cod. 12, 35, } 13 .}$ men; if he allows it to more, their pay is to be passed on to the treasury, and the tribune who has allowed it must make the pay good to the men, in addition to the penalty of losing his girdle. But in a time of active cod. 12, $37: 10$, and service, or when an attack of the enemy is threatened, no one at all ${ }^{16}(2$ and 3$)$. should be away from the standards and it will be a capital offense to allow furlough; and absence from the standards is so much disfavored that a cod. 12, 22, 1. soldier while on furlough is not taken as being absent on State business. Dig. 49, 18, 2.
[8] Further, the commander of the army can not, at his mere pleasure, move a man from one rank to another, except the emperor give consent on grounds of utility to the State ; for, as the emperor says, none cod. 1 ㅇ, 35,14 . should attain increase of honor by canvassing, but only by labor, and none should be promoted to dignity by voting, but by labor and according to due gradation of ranks; and that man ought to be in front of Cod. 1, 31: 1 and 2. others who is put there by longer service or by labor. And so the consul cod. 1, 27, 2. Quintus Metellus, although prevented by no law from having his son as perpetual pupil-attendant (contubernalis), preferred, nevertheless, that he should earn the position in due course. And Theogenes the Athenian is reported to have employed an ingenious stratagem, while leading his army to Megara. Some soldiers besought promotion, and he replied that he would grant it to them then and there; and then he moved his cavalry to the front and bade them face about and, feigning to be the enemy, make an attack on their comrades; and when this was done he allowed the ranks of those whom he had in readiness as if for an attack of the enemy to be so arranged that each man held the position in which he wished to be and, as all the slackest had stepped back and all the keenest had jumped forward, he promoted each man in military rank just according to the place in which he found him standing.

It was, also, ordained of old that no one could be admitted to the tribuneship who had not previously commanded a wing, nor command a wing unless he had been in charge of a cohort; and it was ancient usage that when in camp a private should go and salute his centurion every morning and await orders, and similarly a centurion the tribune. But Hadrianus Cæsar promoted to the tribuneship men of ripe years and great sagacity, who had been taught by age and experience. And he issued an edict forbidding the appointment of a beardless young man to that office; and Alexander of Macedon put such a value on his tribunes and centurions that he would allow no one under sixty years to command the ranks. It is clear, however, that at times, in days gone by, these dig-
 Cod. 6 , 21, 18 .

It was also enacted by a military law that none should subsequently be made a captain where he had been tribune, for the centurion, decurion, and commander of the ranks were inferior to the tribune; but this Bx. 7. rule, so Livy says, was not made in favor of tribunes, but it was enacted by a military lex sacrata (i. e., law devoting to the infernal gods one who violated it) on the demand of some confederate soldiers adversely to Publius Salonius, who was military tribune and chief centurion in almost alternate years. But military tribunes may have centurions and decurions under them, subject, however, to the authority of the legate.
[9] Further, it is usual for military tribunes, when in command of camps and legions, to exercise authority over the troops, and the lictors carried vine-staves before them to deter the insolent, and not rods as in the case of a consul or pretor. For the Romans used to beat contumacious soldiers, not with rods, but with vine-staves; but if they were foreigners, with cudgels, and this punishment was not infaming in charPlin., bk. 14, acter, as was that inflicted by a lictor. It must, however, be observed ch. 1. that tribunes could not punish a Roman citizen capitally, nor any other Below, chap. on
militrary coldits military courts head of the State, when raising any one to the tribuneship, to give a sword into his hand, signifying thereby that he was to have authority over the soldiers according to military law.
[ro] Now it was the especial function of a tribune to supervise the camp and army and see that it was properly entrenched and patrolled; to order ever-watchful sentinels, so that the enemy could not effect anything by stealth; to have the troops who were in camp listening for a word and intent for a command; to lead them to exercises, to appoint their stations, to collect the keys of the gates, to visit the watch, to attend to the provisioning of the troops, to sample the provisions, to repress the frauds of the corn-measurers, to listen to the complaints of the men, to chastise their offenses within the limits of his authority, to inspect the invalided, to take charge of the wounded, and above all to Dig. 43, 16, 12, 2. administer to the soldiers the solemn oath.

Further, it was from the tribunes that the troops received the watchword-whether they were proceeding to march against the enemy or to lay out a camp or to take their position in the front line of battle or in the reserve line, or to go on outpost duty or on watch. And the tribunes received instructions from the general about the appropriate signal. It was also the peculiar function of the tribunes, in the heat of battle, to cheer and admonish the men, individually and collectively, and to take note of their conduct in attack. And it was theirs to grant a discharge to soldiers who had performed the military service that was required of them, on good cause shown and upon the authority and subject to the orders of the consuls-it not being competent for the tribunes to do this on their own authority. And so the censor Quintus Fulvius Flaccus removed his brother Fulvius from the Senate for having discharged ral. yax., bk. 2, a cohort belonging to the legion of which he was tribune, without the thivy; br. 31. orders of the consul.

And, lastly, it was in olden days an especial duty of generals and tribunes and centurions, when in summer-camp, to make the recruits who had recently joined march up and down and over and over again in squads, and shout and take up positions and submit to authority and obey the word of command and form in close order, or make a wedge or a phalanx, and follow the standard and accustom themselves to endure weariness and heat and vigils. And all this in order that they might not encounter the enemy without plan or governance, or be beaten and retreat, and that every one might know his place in the ranks, in such sort that by daily use their instincts would tell them what was to be done and what left undone, without any outside impulse or command. And this special drilling is attributed to Iphicrates, who by that means taught the troops so to take up their position in battle-line, without the general's intervention, as to display the most scientific disposition.
[ I I ] Further, if a tribune or centurion or any other person makes a false return of the numbers of the troops and intercepts the extra pay he is condemned in fourfold and degraded; but by the constitutions of Gaul cod. 1, 27, 2, 8. he is punished capitally. He also who takes money in connection with the draft or discharge of a soldier is liable under the Lex Julia repetundarum (i. e., on extortion) and is, accordingly, usually punished by exile Dis, 4s, 11, 6, 2 or worse, and anything given to obtain exemption from levy as a recruit Dig. 48, it, i, s. can be reclaimed as if given on immoral consideration.

Cod. 4, 7, 3.
Further, any one who, during a passage of troops, receives anything from towns or estates, by bringing improper pressure to bear, is liable in double; but one who has plundered a province is usually recalled for Nov. 130, col. 10. so doing and ordered to make fourfold restitution.

## Chapter III.

Of quartermasters (metatores, mensores).

1. Quartermasters, who they are.
2. How quarters are assigned to troops.
3. Who are exempt from the inconveniences of supplying quarters.
4. Nothing over and above quarters can be claimed by the troops.
5. Punishment of quartermasters who take bribes from those who supply quarters.
[r] The name metatores, mensores, i. e., quartermasters, is given not only to those who select the place for pitching a camp or pavilions on Roman or tents (a topic well dealt with by Polybius), but also to those who go Canps, and
vegetus, in advance of troops who have to be quartered in towns and indicate the bl. 2, ch. ${ }^{7}$ houses, fixing marks on them and giving the names of those for whom quarters have to be found in each house; and any one who dares to Cod. 12, 40, 1. destroy these marks is liable to condemnation for falsification.
[2] Further, in accordance with a rescript of the emperors ArcaCod. 12, 40, 2. dius and Honorius, a house was divided into three parts and a third part was allotted to the troops-in such sort that the owner had the right of first choice, the guest the second, and the third part was left again for the owner. Any compartments used for sale of merchandise are ordinarily exempt from this division, unless there does not happen to be enough room for the troops in their third of the house. Men of illustrious rank, however, ordinarily have half a house, not a third, assigned to them-on the terms that the one chooses and the other makes the Cod. 12, 40, 2. division. Antigonus, however, decreed that none under fifty years of age should be quartered on a materfamilias (matron); and when he heard that his son had gone off into the house of one who had three very good-looking daughters, he said, "I hear, my son, that you have very crowded quarters in a house where there are several owners; take more roomy quarters." And he bade him make the change. Further, a soldier whose home is in that town can not demand that other quarters Cod. 12, 40, 9. shall also be assigned him.
[3] Certain persons are free from the annoyance of having to provide quarters: the physicians of the sacred palace, to wit, professors of Cod. 12, 40, 8. the liberal arts in the City of Rome, and painters of free birth; men of consular or patrician rank, consuls, the heirs of these persons, and others of preëminent dignity. This important immunity was granted them by Cod. 19, 40, 10. a constitution of the Emperor Arcadius: and the Emperor Claudius, by a decree of the Senate, forbade privates to enter the houses of senators, Suetonius, Lite even for the purpose of paying their respects.
[4] Men of low estate, too, ought not to be compelled to supply quarters to soldiers; and in towns quarters only can be demanded for the Dig. 1, 18, 6, 5 . troops, so that they can not claim from their host anything necessary for themselves or the keep of their animals, nor indeed can they receive such things even from willing hosts.

Cod. 12, 50, 5; and
[5] Quartermasters who have extorted money by improper means from those supplying quarters must restore it twofold and are punished by exile and, according to the constitutions of Gaul, capitally.

Nov. 130, , 10.

## Chapter IV.

## Of soldiers and who can serve as such.

1. Soldiering is not sinful.
2. A soldier may lawfully kill an enemy.
3. Who are called soldiers.
4. Clerics not to be enrolled as soldiers.
5. Nor agriculturists.
6. Nor slaves.
7. Nor those capitally accused.
8. Nor heretics.
9. Nor those branded with infamia.
10. Nor crippled persons.
11. Soldiers forbidden to engage in agriculture.
12. No one can have two military positions of differing kinds.
13. A soldier may not be a procurator (agent).
14. Of the age for soldiering.
15. Veterans may be levied.
16. Natives rather than foreigners to be employed as soldiers.
17. The Romans for a long time served at their own costs.
18. The amount of a Roman soldier's pay.
[I] To take part in a just war is no wrong, as we have elsewhere c. 6, c. 23, qu. 1. shown; but to do so for the sake of booty is a sin. And so, when John, a man most acceptable to God, was asked by the soldiers, who were anxious about salvation, what they should do, he did not forbid them to serve as soldiers, but said, " Do violence to no man, neither accuse any Luke, ch. ${ }^{3}$. And falsely, and be content with your wages."
c. 2, c. ${ }_{\text {last par }}^{23}$ para. $\quad$ [2] Accordingly, both the canon and the civil laws allow a soldier c. ${ }^{13}$, and c. 41 , to kill an enemy in war; nay, if he abstains from doing so, he is guilty of And Di. ${ }^{\text {C. }}$. 48, qu. 8,$3 ;$, , desertion and contempt of sovereign authority.
[3] Now those only are called soldiers (milites) who have had the oath put to them and have taken it and have been incorporated in the ranks. Sailors and oarsmen in the navy are " soldiers."

Dig. 37, 13, 1.
[4] Further, not every one is admissible as a soldier and some persons are not compelled to become soldiers; for clerics, first of all, are exempt from military service, a concession made in olden days, as part of a general immunity, by the Gauls to their Druids, who had charge
Caes., Gallic war, of religious matters. The priests also, on Romulus' foundation, were ${ }_{\text {Rom. }}^{\text {ch. } 6 .}$ free from all warlike duties; to this law an addition was made, after the capture of the city by the Gauls, that priests should have this immunity Appian, Civil war, except in the event of a Gallic war. Cicero, however, tells us that in the Philipp. 5 and 8.
Plutarch, Life of Gallic war the usual exemptions prevailed, but not at the time of the
Marcellus. Gallic and Italian revolt-from which he infers that revolt is a graver thing than war. But clerics are forbidden to serve as soldiers: and of c. 3, C. 23, qu. 8. them and of himself Ambrose said: "Let not the soldiers of Christ And c. 1, and c. 27 , look to iron missiles and armour; but grief, weeping, tears, and enand c. 28 , c. 23. 8. treaties were my weapons against the enemy." For no man that warreth
for God entangleth himself in the affairs of this life (as the Apostle to Timothy. says) ; and if a cleric should take service as a soldier he will be unfrocked. ©e. 5 , [and co statim? But one in lesser orders who fights in a just war-unless he kills or wounds-is not irregular.
c. 24, X, 5,12 , and
[5] Agriculturists, also, are not admissible as soldiers, even when cilos, on qu. ${ }^{\text {i. }}$ they willingly offer themselves, nor can they be compelled to serve if unwilling; for it is not less important to the State to have men to till its Cod. $12,33,3 ;$ and soil than to have men to fight its battles. Hence it has been decreed cood. 11, is, . that agriculturists who have enlisted as soldiers can be reclaimed into their former condition; for the dirt of the fields does not go well with Cod. 11, 63, 4. the honor of soldiering.
[6] Wherefore also Marcianus ruled that slaves ought to be kept off all military service : otherwise they may be punished capitally. But a dig. 49, 16, 11. distinction must be drawn, in accordance with a rescript of Trajan, according as they have offered themselves voluntarily or have been levied or have even been given as substitutes; for if they have been levied, it is the official (inquisitor) who is in fault; if they have been given as substitutes, it is the fault of those who have given them; if they came of their own accord with full knowledge of their status, the fault must be visited on them. Indeed if it be with the cognizance of their owner that Plin., Epist., bk. 10 slaves have succeeded in enrolling themselves as soldiers, he will be deprived both of his ownership and of the rights of patronage (jura patronatus).

```
Cod. 12, 33, 7.
```

Furthermore, after the defeat at Cannæ the dictator Marcus Junius and Tiberius Sempronius, his master of the horse, made a novel levy and, under the pressure of necessity, bought at public cost eight thousand strong youths out of slavery and armed them-having first ques- Livy, bk. 22. tioned them one by one whether they were willing to serve as soldiers (whence they were called volones, volunteers)-and subsequently, by dint of discipline and authority, they turned them out first-rate soldiers. And when the same Tiberius Sempronius was appointed consul, he issued instructions to the legates and tribunes that no reproaches based on the former status of any soldier should sow discord in the ranks; that the veteran should allow himself and the recruit to be treated on the same footing, and the same as between the freeman and the " volo"; and that all should esteem those to be sufficiently honorable and well-born to whom the Roman people had intrusted its arms and ensigns; for the same stress of fortune which makes it necessary to adopt any given measures, makes it necessary to support them when adopted.

Again, not only slaves, but those also about whose status there is a dispute, are prohibited during that time to assume the title of soldier, even though they may be in reality free. So also free-born persons who are in good faith detained in slavery (qui bona fide serviunt), and ransomed prisoners before paying off their ransom-price, may not be

Dig. 49, 16, 8. received as soldiers. We read, further, how in days gone by the Roman people took great heed that there should be no need for generals to administer the oath of service to the lowest class of citizen (capite censos), whose excessive poverty laid them open to suspicion, and so public arms were not intrusted to this class; and Caius Marius was the first to break this usage, confirmed as it was by long continuance, and to Val. Max., , bk. ch. ${ }^{2}$, admit one of this class as a soldier.
[7] Further, those liable to a capital charge who voluntarily enlist Dig. 49, 16, 4, 5. are capitally punished; similarly with those who have been given to beasts or deported to an island, and who enlist or conceal the facts when Dig. 49, $16,4,41$ and 2 levied. But if one enlists who has been deported for a time only, and that time has now elapsed, the nature of his condemnation must be inquired into (so Arrius Menander ruled), so that if it involves perpetual infamy he may be discharged from his military oath; otherwise he is Dig. 49, 16, 4, 4. not prohibited from enlisting and seeking the honors of military service. He again who has compounded the adultery of his wife is ordered to be Dig. 48, 5, 12. discharged from his oath and deported. Yet, nevertheless, after the defeat of Canna, the dictator Marcus Junius, inasmuch as there was a dearth of soldiers of the proper class of citizen, decreed that if any of those who had ventured on capital crime or who were in chains as judgment-debtors would serve under him as soldiers he would order Livy, bk. 23. their release from their liability or their debt.
cod. 1, 5, $8 . \quad[8,9]$ Furthermore, heretics are forbidden to enlist and all who Dig. 3, 2, 2, 3. are suffering under infamia. Therefore those affected with ignominy Dig. 49, 16, 6 . are not to be admitted as soldiers. Also, no one can assume the quality Cod. $12,33,2$. of armed soldier in order to evade the obligation to hold civil office; and he who has sought a soldier's position in order to escape a lawsuit Cod 12,33 , 1. should be discharged from his oath on the application of his opponent. So also one who has enlisted through fear of a criminal charge, with Dig. 49, 16, 16. which he is threatened, must promptly be discharged from his oath. No cod. 12, 43, 1. vagrant and no veteran soldier ought to be admitted as a recruit. He, too, must be judged unworthy of a soldier's position who has brought Dig. 37, 15, 3. a criminal charge against his parents who reared him.
[io] Further, those so crippled as to be unfit for military service Dig. 49, 16, 4, 12. are not to be received as soldiers-not to be included in this list is the man born with only one testicle or who has lost one, for he may lawfully enlist, according to the rescript of Trajan. The generals Sylla and
Dig. 49, 16, 4, pr. Cotta are remembered to have been naturally of that build: and we read also that the eunuch Narses was appointed by Justinian to the command of his army, and it was he who put an end to the war with the Ostrogoths in Italy; and a man with only one testicle is not either diseased or Dig. 21, 1, 6, 2. blemished, seeing that he can procreate. And Cyrus had eunuchs as his

Xenophon, bodyguards, thinking that they would show more devotion to duty and Cyropedia ${ }^{7}$ be a more reliable protection as being beyond the temptations of lust.
[II] Again, soldiers are forbidden to carry on agriculture or trading or any other business lest their proficiency in arms should suffer by their attention to other occupations. For, as Plato laid down in the con. $12,37$.
 Wherefore, too, a soldier may not purchase land in a province lest his and 31 , end. attention to agriculture should lead to neglect of his military duties. Cod. 12, 3, 31.
[12, I3] Hence, too, no one may have two military positions of different kinds at the same time, or a civil office and a military position. Cod. 12, 33, 5. And for the same reason a soldier may not be an agent, not even for his father or mother or wife, but only in his own interests (procurator in rem suam). And a soldier can not sue in respect of property which he cod. 2, 12: 7 and $\rho$. asserts to have been given to him, when the gift has been made with intent to alter the parties to a suit (judicii mutandi causa) ; but it is the Dig. $4,7,11$. former owner who must bring the action, lest it seem that a lawsuit rather than a bit of property has been made over to the soldier. Lastly, Cod. $2,13,2$. a soldier is forbidden to act as accuser, except in cases of treason and of Dip. $48,2,8$, outrage on himself or his family. And Justinian enacted that those who $\begin{gathered}\text { Digid } \\ \text { cod } \\ 98,1,9,8\end{gathered}$, involved soldiers in private business and withdrew them from the State's Nov. 116. business were to be punished by having their property sold up.

> [14] It now remains to say something about the age for soldier- ing. It is known that the Romans would hardly ever have any one enlisted as a recruit who was less than seventeen or more than forty-six years old, and this dates from the ancient census-arrangements of Servius Tullius and was subsequently secured by a lex sacrata under Caius Gracchus. At the time, however, when the Romans were sore pressed plutarch, Life of in their war against Veii, a levy was made, and not only were younger persons enlisted (Livy tells us), but older persons also were compelled Bks, 5 and 6 . to give in their names to do service as city-guards. This was by the orders of Camillus.

On another occasion, after the defeat at Cannæ, the dictator Decius* Junius enrolled persons of less than seventeen years, nay mere boys, and those over sixteen who did not take part in that war were officially censured. But Phocion, with intent to lessen the ardor for war of the over- Livy, bk. z. bellicose Athenians, by means of a rigid levy, not only summoned the young men but compelled even octogenarians who had served their term to give in their names. There is extant, however, a constitution of the Emperor Frederick dealing with the judicial combat, which says that one Feud Law: ii, 47. over sixty or under twenty-five is not bound to fight in person. Sacred history also tells us that the Lord ordered Moses to enroll all Israelites Sumb. 1. over twenty years of age, and this was the age which Plato thought the fittest for military service. With this in view, the precept is wise that if you have no veterans you must seek young recruits.

[^18][15] Now a small band of trained veterans is far more valuable than untaught and inexperienced numbers who, when confronted by slaughter, are terrified by every trifle and think more about escape than
Veget., de re milit., overcame divers and countless hostile forces by means of the forty thousand veterans, well-trained and thoroughly experienced in the business of war, whom his father had left to him, and he reduced very many provinces of the world; and when the same man was about to lead his seasoned veterans against Darius, he exercised great care in his choice of recruits and thereby overthrew and put to flight a vast horde of Persians with his small band.

It was the ancient practice, too, to judge by a man's habit of body whether he was fit for military service, with deep chest and erect carriage, whether he was brave and undaunted and what his appearance and vigor and bodily strength would be, so that the service of the State might employ such as were brave and faithful. And so Cato held that a fat soldier was of no use to the State, for everything between his gullet and
1_ his groin would be in the service of his belly.
[16] And sovereigns should be urged to employ as soldiers in war natives rather than foreigners, for the latter serve for pay rather than glory. This was the example set by Tullus Hostilius; for he had determined on war at a time when the Romans had had forty years of peace and leisure and exemption from war, and he scorned foreign auxiliaries, and summoned his own men only to war, and in a short time he turned them out first-rate soldiers by dint of discipline. For native guards are far the safest, and one's own arms are far more suitable than another's. Sacred history is taken to have shown this to us, for when David offered himself in single combat with Goliath the Philistine, and Saul, to increase his courage, would have put his own armor on him, David would not have it, on the ground that it would hamper him, but attacked with his ${ }^{1}$ sam., ch. 15. own weapons only, namely, a sling and a staff, and laid his enemy low. Indeed, if a general desires, or is driven by necessity, to employ foreign auxiliaries at all, he must give especial heed not to place such confidence in them as that he has in his camp a smaller amount of native force and strength, lest there deservedly befall him what befell Cnæus Scipio in his war in Spain against Hasdrubal. For Hasdrubal noticed what a small force of Romans was in Cnæus Scipio's camp and how he was placing all his hope in the Celtiberi, and so he had secret interviews with the chieftains of the Celtiberi and bargained with them that, for a great reward, they would call off their forces and withdraw from the war, for there was no fear that the Romans would detain them, seeing that the Romans were so few in number. And Livy urges Roman generals to Bk. 25. keep this example before them as a warning. Now, because the Emperor
at Constantinople had no forces of his own, he summoned Turkish auxiliaries to protect him from his neighbors; but he could not get rid of them at the end of the war; and that is how the whole of Greece fell under the rule of Turkey.

I, therefore, do not approve of the policy of Cyrus, who declared that soldiers should not be selected out of citizens, but be brought from afar like the best horses, nor of that ancient custom of the Alexandrians, who would not allow of any but foreign soldiers. Much sounder was the Roman policy; for, in order to have an ample supply of native soldiers, they stipulated in their treaty with Antiochus that he should not hire soldiers or receive volunteers out of Roman dominions. And in Livy, bk. 3s. the war with Pyrrhus, although the Romans had suffered two defeats, ${ }^{\text {Appian, ssrian war. }}$ they nevertheless returned the auxiliaries who had been sent by the Carthaginians.
[17] Further, it is worthy of remark that the soldiers of Roman stock, right away from the foundation of their city for nearly three hundred and fifty years, served at their own cost and expense. For, during one part of the year they served in camp and during the other part they made provision for their children and wives and household gods and themselves and their household by agriculture and country tasks. But at the time when a declaration of war against Veii was under discussion, which war would involve a long and difficult siege and would have to be carried on throughout the winter, the Romans, taught by expediency and necessity, provided by a decree of the Senate for the payment of the soldiers out of funds publicly collected, although up to that time every one had performed his military duties at his own expense. Further, after Livy, bs. 4. the defeat at Cannæ there was such a determination to help the treasurydeficit that no knight and no centurion would take pay, and they employed the word "mercenaries " as a term of abuse for those who would take pay.

Livy, bk. 24.
[18] Now Tacitus indicates that the pay of the Roman soldier Annals, from death of was ten asses a day, when he says that during the Pannonian revolt the soldiers complained, among other things, that their bodies and souls were reckoned at ten asses a day each, and that for this sum they had to find dress, arms, and tents, and put up with the severity of the centurions and the absence of gifts; and so they claimed pay at the rate of a denarius each. It is clear from this that, although the denarius was originally reckoned at ten asses (and so bore the mint-stamp X), yet in course of time the value of the denarius was increased. And so, during the dictatorship of Quintus Fabius Maximus, when Hannibal was bearing hard on the State, Pliny writes that the exchange-value of the denarius was sixteen asses; yet he asserts that for the purposes of a soldier's pay a denarius was always given for ten asses. But the pratorian cohorts re- Bk. 33, ch. 3. ceived two denarii each. If, however, we compare this denarius with the tac. Annals, bk. 1.

De veter. col. num., money of our day in weight and value, Covarruvias clearly proves that ch. 2. hornan. in
Nomencl. rei num. it was almost equal to the Spanish real, after Endæus and others; so that the pay of our soldiers is not at all or very little different from that alc. on rac. of the Roman soldier.

But what the amount of the pay of Roman cavalry was, is not quite clear, except for the fact that public horses were assigned to them and that in the division of booty they sometimes had three times, and sometimes twice, as much as the infantry. And so, out of the booty taken by Lucius Æmilius Paulus, after the defeat of Perseus, each foot-soldier Bk. 55. (Livy tells us) got a hundred, each centurion twice as much, each horsesoldier three times-on which some found a conjecture as to the pay of a Bk. 55. horse-soldier. But in another place the same writer tells us that there was so much booty from the Epirote cities, which had defected to Perseus, that four hundred denarii apiece were divided among the horsesoldiers and two hundred apiece among the foot-soldiers. And so I dare not make any definite statement on this matter. But it is quite clear that the cavalry were held in highest honor among the Romans.

## Chapter V. Of the military oath.

r. One who was not enrolled with the mili tary oath could not be a soldier. 2. How it was usual to take the oath. 3. Various formulas of the oath.
4. Nature of oath among Lacedxmonians.
5. The ceremonials of the Samuite oath.
6. The oath taken by Athenians on reaching puberty.
[ I] Among the Romans no one was allowed to be a soldier or attack the enemy with a sword who had not been enrolled with the accompaniment of the military oath; and it was just as much a military offense for one who had not been enrolled with an oath to kill an enemy as for one to commit an outrage upon a fellow-citizen or an ally. And so, when Pompilius-or, as others read, Paulus Æmilius—discharged one legion, and with it a son of Cato also who was serving in it, and Cato's son remained in the army because of his martial ardor, Cato wrote to Pompilius that if his son obtained leave to remain in the army, he must a second time be bound by the military oath, because, as the first oath had ceased to operate, he could not rightly fight with the enemy. And to his son, Cato wrote that he must be careful to keep out of the way of fighting, it not being right for one who was not a soldier to fight with the enemy.
[2] In earlier days the cavalry squadrons and the infantry by centuries voluntarily pledged their oaths with each other. Then later, in the consulship of Æmilius and Terentius Varro, for the first time and never previously, the soldiers were compelled by the military tribunes to take a statutory oath instead of a voluntary one. The commander was bound to take this oath first, then according to rank there followed the legates, then the tribunes, then the centurions, after them the decurions and then the camp-prefects, and last of all the private soldiers. This oath was taken afresh each year on the first of January.
[3] It was a solemn oath in which the takers swore by the gods that they would assemble at the consul's command and not disband without it; that they would not depart for flight or for fear, nor leave the ranks except to bring or seek weapons or to assault the enemy or to succor a comrade.

But in later times, as Vegetius says, the soldiers swore by God and De re minit., ch. 5 . Christ and the Holy Ghost and by the majesty of the Emperor (to whom, says he, fidelity and devotion are due as if to God in the body) that they would diligently perform all the Emperor's commands, would
never desert the colors, nor refuse death for the Roman State. But sometimes when a great battle was expected, and at times of grave crisis, the troops would swear (so we read) that they would never return to camp except after the defeat, rout, and overthrow of the enemy. This was done in the consulship of Marcus Fabius and Cnæus Manlius, in the war against the Veii and Etruscans, for the consuls abode in the camp and would not trust the troops with arms (because in the year before, through disaffection to the consul Cæso Fabius, the infantry refused to follow up the enemy after his rout by their cavalry and returned to the camp without the command of the consul). Then Marcus Flavoleius, in his ardor for battle, took the initiative and said, "As victor, Marcus Fabius, I will return from the battle-line "; should he play false, he invokes Jupiter the father, Mars Gradivus and the other gods. After Livy, bk. 2. that the whole army, one by one, made the same invocation.

In the same way, when Pompey halted near Dyrrhachium and ordered the camp to be pitched and the army was in great alarm, Labienus, in order to reassure it, set the example and swore that he would not desert Pompey but would share the same chance, whatever fortune might have in store for him; the other legates did the same; the military tribunes and the centurions followed, and the whole army took the same Case, Civil oath. And when Pompey decided to engage Cæsar in battle, the same
ware, bk. 3.
 except as victor and he urged the others to do the same; so Pompey, approving this, took the same oath and there was none of the others who Caes,
wavi, civi 3 war, bk. 3 . his men, when they were in communication with one another about a proposed defection to Cæsar, an oath that they would not desert the army and its leaders, nor betray it, nor make schemes for themselves apart from the others. And the commander-in-chief himself took an oath in those words and compelled Afranius to take the same; then followed the military tribunes and centurions: and the men, led out by centuries, took $\underset{\substack{\text { Cases, civil } \\ \text { war, bli. 1. }}}{\text { the }}$ same oath. Now Alexander's soldiers used to swear in his own words, he taking that oath first, that they would have the same enemies Quint. Curt, and the same friends as he had.
bk. 7.
[4] This too was the oath which the soldiers were wont to take among the Lacedæmonians, namely, that they would have the same enemies and the same friends as their general, so that there might be one firm agreement and federation between them for woe or for weal.
[5] But the Samnites, at times of great crisis, used to hold a levy with the accompaniment of the same ancient oath-ritual as on the initiation of recruits, and after a sacrifice had been offered in solemn fashion the general bade an official summon all the noblest in family or in exploit and, while the centurions stood around with drawn swords, the
soldier was approached to the altar rather as a victim than as a sharer in the sacred rite, and he was bound by an oath not to publish what was there seen and heard and then he was made, in a weird incantation, to devote to eternal destruction himself, his family and his stock should he refuse to go in battle whither his generals led him or should he himself flee from the battle-line or see another flee and not straightway slay him; and those who refused to take that oath were cut in pieces before the altar and, lying there among the carnage of the sacrifice, were a lesson to the rest not to refuse.
[6] Now it appears in Æeschines that the oath taken by the Athenians, on reaching puberty, was such as this: "My consecrated weapons I will in no manner handle with dishonor; whatever comrade may be mine in the battle-array, him I will not desert in danger; for our hearths and altars, alone or with others, I will strenuously fight; my fatherland, such and as great as I have found it, neither lesser nor worse, but greater and better, will I leave it."

It is a traditional story, too, that the Athenians, by reason of daily disagreements and breaches of the Law of Nations, developed bitter hatred and enmity towards the men of Megara, and that, whenever they bound their soldiers by the customary military oath, they made them also swear that every year during their term of military service, by whatever means they could, they would invade the lands and territory of Megara with a hostile army and would lay the country waste, not leaving anything intact, and would bring upon it all possible disaster of war.

It is, further, worthy of remark that when the whole Roman army took an oath to the consul, it sufficed if the centurion or tribune, on behalf of the army, swore in a set form of words with uplifted voice, and the whole array and each individual soldier with a common accord and assent took a silent vow in the same words, and this was just as binding as if each individual had said the set form of words aloud, and it was deemed sacred and inviolable.

It must also not be omitted that the tribunes were wont, on arriving where a camp was pitched, to exact from all in the camp, free as well as slaves, and individually, an oath that they would not steal anything from the camp-nay, further, that if they found anything whatever they porybius on would bring it to the tribunes.

## Chapter VI. <br> Of discharge.

1. One once enrolled as a soldier can not be removed from the roll.
2. A soldier may not quit the colors.
3. Three kinds of discharge.
4. Honorable discharge.
5. Discharge invalided.
6. Ignominious discharge.
7. Ignominy not the same as infamy.
8. Who can be discharged with ignominy.
9. A fourth kind of discharge.
ro. The general alone can grant a discharge.
[ 1,2 ] When once a man has been enrolled as a soldier he can not, against his will, be removed from the roll unless he has been cashiered for unworthy conduct; for by a military lex sacrata, introduced in the dictatorship of Marcus Valerius Corvinus, no one who had been enrolled Livy, bk. 7. as a soldier might be removed from the roll without his consent. Nor might a soldier absent himself from the colors, or abandon his service, Dig. $4,6,45$. until he was discharged from his oath.
[3, 4] Now there were three kinds of discharge and methods and $3,2,2$ of releasing a soldier from his oath-the honorable, the invalided (causaria, for good cause), and the ignominious.

An honorable discharge was given when the full term had been served and all the profits attending a discharge had been earned. It carried with it exemption from office-holding. On this occasion it was usual to dedicate shield, sword, and armor to the Lares (i. e., tutelar deities), just as gladiators do to Hercules, because by the aid of the immortal gods the soldier had completed his service and received his staff of discharge. The period for this discharge was on attaining the fiftieth year: Augustus, however, allowed those over forty-five, who did not wish to continue in the cavalry, the privilege of returning their horse and of obtaining a dismissal, as if after a completed term. Others were of opinion that no one could claim discharge until after ten years' service. By the Roman custom, however, the military oath was released at the end of the eleventh year and, when that had elapsed, a discharge was granted to those who had served their term. Later, the end of military service was put at the sixteenth year of service, and the Emperor Tiberius extended it to the twentieth year, and enacted that no discharge Dig. 27, 1, 8. could be obtained before then; and this agrees with our rule. And one Cod. $10,55,3$, so discharged is exempt from all civilian offices and enjoys very many Dip. $27,11,8$
Coai. 10 ,
ond
2. privileges.
[5] Now a discharge is said to be "invalided " when a soldier is reported unfit for service by reason of age or bad health or some bodily weakness. This kind of discharge is not easily obtained, and only after
a physician's report and after a careful examination of the defect by an expert. When it has once been granted, the rule is not to allow the discharged soldier to be re-enrolled on the allegation that his health has been restored; but, whatever the ground of his discharge may have been, Col. 12, 35, o. he can not be re-admitted to the army without an order of the prince. A cos. 12, 5\%, 2. soldier discharged on these grounds will also obtain exemption from office-holding, but not a perpetual exemption, and he does not enjoy Dis. 27. 1, 8 . those privileges which those enjoy who have been discharged after a completed term; he will, however, enjoy the other privileges of veterans and will receive the rewards granted to a veteran. Now this discharge Dig. 49, 16, $13,2$. invalided casts not the smallest stain on the reputation, and it is also an Cod. 12, 3, 8. 8 . honorable variety of discharge. Those so discharged are called invalids Dis. 27, $1,8$. (causarii) by Livy in the passage, "Let a third army be raised by Titus Bk. 7 .
Quintius out of the invalids (causarii) and older men, to guard the city-walls."
[6] But an ignominious discharge, also called shameful (probrosa), occurs when a soldier is discharged from his oath on the ground of wrong-doing or crime; and a soldier so discharged is, according to the Dig. 3, 2, 1. prætor's edict, to be infamous, and is disqualified for any dignity, and Cod. 12, 3.5, 3 and
 In fact one so discharged without mention of ignominy is nevertheless cond $12,35,2$ taken to have been discharged with ignominy, according to Macer. Dig. 49, 10, 13, 3. Ulpian, however, holds that the ground of the ignominy ought to be stated in the discharge. But in the case of those temporarily discharged, Dir. $3,2,2,2$. the infamy does not continue after the lapse of the time named.
[7] It should be pointed out, too, that there is a difference between ignominy and infamy, as is abundantly clear from Cicero, who says that Repubic, bke ${ }^{4}$ as , a censor's mark of blame is not as grave a matter as a judgment of $a$ pro cinenius. court; for the censor's rebuke entails little more for the guilty party than a blush and a mark of ignominy, which is little more than nominal, and dignities are still open to the man who has such a mark, and access to the Senate, too. But it is quite different with those who have been found guilty by a court. They are perpetually barred all honor and dignity, and receive the mark of infamy, which can not be imposed save upon a judgment. Accordingly, those soldiers who had been discharged Dig. 4s, 1, 7. with ignominy were at one time not infamous, until the prator's edict declared that they should become infamous. And so, that may be styled Dig. $3,2,1$. ignominy which our commentators call infamy in point of fact, while that is infamy proper which they call infamy in point of law.
[8] It should not be forgotten, too, that not only a common soldier, but a centurion too, and a tribune, nay, any one holding command in an army, even though enjoying the distinctions of a consul, may be discharged by a general with ignominy, and that one so discharged suffers the mark of infamy. And so Caius Cæsar dismissed Caius Anienus, Dis. 3, 2, 2, pr.
the tribune of the tenth legion, with infamy in the presence of the army, in the following words:
" Caius Anienus, because in Italy you stirred up the soldiers of the Roman people against the republic, and made plundering expeditions into the townships, and have been of no use to me or to the State, and have caused the State a shortage of troops in a time of necessity, for these reasons, I remove you from my army with ignominy and I order Span. wart, beer, $\bar{f}$. you to leave Africa and to betake yourself as much farther as you can."
[9] Besides these three kinds of discharge which we have de-
Dig. $3,2,2,2$, scribed, Ulpian adds a fourth: If a man has enlisted in order to escape ${ }^{\mathrm{Dig} .} 3,3,2,2,2,2$, and , civil office-holding he is ordered to be dismissed; but this discharge does Dig. 39,16 , $4,8,8$, end.
[io] Further, the general or commander of the army can alone Dig. 3, 2, 1. grant a discharge. And so the censor Quintus Fulvius removed from the Senate his brother Fulvius for daring to discharge home, without the Val. Max., bk. 2, ch. 7. consul's orders, a cohort of the legion in which he was military tribune.

## Chapter VII. Of the privileges of soldiers.

1. A soldier may not be thrown into prison for debt.
2. Whether nobles have the same privilege.
3. The privilege of not being sued beyond the limits of competence, its nature.
4. The property of an absent soldier may not be assigned to creditors.
5. A soldier is excused from being tutor and from giving evidence.
6. A soldier's property not liable to confiscation for non-payment of taxes.
7. Things bought with a soldier's money belong to him.
8. When a soldier sues for the recovery of money paid by mistake, the burden of proof is on the defendant.
9. A soldier may plead ignorance of law.
ro. But not in case of delict.
ii. A soldier not liable to torture or to the punishments of plebeians.
10. Military service does not release a son from paternal power.
11. A soldier son-in-power is reckoned independent of his father as regards peculium castrense.
12. What peculium castrense consists of.
13. Of soldiers' wills.
14. One capitally condemned for a military offense can make a will.
15. The goods of a soldier who leaves no successor pass to his legion.
16. A soldier who ill-advisedly enters on an inheritance is not liable beyond the assets.
17. He can not make a gift to his concubine.
18. A soldier who dies in battle is deemed to be still living.
19. A soldier who has died in battle counts towards his father's jus trium liberorum (privilege of three children).
20. Soldiers' privileges are not extended to the hurt of others.
21. Rewards owed to soldiers who have died are given to their children.
22. Soldiers' privileges are only granted to such as are strenuous in their military service.
23. Certain soldiers' privileges are not confined to soldiers, but are granted to others also who are camp-followers.
24. Women camp-followers, what privileges they may have.
25. Whether soldiers of the present day enjoy soldiers' privileges.

Seeing that, as Cicero truly says, honor fosters the arts and we are Tusc. Quest., bk. 1. all spurred on by the desire of glory, while those pursuits are neglected which are generally despised, the Romans (who attained such a preeminent pitch of glory in war) acted with wisdom, undoubtedly, in holding the military profession in high honor; and they, accordingly, granted numerous very ample privileges to soldiers, the heads of which-and, for brevity's sake, the heads only-we will here just touch on.
[I] In the first place, a soldier can not be imprisoned for debt, nor be sued save within the limits of competence; this was a provision of dig. 22, 1, 6 and 19. Egyptian law also. Action can not be brought against him, I say, save Diod. sicul., bk. 2. within the limits of competence; that is (as Justinian says), within the instit. 4, 6,36 . limits of what he can afford to pay, heed being given that he is not reduced to need thereby. And so, according to the common opinion, he is Dig. 50, 17, 173. not bound to make cession of goods as an insolvent. Many, however, Rifotid 1,6 and
 Gioss. and doctors connection with his military profession: the matter is doubtful. Cer- Nex. col. 5. on on Digs tex, 1,6 and tainly the privilege in question can not be renounced for it inheres in the piz. 24. $3,1,11$
 Cod. $4,21,28,7$, , 18 person and not in the contract.
[2] Many hold that this privilege attaches also to those who have
Ealdus on cod. 7 , received a grant of nobility: and even if there may be a doubt on this Alex. on Dig. $28,6,15$, 15 point in common law, it has been so settled by a royal ordinance of Spain

 competence, for that is nowhere laid down, but only the privilege not to Cinna, and others, on be imprisoned for debt. But the armor, chargers, and residences of these

Cod. $28,6,15.15$ crown debt. By common law, too, the arms of soldiers can not be seized
in execution of a judgment and this is sound, for the ancients used to cal span. Ordin, bk. 24,
Acc. and Ale, on Dig. in

Cod. $7,7,7,1$ ool.
Cod. 7, 53, 4.
[3] It must be remarked that that privilege of not being sued beyond the limits of competence does not affect the obligation nor the right of action nor the decision, for the plaintiff can sue for the whole amount; but the judge will only condemn within the limits of the defendant's Dig. $24,3,12$ competence, and even if the judge in error condemns in the whole amount the plaintiff will not obtain execution for more than the defend-
Dig. $42,1,41$. ant can afford to pay; and the time to be regarded in this connection is Dig. $24,3,25$. the time of enforcement of the judgment; and so, although the defendant was able to pay at the time of the judge's sentence, he will nevertheDig. $17,2,63$, 7 . less be able to plead this privilege.

Further, in favor of military service, sometimes not only soldiers, but those also who are desirous of becoming soldiers, have been released from imprisonment; for the consul Publius Servilius issued an edict, at the time when the Volscians were making war, that no one should detain a Roman citizen in fetters or under restraint so as to prevent him enrollLivy, bk. 2. ing his name in the consul's lists; and, at a later day the dictator Marcus Junius, after the defeat of Cannæ, declared by edict that he would order the release from liability or from debt even of those who had dared to commit a fraud involving capital liability, and of all imprisoned judgLiry, bk. 23. ment debtors, if they would take service as soldiers under him.
[4] Very much like the foregoing first-mentioned rule is that whereby, if a soldier absent on State service has rendered his goods liable to seizure in execution by his creditors, through having failed to enter an appearance, yet the goods will not be so assigned to his crediCod. 2, 50, 4. tors. And if the creditors of a soldier have sold up any of his property under the law of distress, the soldier is entitled to be restored to his Cod. 8,33 , 3 . former position (restitutio in integrum) on discharge of the debt or on proffering the price at which the property was sold, should that have Cod. 2, 50, 6. been less than the value of the property; for a soldier who is in any way damnified while performing his duties to the State is entitled to restitutio Cod. 2 , 50 , in
in gencral.
integrum. And so the consul Publius Servilius declared, during the Volscian war, that none should seize or sell up the property of a soldier Livy, bk. 1. who was on service.
[5,6] Again, a soldier is excused from tutorship and other public Insti. $1,25,14$. Dig. 50, 4, 3, 1. duties; and he can not be compelled to give evidence if he wishes not to, dig. 22, 5: 3,6 and 10 . lest private advantage should entail his absence from the colors where he is the guardian of the whole State. And so, though a soldier is not Cod. 4, 65,31 . exempt from taxation yet his property will not be confiscated for non- cod. 4, 61, , . proffer or non-payment of taxes.

Cod. 4, 61, 2.
[7,8] And although things do not necessarily become my property because they are bought with my money, yet if they are bought with cod. $3,32,6$. a soldier's money he can bring action for them as owner. And although cod. $3,32,8$, in an action to recover money paid by mistake the burden of proof is on the plaintiff, yet a soldier-plaintiff is freed from this burden and it is thrown on the defendant, who must show that he had a good title to receive the payment-this is so, however, only when the soldier claims Dig. $23,3,25$. that the whole amount paid was not owing and not if his claim relates to a part only.
[9, io] Another privilege of a soldier is that he is not prejudicially affected by ignorance of law, for it is his business to understand arms rather than laws. And so a soldier who, e. g., through ignorance of law, Diy. 22, 6:9 and 10 . does not utilize some peremptory plea which is open to him, is allowed to resort to it even after sentence given. This is a provision also of the cod. 1, 18, 1. span. Ordin, bk. 24, laws of Spain. In case of delict, however, ignorance of law is no excuse, Dis. .99, 16, 2; and for we learn by nature the obligation to abstain from delict.
[II] A soldier is further favored in not being subjected to torture or to any punishment of plebeians, and this is so even after he has received his discharge after a full term of service; and so he can not be cod. $9,4,8$, and 9,
 tain a similar provision in the case of nobles. And it applies, also, to the span. Ordin., bk. 24,
 as have attained the highest military rank. But this privilege does not cod. 9 , 41 , it. Cod. 9, 8, 4. extend to cases of high treason, treachery, sorcery, forgery, or private- Cod. 9, 18, \%. Dig. $43,16,3,4$ prison-keeping.
[12, 13] Further, although military service does not release a son from paternal power, yet a soldier son-in-power is treated as independent cod. 3, 2s, 37. (sui juris) so far as * castrense peculium is concerned; to this his father has no claim. So far does this go that an action may lie between a father Dix, 49, 17 , , 4. and his soldier son-in-power in connection with the castrense peculium, ${ }^{\text {and } 10(\%) .}$ though in other circumstances no suit can be maintained between them. Dir. 5, 1, t. On this principle a soldier son-in-power can not plead the senatus consultum Macedonianum no matter what the purpose was for which he Cod. 4, 2s. 25, 1. borrowed the money. Hence, too, although there can not be a gift between father and son-in-power, yet a gift made by a father to his son- Diz. 39.5: 2 , and in-power, on some consideration of military service, is valid and what is ${ }^{31,2}$, given forms part of the castrense peculium, and for the same reason a Dig. 49, 17: 3,4 and 11 gift between spouses on the same consideration, though it would otherDig. 24, 1, (2). wise be revocable, is valid.

[^19][14] Now, whatever a soldier has acquired in connection with his Dig. 49, 17, 11. military service is reckoned castrense peculium. Even the succession to his wife, which she has bequeathed to him in appreciation of his military service, will, by a rescript of the Emperor Hadrian, be his, although he Dig. 49, 17: 13 , 16 be under power of his father, as castrense peculium. This is not the and 16. case, however, with a dowry (dos) given or promised to the husband, for it is inseparably connected with the obligations arising from the marriage and passes to their joint children, who are in the grandfather's Dig. 49, 17, 13. power. The inheritance of a brother on the father's side, or other comrade, which is offered to him as such comrade, is considered to be pecuCod. 12, 36,4 and lium castrense; this is only the case if they have been on military service Dig. 49 , 17 at end. in the same province or if the bequest be made in consideration of his Dig. 49, 17 , 17 end. 16 military service, and not otherwise.
[15] Further, in reference to the wills of soldiers, such liberal treatment is allowed them in will-making that the solemnities of willmaking are entirely dispensed with in their case. For the Emperor Trajan allowed soldiers to make wills in whatever form they chose, and Dig. $29,1,1$, and declared that a bare declaration of intention sufficed. Papinian accord-
 this is only true if the act of testation occurs while they are on an expediCod. $\frac{6,6}{6,21,17, ~, ~ a n d ~ t i o n ; ~ a n d, ~ i f ~ t w o ~ w i t n e s s e s ~ h a v e ~ b e e n ~ s u m m o n e d ~ t h e r e t o, ~ t h e ~ i n t e n t i o n ~ o f ~}$ Dig. 29, 1, 1. the soldier may be proved in that way. This was introduced in favor of Insiti, $2,11,1$, 1 soldiers. But if the testamentary act occurs in the course of a battle, the
(midide), and Dis. midale), and Dis.
20,$1 ; 24$ and 40 . will is valid, even without the summoning of witnesses, and it is enough for the soldier to have written the name of his heir on his shield or in the
Dig. 29, 1, 12. dust. And outside the exigencies of a campaign a soldier is bound by the
Instit. 2 , 11, pr. common law when making his will: and this has been held to be also the (nind bkie), law of Spain.
tit. 1 , par. 6 .
Now a will made on a campaign in accordance with this special law Instit. $2,11,3$ (end). for soldiers will continue valid for a year after discharge. And the soldier is allowed such unfettered capacity to make his will as he likes, that ${ }^{\text {Dig. }}{ }^{29,1,6,6 \text { and }}$ Cod , he may die partly testate and partly intestate and can institute an heir Cod. 6, 21 , Cod. 6 , 2 , 2 , up
1 2. directly to another; and, if he has named no one, his statutory heirs will Dig. 29, 1: 1: 15, 4 , succeed. And the jus accrescendi (i. e., law whereby certain persons if not named as heirs may be added to those who are named as heirs) does
${ }^{\text {Dig. }}$ and 29 11: 11.27 not operate in the case of a soldier's will.
A soldier can also pass over his son in his will, and the mere passing him over will be taken as an implied disherison, provided he did it intentionally, and so also the agnation of a son after the making of a soldier's Cood. $6,21,9$ and 10 , will does not avoid it, provided his intention be clear. And although in pig. $29,7,2,4,4$,
 Dig. 29, 1: 36, pr. case of a soldier's inheritance. A soldier, too, may make a will while
 Dig. 29, 1, 15, 2. ilian is valid if he be a soldier at death.

Further, although the will of a civilian is not annulled by mere intent or by a mere attempt, not carried through, to make a subsequent will, yet the will of a soldier is annulled by mere intent without any diffl- inatit ${ }^{2}, 17,7$ and Dig. 29, 1: 15 ( 1 and 2). culty. A soldier can even die leaving two valid wills. Further a soldice $28,1,2,25,1,1$,

Diz. 28. $3: 1$ and 2 .
Ind $29,1,19$, pr.
Dig. 29, 1, 4 and Instit. 2, 11, 2.
can give away more than three-fourths of his estate in legacies and these legacies suffer no abatement under the lex Falcidia. A soldier can Dis. 2,$1 ; 10,1$ and can dispose of his castrense peculium by will; and this applies even Dig. 29, 1,11 . though he be in an unarmed branch of the service. And the wills of pig. 5, 2, 32. soldiers are not liable to impeachment as unduteous. And a soldier cod. 3, 2s, 24 . son-in-power will not only be able to make a will for himself, but also a will for his son by way of pupillary substitution, so far as regards his castrense peculium. But this testamentary privilege so granted to Dig. 27, 1: 27 and soldier sons-in-power must not be extended to those who are below ${ }^{15,4}$, puberty and have (as sometimes happens) been allowed to enlist as a dig. 27, $1,8$. special favor. Such a person will not be able to make a will either by common or by military law, because of the unstable character of that age. Herein Justinian corrected the ancient law so far as it allowed this.

## Cod. 6, 21, 18.

Further, a civilian can not make a direct substitution to a stranger or his own son, over the age of puberty, whom he has instituted heir, in such a way that if he enters on the inheritance and shortly thereafter dies the substitute may become heir; but the only way in which this can be effected is by the testator binding his heir by a trust (fidei commissum) to pass on the whole or a part of the inheritance to the latter. A soldier, Instit. 2, 18, 9. however, is allowed to do this in the first-mentioned way.

tary offenses may make wills of their castrense peculium, provided there has been no breach of the military vow and that the presiding judge gives Dif. $29,1,11$, Dig. 32 , 22 1 . and permission in his judgment. This is not so in the case of a civilian.
[17] And if persons condemned for a military offense die intestate the next of kin will succeed, to the exclusion of the treasury. Indeed the Dig. 28, 12, 1 and 2. property of a soldier who dies without leaving a successor is given to his legion and not to the fiscus.

```
                                    Tig, 28, 3, 6,7 7 and
```

[18] Lastly, a soldier who has unadvisedly entered on an inheritance is not liable beyond the assets of the inheritance.

Cod. 6, 3n, 22 (end).
[19] Again, the favor which is shown to soldiers does not always manifest itself in an extension of their testamentary capabilities, but sometimes also in a restriction of them. Accordingly, although it is allowable to bequeath or make a gift to a concubine or mistress, yet a dig. 33, 5:5 and 1 . soldier can not give or bequeath anything to a woman suspected of being of loose character. A disposition, also, which is disgraceful or immoral pixi, $29,1,1,41,1 ;$ and Dig. 29. 1, 29, 2. is no more valid when made by a soldier than when made by a civilian.
[20, 21] Add now to what has gone before the unique and glorious military privilege that when a soldier dies in war for the sake of
his State he is taken as continuing to live through the glory of his ex-ploits-only he must die in battle in actual fighting, and not even in a siege or in any other way simply connected with war; and so a soldier son-in-power who falls fighting in battle for his country counts towards the jus trium liberorum (i.e., three-children privilege) which excuses his

Dig. 27, 1, 18. Instit. 1, $25, \mathrm{pr}$. father from tutorships and furnishes an excuse in the case of some other civil duties. Accordingly, when the Lex Julia de maritandis ordinibus
(i. e., about the marriage of the different ranks) provided that that one of the consuls should have priority and have the right of carrying the fasces (i. e., the special emblem of the highest magistrates) who had more children in his power than his colleague had, those children were Gellius, bk. 2, ch. 15. to be counted in, who had been lost in war.
[22] This privilege admits of many other applications; and so if a statute should provide (as is the case in many jurisdictions) that, in the succession to a father, a second son who survives his father is preferred to a grandson by the eldest son who predeceases the father, I am of opinion that, if his eldest son had fallen while fighting for the State, in this case the grandson is to be preferred, and for this reason, i. e., that his father (who is entitled to the grandfather's succession by the law of primogeniture) is deemed to be ever living; and in a precisely similar set of facts I have heard that the matter was so adjudged, notwithstanding the rule that soldiers' privileges are not to be extended to another
Dig. 26,7, , 40. person's injury and the rule that exceptions of an advantageous kind (beneficia) are not usually either extended or granted to another perDig. $36,1,12$, son's injury. These latter rules do not operate here, because no injury Coats, $\begin{gathered}\text { Con } \\ \text { and } \\ 10\end{gathered} 1,7$, , is done to the second son, seeing that it is not a case of something being ${ }^{\text {Dif. }} 8,3,17$ taken from him, but only of something not being acquired by him. For (as Ulpian put it) persons are not reckoned as losing a thing which was Dig. 50, 17, 83. never theirs and, as a rule of Paulus says, a man can not be held to cease Dig. $50,17,208$. to own what he has never owned. And that is the principle of Ulpian's dictum that no fraud is committed on creditors when the debtor omits to acquire something, but only when he diminishes the property which he Dig. 50, 17, 134, and actually has.

Now Papinian's pronouncement that soldiers' privileges are not to be extended to the injury of another person has no application to the case before us, for he is speaking of a case to which a soldier's privilege is not extended and in which it is clear that a wrong was being done to Dig. 26, 7,40 . another. Accordingly I hold that in the case which we are discussing the grandson must be preferred to his uncle notwithstanding the statuteespecially as, by common law, a grandson represents his dead father even Instit. 3, 1, 6. when he has died otherwise than in war; and therefore, as the statute Panormit on c. c , 10 , derogates from this common law, it ought to be restrictively interpreted. ${ }_{6}(2)$. 23] Add to the foregoing that a royal ordinance of Spain provides that any rewards which a man has earned for distinguished services
in the field are, on his death, to be due to his children and next of kin. It span. Ordin.. bv. 5. was the Roman rule, and enacted at Athens also by a law of Solon, that the children of those who had fallen in battle should be brought up and properly educated at the public expense. And Constantine added that the sons should be summoned to the military profession of their father. Cod. 12, 47, 1 and 2.
[24] It is, further, to be remarked that these military privileges are only granted to soldiers if they are performing their service with bravery and zeal, and they are not extended to veterans, though they,
to
are " soldiers" and enjoy military privileges.
[25] And, besides, certain military privileges are granted not only to soldiers, but to others as camp-followers; and in the forefront is this, that those who are not of a rank which entitles them to military privileges in will-making will be allowed, if kept prisoners by the enemy, to make their wills in whatever way they like and can.
[26] So, also, women who are absent with their husbands on the military service of the State receive the same assistance as soldiers if they are deprived of some legal remedy by lapse of time. A rescript of Alexander is to that effect; and Diocletian and Maximinian issued a cod. 2, 51, 1. rescript that a woman absent with her husband on a campaign was not to be adversely affected by a prescription longi temporis (i. e., based on lapse of time).

On the same lines is Ulpian's opinion, that those who have been lost in war are to be counted for the purpose of providing excuse from tutorship, of whatever sex or age they may be, provided they died in battle-for it is quite clear in ancient histories that women used to serve in array of battle and have sometimes rendered highly distinguished services in war. Thus in the Sabine war, the Sabine women at Rome, on whose account the war broke out, had the hardihood to throw themselves by a sideways movement among the flying missiles, their hair streaming, their gowns rent, for their womanly fear (as Livy says) was overborne Bk. . by their sufferings, and they thus succeeded in composing the anger and hostility of the opposed forces. And that, too, is a memorable thing that is told of the Cimbrian women whom, as well as their husbands, Marius had to fight in battle and whose death was even more glorious than their fighting, for when they failed to obtain their freedom by sending an embassage to Marius, they suffocated or strangled their children indiscriminately and either killed each the other or, making a rope of their hair, hanged themselves on trees or the shafts of wagons. And Florus, te pest., the German women are reported to have more than once restored their ${ }^{\text {Rom., bk. } 3 \text {, chi. } 2 .}$ line of battle when it was breaking.
[27] Now, although many doubt whether soldiers of the present day enjoy these privileges, it is nevertheless the better opinion that those who are on the service-lists and are doing their service with bravery and
zeal are granted these privileges-at any rate such privileges as have not been abolished in practice, and it is immaterial that in their case the solemnities wherewith in olden days soldiers were enlisted, with an oath of military service, have been dispensed with. For we notice in our days an analogous disappearance of ancient solemnities in our actions
 Cod. 2, 57, 1 and 2 . reason for granting soldiers such ample privileges was that, being thus ${ }^{48,1,2,2,11,15}$. freed from all anxieties, they might devote themselves, unhampered, to Cod. $2,7,14 ;$ and 2 , arms for the safety and defense of the Roman dominion. This reason ${ }^{\text {Dig }}$ ig 29, end. 1 . applies equally to our soldiers, and so the law ought to remain the same. Dig. $9,2,32, \mathrm{pr}$. Cod. 4, , 6.5 . 3.1 This view has the approval of men of highest learning, too. Cyn, Bald., Bart., Salict and others on Cod. 1, 18, 1.
Alb. on proc. Rom: civil. 43 , and consili.
Alex. on Dis. 28, 6 , 15, n. 23. 23 . Decius on Rubr. Codi. 6 , 21, mentioned by
Francisc. Zoannettus on Roman Emp., nu. 80.

## Chapter VIII. Of military courts.


6. Or is also engaged in trade.
7. The Roman consuls only had power when on active service to punish a Roman citizen capitally without consulting the people.
8. The tribunes had not power of life and death over the troops.
[ $\mathrm{I}, 2$ ] Soldiers can not be summoned before any but their own judge, or be punished by any other if in fault; and so, if arrested by a Cod $3,13,6$ and civil official, they ought to be remitted to their own judge, except in the codis $9,3,1$. case where a soldier while deserting commits some very serious offense, in which case the president of the province can take cognizance of the offense and punish the soldier in the place where it occurred. But other- Dig ${ }^{19,} 16,3$. wise a general or commander of troops has no jurisdiction over provincials and a provincial presideric none over soldiers.
[3,4] If, however, a soldier shall have declared in a written instrument that he will waive the prescriptio fori (i. e., plea to the jurisdiction) which his profession entitles him to set up, the agreement must be adhered to. So, also, if a man becomes a soldier only after receipt of cod. 2, 3, 29. a summons, he is, as it were, anticipated and can not challenge the Dig. $5,1,7$. forum; for a lawsuit ought to be brought to a conclusion in the same circumstances in which it was begun.
[5, 6] Further, a soldier who has given perjured evidence subjects himself to the jurisdiction of the judge concerned even though he be a civilian. A soldier, too, who is a trader, subjects himself thereby to cod. 4, 20. 14. the judge of that trade.

Further, every one ought to answer in a military court regarding public matters which he carried through in the course of performing his military service and with regard to military accounts, and without being able to set up the prescriptio fori, and this although he has retired from the service; and a volunteer who is liable to capital accusation (and who, cod. 3, 21. 2. according to a rescript of the Emperor Trajan, is not exempt from capital punishment) should not be remitted to the place where the accusation is brought, but should be punished in the place where he ought to have been tried if the charge had been a military matter.
[7] Further, it is to be remarked that, although consuls were prevented by the Lex Valeria, which Valerius Publicola introduced, and by
the Lex Porcia, from capitally punishing a Roman citizen without the command of the people, and although they were only left the power to Dig. $1,2,2$, , 16 . order imprisonment and public chains, yet when on active service they
 milit. ac domest.

Rom. also allowed to prators and other commanders of troops. The juris- Livy, bks. 2 and $\frac{4}{3}$ consult Venuleius, however, is of opinion that this was limited to the case
Dig. 48, 3, 9. of private soldiers, they being meaner and less regarded. Whence it Nov. 74, 84 (3). may be inferred that such punishment could not be inflicted on others without reference to the emperor.
[8] The tribunes, too, and others below the rank of general had no power of life and death over the troops, but were only allowed to inflict light punishment, as is clearly indicated by Marcianus, when he says that it was the business of the tribune to punish offenses within the limits of his authority. And what Tacitus says about the notorious Pannonian revolt is consistent herewith. He writes that Municius, the campprefect who had the chief command at the time owing to the general's absence, quieted that revolt in its beginnings by the execution of two sol-diers-which was rather by way of salutary example than under any legally conferred authority.

Further, the business of military courts can be conducted, according Dig. 2, 12, 9. to a rescript of the Emperor Trajan, even on holidays.

## Chapter IX. Of the punishment of soldiers.


7. The capital penalty remitted where the offense is due to wine or passion.
8. The Romans in their judgments did not balance good deeds against bad ones. 9. The Persians did the opposite.
[ I] Plato, in his Gorgias (so Gellius tells us), opines that there is Noct. Attic., b. of, a twofold reason for punishing offenses: the one being the chastisement ch. is. and correction of the offender, so as to make him more heedful and more ready to behave properly; this in the case of lesser offenses is ordinarily by a fine or some light restraint with a fine added; the other is by way of example, in order to deter others from similar offenses by the fear of punishment. And in the case of the graver offenses it is important that this should be inflicted publicly.
[2] And so some military punishments are of the graver order and are capital; others of the lighter order are necessarily inflicted by generals on delinquent soldiers in order to preserve military discipline, and vary according to the greater or less gravity of the offense.

## [3] Now the offenses of soldiers are either individual or joint.

1 An individual military offense is one committed by a soldier as such, Dig. 49, $16,2$. e. g., behaving otherwise than military discipline requires. Now mili- Dig. s9, 16, 6 . tary punishments, according to Modestinus, are as follows: Castigation, Dig. 49, 16, 3, 1. fine, imposition of tasks, change to an inferior kind of service, degradation, and ignominious discharge; but soldiers are not sent to the mines or tortured or condemned to the beasts or to the gallows, nor are they liable to any punishment of the plebeians.
Dig. 49, 16, 3, 15. [4] But for a grave offense they may be capitally punished or on Roman camps, cudgeled. The latter punishment was as follows, according to Polybius : the tribune took a cudgel and just touched the condemned man and thereupon all in the camp struck him with cudgels and stones, so that the victims were generally killed in the very camp, and if any one escaped it did not stand him in good stead, for he was not allowed to return to his country nor did any of his kinsmen dare to shelter him at home; and so those on whom this trouble fell were doomed to perish. This kind of punishment was called fustuarium, according to Cicero, who says, "The philipp. з. legions who abandoned the consul deserved fustuarium." A like kind of punishment is in vogue even at the present day on service. It is this
that the jurisconsults are thinking of when they use the phrase " to be
 and $29,5,5,1,1,8,1.4$ to be cudgeled, chastised, admonished," they are not thinking of the
 In Dig. 48, 19, 10. with the cudgel is much lighter than scourging, according to Marcellus, in, in, 15.'


De verb. signit, Verrutius.
bk. 6 .
[5] Further, if several soldiers join together to commit some Dig. 40,1 , 16,3 crime, or if a whole legion deserts, they are ordinarily cashiered. Some(at end). times the punishment was the very common one of binding the leaders of disturbances to a stake, or beheading them with an axe, and then choosing by lot one man in every ten of the rest and sending him to punishment. This can be gathered from the words of Cicero (Pro Cluentio) where he says: "Our ancestors laid it down that if a crime against military order was committed by several jointly, some were selected for punishment by lot, so that in that way fear might strike many, while the actual punishment struck but few."

And among other military punishments were the following: to postpone the year's pay, and in such cases not to advance any pay so that those so punished were called "bankrupts " (are diruti), or to reprimand in public in a general assembly or to dismiss without any advantages in the shape of pay; to forbid the place in which they rested to be reckoned in the camp, so that they lay on the ground and in the open; to leave them outside the camp-ditch without tents; to flog with vine twigs; to order them to give in their spears-and this punishment was called the punishment of the spear (censio hastaria), and generals did not usually inflict it by way of punishment for any small offense, but for some grave offense. Now soldiers were ordered to give in their spears whose spear was taken away from them by way of disgrace for some shameful conduct or riotous living; for just as brave soldiers who for the first time had come out of a battle victorious were presented with an unstained spear as a mark of glory, so the cowardly and contumacious were deprived of their spears. This was as much as to say that the hope of military service was taken from them.

Those were publicly reprimanded on parade who had their arms in rough condition and insufficiently polished or whose horses were overlean and scraggy, or who, on the day appointed for a battle, submitted Alex. ab Alex,, over-reluctantly to orders and sought opportunities for shirking.

There was also in olden times a military punishment which consisted in ordering a soldier's vein to be opened, by way of disgrace, and Noctes Atticae be. blood let: Aulus Gellius thinks that this was first employed in the case ${ }^{10,}$ ch. 8. of soldiers of sluggish disposition, whose natural habit was altering for the worse (so that it did not seem to be so much a punishment as a medicine) ; but in later times it became, by use, a method constantly resorted
to in the case of numerous other offenses, as if to suggest that all delinquent soldicrs were somewhat wanting in health.
[6] Now, although in other departments rather mild punishments commended themselves to the Romans, who had a rule in ancient times that a man was not to be fined an ox in preference to a sheep-the assessment of severer fines being one ox or more, but of lighter fines a sheep-yet as regards military punishments they were always on the severe side, inasmuch as military discipline calls for a harsh and severe kind of chastisement. Hence, although the consuls were forbidden to punish a Roman citizen capitally, yet on active service they could inflict punishment at discretion, as we have elsewhere said. Hence, also, it was very rare for the emperors to pardon a second offense. For, as Marcus abore, on Military Cato wrote in his treatise De re militari, mistakes made in other circumstances can be corrected afterwards, but offenses committed in war do not admit of being put right, seeing that the punishment itself follows on the heels of the mistake and there is an avenger of cowardice or clumsiness standing ready who never shows any indulgence to a delinquent enemy. And that consummate general Lysimachus used to say that a second mistake is not possible in war, for that is a place where blunders or heedlessness or ignorance can not be put right; for in war a little slip often produces the most serious results. Nevertheless, certain delicts when committed by a civilian call for no punishment, or perhaps, on the other hand, for a rather severe one, which, when committed by a soldier, call respectively for a rather severe or a rather light punishment (so Marcellus held). And it is well-known that certain military of- Dig. 48, $19,16$. fenses are punished capitally, these all being acts such as can not be done except guiltily.
[7] The capital punishment is, however, remitted in the case of ${ }^{\text {and } 7, s \text { and } 14 \text {. }}$ those who have erred through wine or in frolic. They are merely sentenced to a change to an inferior kind of service; for intention and Dig. 40, 10, 6, 5 , at deliberation are distinguishing marks of crime, but they are non-existent in a drunken man. Pyrrhus, accordingly, let some men go scot-free who, Dig. ss, $8,1$. when drinking, had loaded him with abuse and curses, and who frankly admitted it, throwing all the blame on the liquor, and who even said they would have said things far worse if they had had more wine-aye, and would even have killed the king if the bottle had not given out. The val. Max. bk. 5. Emperor Charles V, on the contrary, issued a decree that drunkenness was not to be any excuse for crime; this was due to the excessive frequency of drunkenness at that time. And Pittacus introduced a law providing that one who offended when drunk was to pay twice the fine which would be inflicted on a sober man.
[8] It is, further, highly remarkable that the Romans, who elsewhere attached the highest importance to every man's merits and virtue, refused, nevertheless, to display on the judgment-seat any indulgence to
crime, even where most important services had been rendered to the State, or to balance good deeds against bad. The most conspicuous illustration of this is the case of Publius Horatius: though he wore, as victor, the threefold spoils of the Curiatii, and though his unaided valor had won their empire for the Roman people, he was charged with treason (perduellio) for stabbing his sister when she wept at the death of Curiatius, her betrothed. And he was in a highly critical position, from which he was rescued more by the entreaties and pathetic appeal of his father than Livy, bk. 1. by his recent achievement.

And when Marcus Manlius Capitolinus was accused of aiming at kingly power, although his defense of the Capitol had saved Rome and although he led out into view nearly four hundred men to whom he had lent money without interest, thus preventing their property being sold up and themselves carried off as assigned debtors (addicti), and although, besides all this, he displayed to view the spoils of slain enemies and numerous gifts of commanders and had recalled his exploits in war in a speech, the greatness of which matched the greatness of his deeds, yet his Bk. 6. crime (says Livy) outweighed all, and Manlius was condemned by the judgment of the people and was hurled from the Tarpeian rock.
[9] The Persians, on the other hand, never condemned a man unless he was convicted of having done more harm than good to the Diod. Sicul., bk. 1. State.

## Chapter X.

## Of the contumacious and those who disobey the orders of their officers and who do not defend them.

1. He who disobeys a command is punished capitally.
2. A soldier who resists a tribune or centurion when proposing to chastise him.
3. A tribune may not overpass bounds in chastisement.
4. Those who refuse to defend, or who desert, their superior officers.
5. He who does what his commander has forbidden.
6. Discipline of their camps more important to the Romans than love of children.
[ I] In order to establish military discipline, it is before all necessary that those who command the army or soldiers have their men obedient to orders. Accordingly, capital punishment is decreed for him who disobeys orders, and Augustus dismissed the whole of the tenth legion dig. 40, 10, 3, 15. with ignominy when it was contumacious and disobedient.

Further, when Lucius Æmilius Paulus was despatched into Macedonia, he made it his first care to provide that in the movements of his army everything should be done in ordered fashion and without noise, at the first beck and command of the general. For, when proclamations of what was to be done were made to all at the same time, not every one heard them distinctly, and, as the orders were not received in clear form, some, making additions for themselves, did more than was ordered, while others did less, and dissonant shouts were raised in every quarter, insomuch that the enemy knew sooner than the soldiers themselves what was intended. The general, therefore, directed that the military tribune should communicate the order, secretly, to the chief centurion of the legion, then he to the next, and so on, each telling the next to him in rank what had to be done, whether the instructions were to be conveyed from front to rear or from rear to front.
[2] Now we have elsewhere told how the tribune and the centu-stove. oh. on the rion have power of chastisement over the troops. A soldier, accordingly, who resisted the tribune or centurion when proposing to chastise him, was branded with the mark (nota) of disgrace, and this meant that no one might supply him with fire or have any dealings with him. But if he had laid hold of the vine-staff, he was put to inferior service ; while if he had broken it intentionally, he was capitally punished. (We have elsewhere shown how vine-staves were carried before the tribunes, and Dig. 99, 16, $13,4$. not fasces.)

Dig. 49, 1, 12, end; and $19,2,13,4$.
[3] A tribune must, however, give heed not to overpass due limits in chastisement; and should he assault a soldier without justification and not with any intention of chastisement for some offense, I think the sol${ }^{\text {By }}$ inference from dier may lawfully defend himself; but in other cases resistance is unlawCod. $10,1,5$. ful. All contumacy towards the general or commander is a capital c. 97, c. 11, qu. 3 offense.

Dig. 49, 16, 6, 2. [4] Again, capital punishment is decreed not only for those who Dig. 49, 16, 6, . . have offered violence to their commander, but also for those who have neglected to defend him or who, though defending him, have allowed Dig. 49, 16: 2 (end) him to be killed; and a royal edict of Spain has decreed the same for and 6 (end). most serious matter that the soldiers had allowed the consul Petilius to be killed when he was bravely fighting against the Ligurians, and they refused to advance the year's pay or to make any other payments to the legion, because it had failed to offer itself to the enemy's weapons for val. Max., bk. 2 , the safety of their general. Indeed, the Celtiberi deemed it a sin to survive a battle in which the chieftain was killed, to whose safety they had val. Max, bk. 2, dedicated their lives. And Cæsar tells how among the Gauls there were Gallic war, bk. 3 . men bound by a similar obligation, whom they styled " soldurii."
[5] Again, so stringent is the rule requiring obedience to a commander's decrees and observance of his orders that capital punishment awaits him who does anything which his commander has forbidden or fails to carry out his commands, even though he meets with success there-
Dig. 49, 16, 3, 15. in. This discipline had very stern champions in Postumius and Tubertus and Manlius Torquatus. One of these men had his own victorious son beheaded for attacking the enemy with an escort, of his own motion and without any orders to do so, and this though he had routed the enemy. And another of them, whose cognomen (surname) thereafter was Imperiosus, had his son flogged in sight of the whole army and beheaded for accepting, against his father's orders, a challenge to combat given by Geminius Metius, the Tusculan general, and this although his descent into battle was crowned with a glorious victory and he had brought back magnificent spoils. And Manlius, the son, when the troops were on the point of rising in favor of him, insisted that he was not of sufficient value for discipline to be destroyed on his account and he inLivy, bks.
Vail. Maxd
and 8 . duced them to allow his punishment to be carried out.
[6] And so Paulus says that the Romans gave the discipline of
 Papyrius displayed no less severity towards his master of the horse,
Dig. 49, 15, 19, 7. Quintus Fabius, for leading the troops out, contrary to orders, although he had returned to the camp after a signal defeat of the Samnites. The dictator was not moved by his valor nor by his success nor by his high birth, but had him stripped and flogged and persisted in demanding his execution from the Roman people, and from the tribunes of the plebs
who were interposing their veto on his behalf. It was, then, just as thay. bk, \& \& , whe, much a military offense to fight irregularly and contrary to orders, even ch. z. after being challenged, or to be slow in obeying the trumpeter's signal to retreat, as to do an injury to a fellow-citizen or an ally. And Cyrus, accordingly, is said to have commended the soldier who, being just about to kill an enemy when he heard the signal for retreat, yet drew back his sword and restrained the blow and let the enemy go.

# Chapter XI. 

Of truants.

1. A soldier may not be away from the colors without leave.
2. A soldier who quits the ranks is flogged. 3. A truant (emansor) and his punishment.
3. One who crosses over the ramparts.
4. One who does not return on the day his furlough expires.
[ $\mathrm{I}, 2$ ] It was provided by the military law of Rome that no soldier, unless he had permission, was to absent himself from the colors or quit a battle-field or abandon a post or flee from camp or go too far afield, and that the precautions of reconnoitering and watchwords and supports should not only be taken when marching in battle-array, but also when out collecting wood or making a foray; and, in a word, that all precautions and arrangements should then be taken as if in face of the enemy. But he who wanders outside the fortifications, out of hearing of the trumpet, unless it be by the orders of the general or tribune, is liable to military correction, the danger being that soldiers who are straggling along in loose order and carelessly may stumble into some hostile ground and be exposed to slaughter and other risks. And another reason for this is that they may be restrained from plunder. Hence one who quitted the ranks when on march was flogged or was put Dig. 40, 16, 3, 16 . to inferior service.

Lysander of Lacedæmon was once chastising a man who had left the line of march and, when the fellow said that he had not done so for any purpose of plunder, made answer, " But I mean you not even to look as if you were going plundering." And when Alexander Severus found any one turning aside to loot something or other, he had him flogged and ordered a herald to make proclamation during the chastisement, "Whatsoever ye would that men should do unto you, do ye even so unto them," a saying he had picked up from the Christians. And when Pompey was sent as legate into Sicily he heard that the men were in the habit of wandering off during the march and committing assaults and looting; so he checked their random roamings with punishments and Plut, Apoph. affixed his seal to the swords of those who were sent on his errands.
[3] Further, one who makes off for some time without leave and then returns to the camp is called an emansor (truant). His offense is Dig. 49, 16, 3, 2. less heinous than that of a deserter, just as a slave with a habit of wanDig. $50,1,225$. dering is not so bad as a fugitive slave. And inquiry is made into the circumstances of his truancy-why the man went away and whither and
what he did there, and pardon may be given where the reason was his health or his affection for his relatives by blood or marriage or where he was in pursuit of a fugitive slave or there was some other such explanation. Moreover, a recruit who has not yet learned military discipline is a fit subject for pardon.
[4] When, however, an attack by the enemy is imminent, a soldier who stays away reconnoitering over long, or leaves the fosse, is punished capitally. So is he who crosses over the ramparts or enters the camp by Dig. 43, 18, 3, 10 .
climbing the wall, for walls have ever been held sacrosanct.

Dig. 49, 16, 3, 15.
[5] He, too, who has been allowed furlough and does not return on the appointed day is to be treated (so Modestinus ruled) just as if he had been a truant (emausor) or deserter during the time by which he exceeded his furlough; but he should first be allowed an opportunity of explaining whether he happened to be detained by some accident which could be held fit ground for a pardon. So wholly undesirable was ab- Dif. 49, 16: 3 (7) sence from the colors considered that, according to an opinion given by Ulpian, a soldier who was away on furlough was not held to be away on Dig. 43, 1e, 1. State service, and Javolenus was of the same opinion with regard to one Dig. 4, 6. st. who, e. g., was wandering or taking his ease at home. For, as Ulpian says, those only are held to be away on State business who are away com- Dig. 4, 6, 36 . pulsorily and not for reasons of their own convenience. And we have elsewhere said that leave of absence should be given to soldiers as spar- Above, ch. on the ingly as possible.

## Chapter XII.

## Of deserters.

1. Who a deserter is, and the manner of his punishment.
2. Those who screen a deserter.
3. A deserter who is reinstated does not get paid for the time of his desertion.
4. He who has withdrawn his son from military service.
[I] A deserter, in legal intendment, is one who is recaptured after Dig. 49, 16, 3, 3. a long period of unauthorized absence. Now when once a man was enrolled as a soldier, he might not quit the service unless duly discharged; so to do was reckoned one of the gravest crimes. All deserters, however, are not to be punished alike, for the man's grade and pay and antecedents will be taken into account. It is material, too, whether he deserted alone or with a number, and whether for the first or some subsequent time, and whether he added some other crime to that of desertion; and account must be taken, too, of the time at which the desertion took place, for he who deserts in time of peace is degraded if a horsesoldier and put to inferior service if in the infantry, while desertion in Dig. 49, 16, 5. time of war is a capital offense. Severer penalties, too, are provided in
 Cod. 12, 45, 2. allows himself to be levied. Commanders allowed any one to maltreat a deserter, but this was confined by the Emperor Leo to the case where the man resisted after he was discovered. The property of those who Cod. $12,35,4$. have died while deserting is publicly sold.
[2] Now not only are the deserters themselves to be punished, but also those who screen them, e. g., a judge who, for favor or by carelessCod. 12, 45, 1. ness, has neglected to deal with a deserter. He, on the contrary, who rid. gives up a deserter is rewarded: and indulgence is commonly shown to a Dig. 49, 16: $5{ }^{(4)}$ deserter who gives himself up, unless it be after five years' desertion, for and 4 (13). such an one is to be deported.
(end). [3] And although by indulgence a deserter has been reinstated, he

Dis. 43, 16, 15. [4] Penalties no less severe than those enacted against a deserter were enacted against one who withdrew his son from military service. If he did this in time of war he was punished by exile and loss of property; and if in time of peace, he was flogged and the young man who had been claimed was put to inferior duties. And, by a rescript of the Dig. 49, 16, 4, 11. Emperor Trajan, he who maimed his son at the time of a levy, so as to render him unfit for military service, was to be deported.

And Cæsar, when the circumstances required it, is said to have been, among other things, a very sharp inquisitor of the seditious and of deserters, and a very ruthless punisher, too. And it is on record that Aufidius Cassius set the novel example of cutting off the hands and feet of deserters, declaring that it would be better for discipline that the criminal should drag out a wretched existence than that he should be killed.

## Chapter XIII.

Of deserters to the enemy and traitors.

1. Deserters to the enemy very severely dealt with.
2. He who can return but does not is reckoned a deserter to the enemy.
3. How those who carry intelligence to the enemy are to be punished.
. Secrets of the council not to be disclosed.
4. The enemy's spies.
5. Whether it befits a gentleman to be a spy.
6. Very unsafe to trust to deserters from the enemy.
[ 1 ] Very severe measures were always taken against deserters to the enemy, as being guilty of no ordinary crime and as traitors to their fatherland and their fellow-citizens, and they were deemed unworthy of pardon. For, as Paulus says, " A deserter who with evil design and traitorous intent has abandoned his native country is to be reckoned an Dig. 49, 16, 7. enemy and punished capitally; and wherever he is found he may be killed Dis. 48, 8, 3, 5. like an enemy and he is within the Lex Julia majestatis (on treason); he Dig. 48, 4, 2. can be tortured or condemned to the beasts or gallows, although his Dig. 49, 16, 3, 4. fellow-soldiers are not liable to those punishments. He, too, who is Dig. 49, 16, 3, 10. arrested when planning to desert to the enemy, is capitally punished." And so the senator Fulvius ordered his son, who was caught on the way sallust, catil. to join Catiline, to be killed.
conspir.
Publius Scipio Africanus, too, although in other respects of a most lenient disposition, was bitter against deserters and fugitives; and, after the overthrow of Carthage, he ordered, for the better establishment of military discipline, that any Romans who, in contempt of authority, had Iivy, bk 30 . deserted to the enemy, should be crucified and any Latins be beheaded:
Max.) , blax., 2 , ble . 2 , and Africanus the younger sent such deserters to the beasts. Paulus
ch. 7 . Æmilius, at the capture of Elephantis, and Marcus Marcellus at the capLivy, bk. 24. ture of Leontini, beheaded about two thousand deserters. And we read that Metius Suffetius, when found guilty of treason, was drawn in pieces
Livy, bk. 2. by four horses. And three hundred and seventy deserters to Hannibal, when recaptured by Quintus Fabius, were sent to Rome and all scourged Livy, bk. 24. in public and thrown from the rock. On another occasion, however, the same Fabius Maximus acted more leniently, for he ordered the amputation of the right hands of those who had deserted to the enemy in breach of their vow, so that the display of their mutilated arms should strike terror into the others and serve as a warning to others not to venture on Val. Max., bk. 2 , the same crime. Indeed, among the Athenians it was a sacrilege to bury Plut, Lives of the a traitor; he and all his issue were declared infamous and their corpses plut, on God's slowten orators.
deserter, however, who has subsequently captured many of the enemy and has pointed out the deserters among them, clemency may be shown, according to a rescript of the Emperor Hadrian.

Dig. 49, 1, 5 (end).
[2] He , too, is reckoned as a deserter to the enemy who, having an opportunity to return from captivity, has not done so; and, indeed, sol- Dig. 10, , , 5, 5. diers whom the enemy has released are not reinstated unless it is clear that they were genuine captives, not deserters.

Dig. 49, 1, 5, 6.
[3] Further, those who secretly carry intelligence to the enemy are reckoned traitors and visited with capital punishment, either burning
 powerful men of his day was found guilty of treason, his hatred of the general Hanno having led him to write in Greek to the tyrant Dionysius telling him of the approach of the army and of the slackness of the general: their Senate, in consequence, enacted that thenceforth no Carthaginian should learn either Greek literature or speech, so that there could not be any interpreter either for conversation or for correspondence.
[4] Again, not only do those who carry secret intelligence to the enemy merit punishment, but those also who spread it abroad among their own troops. Accordingly, the Egyptians had a provision in their military law whereby any one who published a general's plans was to lose his tongue. And the Persians of old, in their discipline, secured the safe- Diod. sicul., bk. 2. keeping of the king's secrets by the penalty of death. And it is on Quint. Curt., bk. \& record that no Senator for many centuries divulged the secret counsels of the Roman Senate. Accordingly, when Quintus Fabius Maximus told Publius Crassus about the secret proceedings of the Senate, with regard to a declaration of war against Carthage, he was vehemently upbraided by the consuls, although it was an act of inadvertence; for Fabius was aware that Crassus had held the quæstorship for the preceding three years and was unaware that the censors had not yet placed him in the ranks of the Senate. For, as Valerius Maximus says, they would never bk. 2, ch. 2. allow the habit of silence to be impaired, it being one of the most valuable and surest sa feguards of administration.

And so when the Asiatic sovereign, Eumenes, who was a great partisan of the Romans, intimated to the Roman Senate that Perseus was preparing to make war on the Roman people, it was not until after the capture of Perseus was known that any information could be obtained with regard to what Eumenes had told the Senate or the nature of their reply. It was, accordingly, a wise reply that Cæcilius Metellus gave to val. Max., bk. 2, the military tribune who was interrogating him about his plans: "If I ${ }^{\text {ch. } 2 .}$ thought," said he, " that my tunic knew them I would take it off and throw it into the fire "; indicating thereby that the schemes of generals should not be disclosed.

Further, those must be added to the list of traitors who supply the enemy with weapons and arms. This is a capital offense and their prop-

Cod. 4, 41, 1 . erty is sold up. And Paulus gave an opinion that material of war can Dig. 38, 4, i11: not be sold to the enemy without risk of capital punishment. They, indeed, who assisted the barbarians to plunder the Romans and divided Cod. 12, 35, 9. the spoil with the enemy were burned alive.
[5] Further, enemy spies who may fall into our hands do not merit such bitter aversion as those who desert to the enemy or carry secret intelligence to him. This is evident at first sight, for their conduct is not stained with perfidy. And so when a certain Carthaginian spy who had escaped capture for two years was at last caught at Rome, Livy, bk. 22. he only had his hands cut off and was then let go.
[6] It is often asked in this connection whether it befits a gentleman (nobilis) to be a spy and secretly find out what the enemy is planning and doing. And my opinion is that it would not be honorable for a gentleman to ply this business professedly and for hire, and that he could not do so without ignominy-as may be gathered from the full treatise of Tiraqueau on nobilitas-but if his motive in doing it was love of the State and the safety of the general public, I pronounce it not only not unworthy a gentleman, but eminently glorious. For the Lord commanded Moses, when he was journeying with the Israelites into the Land of Promise, to send men forward, one chosen from each of the tribes and every one a ruler, into the land of Canaan, to see the land, what it was and its size, and the people that dwelled therein, whether they were strong or weak, few or many, and what the land was, whether fat or lean, and the cities, whether they were strongholds or not, and Numb., ch. 13. generally to spy out all they could. And Joshua sent two spies into the Josh., ch. 2. city of Jericho before he besieged it.

And so, also, Sextus Tarquinius, feigning that his father was harsh and bitter towards him, fled to Gabii and was chosen by the men of that town to be their general, and, after winning a battle or two, was intrusted with the whole affairs of Gabii; whereupon he carried off the chief men of the State from its midst and delivered up the town, without Livy, br. 2. a blow struck, into the hands of his father, who was besieging it.

And when Zopyrus, a man of high rank and a friend of Darius, King of the Persians, saw that that King was weary of the long siege of Babylon and that the storming of the city would be very difficult, he had his nose and ears shorn off and fled to the Babylonians with his body mutilated, feigning that it was Darius who had thus foully treated him. The enemy believed him and received him kindly and gave him command of a body of horse and, after one or two successes in battle, made him at length commander-in-chief of their army; whereupon he delivJustin, bk. 2. ered both city and army up to Darius.

Araspa, also, a Mede of very high rank and one of Cyrus' intimates, went over, on Cyrus' orders, to the King of Assyria as a deserter and, after spying out the enemy's forces and plans, returned to Cyrus and Xenoph., Cyro- was commended by him in the public assembly.
paedia, bk. 6 .
[7] These examples show us how very hazardous it is to trust to deserters; for even if they are fleeing to us without guile or fraud and are genuinely betraying their own side, not much reliance can be placed on them, for how can you hope that a man will be faithful to foreigners who has shown himself unfaithful to his native land? And so Antigonus used to say that he liked traitors during their treason, but that afterwards he found them utterly repulsive. That is the meaning of Augustus' saying, " I like treachery, but do not commend the traitor."

Plut., Apoph.
And when some one complained to Philip of Macedon that the troops nicknamed him traitor because he had betrayed his country to Philip, that king made the witty reply, although he always chuckled at deceiving an enemy, " Don't be surprised: the Macedonians are very dull-witted: and rustic enough to call a spade a spade." By this reply Philip showed that traitors deserved obloquy from every one. And it was of a truth implanted in the Romans of old that they ought to gain their successes by what they themselves called Roman arts, i. e., by valor, Above, ch. on Trick. toil and arms, rather than by the crooked conduct of others, as we have war. shown elsewhere.

## Chapter XIV. Of the seditious.


5. Wonderful skill in quieting sedition.
6. Standards of conduct different in peace and in war.
7. Sedition to be appeased before the ringleaders are punished.
8. How sedition may be repressed.
[1] How dangerous intestine dissensions are was neatly shown by the orator Menenius Agrippa, in the fable about the revolted limbs of the human body, which he addressed to the people who had seceded to
Livy, bk. 2. the Sacred Mount. And Scillurus showed the same thing with his bundle of spears which he displayed to his eighty descendants for them to try to break. For it is sufficiently evident that not fewer armies have been ruined by their own strength through discord and sedition than have been overthrown by the enemy.
[2] Accordingly, the very sternest measures are always taken against the seditious and the movers of sedition, as being guilty of trea-
Dig. 45, 4, 1. son. And so Modestinus ruled that a man who had stirred up a fierce punishment should be degradation. And Paulus' view was that the seditious should be hanged or thrown to the beasts or deported to an island, according to their rank.

By the constitutions of the Kingdom of Spain, too, regard is to be had, in fixing punishment, to the quality of the offense and the rank of the offenders; and if the intent of their sedition was to neutralize an Dig. $48,19,38,8$. opportunity of striking some successful blow, they are to have their eyes Cod. $9,30,1$ and
Span
ord
Odin. and 5 , tit. 28, par. 2 . gone by; while, if they are of the higher ranks, they are to be deemed prisoners and thereafter banished.

And, although Cæsar would overlook other offenses, he always punished sedition with marked severity; and at a time of civil disturbance and great public excitement he discharged a whole legion for sedition, and had the movers of the sedition beheaded. Shortly afterwards, however, he yielded to the entreaties of those whom he had discharged to be freed from ignominy, and he reinstated them and they turned out most valuable soldiers.

And when the troops kept threatening Cnæus Pompey that they would seize the money which he was carrying in his triumphal return home, and Servilius and Glaucias begged him to divide it up, so as to prevent sedition, he declared that he would forego his triumph and would rather die than give way to the audacity of the troops; so he addressed a scathing speech to the men and had the belaurelled fasces pointed towards them, so that the plundering might begin with them, and by this haughty act he reduced the troops to decorum.
[3] Generals should, however, take heed not to venture on any rash assertion of their sovereign prerogative (the whole essential force of which resides in the consent of those who submit to it ), where there is any chance that the soldiers will not obey orders. This was the prudent advice given by the legates to Appius Claudius when he proposed to take very severe measures against the soldiers for having, out of spite towards him, basely retreated to the camp after he had led them out to battle.

Livy, bk. 2.
Further, the consul Gemitius discharged four thousand men and beheaded them in the forum because, with utter abandonment of all discipline, they had seized Rhegium which they were garrisoning, and he forbade the burial of their bodies and that any one should mourn for their death.
[4] Yet in the prevention and restraint of military sedition a com- hivy? br. 3s. mander must show great discretion. In the first place he ought to realize that ease and leisure breed luxury and license, and that these in their turn foster more than anything else the development of designs of this kind among the troops, as Livy has convincingly shown in his history, where he says: "Capua, even then by no means favorable to pk. 7. military discipline, banished the remembrance of their country from the minds of the soldiers, which were debauched by the supply of pleasures of all kinds, and schemes were being formed in winter-quarters for taking away Capua from the Campanians by the same kind of wickedness as that by which they had taken it from its original possessors."
[5] Again, the skill displayed by the consul Caius Martius Rutilius, in foiling the conspiracy at Capua, is much to be praised. He was a man of ripe age and experience, and thought it the wisest proceeding to frustrate the violence of the soldiers by prolonging their hope of exccuting their project at whatever time they might choose; and so he spread the rumor that the troops were to pass the winter of the next year in the same towns. For they had been cantoned throughout the cities of Campania. The edge being thus taken off the eagerness of their projects, the mutiny was set at rest for the time being. And the consul, having led out his army to the summer campaign, determined, with admirable adroitness, whilst he had the Samnites quiet, to purge the army by discharging the turbulent characters, some on one vretext, some on another. Livy, bl. 7.
[6] Now, the time is not always a fitting one to make hazardous assertions of sovereign authority. For, as Tacitus says, what in times of peace is dealt with by disciplinary vengeance, is endured, for caution's sake, in times of sword and mob. And, as Fabius Maximus used to say, different counsels and different lines of conduct are followed in the full ardor of war and in the tranquillity of peace, as we have elsewhere above, bk. 2, ch. 5. shown. Hence, also, I think that when any serious sedition has arisen, all possible measures should be employed to appease it and that until that is done the movers ought not to be dealt with, for fear that otherwise the raging of the soldiery be rather irritated and kindled than soothed and extinguished.

That was the example which was set by Scipio at the time when a sedition broke out among his troops in his camp at Sucro in Spain. He sent seven military tribunes to them in order to calm the minds of the soldiers by their mild and soothing discourse, and then he drew them to Carthage by issuing a proclamation that they should come there to claim their pay. There he had the whole army surrounded, and after castigating the troops, who were numb with fear, in a powerful harangue, he had the leaders of the mutiny dragged into the midst of the assembly and bound naked to the stake and scourged and beheaded. There had been a divergence of opinion whether only the leaders of the mutiny should be visited with punishment or whether atonement should be exacted as for a defection rather than for a mutiny (it being of so dreadful a character as a precedent) by the punishment of a greater number. The more lenient view prevailed, that the punishment should fall where Livy, bk. 28. the guilt had originated.
[8] To this must be added the remark of Tacitus that it is a very serviceable thing for the preservation of soldiers' fidelity, at a time when sedition is feared, to spread the army out with long intervening gaps, lest the soldiers increase both their strength and their faults by union. And, further, seeing that ease is the great breeder of military sedition, it is expedient to break in on it with a war, after the fashion of Alexander. And Cæsar adopted a shrewd method in the civil war of binding his army to himself. For, he borrowed money from the military tribunes and the centurions, and then distributed it among the troops; hereby he attained two objects, for he secured the loyalty of the centurions by the pledge that he had thus given and he bought the affection


That, again, is memorable which the histories tell us about Hannibal. For, although he conducted a war for thirteen years on hostile soil and far from home and with varying fortune, and although his army was not homogeneous and made up of his own fellow-citizens, but was a mongrel assortment of all nationalities, who had no community of law or custom or speech, and differed in bearing and dress and arms, in rites
and religion (sacra) and almost in gods, yet he so bound them together by one bond that no sedition ever broke out among them or against their general, even when, as was often the case, there was a shortage of money and pay and supplies in hostile territory. We read the same thing about Livy, bk. 27. Viriatus, who for eight whole years, during which he was warring with the Romans, kept an army, composed of all races of mankind, frec from sedition and in a most tractable disposition-a thing which indeed was Appian, Span. war. impossible without a certain admirable virtue in the general.

But Scipio, although in other respects a consummate commander, provided his men by his lenience with a handle for sedition, and Quintus Fabius Maximus used this to show that Scipio was born for the corruption of military discipline and that it was almost true to say that more was lost in Spain by the fault of Scipio through military sedition than in battle. So rooted in the natural disposition of some men is it to refuse to be offended rather than to pluck up sufficient courage to avenge offenses !

## Chapter XV.

## Of those who yield ground in battle, or surrender when beaten.

1. The general ought be more feared than the enemy.
2. He who begins a flight from battle, is capitally punished.
3. Severity conduces to success.
4. Those who surrender to the enemy have no right of postliminy.
5. He who simulates illness through fear of the enemy.
[ I] The Lacedæmonian general Clearchus used to tell his army that they ought to fear their general more than they feared the enemy : he meant that those who shrank from a doubtful death in battle would find a sure punishment awaiting them if they deserted, his aim being that it should become an instinct with his soldiers either to conquer or die. And this necessity, indeed, is not infrequently turned into a virtue, as we have Above, bk. 2 , ch. 11. Said elsewhere.
[2] And so it is provided in military law that the first to begin a Dig. 49, 16, 6, 3. flight from battle is capitally punished. And there is a similar royal Span. Ordin, 1ast book, enactment in Spain with regard to a nobleman who flees. By the canon

pian, Parthian timidity. Appius Claudius, in the case of those who had yielded ground ${ }^{\text {war. }}$ in the Volscian war, had one man drawn by lot out of every ten and executed. Antony did the same thing in the Parthian war.

In the consulship of Publius Cornelius Nasica and Decimus Junius those who had quitted the ranks were ordered to be flogged and then were publicly sold. And when Attilius Regulus was marching out of Samnium into Luceria, and the advance of his army was barred by the enemy, he stationed a cohort with orders to slay any fugitives just as if they were deserters. And the first thing that Camillus did after his appointment as dictator, during the war with Veii, was to punish by martial law those who had fled from Veii, panic-stricken, during the last-preceding battle; in this way he took away from his men any especial Livy, bk. 5. dread of the enemy. Titus Scaurus forbade his son to come into his sight, because of his retreat before the enemy in the Tridentine pass; and the shame of this ignominy so weighed on the young man that he committed suicide.

But Marcellus adopted a milder method with certain soldiers who had originated a retreat and had quitted the colors in panic; in the next
engagement he put them in the first rank, close-packed, so that by danger in other battles they might wipe out the ignominy they had incurred. val. Max., bk. 2, The Roman Senate, however, by a stern decree reduced to a condition worse than death and banished into Sicily those who had abandoned their still-fighting comrades at Cannæ; and when Marcellus subsequently wrote for permission to employ their assistance in the storming of Syracuse, the Senate replied that they were unworthy to be received into the army and to have the State's interests intrusted to them, but that he might do what he judged expedient for the State, provided that none of them should have any privileges or receive any military reward or return to Italy so long as the enemy was in it.

Livy, bk. 25.
And the same measures were taken with regard to those soldiers ch. \%. .lax., bk. 2, who had saved themselves when the pretor Cnæus Fulvius was so disgracefully beaten and put to flight in Apulia : and, over and above this ignominy, they were forbidden to spend the winter in a town or to build winter-quarters nearer than ten miles to any town; and Cnæus Fulvius was accused capitally and went into exile. When Scipio, however, went Livy, bk. 26. over into Africa, he did not spurn the survivors of the defeat at Cannx, for he knew that that defeat was not due to their cowardice, but to the fault of their general, and that there were not in the Roman army any troops equally ripe and experienced, not only in various modes of fighting but also in storming towns.
[3] Further, many examples show us how greatly this severe discipline conduces to success. One such example is that of Licinius Crassus, Livy, bk. 29. when dealing with the gladiator Spartacus: this man was making war on the Romans with a large band of fugitives and men of his own condition and was flushed with a certain number of successes: so Licinius decimated his legions because of their poor behavior in the field and thus made them fear him more than they feared the enemy. Then he led his men anew into line of battle; and, although his army was much reduced in size by this decimation, he inflicted great slaughter on the enemy and won a decisive victory over Spartacus. In the same way, Appian, Civil war, when the troops of Lucius Apronius had been routed by Tacfarinas: ${ }^{\text {bk. } 1 \text { (at end). }}$ Apronius, being more grieved at the disgrace of his own men than at the success of the enemy, did (says Tacitus) a thing which at that time was annals, bk. s. rare and a matter of ancient memory merely; i. e., he chose by lot one man out of every ten in the disgraced cohort and clubbed them to death; and this severity was so effectual that a company of veterans, not more than five hundred in number, routed the very same forces of Tacfarinas.
[4] The Romans, furthermore, reckoned it base and worthy of punishment not only to yield ground and flee, but also to fall by surrender into the hands of the enemy. And so Ulpian ruled that those who dig. 40, $15,19$. surrender to the enemy with arms in their hands can not set up postliminy. And it is recorded that Titus Vespasianus maintained a discipline
so severe that he would not allow a certain soldier who, after capture by the enemy, had broken his chains and fled back to the camp, to continue in the service, and would not intrust arms to him because he had submitted to be taken alive by the enemy.

And when a large number of enslaved were voluntarily released and returned by Pyrrhus, the Senate, although the forces of the State were exceedingly reduced, decreed that those of them who had served in the horse should be joined to the foot and those who had been foot-soldiers should be enrolled in the auxiliary slingers and that none of them should come within the camp, or surround with a wall or a ditch the place assigned to them outside the camp, or have a tent made of skins; and he would only allow those to be reinstated in their former military conval. Max, dition who had won double spoils from the enemy.

And the Senate displayed equal severity when Hannibal offered it the chance of ransoming eight thousand Romans who had surrendered to him when left in the camp after the defeat at Cannæ; for it spurned his terms and, in lieu of the captives, enlisted eight thousand slaves bought with the public funds. It preferred to have the latter as soldiers, although there was an opportunity of ransoming the captives at a lower cost, being mindful that so large a number of armed young men could not have been so disgracefully captured if they had been willing to die honorably. Now it was implanted in the Romans that they must either conquer or die. And it is recorded that when Hannibal heard of this incident, he was dismayed to find the Roman Senate and people of so
[5]
[5] Lastly, those also who feign sickness through fear of the enemy are ordered to be punished capitally. And Sallust relates a notable thing about the old Romans, namely : that in time of war they were more often accused of fighting with the enemy contrary to orders, because the recall came too late to prevent them going into battle, than of daring to quit the colors or to yield ground under compulsion.

## Chapter XVI.

## Of those who part with their arms or lose them.

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\text { 1. To lose arms in war, or to part with them, } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { 2. Arms are not reacquired by postliminy. } \\
\text { a grave offense. }
\end{array} \\
\text { 3. The loss of shield or sword in battle. }
\end{array}
$$

[r] The ancients regarded arms as the limbs of a soldier, and so it was reckoned a grave crime to lose or part with them during war, and it was capitally punished. That crime is, indeed, put on a par with de- Dig. 49, 16, 3, 13. sertion, whether it be the whole outfit that is parted with or only a portion thereof-there being, however, this difference : a man who loses or parts with his leggings or cape is thrashed, but if it be his cuirass or sword or shield he is like a deserter, save that some allowance is made for a recruit.
[2] Hence it is that, as Marcellus ruled, arms are not reacquired by postliminy, because the loss of them is a disgrace. It is, accordingly, Dig. 40, 15. 2, end. singular that by an ordinance of the Kingdom of Spain arms lost in war may be replaced out of any booty that may happen to be taken. The Span, Ordin, bss. a same constitution, however, brands nobles with infamy for this and deprives them of the prerogatives of their rank. And indeed the loss of span. Ordin., last bk., arms in war has ever been held most disgraceful, and so when Marcus Cato, the son of the censor, was thrown during an engagement by a fall of his horse, and noticed, as he was gathering himself together again, that his sword had fallen out of its sheath, he so shrank from the ignominy thereof that he plunged into the thickest of the foe and after receiving several wounds recovered his sword and returned to his own men; and the enemy were so struck by this sight that on the following day they came as suppliants to beg for peace.

The consul Lucius Calpurnius Piso, again, ordered his prefect of dustin, Lk. зs. the horse, Caius Titius, who had surrendered to the enemy his arms and men when surrounded by a large number of fugitive slaves, to attend the parades in the general assembly (principia) during the whole time of his service, with the mantle of his toga torn in the lappets, with the girdle of his tunic undone, and with naked feet. And when Appius val. Max., bk. 2, Claudius' force had been routed by the Volscians, he inveighed against it as a traitor to military discipline and a deserter of the colors, and demanded of the men one by one where the standards were and where their arms were, and he flogged and beheaded those soldiers who were without their arms and those standard-bearers who had lost their mive. bk. 2 standards.

And the Lacedæmonians drove the poet Archilochus out of their city for writing a poem in which he seemed to suggest that the loss of arms was preferable to the loss of life. And the Spartan women used to bid their sons, when going out on a military expedition, either to return into their sight alive with their arms or with their arms to be brought back dead.
[3] Further, the Greeks had a military law that the soldier who lost his shield in battle should be punished capitally, but if it were his sword, he was to receive lighter punishment-the reason being that the generals of greatest reputation held it a more important point of discipline for a man to protect himself from the enemy and keep himself unhurt than for him to kill an enemy with hurt to himself. And that was the view of Scipio Æmilianus also, who said that he would rather save a fellow-citizen than undo many enemies. And so when Epaminondas lay dying, pierced by a spear, he asked first whether his shield was safe and then whether the enemy was utterly routed; and after he had obtained satisfying answers to both these questions, he died with heart val. Max., bk. 3. at ease saying, "Now is your Epaminondas born, in that this is the manner of his death."

## Chapter XVII. <br> Of those who desert, or neglect, their watch.

1. The duties of the watch to be diligently
$\begin{gathered}\text { performed. }\end{gathered}$
2. One who deserts the palace-watch to be
capitally punished. $\begin{gathered}\text { 4. If prisoners intrusted to the ward of sol- } \\ \text { theers escape. }\end{gathered}$

Not the least important part of military discipline is the proper posting of guards and close attention to the duties of the watch. We read how neglect in these particulars has led to the slaughter and overthrow of many armies, to the capture of many camps, and to the occupation of many cities. It was in this way, at a time when he was buried in slumber and wine, that the son of Queen Thomyris was attacked by Cyrus, and perished with his whole army. And after the loss of the Justin, bk. 1. Scipios in Spain, Lucius Marcius operated with the residue of the forces against two camps of the Carthaginians and, finding them in a complete state of disorder and neglect due to their victory, he captured them in one night with slaughter of the enemy.
[ $\mathrm{I}, 2$ ] Those, then, who fail in their duty on night-watch, when the rest of the force is sleeping in reliance on their diligence, deserve punishment. And so Paulus held that the punishment for desertion of the palace-watch, was capital; and Modestinus said that one who aban- Dig. 40, $16,10$. doned a post was worse than a truant (emansor) and that his punishment, according to the degree of guilt, was flogging or loss of rank, while one who quitted his watch over a commander (prases) or any other officer was liable to be treated as a deserter. When the Gauls had dig. 49, $16: 3,5$ and 6 . slipped through up to the summit of the capitol, owing to the neglect of the watch (though they were ultimately aroused by favor of the geese), Publius Sulpitius, the military tribune, proclaimed that he would proceed by martial law against the guard at that place, whom the enemy in their climb had eluded; but he was frightened by the unanimous clamor of the soldiers, who threw the blame upon one member of the watch, and he took no measures against the rest, but with universal approval hurled the undoubtedly guilty party from the rock.
[3] And when Epaminondas was going his round of the watches and posts, he made an example, in the interests of discipline, of a soldier whom he found asleep, for he plunged his sword through him, declaring that he had left him the same as he had found him. And Augustus plut., apoph. punished with death the men and officers of a company who had deserted
their post. Further, by the constitutions of the Kingdom of Spain, those who sleep at their posts are, after three warnings, thrown from the rock Span. Ordin., bek 9 , or the walls of the fortification where their watch happens to be placed.
 appointed among the Romans, and about the duty of those who went rounds of inspection of the watches, and what the punishment was of those who were negligent.

Now Paulus Æmilius adopted a novel method for securing that the watches should strive more intently against sleeping, and that was to forbid them to take their shields with them on watch-duty, for this duty was quite distinct from fighting and did not involve the use of arms, but only of vigilance; so that directly they perceived the approach of the Livy, bk. 44. enemy they should retreat and rouse the rest to arms. And once when the Thebans made holiday and were all given up to wine and feasting, Epaminondas went round the city on foot, alone, and meanly-clad and full of thought; and, upon one of his friends expressing surprise and Plut., Apoph. asking the reason, he replied, "In order that you all may drink and take your ease." And in the same way, once when Cambyses, the father of Cyrus, was asked about the best means of guarding a city, he shrewdly replied, "By the guards never thinking that they have taken sufficient measures of precaution against the enemy."
[4] Now it is usual to intrust the custody of prisoners to soldiers: and, if they escape, the soldier is, according to the degree of guilt, chasDig. 48, 3, 12, 1. tised or put to an inferior service; but careful inquiry must be made whether the escape was due to the culpable negligence of the soldier or Dig. 4s, 3, 12. to accident. The Emperor Hadrian gave a rescript to this effect.

## Chapter XVIII.

## Of him who loses, or surrenders to the enemy, a fortress or town which he has been appointed to defend.

1. He who has failed to hold a fortress, guilty of treason.
2. In defense of a fortress, death not to be shunned.
3. Should the commander of a fortress be punished when it has been taken without any fault imputable to him?
4. In what circumstances a commander is not bound to defend a fortress.
5. The commander of an ill-equipped fortress.
6. A fortress can hardly be given up to the enemy without incurring ignominy.
7. Whether the erection of a fortress is more help or hindrance to a State.
8. Foreigners ought not to have easy entrance to a fortress.

If slaves have to answer with their head to their masters for the safe-keeping of the house, alike from foes within and foes without, how Dig. 29, 5. 1, pr. much more must they to whom the custody of a fortress or a town is intrusted, seeing that in the protection of the public safety none ought to shun any danger or torture, or death itself! The very highest standard of diligence must, therefore, be satisfied in the safe-keeping of a fortress. By inference from
[I] And so failure to hold a fortress, or the surrender of a camp to the enemy, is punishable under the Lex Julia about treason. The consul Dig. ss, 4, z. Lucius Rupilius, accordingly, in the campaign which he conducted against the deserters in Sicily, ordered his son-in-law, Quintus Fabius, to quit the province for losing the fortress of Tauromenium by his negligence. And Caius Cotta had his kinsman Publius Aurelius Pecuniola val., Max., bk. 2, (whom he had put in charge of the siege of Lipara, when he himself was going to Messina in order to take the auspices) flogged and put to the military duties of a common foot-soldier for having by his fault allowed the battering-mound to be burnt and the camp to be all but taken. And val. Max., bk. 2, when his battering-mound was burnt by the enemy, Marcus Antonius decimated two of the cohorts that had been engaged in that work, and punished the decurions individually, and dismissed the legate with ignominy, and had the rest of the legion given rations of barley.

Titus Turpilius Syllanus, the prefect of the town of Vacca, was called on by Metellus to explain why one of his soldiers had escaped when all the soldiers of the garrison were cut down by fraud, and because he could not clear himself he was condemned and flogged and punished capitally. The dictator Quintus Cincinnatus, after conquering wall was, Jugurthine the Æquians and sending them under the yoke, made Lucius Minutius, whom he had relieved when besieged by the enemy, resign the consul-
ship, distributing the booty of all kinds among his own troops only; for he held that man unworthy of exalted command who owed his safety not to his own valor, but to a ditch and a wall, and who had not been ashamed to allow the arms of Rome to be confined within closed doors Livy, bs. 3. by timid apprehensiveness.

Further, Alexander of Macedon punished with a fine a certain commandant of a fort for deserting his post; and after the Mamertines had accepted a Roman garrison and the Carthaginian garrison had been driven out by fighting, the Carthaginians crucified the commander of Polyb., Hist,, bk. 1. their garrison for his timidity and cowardice in losing that fortress. And that was an exceedingly fine remark of Alexander's which he made on hearing that Aornus, a rock in India, was naturally impregnable, but was held by a timorous man: "He makes," said Alexander, "the place Plut., Apoph. quite easy of capture." And a certain Lacedæmonian noble made a fine reply when Philip threatened to decree (prohibiturum) punishment on him if the city was not handed over to him: "And will you decree (prohibere), too, that I am not to die for my country?"

And the reply made by Lucius Pinarius, who commanded the garrison in the fortress at Ænna in Sicily, was brave and wise. The leading men of Ænna had arranged with Himilco for betrayal of the garrison, but no opportunity for the treachery presented itself, so they publicly put it about that the town and fortress ought to be under their control and urged that the keys of the gates should be handed to them, because (said they) it was as freemen going into partnership that they had been given to the Romans and not as slaves going into custody. Then Pinarius made the reply that he had been placed in the command of the garrison by his general, and had received from him the keys of the gates and the ward of the fortress, which depended not on his discretion nor on that of the citizens of Ænna, but on the discretion of him who created the trust; that it was a capital offense among the Romans to quit one's post and that parents had sanctioned that law by the death even of their own children; that the consul Marcellus was not far off, and so they could send ambassadors to him who possessed the right and liberty of deciding. But the men of Ænna said they certainly would not send to him and solemnly declared that, as they could not obtain their object by argument, they would seek some means of asserting their liberty. Pinarius, upon this, asked that they would at least grant him an assembly of the people, so that it might be known whether the denunciations came from a few or from the whole State. And by their consent an assembly of the people was proclaimed for the next day. There all with one accord required him to surrender the keys, and when he lingered and put the matter off they passed on to fierce threats; whereon, as he could avail nothing, the prefect gave the soldiery the agreed-on signal with his gown and they rushed on the people of Æenna and put them, pitiably,
to the sword. By this act Ænna was retained. Marcellus did not reprobate it, but granted the spoil of the town to the soldiers, concluding that the Sicilians, deterred by this example, would refrain from betraying their garrisons.
[2] And what has just been narrated agrees with the royal enactments of the Kingdom of Spain, whereby it is provided that no form of death should be shunned in the defense of a fortress, the safety of which calls for firm action from every one, even against a father or a son or a former master, and that no one ought to put death or any form of danger, which are but transitory, on the same plane as infamy, which brands a man who has paltered with his honor, him and his posterity forever. And indeed if the needs of hunger prove so imperious, a man span. Ordi., bk. 12, ought rather to eat his son than surrender a fortress to the enemy without his lord's orders. And it is no ground of excuse for the surrender span. Ordin., bki $7^{7}$
 any other persons; for the safety of the State ought to be preferred to Dig. 49, 15, 19, 7. love of children. And so Alfonso Perez Guzman, after Tarifa had been besieged for a fortnight and the enemy, being foiled in all their attempts were threatening to kill his son who was in their hands, made answer that he had begotten his son for the service of his country, and no immortal one either, and that he would rather be bereaved of him, should fate so decree, than quit his post or break his faith, for the one thing might happen even with glory to him, while the other thing could not happen without disgrace and crime; and so he allowed his son to be nefariously slain by the enemy before his eyes. And indeed the Spaniards were such severe censors of this kind of discipline that by one of their constitutions a commander of a fortress was none the less guilty of treason if the surrender of the fortress to the enemy was made by a deputy whom he had for good reason put in his place.
[3] Further, seeing that it is inconsistent with justice to punish a man without fault and that a man who is free from fault ought to be free from punishment too, equity requires that if absolutely no fault or cod. ${ }^{5}, 47.22$ and negligence can be imputed to the commander of a fortress which has ${ }^{\text {C. } 23, \text { Reg. jur. in vi. }}$ chanced to be captured by, or surrendered to, the enemy, the commander ought to be exempt from punishment. And that this was considered Dig. 4s, $3,12$. reasonable by those of olden time is shown by the dispute relative to Marcus Livius, the commander of the fortress of Tarentum. Some blamed the commander, alleging that Tarentum had been surrendered to the enemy through his laxity; others commended him for having kept the fortress safe for five years and above all for having recaptured Tarentum by his own sole exploit; while a middle party declared that the decision rested with the censors and not with the Senate.

And when Lucius Attilius, commander of the garrison at Locris, suffered himself and the Roman soldiers with him to be conveyed to

Rhegium, in order to snatch them from the enemy's cruelty and preserve them for the State, because he saw that he could not prevent the citizens from surrendering their town to the Carthaginians, this was not imLivy, bk. 24. puted to him as a fault. Accordingly, if a man under compulsion of necessity (which, as Livy somewhere says, not even the gods can overcome) and in the utter absence of human aid, surrenders a fortress to the enemy, I hold that he does not deserve punishment; for to do one's best is to do all the law requires, and a vassal only owes fealty to his

Feudal Laws, bk. 1, tit. 1, last ch., and other glossators, and Baldus on beginning of said tit. suzerain within the limits of what is possible, there being no legal obligation to perform the impossible.

Hence Egnazio tells us that those who were driven by necessity to De exempl. inlustr. surrender the fortress of Scutari to the Turks who were besieging it, received no upbraidings on their return to Venice; for the Senate knew what the force of necessity is and that no valor can stand up against it.
[4] Hence it is a common doctrine that an ungarrisoned city is Math. de Anic. on quit of the charge of treason should it be surrendered to the enemy, esthe roval constitu-
tion which Beeriss tion Which begins, pecially if no relief is sent, and that a commander of a fortress is not bound to defend it if the King fails to supply the things that are necessary for its defense. It is on this ground that a vassal is not bound to do his services to his lord, or to recognize him, if he abandons the vassal in time of need, and Charles Dumoulin records that the ancient kings of in addit., Dec. cons, Gaul allowed towns which they could not defend to surrender to the enemy. and thereon Alvar.

I hold, accordingly, that if a fortress should be ill-equipped and its commander be without any human aid or hope of relief and can not withstand the force of the enemy, he may provide for the safety of himself and his men by bargain or in any other way and may abandon the fortress, especially when every expedient has been resorted to in vain and it is clear that the fortress would have fallen into the power of the enemy in any case; for there is no obligation to await that which would 1. stipulatio, 8 divus, do no good if it did happen. That is why a man who is remiss (in mora)
${ }^{\text {Dig. ( }) .]}$ in performing his contract to deliver some specific thing is not liable on a destruction of the thing in question which would have happened just

Dif. 16, 3, 14; and 5,
 and the commenta.
tors theren. the same if the thing had been in the hands of the other party. A commander of a fortress, accordingly, is not bound to offer himself and his garrison to absolutely certain death, to the hurt rather than to the profit of the State.

And so Callicratides, the Spartan general, incurred well-merited blame for preferring to risk his fleet rather than his own reputation; and so, too, did Cleombrotus, who, through fear of falling into disfavor, cic., offic., bk. 1. rashly engaged with Epaminondas and ruined the force of Sparta. For a great man, as Quintus Curtius says, ought rather to scorn death than offc., bk. 1. hate life, and Cicero teaches that we ought to die for our country whencver our death will benefit it. We must accordingly do what is best for
our State and country in all possible ways, whether by surrender or by death, should the occasion arise; for a brave man must consider in all his acts the interests of his country and not his own, as we have shown elsewhere.

Above, bk. 2, ch. 1.
[5] Add to the foregoing that by the constitutions of the Kingdom of Spain, which in other respects are not wanting in severity, he who has been intrusted by the King with the command of a fortress which is so badly built and ill-equipped that it can not be defended, and who has represented this to the King, is not guilty of treason, should the enemy gain the fortress by force and without the commander's fault. And, span. Ordin, bks. $\frac{4}{}$ on this principle, under a rule contained in the same constitutions, when a commander of a fortress is unwilling to undertake its defense himself, he is allowed to abandon it, after furnishing reasons why the defense is impossible, and this, too, without waiting for the enemy's attack, if the King should not have despatched a successor. But, for this to be so, no span. Ordin., bk. 20, one ought to undertake the command of a fortress or town save with much deliberation; and he ought so to arrange that if any reverse occurs and he is absolutely driven to surrender, this may not seem to be due to the fear of death so much as to the wish to preserve himself and his men for the service of the State and at all events to snatch a portion of the State's defenses out of a fortress which was about to belong entirely to the enemy.
[6] Although, however, the commander may be but discharging the duty of an intrepid man, it is barely possible for him to surrender his fortress without ignominy. For if, as we have shown above, the Above, bk. 3, ch. 15. ancients held it a disgrace for a single soldier to fall into the enemy's hands, what shall we say in the case of a commander who has been commissioned to defend the fortress and has bound himself by an oath so to do? Nevertheless, as we have said elsewhere, he must incur even this Above, bk. 2 , ch. 1. ignominy, if it serve the interests of the State, rather than desert and betray his country by a futile defense and the dedication of himself and his men to death. Now the functions of a commander are one thing, those of a soldier another. The latter has to fight with bravery and the former to safeguard the interests of the State.
[7] Further, there was once no small discussion among writers on politics, whether the erection of fortresses did more good or harm to the State; and, although it was a prevalent view that a fortress was a useless and hazardous thing in the ideal State, still Rome was recovered, after its capture by the Gauls, by the garrison of the capitol; and the town of Ænna, mentioned above, was saved by means of its fortress and garrison.
[8] I hold, then, that there are many cases in which the erection of a fortress is expedient or even necessary, alike for withstanding an enemy's attack and for the preservation of the citizens in time of peace. For the rest, I would have commanders of fortresses enjoined to be chary
in allowing foreigners, especially men of strength and leading, access into the fortress, and to be mindful of the advice given by Pyrrhus, King of Epirus. For, when visiting Athens, Pyrrhus was shown over the citadel of Pallas, which was highly fortified by nature and art, and he viewed it with great appreciation and then he urged the prominent citizens who were in attendance on him never in the future to allow any king facilities for entering that citadel, for fear that some one might seize the opportunity of introducing an escort and might attack it; and this advice commended itself to the Athenians.

## Chapter XIX.

## Of theft and certain other military offenses.

1. Punishment of soldiers caught thieving.
2. The nature of the oath which tribunes usually exact.
3. It is against the regulations to go out of trumpet-range.
4. A capital offense to strike a comrade with a sword.
5. A serious offense for a disqualified person to enlist.
6. The punishment of a non-soldier who poses as a soldier.
7. The punishment of a non-citizen who poses as a citizen.
[ 1] The sole reason, according to Plato in his Republic, why man, in the fiction of the poets, was changed into a wolf is that those who have given themselves up to plunder and theft seem to have simply put off the man and the qualities of manhood and to be now men in name only and not in reality; they must, accordingly, be repressed by severe punishments. And, although the civil law does not impose corporal punishment on civilians for theft, yet commanders, for the better establishing of military discipline, generally impose somewhat severe penalties on soldiers taken in theft. And so Tiberius had a soldier of the prætorian guard executed for stealing a peacock out of his grounds. Aufidius Cas- surtonius on sius crucified soldiers who offered violence or caused loss to provincials, and there are numerous examples recorded of ancient commanders doing the same thing. But the jurisconsult Paulus ruled that a soldier found thieving in the baths ought to be discharged with ignominy.

Dig. 47, 17, 3.
[ 2,3$]$ Now it is usual for tribunes, on pitching a camp, to exact from all in it, whether free or slave, an oath that they will not steal anything from the camp and that, if they find anything, they will bring it to the tribunes. The formula of this oath is given by Gellius. And, for Polyb. on Roman preventing the troops from looting, thev are not allowed to go out of $\begin{gathered}\text { Calk } 15 \text {, ch. } 4 \text {. }\end{gathered}$ trumpet-range, except by the orders of the general or tribune, or to go outside the fortifications or quit the ranks. We have dealt with this elsewhere.
[4] Marcus Cato held that those found thieving from their comrades should lose their right hands. Modestinus ruled that one who sur- Dig. 48, 16, 3, 4. reptitiously took another soldier's arms should be degraded from the service. And in olden days great pains were taken to develop the idea of affectionate comradeship as the most effectual link between soldier and soldier, and as a kind of indissoluble bond. Hence it was a capital offense to strike a comrade with a sword, and one who even wounded another with a stone had to leave the service. Again, a soldier so careless Dig. 19, 10, $6,6$.
of his honor as to compound for a money-payment with the avowterer Dig. 48, 5, 12. of his wife is discharged from his oath and deported.
[ $5,6,7$ ] It is also reckoned a serious offense for a disqualified person to enlist, and it becomes more heinous, as in the case of other offenses; Dig. 49, 16, 2. the higher the dignity, rank, and distinction in the service. The penalty Dig. $48,10,27,2$ of fraud attaches, too, to a non-soldier who poses as a soldier; and similar in character is the law introduced by the consuls Crassus and Scævola Cic., offc. bk. 3. forbidding a non-citizen to pose as a citizen-such a person was said to Sueton. on Claudius. be accused of peregrinity. Now the penalty for fraud is deportation

Dig. 48, 10, 1. and public sale of property.

# Chapter XX. Of soldiers' rewards. 

1. The bestowal of punishments and rewards the best means of governing a State.
2. Soldiers to be incited by the offer of rewards.
3. Many rewards open to the soldier.
4. Spolia opima, what they were.
5. When a triumph may be decreed.
6. How long the Romans kept up the custom of the triumph.
7. Roman generals took names from the provinces and towns which they had subdued.
8. Military gifts were of divers sorts.
9. Military crowns, what they were.
10. A reward having been offered to the first to scale a wall, several scale it at the same time.
x. The rewards owed to dead parents given to their children.
[I] There is no better method of governing a State than the bestowal of punishments and rewards: this is approved by numerous opinions of philosophers. Thus Solon, one of the seven wise men and the only legislator among them, said that the stability of a State depends on it. And Democritus held that there were just two gods, Punishment and Reward. And when Lysander was asked what form of State he most favored, he replied, "That in which the brave and the cowardly get their respective deserts." When Theophrastus was asked what was the Plut., Apoph. best protection of human life, he said, "Rewards and punishments." And Cicero asserts that neither a household nor a State can stand without any rewards for good deeds and punishments for bad ones. And no one, vature of the gos, the same writer says, incurs dangers on the State's behalf in a laudable ${ }^{\text {bk. } 3 .}$ and valorous way, but his motive is the hope of reward from posterity. speech for Rabirius. And where, said Thucydides, the greatest rewards of good conduct are offered, there the best men govern; for when good conduct receives the richest rewards, all strive for them with the best intent towards the State.

Pliny wrote, addressing Trajan, " The rewards of goodness and Pancgrtica. badness make the good and the bad; and the pleasure always felt by the deserving at being rewarded, reacts on others like them as much as on themselves." And labor, says Livy, will be bestowed and danger run in Bk. 4. a quarter whence emolument and honor may be hoped for, and there is nothing that men will not undertake if rewards be offered proportionate to the arduous nature of the task, and high honors breed high courage. And so Socrates said that that was the best State in which there were the greatest inducements to virtue. Hence Demosthenes spoke as follows : Asainst Leptines.
" Men of Athens, you ought to devote great zeal and attention to secure that all your laws shall be of the most excellent character and that this shall especially be the case with those which can increase or impair
the prosperity of the city. Now which are these? Those, of a truth, which confer honor on those who have deserved well and those which repress the bad with punishment; for if every one were deterred from every kind of wrong-doing by the fear of those evils which are the sanction of law, and if every one were incited to a zealous discharge of duty by the rewards attached to good acts, what could prevent the city from attaining the amplest prosperity?"
[2] Accordingly, just as military discipline needs sharpness in its punishments, so also there should be rewards to incite soldiers to valor. And herein a mistake is commonly made, in that (as Tacitus said) it is easier to requite an injury than a kindness, for gratitude is reckoned a burden and revenge a gain and many States are ruined, as Euripides says in his Hecuba, by the good and strenuous man receiving no greater reward than the slothful. Now Cyrus held in the highest esteern those who excelled in the military virtues, bestowing many honors on them.
[3,4] Among the Romans, too, there were numerous prizes for valor open to soldiers and we will here cursorily review them. In the first place, then, if generals and kings, who had the chief guidance of affairs, had, when fighting in person, stripped the opposing general of his arms (then called spolia opima, or spoils of honor), they were allowed by way of reward to carry those spolia opima into the capitol and offer them to Jupiter Feretrius. It is believed that this offering was only thrice made by Roman generals, these being men who had toiled valorously and strenuously on behalf of the State. Romulus, the founder and father of the City, is said to have carried off the first spolia opima; this was from Acron, King of the Cæninenses, after the rape of the Sabine women; and on this occasion Romulus dedicated the temple of Jupiter
Livy, bk. ı. Feretrius. Not very long afterwards, Cornelius Cossus, a renowned general of that day, carried off the second spolia opima from Lars ToLivy, bk. 4. lumnius, King of Veii, whom he overthrew in battle and killed. The doughty Claudius Marcellus, a good commander, carried off the third $\mathrm{vall}^{2}$. Max, from Viridomarus, a Gallic chieftain, whom he conquered near the Po.
[5] In addition, commanders-in-chief were accorded triumphs for success in war, and this was the greatest prize of victory. Not every victory entitled to a triumph, but only when the character of the war and the victory seemed to justify that distinction; for it was provided by law that no one should celebrate a triumph unless he had slain five thousand of the enemy in one battle and had routed great forces in a pitched battle, and punishment awaited that general who made a false return to the Senate of the number of the enemy killed in a battle or of the number of citizens lost in it; for a triumph was not allowed to a man who had won an over-sanguinary battle with great loss, that being a sad and meager gain, bringing to the State many funerals and little advantage. It was also enacted that a triumph should not be decreed except for an enlarge-
ment of dominion and not for regaining what had been previously lost in the war. And a further condition was that the general should have overthrown and conquered the enemy under his own leadership and management, and in his own and not another's province, and in such a way as to pacify it and leave no trace of war behind him.

Again, if the war was against privates or slaves or fugitives, or any other class of men unworthy of the Roman name, it was exactly as if the cause of the war was a shameful one; and, despite the victory that was won and the rout and overthrow of the enemy, no triumph could be celebrated. Further, even though the enemy had been defeated and put to flight, and exploits worthy of a triumph had been performed, it was considered impious to celebrate a triumph if neither consul nor prætor had commanded the army and the conquest and scattering of the enemy had not been achieved under the leadership and command of the proper magistrate. And if there had been two commanders, both magistrates, a triumph was granted only to the one of higher rank, lest the glory of the triumph should put the lower and the higher authority on an equal footing and the auspices which pertained to the higher magistracy should be brought into confused relations with the lower; a consul, accordingly, did not triumph side by side with a dictator or a prætor. But some of the cases where a Roman general was not allowed to celebrate a triumph were cases for a lesser triumph, or ovation.

Further, it was immaterial what glorious exploits and distinguished services had been performed or rendered to the State in a civil war, the commander derived no name therefrom, nor were any public thanksgivings decreed, nor any entrance into the city with ovation or triumph; because, however necessary victories of that kind might be, they were always deemed lamentable, as being won at the expense of home blood, not foreign. It is also clear that a Roman citizen when conquered in war could not be led in triumph, no matter how harmful and hostile he had been to the State.
[6] Now the custom of celebrating a triumph over conquered nations who had been beaten in war survived at Rome up to the time of the Emperor Probus, who is said to have been the last of the Cæsars to celebrate a triumph. Many details about the law of triumphs and about the apparatus and ceremony of a Roman triumph may be found in Vale-

Bk. 2, ch. 8. rius Maximus and Alexander ab Alexandro.

Genial. dies, bk. 1
cenial. dies, bk. 1
ch. 2 and bk. 6 ,
[7] Roman generals were also wont to derive a surname by way chs. $\begin{gathered}\text { chand } \\ 0\end{gathered}$ and 17 b. of honor, from the towns and provinces which they had subdued by force. Thus, of the three Metelli, one took the cognomen Numidicus, from his conquest of Numidia; another Macedonicus from Macedonia, and the third Creticus from Crete; and there was also a Metellus Balearicus, so called because of his victory over the Baleares. And Martius Coriolanus was so called from the Volscian town of Corioli; and the two

Scipios, the elder and the younger, both took the surname Africanus from Africa; and another Scipio took that of Asiaticus from Asia. In the same way with a large number of others, whose examples the Cæsars subsequently followed, bearing illustrious surnames derived from races and nations whom they had overthrown in war, to their immortal glory: and they often took surnames under a popular decree and occasionally by an acclamation of the Senate-whence the diverse titles of Arabicus, Adiabenicus, Parthicus, Armenicus, Germanicus, Sarmaticus, and Alex. ab. Alex., Africus.
[8] Moreover, there were diverse kinds of military gifts which in earlier times were given by generals to soldiers for valor in the field: and among the other prizes of the military profession the following were held specially honorable. There was the gift of the land won by their blood and sweat made to time-expired soldiers after the toils they had undergone; this they received as a most welcome return for labors and As appars from dangers, a sort of first-rate booty. Again, for the greater incitement of
 valor into greater honor and publicity, there was invented the reward of military crowns and other very signal tokens of honor, such as golden chains and double rations; and sometimes generals granted furlough or double pay as a reward of valor.

And there were several cases where persons who had rendered distinguished services in war and who had found their private concerns in an embarrassed and ruinous condition received gifts and gratuities. Thus we read that Lucius Sicinius Dentatus, a man of distinguished parts and performance, was presented, for his military exploits, in addition to eight golden crowns and fourteen civic wreaths and three mural wreaths and one obsidional wreath, with one hundred and eighty-three neck-chains, one hundred and forty armlets, eighteen unstained spears, val. Max, and twenty-five medals. Manlius Torquatus was not far short of this: bk. 3 , ch. 2. for he brought back the arms of about thirty slain enemies and received about forty rewards from generals, including two noble mural wreaths Livy, bk. 6. and eight civic wreaths. No one, however, who had performed any exploit went away unrewarded with military gifts, in proportion to his merits and valor.
[9] There were, it may be added, many varieties of military wreath: the triumphal, the ovational, the obsidional, the civic, the mural, the naval, the castrensic-wherewith generals and others were presented for raising the siege of a town or camp or army, or for saving Gell., Yoct. Attic, the life of a fellow-citizen, or for being the first to scale an enemy's walls.
[ro] Now, suppose a reward is offered to the first to scale an enemy's wall, and two or more scale it at the same moment, it is often discussed whether the reward be due to all or to none: and the prevalent Dig. $31,5,10$. opinion is that it is due to none, for each bars the way of the other and

Dig. 28, 5, w. is a hindrance to him; and where all are equal there can not be a victory. Dlg. s5, 2. 13. Now, this is sound if the general has clearly meant to give the reward to By interence from one only, as if the offered reward was such and such a horse, for this kind of offer does not admit of multiplication; but otherwise I think the prize is due to all. For, as Africanus held, "first " does not only mean dig. 28, 0, 34. being in front of everybody, but not having anybody in front of you. And Paulus says, "proximus" means one with no one in front of him. Dig. se, 18, 22 The same holds good where there is a doubt who was the first to scale ${ }^{\text {Br in inerence from }}$ the wall.

And Scipio followed that opinion after the capture of Nova Carthago, for at that time a great strife, almost amounting to sedition, occurred between Quintus Tiberilius, a centurion of the fourth legion, and Sextus Digitius, a naval auxiliary, each one insisting that he was the first to scale the wall and that the honor of the mural wreath belonged to him ; so Scipio ordered a general assembly and declared himself satisfied that Quintus Tiberilius and Sextus Digitius were absolutely equal in scaling the wall and that he would give both a mural wreath for valor. Livy, bk. 20.

But Augustus was readier to give medals, neck-chains, and other gold ornaments as rewards than mural, or camp, or civic wreaths.
[II] The constitutions of Spain, also, provide numerous rewards Span. Ordin., hk. 5, for bravery in the field and declare these rewards to be due to the chil- ${ }^{\text {tit. }} 27$, par. 2. dren if the parents who won them be dead; and this was ruled long ago by Pisistratus. And at Athens a law of Solon enacted that, over and above these gifts granted to soldiers by generals, the children of those who perished in war should be nurtured and well educated at the public cost. And Constantine declared that the sons should be summoned to the military profession of their father.
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[^0]:    Washington, D. C., September I, IgI2.

[^1]:    * Defaçoz, Ancien Droit Belgique, t. x, pp. 80, 81 ; citing an ordinance of the Duke of Alba, dated 5 th July 1570 .

[^2]:    * We must acknowledge our great indebtedness to the work of M. Ernest Nys, now a professor at the university of Brussels and a member both of the Belgian court of appeal in that city and of the permanent international court of arbitration at the Hague, Le droit de la Guerre et les Précurseurs de Grotius, 1882, pp. 173-182. Besides other information we owe to this source the official documents which we have translated or summarized.

[^3]:    *Les Origines du Droit International, p. 174.

[^4]:    DEPIGNERATIONIBVS, QYAS REPRESALIAS VOCANT.

[^5]:    *The following illustrations (from vol. ii, p. 12) will show how subdivisions of a Title are cited. "Dig. 49, 15, 19, 2"' means Digest, Bk. 49, Tit. 15, Lex 19, section 2. "Dig. 39, $5: 2$ (7) and 3" means Digest, Bk. 39. Tit. 5, Lex 2, section 7, and Lex 3. Citations in the same note from different Books of the Digest are separated by a semi-colon. "pr."-see e.g. p. 22-stands for principium and indicates the opening section of a Lex.

    The references to the Institutes, Digest, and Code are given as in Mommsen's edition; he references to the Novels as in the edition of Schoell and Kroll.

    In the case of the Feudal Law the references are to the edition of Gothofredus.

[^6]:    * In several of these cases Ayala does not directly cite the writer, but merely names him, on the authority of some other named writer, as holding the opinion in question.
    $\dagger$ "Borrandus" in margin p. 100a is a misprint: Bonaud is meant.
    $\ddagger$ "Camb." in margin p. $31 a$ is a misprint for Canib.

[^7]:    * Cited in original, p. 75a, as Conan.
    $\dagger$ Curt. Inn. is sometimes misprinted for Curt. jun. and Curt. Sent. for Curt. sen.

[^8]:    * Here and in other speeches taken from Livy, the translation by Philemon Holland (London A. D. 1600) has been followed, with some modifications. [Tr.]

[^9]:    Note: The bracketed numbers at the beginning of paragraphs refer to the topical summary found at the commencement of each chapter.

[^10]:    * If this be changed to oratores (the usual reading), the translation will be, "Of war, . . . . truces, let the fecials be the spokesmen and judges."

[^11]:    * Transl. of de Civit. Dei by J. H., A. D. ェ6ıo.

[^12]:    * Translated by Roger Lestrange, A. D. 1680.

[^13]:    Variar. resolut, bk . the tine and others are set out in full and discussed by Covarruvias.

[^14]:    Pan., Imo., Alex., Decius, Aliait., Bell., Cor, whom Mysinnger cites, cent. 4, obser. 7.

    We read that the people of Veii were granted a truce of a hundred years
    Petr. Bell. de re milit., par. $\overline{5}$, tit. 3 . milit., par. 5, tit.
    Livy, bks. 1 and 2 . by Romulus, one of forty years by Servius Tullius, and one also of forty Livy, bk. 7. reported to have been made with the people of Cære for a hundred years. And when the Volsinians were weary of war a truce for twenty years Livy, bk. 5. was granted them. The Tarquinians were driven by fear to crave a Livy, bk. .. truce for forty years from the consul Decius. The Æquians obtained a Livy, bk. 4. truce for eight years. When the chief powers of Etruria (the Volsinians, Perusia, and Aretium) begged for peace, they secured a truce for Livy, bk. 11. forty years.

[^15]:    * See following chapter, section 4.

[^16]:    13. The firmness and confidence of a general in war.
    14. Before an engagement the spirits of the soldiery to be maintained in divers ways.
    15. The misfortunes of the army to be concealed.
    16. The best general he who knows most about the enemy's affairs.
    17. Credence not to be rashly given to deserters.
    18. The general should constitute himself leader in all difficult exploits.
    19. The general ought to proceed cautiously in difficulties.
    20. The advice of Antigonus.
    21. Not only valor in war but other virtues, too, required in a general.
    22. A general must be allowed a free hand. 23. The Carthaginians severe upon their generals in war.
[^17]:    6. The dictator Aulus Cornelius Cossus was successful with his waiting tactics.
    7. Cæsar decried Pompey's tactics in ordering his men to await Cæsar's attack.
    8. The custom of the Gauls and Germans at the beginning of a battle.
    9. The Syrians drew an augury as to future victory or defeat from the din made.
    ro. The tactics of Cyrus.
[^18]:    * Thus in original, but should be Marcus. See page 186.

[^19]:    * See Section 14 , below, for meaning of this.

[^20]:    * The translator estimates that there are 70 citations of the Bible and A pocrypha.

[^21]:    * The translator estimates that there are 206 direct citations of the Canon Law.

[^22]:    * The translator estimates that there are 704 direct citations of the Digest, 228 of the Code and 15 of the Novels and Authenticae.
    $\dagger$ The translator estimates that there are about 140 citations of Livy.

[^23]:    *The translator estimates that there are 3I citations of this authority.

